

THE LIFE
OF THE
REV. JOHN WESLEY, A. M.,

SOMETIME FELLOW OF LINCOLN COLLEGE, OXFORD,

AND

Founder of the Methodist Societies.

'Εν κόποις περισσοτεοως.

Contents.

ADVERTISEMENT	viii
INTRODUCTORY NOTE.....	ix

CHAPTER I.

Mr. Wesley's parentage—Mrs. Susanna Wesley—Samuel Wesley, Jun.—Mr. Wesley at school and college—Religious impressions and inquiries—Ordination—College honors—Charles Wesley's early life—Methodists at Oxford—Origin of the name Methodist	11
---	----

CHAPTER II.

The Wesleys at Oxford—Their efforts to do good—Opposition—Correspondence with Mr. Wesley, Sen.—Mr. Samuel Wesley, and Mrs. Wesley—Mr. John Wesley refuses to settle at Epworth—Remarks—Death of Mr. Wesley, Sen.—The Wesleys engage to go out to Georgia—Letter of Mr. Gambold.....	23
---	----

CHAPTER III.

The Wesleys on their voyage—Intercourse with the Moravians—Conduct, troubles, and sufferings in Georgia—Affair of Miss Hopkey—Mr. Wesley returns to England	41
---	----

CHAPTER IV.

Mr. Wesley's review of his religious experience—Trouble of mind—Interview with Peter Böhler—Receives the doctrine of justification by faith—Preaches it—Mr. Charles Wesley's religious experience—Remarks.....	53
--	----

CHAPTER V.

State of religion in the nation—Mr. Wesley's visit to Germany—Return to England—His labors in London—Meets with Mr. Whitefield—Dr. Woodward's societies—Mr. Charles Wesley's labors—Field-preaching—Remarks.....	68
--	----

CHAPTER VI.

Effect of the labors of the Messrs. Wesley and Mr. Whitefield at Kingswood—Mr. Wesley at Bath—Statement of his doctrinal views—Separates from the Moravians in London—Formation of the Methodist Society—Mr. Wesley's mother—Correspondence between Mr. John and Mr. Samuel Wesley on extraordinary emotions, and the doctrine of Assurance—Remarks—Enthusiasm—Divine influence—Difference between Mr. Wesley and Mr. Whitefield—Their reconciliation—Mr. Maxfield—Mr. Wesley's defence of his calling out preachers to assist him in his work—Remarks.....	82
---	----

CHAPTER VII.

Persecution in London—Institution of classes—Mr. Wesley charged with being a Papist—His labors in Yorkshire, Northumberland, and Lincolnshire—Death of Mrs. Susanna Wesley—Labors and persecutions of Mr. Charles Wesley in Staffordshire and Yorkshire—Increase of the societies—Mr. Wesley's danger and escape at Wednesbury—His first visit to Cornwall—Riots in Staffordshire—Preaches for the last time before the University of Oxford—Correspondence with the Rev. J. Erskine—His sermon on “A Catholic Spirit”—First Conference held—Remarks.....	106
---	-----

CHAPTER VIII.

Mr. Charles Wesley's labors in Cornwall, Kent, Staffordshire, and the north of England—Persecution at Devizes—Remarks—Mr. Wesley at Newcastle—His statement of the case between the clergy and the Methodists—Remarks—Labors in Lincolnshire, etc.—Persecutions in Cornwall—Count Zinzendorf—Dr. Doddridge—Mr. Wesley a writer of tracts—His sentiments on Church government—Extracts from the Minutes of the early Conferences—Remarks—Mr. Wesley's labors in different parts of the kingdom—His zeal to diffuse useful knowledge—Mobs in Devonshire—Visits Ireland—Succeeded there by his brother—Persecutions in Dublin	128
--	-----

CHAPTER IX.

Labors of the preachers—Doctrinal conversations of the Conferences—Justification—Repentance—Faith—Assurance—Remarks—Fruits of justifying faith—Sanctification—Witness of the Spirit—Remarks—Spirit in which Mr. Wesley sought truth—Miscellaneous extracts from the Minutes of the early Conferences—Notices of the deaths of preachers—Remarks.....	158
--	-----

CHAPTER X.

Early list of circuits—Mr. Charles Wesley in London—Earthquake there—Differences between Mr. Charles Wesley and the preachers—
--

Remarks—Respective views of the brothers—Mr. Wesley's marriage—Mr. Perronet—Kingswood School—Remarks—Mr. Wesley visits Scotland—Letters—Sickness—Mr. Whitefield's letter to him in anticipation of his death—Mr. Wesley's remarks on books—His Address to the Clergy—Remarks—Hervey's Letters	195
---	-----

CHAPTER XI.

Methodism in America—Revivals of religion—Remarks—Mr. Wesley's labors—Notices of Books from his Journals—Minutes of the Conference of 1770—Remarks—Mr. Shirley's Circular—Mr. Wesley's “Declaration”—Controversy respecting the Minutes—Remarks—Increase of the societies—Projects for the management of the Connection after Mr. Wesley's death	216
--	-----

CHAPTER XII.

Mr. Wesley's sickness in Ireland—Letter to the Commissioners of Exercise—Visit to the Isle of Man—Opening of City Road chapel—“Arminian Magazine”—Disputes in the society at Bath—Mr. Wesley's letter to a nobleman—His visit to Holland—“Deed of Declaration”—Remarks	245
--	-----

CHAPTER XIII.

State of the societies in America—Ordination of superintendents and elders for the American societies—Remarks—Dr. Coke—Mr. Asbury—Mr. Charles Wesley's remonstrances—Ordinations for Scotland—Remarks—Mr. Wesley's second visit to Holland—His labors in England, Ireland, and the Norman Isles—Return to London—Remarks—Extract from a sermon by Bishop Copleston—Mr. Wesley's reflections on the progress of the work, and on entering his eighty-fifth year	260
--	-----

CHAPTER XIV

Death of Mr. Charles Wesley—His character—His hymns—Remarks—Mr. Montgomery's “Psalmist”—Anecdote of the Rev. Samuel Wesley, Sen.—Mr. Wesley's continued labors—Reflections on entering his eighty-eighth year—Last sickness—Death—Funeral—Epitaph—Sketches of his character by different writers	291
--	-----

CHAPTER XV

Mr. Wesley and the Church—Modern Methodism and the Church—Charges refuted—Mr. Wesley's writings—Extent of the Methodist societies at his death, and at the present time—Conclusion.....	327
---	-----

Advertisement.

VARIOUS Lives or Memoirs of the Founder of Methodism have already been laid before the public. But it has been frequently remarked, that such of these as contain the most approved accounts of Mr. Wesley have been carried out to a length which obstructs their circulation, by the intermixture of details comparatively uninteresting beyond the immediate circle of Wesleyan Methodism. The present Life, therefore, without any design to supersede larger publications, has been prepared with more special reference to general readers. But, as it is contracted within moderate limits chiefly by the exclusion of extraneous matter, it will, it is hoped, be found sufficiently comprehensive to give the reader an adequate view of the life, labors, and opinions of the eminent individual who is its subject; and to afford the means of correcting the most material errors and misrepresentations which have had currency respecting him. On several points the Author has had the advantage of consulting unpublished papers, not known to preceding biographers, and which have enabled him to place some particulars in a more satisfactory light.

LONDON, May 10, 1831.

Introductory Note.

It has been said, the Life of Wesley has yet to be written. We contend that the Life of Wesley has been written. Whatever objections may be made to the works of Hampson, Coke, Whitehead, Moore, Southey, and other biographers of Mr. Wesley, his Life by Mr. Watson is a very different affair from any of these publications—it is worthy of the title it bears, and of the rank to which it has been assigned. It is impartial, genial, judicious; and, notwithstanding its brevity, it does not fail to give the reader a just view of its subject. It is not ornate; and we submit that a flowery style would be ill-adapted to such a work. If the author does not philosophize quite as much on the principles, measures, and movements of “Wesley and Methodism” as some might desire, he does quite as much as might be satisfactory and safe. A devout recognition of the hand of Providence in the great Wesleyan movement, well supplies the place of philosophical pragmatism, even if it were of a less objectionable type than that which is furnished us by Dr. Southey and Isaac Taylor. He might have interwoven more incidents into the biography; but enough is introduced to give it life, and to inspire the reader with an appetite for more. This can be gratified by a perusal of Wesley’s Journal and other works, which, in fact, consti-

tute an autobiography rich, racy, and edifying, almost beyond parallel. The failures and errors of preceding biographies are noted and corrected, wherever justice demands or good taste allows it to be done. Nothing can be desiderated in that direction, except a formal review and exposure of Dr. Southey's book; and this we have in the "Observations on Southey's Life of Wesley."

Occupying a different stand-point, both in time and place, from that of the respected author, we have considered it advisable to add a few marginal notes, which, it is hoped, will somewhat enhance the value of the present edition, to those, at least, for whom it is more particularly prepared. With the exception of these additions, this is a faithful reprint of the last revised London edition of this excellent biography.

THOMAS O. SUMMERS.

NASHVILLE, TENN., Nov 15, 1856

THE LIFE
OF
THE REV. JOHN WESLEY.

CHAPTER I.

Mr. Wesley's parentage—Mrs. Susanna Wesley—Samuel Wesley, Jr.—Mr. Wesley at school and college—Religious impressions and inquiries—Ordination—College honors—Charles Wesley's early life—Methodists at Oxford—Origin of the name Methodist.

JOHN and CHARLES WESLEY, the chief founders of that religious body now commonly known by the name of the Wesleyan Methodists, were the sons of the Rev. Samuel Wesley, rector of Epworth, in Lincolnshire.

Of this clergyman, and his wife Mrs. Susanna Wesley, who was the daughter of the Rev. Dr. Annesley, as well as of the ancestors of both, an interesting account will be found in Dr. Adam Clarke's "Memoirs of the Wesley Family," and in the "Life of Mr. John Wesley," by Dr. Whitehead, and the more recent one by Mr. Moore. They will be noticed here only so far as a general knowledge of their character may be necessary to assist our judgment as to the opinions and conduct of their more celebrated sons.

The rector of Epworth, like his excellent wife, had descended from parents distinguished for learning, piety, and Nonconformity. His father dying whilst he was young, he

forsook the Dissenters at an early period of life ; and his conversion carried him into High-Church principles, and political Toryism. He was not, however, so rigid in the former as to prevent him from encouraging the early zeal of his sons, John and Charles, at Oxford, although it was even then somewhat irregular, when tried by the strictest rules of Church order and custom ; and his Toryism, sufficiently high in theory, was yet of that class which regarded the rights of the subject tenderly in practice. He refused flattering overtures made by the adherents of James II. to induce him to support the measures of the court, and wrote in favor of the Revolution of 1688 ; admiring it, probably, less in a political view, than as rescuing a Protestant Church from the dangerous influence of a Popish head. For this service, he was presented with the living of Epworth, in Lincolnshire, to which, a few years afterwards, was added that of Wroote, in the same county.

He held the living of Epworth upwards of forty years, and was distinguished for the zeal and fidelity with which he discharged his parish duties. Of his talents and learning, his remaining works afford honorable evidence.

Mrs. Susanna Wesley, the mother of Mr. John Wesley, was, as might be expected from the eminent character of Dr. Samuel Annesley, her father, educated with great care. Like her husband, she also, at an early period of life, renounced Nonconformity, and became a member of the Established Church, after, as her biographers tell us, she had read and mastered the whole controversy on the subject of separation ; of which, however, great as were her natural and acquired talents, she must, at the age of thirteen years, have been a very imperfect judge. The serious habits impressed upon both by their education did not forsake them : "they feared God, and wrought righteousness ;" but we may perhaps account for that obscurity in the views of each on several great points of evangelical religion, and especially on justification by faith, and the offices of the Holy Spirit, which hung over their minds for many years, and indeed till towards the close of life, from this early change of their religious connections. Their theological reading, according to the fashion of the Church people of that day, was now directed rather to the writings of those divines of the English Church who were tinctured more or less with a Pelagianized Arminianism, than

to the works of its founders; of their successors, the Puritans; or of those eminent Nonconformists, whose views of discipline they had renounced. They had parted with Calvinism; but, like many others, they renounced with it, for want of spiritual discrimination, those truths which were as fully maintained in the theology of Arminius, and in that of their eminent son, who revived and more fully illustrated it, as in the writings of the most judicious and spiritual Calvinistic divines themselves. Taylor, Tillotson, and Bull, who became their oracles, were Arminians of a different class.

The advantage of such a parentage to the Wesleys was great. From their earliest years they had an example, in the father, of all that could render a clergyman respectable and influential; and in the mother there was a sanctified wisdom, a masculine understanding, and an acquired knowledge, which they regarded with just deference after they became men and scholars. The influence of a piety so steadfast and uniform, joined to such qualities, and softened by maternal tenderness, could scarcely fail to produce effect. The firm and manly character, the practical sense, the active and unwearied habits of the father, with the calm, reflecting, and stable qualities of the mother, were in particular inherited by Mr. John Wesley, and in him were most happily blended. A large portion of the ecclesiastical principles and prejudices of the rector of Epworth was also transmitted to his three sons; but whilst Samuel and Charles retained them least impaired, in John, as we shall see, they sustained in future life considerable modifications.

Samuel, the eldest son, was born in 1692; John, in 1703; and Charles, in 1708.

Samuel Wesley, junior, was educated at Westminster School; and in 1711 was elected to Christ Church, Oxford. He was eminent for his learning, and was an excellent poet, with great power of satire, and an elegant wit. He held a considerable rank among the literary men of the day, and finally settled as Head Master of the Free School of Tiverton, in Devonshire, where he died in 1739, in his forty-ninth year.

Mrs. Wesley was the instructress of her children in their early years. "I can find," says Dr. Whitehead, "no evidence that the boys were ever put to any school in the coun-

try; their mother having a very bad opinion of the common methods of instructing and governing children." She was particularly led, it would seem, to interest herself in John, who, when he was about six years old, had a providential and singular escape from being burned to death, when the parsonage-house was consumed.* There is a striking passage in one of her private meditations, which contains a reference to this event; and indicates that she considered it as laying her under a special obligation "to be more particularly careful of the soul of a child whom God had so mercifully provided for." The effect of this special care on the part of the mother was, that, under the Divine blessing, he became early serious; for, at the age of eight years, he was admitted by his father to partake of the sacrament. In 1714, he was placed at the Charter House, "where he was noticed for his diligence, and progress in learning."† "Here, for his quietness, regularity, and application, he became a favorite with the master, Dr. Walker; and through life he retained so great a predilection for the place, that, on his annual visit to London, he made it a custom to walk through the scene of his boyhood. To most men, every year would render a pilgrimage of this kind more painful than the last; but Wesley seems never to have looked back with melancholy upon the days that were gone; earthly regrets of this kind could find no room in one who was continually pressing onward to the goal."‡ When he had attained his seventeenth year, he was elected to Christ Church, Oxford, "where he pursued his studies with great advantage, I believe under the direction of Dr. Wigan, a gentleman eminent for his classical knowledge. Mr. Wesley's natural temper in his youth was gay and sprightly, with a turn for wit and humor. When he was about twenty-one years of age, 'he appeared,' as Mr. Badcock has observed, 'the very sensible and acute collegian; a young fellow of the finest classical taste, of the most liberal and manly sentiments.'§ His perfect knowledge of the classics gave a smooth polish to his wit, and an air of superior elegance to all his compositions. He

* The memory of his deliverance, on this occasion, is preserved in one of his early portraits, which has, below the head, the representation of a house in flames, with the motto, "Is not this a brand plucked from the burning?"

† Whitehead's Life. ‡ Southeby's Life. § Westminster Magazine.

had already begun to amuse himself occasionally with writing verses; though most of his poetical pieces, at this period, were, I believe, either imitations or translations of the Latin. Some time in this year, however, he wrote an imitation of the sixty-fifth Psalm, which he sent to his father, who says, 'I like your verses on the sixty-fifth Psalm; and would not have you bury your talent.'**

Some time after this, when purposing to take deacon's orders, he was roused from the religious carelessness into which he had fallen at college, and applied himself diligently to the reading of divinity. This more thoughtful frame appears to have been indicated in his letters to his mother, with whom he kept up a regular correspondence; for she replies, "The alteration of your temper has occasioned me much speculation. I, who am apt to be sanguine, hope it may proceed from the operations of God's Holy Spirit, that, by taking off your relish for earthly enjoyments, he may prepare and dispose your mind for a more serious and close application to things of a more sublime and spiritual nature. If it be so, happy are you if you cherish those dispositions; and now, in good earnest, resolve to make religion the business of your life; for, after all, that is the one thing which, strictly speaking, is necessary; all things beside are comparatively little to the purposes of life. I heartily wish you would now enter upon a strict examination of yourself, that you may know whether you have a reasonable hope of salvation by Jesus Christ. If you have, the satisfaction of knowing it will abundantly reward your pains; if you have not, you will find a more reasonable occasion for tears than can be met with in a tragedy. This matter deserves great consideration by all, but especially by those designed for the ministry; who ought, above all things, to make their own calling and election sure; lest, after they have preached to others, they themselves should be cast away."

This excellent advice was not lost upon him; and, indeed, his mother's admirable letters were among the principal means, under God, of producing that still more decided change in his views which soon afterwards began to display itself. He was now about twenty-two years of age.

The practical books most read by him at this period, which was probably employed as a course of preparation for holy orders, were, "The Christian's Pattern," by Thomas à Kempis; and Bishop Taylor's "Rules of Holy Living and Dying;" and his correspondence with his parents respecting these authors shows how carefully he was weighing their merits, and investigating their meaning, as regarding them in the light of spiritual instructors. The letters of his mother, on the points offered to her consideration by her son, show, in many respects, a deeply thinking and discriminating mind; but they are also in proof that both she and her husband had given up their acquaintance, if they ever had any, with works which might have been recommended as much more suitable to the state of their son's mind, and far superior as a directory to true Christianity. This to him would have been infinitely more important than discussing the peculiar views, and adjusting the proportion of excellency and defect, which may be found in such a writer as Kempis, whose "Christian's Pattern" is, where in reality excellent, a manual rather for him who is a Christian already, than for him who is seeking to become one.

A few things are, however, to be remarked in this correspondence which are of considerable interest, as showing the bearings of Mr. Wesley's views as to those truths of which he afterwards obtained a satisfactory conviction, and then so clearly stated and defended.

The son, in writing to his mother on Bishop Taylor's book, states several particulars which Bishop Taylor makes necessary parts of humility and repentance; one of which, in reference to humility, is, that "we must be sure, in some sense or other, to think ourselves the worst in every company where we come." And in treating of repentance, he says, "Whether God has forgiven us, or no, we know not: therefore, be sorrowful for ever having sinned." "I take the more notice of this last sentence," says Mr. Wesley, "because it seems to contradict his own words in the next section, where he says, that by the Lord's supper all the members are united to one another, and to Christ the head. The Holy Ghost confers on us the graces necessary for, and our souls receive the seeds of, an immortal nature. Now, surely these graces are not of so little force as that we cannot perceive whether we have them or not: if

we dwell in Christ, and Christ in us, which he will not do unless we are regenerate, certainly we must be sensible of it. If we can never have any certainty of our being in a state of salvation, good reason it is that every moment should be spent, not in joy, but in fear and trembling; and then undoubtedly, in this life, we are of all men most miserable. God deliver us from such a fearful expectation as this! Humility is, undoubtedly, necessary to salvation; and if all these things are essential to humility, who can be humble? who can be saved?"

The mother, in reply, suggests to him some good thoughts and useful distinctions on the subject of humility; but omits to afford him any assistance on the point of the possibility of obtaining a comfortable persuasion of being in a state of salvation, through the influence of the Holy Spirit; which he already discerned to be the privilege of a real believer, though as yet he was greatly perplexed as to the means of attaining it. At this period, too, he makes the important distinction between assurance of present, and assurance of future, salvation; by confounding which, so many, from their objection to the Calvinistic notion of the infallible perseverance of the saints, have given up the doctrine of assurance altogether. "That we can never be so certain of the pardon of our sins, as to be assured they will never rise up against us, I firmly believe. We know that they will infallibly do so if ever we apostatize; and I am not satisfied what evidence there can be of our final perseverance, till we have finished our course. But I am persuaded we may know if we are now in a state of salvation, since that is expressly promised in the Holy Scriptures to our sincere endeavors; and we are surely able to judge of our own sincerity."

The latter part of this extract will, however, show how much he had yet to learn as to "the way to the Father." Mrs. Wesley also corrects a defective definition of faith, which her son's letter had contained, in the following sensible remarks; which are just, as far as they go, but below the true scriptural standard, and the proper conception of that saving faith after which her son was inquiring:—"You are somewhat mistaken in your notions of faith. All faith is an assent, but all assent is not faith. Some truths are self-evident; and we assent to them because they are so. Others, after a regular

and formal process of reason, by way of deduction from some self-evident principle, gain our assent. This is not properly faith, but science. Some, again, we assent to, not because they are self-evident, or because we have attained the knowledge of them in a regular method, by a train of arguments, but because they have been revealed to us, either by God or man ; and these are the proper objects of faith. The true measure of faith is the authority of the revealer ; the weight of which always holds proportion to our conviction of his ability and integrity. Divine faith is an assent to whatever God has revealed to us, because he has revealed it.”

Predestination was another subject touched upon in this interesting correspondence. Mr. Wesley was probably led to it by his review of the articles of the Church, previous to his ordination ; and he thus expresses himself on this controverted subject : “What then shall I say of predestination ? An everlasting purpose of God to deliver some from damnation, does, I suppose, exclude all from that deliverance who are not chosen. And if it was inevitably decreed from eternity that such a determinate part of mankind should be saved, and none beside them, a vast majority of the world were only born to eternal death, without so much as a possibility of avoiding it. How is this consistent with either the Divine justice or mercy ? Is it merciful to ordain a creature to everlasting misery ? Is it just to punish a man for crimes which he could not but commit ? That God should be the author of sin and injustice, which must, I think, be the consequence of maintaining this opinion, is a contradiction to the clearest ideas we have of the Divine nature and perfections.”*

From these views he never departed ; and the terms he uses contain, indeed, the only rational statement of the whole question.

He was ordained deacon in September, 1725 ; and the year following was elected Fellow of Lincoln College. His previous seriousness had been the subject of much banter and ridicule, and appears to have been urged against him, in the election, by his opponents ; but his reputation for learning and diligence, and the excellence of his character, triumphed ; and, what was probably to him the greatest pleasure, he had

* Whitehead's Life.

the gratification of seeing the joy this event gave to his venerable parents, and which was emphatically expressed in their letters. Several specimens of his poetry, composed about this time, are given by his biographers, which show that, had he cultivated that department of literature, he would not have occupied an inferior place among the tasteful and elegant votaries of verse; but he soon found more serious and more useful employment.

He spent the summer after his election to the Fellowship with his parents, in Lincolnshire, and took that opportunity of conversing with them at large upon those serious topics which then fully occupied his mind. In September, he returned to Oxford, and resumed his usual studies. "His literary character was now established in the university: he was acknowledged by all parties to be a man of talents, and an excellent critic in the learned languages. His compositions were distinguished by an elegant simplicity of style, and justness of thought, that strongly marked the excellence of his classical taste. His skill in logic, or the art of reasoning, was universally known and admired. The high opinion that was entertained of him in these respects was soon publicly expressed, by choosing him Greek Lecturer, and Moderator of the Classes, on the seventh of November; though he had only been elected Fellow of the College in March, was little more than twenty-three years of age, and had not proceeded Master of Arts."* He took this degree in February, 1727; became his father's curate in August the same year; returned to Oxford in 1728, to obtain priest's orders; and paid another visit to Oxford in 1729; where, during his stay, he attended the meetings of a small society formed by his brother Charles, Mr. Morgan, and a few others, to assist each other in their studies, and to consult how to employ their time to the best advantage.

After about a month, he returned to Epworth; but upon Dr. Morley, the rector of his college, requiring his residence, he quitted his father's curacy, and in November again settled in Oxford. He now obtained pupils, and became tutor in the college; presided as moderator in the disquisitions six times

* Whitehead's Life.

a week ; and had the chief direction of a religious society. From this time he stood more prominently forward in his religious character, and in efforts to do good to others ; and began more fully to prove that “they that will live godly in Christ Jesus must suffer persecution.” It is, however, necessary to turn to the history of Mr. Charles Wesley, whose labors in the early periods of Methodism were inferior only to those of his brother.

Charles Wesley was, as above stated, five years younger than his brother John ; and was educated at Westminster School, under his eldest brother, Samuel, from whom he is said to have derived a still stronger tincture of High-Church principles than was imbibed under the paternal roof. “When he had been some years at school, Mr. R. Wesley, a gentleman of large fortune in Ireland, wrote to his father, and asked if he had any son named Charles ; if so, he would make him his heir. Accordingly, a gentleman in London brought money for his education several years. But one year another gentleman called, probably Mr. Wesley himself, talked largely with him, and asked if he was willing to go with him to Ireland. Mr. Charles desired to write to his father, who answered immediately, and referred it to his own choice. He chose to stay in England.”* “Mr. John Wesley, in his account of his brother, calls this a fair escape. The fact is more remarkable than he was aware of ; for the person who inherited the property intended for Charles Wesley, and who took the name of Wesley, or Wellesley, in consequence, was the first Earl of Mornington, grandfather of Marquis Wellesley and the Duke of Wellington.”†

The lively disposition of Charles, although he pursued his studies diligently, and was unblamable in his conduct, repelled all those exhortations to a more strictly religious course which John seriously urged upon him after he was elected to Christ Church. During his brother’s absence, as his father’s curate, his letters, however, became more grave ; and when Mr. John Wesley returned to Oxford, in November, 1729, “I found him,” he observes, “in great earnestness to save his soul.” His own account of himself is, that he lost his

* Whitehead’s Life, vol. i., p. 98.

† Southey’s Life.

first year at college in diversions: that the next he set himself to study: that diligence led him into serious thinking: that he went to the weekly sacrament, persuading two or three students to accompany him; and that he observed the method of study prescribed by the statutes of the university. "This," says he, "gained me the harmless name of Methodist."* Thus it appears that Charles was the first modern Methodist, and that he, in fact, laid the foundations of the religious society which continues to be distinguished by that appellation. To this society Mr. John Wesley joined himself on his return to reside at Oxford; and by his influence and energy gave additional vigor to their exertions to promote their own spiritual improvement and the good of others. The union of system and efficiency which this association presented well accorded with his practical and governing mind; and, no doubt, under the leadings of a superior agency, of which he

* From the name of an ancient sect of physicians, say some of Mr. Wesley's biographers; but probably the wits of Oxford, who imposed the name, knew nothing of that sect of the middle ages. The Nonconformists were often called, in derision, Methodists; and the name was probably transmitted from them; or it might be given merely from the rigid adherence to method in study by Mr. Charles Wesley. It is, however, somewhat worthy of notice, that before the times of Nonconformity, properly so called, we find Methodists mentioned as one of the minor sects in conjunction with the Anabaptists; for, as early as 1639, in a sermon preached at Lambeth, they are rated in good set style for their aversion to rhetorical sermons: "Where are now our Anabaptists, and plain pack-staff Methodists, who esteem of all flowers of rhetoric in sermons no better than stinking weeds, and of all elegancies of speech no better than profane spells?" etc. Their fault in those days, it appears, was to prefer plain preaching: no bad compliment, though an undesigned one. The epithet used to describe them, may also intimate that they were plain in dress and manners. At a later period, 1693, some of the Nonconformists, who had renounced the imputation of Christ's righteousness in justification, except in the merit of it, and whose views were somewhat similar to those of the Wesleyan Methodists on the imputation of faith for righteousness, were called by their brethren, the New Methodists. They were not, however, a sect, but were so denoted from the new method which they took in stating the doctrine of justification. Thus we have a Calvinistic pamphlet, under this date, written against "the principles of the New Methodists in the great point of justification."

was unconscious, he was thus training himself to those habits of regular and influential exertion and enterprise which subsequently rendered him the instrument of a revival of religion throughout the land. Of the little society of which, by the mere force of his character, he thus became the head, Mr. Hervey, the author of the "Meditations," and the celebrated Whitefield, were members.

CHAPTER II.

The Wesleys at Oxford—Their efforts to do good—Opposition—Correspondence with Mr. Wesley, Sen.—Mr. Samuel Wesley, and Mrs. Wesley—Mr. John Wesley refuses to settle at Epworth—Remarks—Death of Mr. Wesley, Sen.—The Wesleys engage to go out to Georgia—Letter of Mr. Gambold.

THE strictly religious profession which Mr. Wesley must now be considered as making at Oxford—a profession so strongly marked as to become matter of public notice, and accompanied with so much zeal as to excite both ridicule and opposition—requires to be carefully examined. After all, he thought himself to be but “almost,” and not “altogether,” a Christian—a conclusion of a very perplexing kind to many who have set up themselves for better judges in his case than he himself. From a similar cause, we have seen St. Paul all but reproved by some divines for representing himself as the chief of sinners at the time when he was “blameless” as to “the righteousness of the law;” and, but for the courtesy due to an inspired man, he would, probably, in direct contradiction to his own words, have been pronounced the chief of saints; although his heart remained a total stranger to humility and charity.

The Wesleys at Oxford were indeed, not only in a higher, but in an essentially different, state of religious experience from that of Saul of Tarsus, notwithstanding his array of legal zeal and external virtue; but if our views of personal religion must be taken from the New Testament, although as to men they were blameless and exemplary, yet, in respect to God, those internal changes had not taken place in them which it is the office of real Christianity to effect. They

were, however, most sincere: they were "faithful in that which is little," and God gave them "the true riches." They "sought God with all their heart," and they ultimately found him, but in a way which at that time "they knew not." The very writers, Bishop Taylor and Mr. Law, who so powerfully wrought upon their consciences, were among the most erring guides to that "peace of God which passeth all understanding," for which they sighed; and those celebrated divines, excelled by none for genius and eloquence, who could draw the picture of a practical piety so copious and exact in its external manifestations, were unable to teach that mystic connection of the branches with the vine, from which the only fruits that are of healthy growth and genuine flavor can proceed. Both are too defective in their views of faith, and of its object—the atonement of Christ—to be able to direct a penitent and troubled spirit into the way of salvation, and to show how all the principles and acts of truly Christian piety are sustained by a life of "faith in the Son of God." To this subject, however, Mr. Wesley's own account of himself will, subsequently, again call our attention.

Bishop Taylor's chapter on purity of intention first convinced Mr. Wesley of the necessity of being holy in heart, as well as regular in his outward conduct; and having, for the first time, formed an acquaintance with a religious friend, "he began to alter the whole form of his conversation, and to set in earnest upon a new life." "He communicated every week. He watched against all sin, whether in word or deed, and began to aim at and pray for inward holiness;*" but still with a painful consciousness that he found not that which he so earnestly sought. His error at this period was drawn from his theological guides just mentioned: he either confounded sanctification with justification, that is, a real with a relative change, or he regarded sanctification as a preparation for, and a condition of, justification. He had not yet learned the apostle's doctrine, the gratuitous justification of "the ungodly," when penitent, and upon the sole condition of believing in Christ; nor that upon this there follows a "death" unto all inward and outward sin, so that he who is so justified can "no longer continue therein." It is, how-

* *Journal*

ever, deeply interesting to trace the progress of his mind through its agitations, inquiries, hopes, and fears, until the moment when he found that steadfast peace which never afterwards forsook him, but gave serenity to his countenance, and cheerfulness to his heart, to the last hour of a prolonged life.

The effects of the strong impression which had been made upon him by the practical writings of Taylor and Law promptly manifested themselves. The discipline he maintained as a tutor over his pupils was more strict than the university had been accustomed to witness; and for this reason, that it was more deeply and comprehensively conscientious. He regarded himself as responsible to God for exerting himself to his utmost, not only to promote their learning, but to regulate their moral habits, and to form their religious principles. Here his disciplinary habits had their first manifestation. He required them to rise very early: he directed their reading, and controlled their general conduct, by rules to which he exacted entire obedience. This was not well taken by the friends of some; but from others he received very grateful letters; and several of his pupils themselves were not insensible of the obligations they owed to him, not only on a religious account, but for thus enabling them to reap the full advantages of that seat of learning, by restraining them from its dissipations.

The little society of Methodists, as they were called, began now to extend its operations. When Mr. Wesley joined them, they committed its management to him; and he has himself stated its original members:

"In November, 1729, four young gentlemen of Oxford—Mr. John Wesley, Fellow of Lincoln College; Mr. Charles Wesley, Student of Christ Church; Mr. Morgan, Commoner of Christ Church; and Mr. Kirkman, of Merton College—began to spend some evenings in a week together, in reading chiefly the Greek Testament. The next year, two or three of Mr. John Wesley's pupils desired the liberty of meeting with them; and afterward one of Mr. Charles Wesley's pupils. It was in 1732 that Mr. Ingham, of Queen's College, and Mr. Broughton, of Exeter, were added to their number. To these, in April, was joined Mr. Clayton, of Brazen-nose, with two or three of his pupils. About the same time Mr.

James Hervey was permitted to meet with them, and afterward Mr. Whitefield.”*

Mr. Morgan led the way to their visiting the prisoners in the Oxford jail, for the purpose of affording them religious instruction. They afterward resolved to spend two or three hours a week in visiting and relieving the poor and the sick, generally, where the parish minister did not object to it. This was, however, so novel a practice, and might be deemed by some so contrary to Church order, that Mr. Wesley consulted his father upon the point. Mr. Wesley, senior, answered the inquiry in a noble letter, equally honorable to his feelings as a father, and a minister of Christ. They had his full sanction for prosecuting their pious labors: he blessed God who had given him two sons together at Oxford, who had received grace and courage to turn the war against the world and the devil: he bids them defy reproach, and animates them, in God’s name, to go on in the path to which their Saviour had directed them. At the same time, he advises them to consult with the chaplain of the prison, and to obtain the approbation of the bishop. This high sanction was obtained; but it was not sufficient to screen them from the rebukes of the gravely lukewarm, or the malignantly vicious. Sarcasm and serious opposition robbed them of one of their number, who had not fortitude to bear the shafts of ridicule, or to resist the persuasion of friends; and the opposition being now headed by some persons of influence, Mr. Wesley had again recourse, by letter, to his father’s counsel. The answer deserves to be transcribed at length:

“This day I received both yours; and this evening, in the course of our reading, I thought I found an answer that would be more proper than any I myself could dictate; though, since it will not be easily translated, I send it in the original: Πολλή μοι καύχησις ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν πεπλήρωματ τῷ παρακλήσει ὑπερπερισσεύομαι τῷ χαρᾶ.† What would you be? Would you be angels? I question whether a mortal can arrive to a greater degree of perfection than steadily to do good, and for that very reason patiently and meekly to suffer evil. For my part, on the present view of your actions and

* Journal.

† 2 Cor. vii. 4: “Great is my glorying of you. I am filled with comfort. I am exceeding joyful.”—Authorized Version.

designs, my daily prayers are that God would keep you humble, and then I am sure that if you continue 'to suffer for righteousness' sake,' though it be but in a lower degree, the Spirit of God and of glory shall in some good measure rest upon you. And you cannot but feel such a satisfaction in your own minds as you would not part with for all the world. Be never weary of well-doing: never look back, for you know the prize and the crown are before you; though I can scarce think so meanly of you, as that you should be discouraged with the 'crackling of thorns under a pot.' 'Be not high-minded, but fear.' Preserve an equal temper of mind, under whatever treatment you meet with, from a not very just or well-natured world. Bear no more sail than is necessary, but steer steady. The less you value yourselves for these unfashionable duties, (as there is no such thing as works of supererogation,) the more all good and wise men will value you, if they see your works are all of a piece; or, which is infinitely more, He by whom actions and intentions are weighed will both accept, esteem, and reward you.

"I hear my son John has the honor of being styled the 'father of the Holy Club'; if it be so, I am sure I must be the grandfather of it; and I need not say that I had rather any of my sons should be so dignified and distinguished, than to have the title of His Holiness."*

Thus encouraged, they proceeded in their course with meekness and constancy: to relieve the poor, they sacrificed all the superfluities, and sometimes the conveniences, of life; and they redoubled their efforts to produce religious impressions upon their college acquaintance, as well as upon the ignorant, the poor, and the sick. The apology for these pious and praiseworthy efforts, which, on the increase of the outcry made against them, Mr. Wesley published in the modest form of queries, amply indicates the low state of religious feeling in the university; and we may well conclude, with one of Mr. Wesley's biographers, that "a voluntary scheme of so much private and public good, such piety, with such beneficence, certainly merited a different return; and if the university in general, instead of ridiculing or persecuting them, had had the grace to imitate their example,

it would have been much better both for the public and themselves."

Even their eldest brother Samuel added his seasonable exhortations to perseverance, in a short but vigorous letter:— "I cannot say, I thought you always in every thing right; but I must now say, rather than you and Charles should give over your whole course, especially what relates to the Castle, I would choose to follow either of you, nay, both of you, to your graves. I cannot advise you better than in the words I proposed for a motto to a pamphlet, *Στῆθ' ἔδραῖος ὡς ἀκμῶν τυπόμενος καλοῦ γάρ ἀθλητοῦ δέρεσθαι καὶ νικάν*. 'Stand thou steadfast as a beaten anvil; for it is the part of a good champion to be flayed alive and to conquer.' " *

Sickness, and cowardly desertion arising from weariness of the cross, some time after this, reduced the number of this little society of zealous young men, and the brothers were left to stand almost alone; but they still persevered with unabated zeal and diligence in their attempts to do good, exhibiting a rare example of decision, only to be accounted for by a preparing influence of God upon their hearts, thus training them up for still more arduous service. This it was which had implanted in them those admirable principles that are unreservedly laid open in a letter of Mr. John Wesley to his brother Samuel, who had begun to think that they were pushing the strictness of their personal piety too far:

"1. As to the end of my being, I lay it down for a rule, that I cannot be too happy, or therefore too holy; and thence infer that the more steadily I keep my eye upon the prize of our high calling, and the more of my thoughts and words and actions are directly pointed at the attainment of it, the better. 2. As to the instituted means of attaining it, I likewise lay it down for a rule, that I am to use them every time I may. 3. As to prudential means, I believe this rule holds of things indifferent in themselves: Whatever I know to do me hurt, that to me is not indifferent, but resolutely to be abstained from: Whatever I know to do me good, that to me is not indifferent, but resolutely to be embraced." †

Adverting to this charge of over-strictness, and being

* Whitehead's Life.

† Ibid.

“righteous overmuch,” he also earnestly requests his mother to point out any instance in which she might judge, from their unreserved communications to her of every part of their conduct, that they were too superstitious or enthusiastic on the one hand, or too remiss on the other. Some anxiety had indeed been created at home by the singularity of their proceedings, and the opposition they had roused at Oxford; which was probably the chief reason why the father extended his journey from London to Oxford at the close of the year 1731. He was, however, evidently satisfied with his personal observations and inquiries; for, on his return to London, he writes to Mrs. Wesley, that he had been well repaid for the expense and labor of his journey to Oxford, “by the shining piety of our two sons.”

In the midst of all this zeal, devotedness, and patience of reproach, when the eye of man could see nothing but a mature and vital Christianity, we are enabled to ascertain the state of Mr. Wesley’s own heart, as laid open by himself. Speaking of a time a little subsequent to the decided impressions he had received from the reading of Bishop’s Taylor’s “Holy Living and Dying,” and Mr. Law’s “Serious Call,” he says, “I was convinced more than ever of the exceeding height and breadth and depth of the law of God. The light flowed in so mightily upon my soul, that every thing appeared in a new view. I cried to God for help, and resolved not to prolong the time of obeying him as I had never done before. And by my continued endeavor to keep his whole law, inward and outward, to the best of my power, I was persuaded that I should be accepted of him; and that I was even then in a state of salvation.”

He was now manifestly seeking justification before God by efforts at a perfect obedience to his law; nor was he then quite hopeless as to success. Some time afterwards, still clearly convinced, as he had been from the first, that he was not in that state of mind, that settled enjoyment of conscious peace with God, that love to him, delight in him, and filial access to him, which the New Testament describes as the privilege of a true believer; but, still diligently persevering in the rigid practice of every discovered duty, in the hope of seizing the great prize by this means, he became greatly surprised that he was so far from obtaining it. He was often

dull and formal in the use of the ordinances, and was on that account thrown “into distress and perplexity; so that he seemed at a loss which way to proceed, to obtain the happiness and security he wanted.”* The deep tone of feeling, and the earnestness of his inquiries, in the following passages from a letter to his mother, written in 1732, present this state of his mind in a very affecting light. He then needed some one more fully instructed in the true doctrine of salvation, than even this excellent and intelligent “guide of his youth,” to teach him to lay down the burden of his wounded and anxious spirit, in self-despair, as to his own efforts, at the foot of the cross of Christ.

After mentioning Mr. Morgan, he observes: “One consideration is enough to make me assent to his and your judgment concerning the holy sacrament; which is, that we cannot allow Christ’s human nature to be present in it, without allowing either con or tran-substantiation. But that his Divinity is so united to us then, as he never is but to worthy receivers, I firmly believe; though the manner of that union is utterly a mystery to me.

“That none but worthy receivers should find this effect, is not strange to me, when I observe how small effect many means of improvement have upon an unprepared mind. Mr. Morgan and my brother were affected, as they ought, by the observations you made on that glorious subject. But though my understanding approved what was excellent, yet my heart did not feel it. Why was this, but because it was pre-engaged by those affections with which wisdom will not dwell? Because the animal mind cannot relish those truths which are spiritually discerned. Yet I have those writings which the good Spirit gave to that end! I have many of those which he hath since assisted his servants to give us: I have retirement to apply those to my own soul daily; I have means both of public and private prayer; and, above all, of partaking in that sacrament once a week. What shall I do to make all these blessings effectual? to gain from them that mind which was also in Christ Jesus?

“To all who give signs of their not being strangers to it, I propose this question—and why not to you rather than any?

—Shall I quite break off my pursuit of all learning, but what immediately tends to practice? I once desired to make a fair show in languages and philosophy; but it is past; there is a more excellent way; and if I cannot attain to any progress in the one, without throwing up all thoughts of the other, why, fare it well! yet a little while, and we shall all be equal in knowledge, if we are in virtue.

“ You say you have renounced the world. And what have I been doing all this time? What have I done ever since I was born? Why, I have been plunging myself into it more and more. It is enough: Awake, thou that sleepest. Is there not one Lord, one Spirit, one hope of our calling? one way of attaining that hope? Then I am to renounce the world as well as you. That is the very thing I want to do: to draw off my affections from this world, and fix them on a better. ~~But~~ But how? What is the surest and the shortest way? Is it not to be humble? Surely this is a large step in the way. But the question recurs, How am I to do this? To own the necessity of it, is not to be humble. In many things you have interceded for me, and prevailed. Who knows but in this too you may be successful? If you can spare me only that little part of Thursday evening which you formerly bestowed upon me in another manner, I doubt not but it would be as useful now, for correcting my heart, as it was then for forming my judgment.

“ When I observe how fast life flies away, and how slow improvement comes, I think one can never be too much afraid of dying before one has learned to live; I mean, even in the course of nature. For were I sure that ‘the silver cord’ should not be violently ‘loosed;’ that ‘the wheel’ should not be ‘broken at the cistern,’ till it was quite worn away by its own motion; yet what a time would this give me for such a work! a moment, to transact the business of eternity! What are forty years in comparison of this? So that were I sure, what never man yet was sure of, how little would it alter the case! How justly still might I cry out,

‘ Downward I hasten to my destined place;
There none obtain thy aid, none sing thy praise!
Soon shall I lie in death’s deep ocean drowned:
Is mercy there, is sweet forgiveness found?’

O save me yet, while on the brink I stand;
Rebuke these storms, and set me safe on land:
O make my longings and thy mercy sure!
Thou art the God of power.''*

It was not, therefore, as it has been hastily stated, that he first learned from the Moravians that he was not a true Christian. He had at Oxford a most painful conviction that he was far below the evangelical standard. He had then, as this letter sufficiently shows, a large measure of "the spirit of bondage unto fear;" and that after which his perplexed heart panted was the "Spirit of adoption," by which he might "cry, Abba, Father."

During the summer of this year, 1732, Mr. Wesley visited London, where he formed an acquaintance with several respectable and pious persons. He also made two journeys to Epworth. The latter of these was in order to meet the whole family, which had assembled, upon the father's request, once more before their final separation by death. These and other journeys he performed on foot, partly, no doubt, to avoid what he considered needless expense, that he might, according to his rule, have the more to distribute in charity; and partly to accustom himself to fatigue and hardship. "In these excursions, he constantly preached on the Lord's day; so that he might now be called, in some degree, an itinerant preacher." In the following year he again visited Epworth, Manchester, and some other places; but his occasional absence had a bad effect upon the still persecuted society at Oxford, whose members shrank from the storm, and took the opportunity of his being away to shake off the strictness of the rules. The five-and-twenty communicants at St. Mary's, he informs his father, had shrunk to five. Still his courage was unshaken, and he exerted himself the more, upon his return, to repair the loss. Towards the end of the year, his exertions of mind and body, with an excess of abstemiousness, seriously affected his health, and induced spitting of blood. His state was such as greatly to alarm his friends; but the vigor of his constitution triumphed; and this attack of disease served to impress him the more deeply with eternal

things, and to give renewed ardor to his endeavors after universal holiness, and to his plans for the religious benefit of his fellow-creatures.

A considerable trial to his feelings now awaited him. The declining age of his father, who anxiously desired to provide for the spiritual wants of his parishioners in a suitable manner, joined with the wishes of the people of Epworth, and the concerns of the family, for which no provision, it seems, had been made, induced him to write to his son, to make interest for the next presentation to the living. Mr. Wesley, from his reluctance to leave Oxford, where he thought he should be far more useful, and where, according to his own convictions, he was placed in circumstances more conducive to his spiritual improvement, refused the proposal; and the most urgent letters of the different branches of the family were insufficient to bend his resolution. His father wrote him a pathetic letter, in which every consideration was urged which might answer his objections, or move his feelings. His brother had addressed him in a sterner mood, urging that he was not at liberty to resolve against undertaking a cure of souls, to which he was solemnly pledged by his ordination; and ridiculed his notion that he could not, so safely to himself, or so usefully to others, take the charge of a parish priest, as remain at Oxford. To all this he reiterates, that his own holiness and usefulness could be promoted nowhere so effectually as in his present station; that his retirement, his friends, and other advantages, were essential to his improvement; that he was inadequate to the charge of two thousand parishioners; and that he did not consider his ordination vows in the same light as his brother. On the last point, indeed, he was supported by the opinion of the bishop who ordained him, and whom he consulted on the question. These and other topics run through the correspondence, which, though it is not necessary to give entire, affords considerable insight into the state of Mr. Wesley's mind. His conduct in this matter has been criticised as unfeeling, without considering that the kindness of his general character is a sufficient pledge that the refusal of the urgent request of a venerable father, and a beloved mother whose widowhood would be unprovided for, must have been to him sufficiently painful. Dr. Southey thinks the correspondence not "cred-

itable to his judgment;”* but it would be hard to prove that the leading consideration which influenced him—that he was more usefully employed in doing good at the very “fountain” from which the nation was to be so largely supplied with its clergy, than as a country parish priest—was not a very obvious truth. This conclusion, true or false, was at least a very plausible one, and as such concerned his conscience; and his disregard of his own temporal advantage, which certainly lay on the side of the Epworth rectory, and his merging all considerations of the interests of the family in the higher question of what he regarded as a duty, might not appear instances of “good judgment” to worldly minds, and yet be so in reality. His leading reason, drawn from his greater usefulness at Oxford, being strong in itself, that he, with his wonted decision of character, should stand firmly upon it, will create no surprise; but that some of his other reasons are less weighty, may be granted. They show that he had more confidence in a certain class of means, to secure his religious safety, than in the grace of God. This was the natural effect of those notions of the efficacy of retirement, and self-denial, and “the wisdom of flight” from danger, which he had learned from Bishop Taylor; whilst the views he entertained of the necessity of exercising a minute personal superintendence over every individual committed to his charge, as being equally necessary to his own good conscience, and to their salvation, led him to regard a parish containing two thousand souls as too formidable and fearful an undertaking. His religious judgment was indeed as yet immature and perplexed; but in reasoning from his own principles, his natural judgment showed its usual strength in the conclusions to which it conducted him. Whatever weakness there might be in the case was the result of the imperfect state of his religious experience, and of that dependence upon his own plans of attaining spirituality to which it gave rise; but connecting him with that great work which he was designed afterwards to effect, we must shut out also the doctrine of Providence, if we do not see a higher hand than that of man in this determination; a hand which is not the less certainly employed, when it works its ends through the secret volitions, aversions, incli-

* Life of Wesley.

nations, and even prejudices, of the human heart, than when it more sensibly and immediately interposes to hasten or retard our purposes. Mr. Wesley's father died in April, 1735. He had been manifestly ripening for his change; and in his last moments had the consolation of the presence of his two sons, John and Charles. "He had no fear of death; and the peace of God which he enjoyed appeared sometimes to suspend his bodily sufferings, and, when they recurred, to sustain his mind above them. When, as nature seemed spent, and his speech was failing, his son John asked him whether he was not near heaven, he answered, 'Yes, I am,' distinctly, and with a voice of hope and joy. After John had used the commendatory prayer, he said, 'Now you have done all.' These were his last words; and he passed away so peacefully and insensibly, that his children continued over him a considerable time in doubt whether or not the spirit was departed. Mrs. Wesley, who for several days, whenever she entered his chamber, had been carried out of it in a fit, recovered her fortitude now, and said her prayers were heard, for God had granted him an easy death, and had strengthened her to bear it."* Brighter views of the doctrine of faith had opened upon his mind, during his sickness, and shed their influence upon his last hours. This his sons afterwards more clearly understood than at the time.†

* Southey's Life.

† In some of the biographical notices which have been published of this venerable man, he is represented as of a harsh and stern character. On this point the late Miss Wesley observes, in a MS. letter before me, "I never understood this from any of his children, who idolized his memory, and spoke of his kindness. He certainly never forced his daughter to marry Wright, as it has been suggested." In the same letter, Miss Wesley also corrects the current anecdote respecting the Epworth clerk and the rector's wig, which, though laughable enough, implicates Mr. Wesley in an irreverent act in the house of God, of which he was not capable. The clerk did appear one Sunday, in church, in the ill-befitting, cast-off wig of his master; and, to the disturbance of the gravity of the congregation, gave out the psalm,

"Like to an owl in ivy bush,
That fearsome thing am I."

But Mr. Wesley had no hand in selecting the psalm, which appears to have been purely accidental.

About the middle of this year, the trustees of the new colony of Georgia, who wished to send out clergymen, both to administer to the spiritual wants of the colonists, and also to attempt the conversion of the Indians, directed their attention to Mr. John Wesley, and some of his friends at Oxford, as peculiarly qualified, both by zeal and piety, and their habits of self-denial, for this service. After some delay, and consultation with his family, he accepted the offer; and thus, though Epworth could not draw him from Oxford, an enterprise of a missionary character, and presenting no temptations to ease and sloth, such as he feared in a parish at home, overcame his scruples. This itself is in proof that he had not resolved to remain in Oxford, in preference to accepting the living of Epworth, from selfish motives. In the question of usefulness, the balance before inclined to Oxford; and now that he thought a greater field for doing good opened in America, he yielded to that consideration. This mission was accompanied also with the certainty of great hardships and sufferings, which, according to his then defective but most sincere views, were necessary to his perfection. His residence at Oxford now terminated; and this portion of his life may be properly concluded with some passages of a letter written by Mr. Gambold, a man of fine genius, as some of his poems show, and of eminent holiness; who, some years afterwards, left the Church of England, and became a Moravian bishop. The letter was addressed to one of Mr. Wesley's relations, and contains a lively description of the character and proceedings of a friend, whom he did not then expect to see again on earth:

"About the middle of March, 1730, I became acquainted with Mr. Charles Wesley, of Christ Church. After some time, he introduced me to his brother John, of Lincoln College. 'For he is somewhat older,' said he, 'than I am, and can resolve your doubts better.' I never observed any person have a more real deference for another than he had for his brother; which is the more remarkable, because such near relations, being equals by birth, and conscious to each other of all the little familiar passages of their lives, commonly stand too close to see the ground there may be for such submission. Indeed, he followed his brother entirely: could I describe one of them, I should describe both. I shall there-

fore say no more of Charles, but that he was a man formed for friendship, who, by his cheerfulness and vivacity, would refresh his friend's heart; with attentive consideration would enter into and settle all his concerns as far as he was able; he would do any thing for him, great or small; and, by a habit of mutual openness and freedom, would leave no room for misunderstanding.

"The Wesleys were already talked of for some religious practices, which were first occasioned by Mr. Morgan, of Christ Church. From these combined friends began a little society. Mr. John Wesley was the chief manager, for which he was very fit; for he had not only more learning and experience than the rest, but he was blessed with such activity as to be always gaining ground, and such steadiness that he lost none. What proposals he made to any were sure to alarm them, because he was so much in earnest; nor could they afterwards slight them, because they saw him always the same. What supported this uniform vigor was, the care he took to consider well every affair before he engaged in it, making all his decisions in the fear of God, without passion, humor, or self-confidence. For though he had naturally a very clear apprehension, yet his exact prudence depended more on his humility and singleness of heart. He had, I think, something of authority in his countenance, yet he never assumed any thing to himself above his companions; any of them might speak their mind, and their words were as strictly regarded by him as his words were by them.

"Their undertaking included these several particulars: to converse with young students; to visit the prisons; to instruct some poor families; to take care of a school and a parish workhouse. They took great pains with the younger members of the university, to rescue them from bad company, and encourage them in a sober, studious life. They would get them to breakfast; and, over a dish of tea, endeavor to fasten some good hint upon them. They would bring them acquainted with other well-disposed young men, give them assistance in the difficult parts of their learning, and watch over them with the greatest tenderness.

"Some or other of them went to the Castle every day, and another **most** commonly to Bocardo. Whoever went to the Castle was to read in the chapel to as many prisoners as

would attend, and to talk apart to the man or men whom he had taken particularly in charge. When a new prisoner came, their conversation with him for four or five times was close and searching. If any one was under sentence of death, or appeared to have some intentions of a new life, they came every day to his assistance, and partook in the conflict and suspense of those who should now be found able, or not able, to lay hold on salvation. In order to release those who were confined for small debts, and to purchase books and other necessaries, they raised a little fund, to which many of their acquaintance contributed quarterly. They had prayers at the Castle most Wednesdays and Fridays, a sermon on Sunday, and the sacrament once a month.

“When they undertook any poor family, they saw them at least once a week ; sometimes gave them money, admonished them of their vices, read to them, and examined their children. The school was, I think, of Mr. Wesley’s own setting up ; however, he paid the mistress, and clothed some, if not all, the children. When they went thither, they inquired how each child behaved, saw their work, heard them read and say their prayers, or catechism, and explained part of it. In the same manner they taught the children in the workhouse, and read to the old people as they did to the prisoners.

“They seldom took any notice of the accusations brought against them for their charitable employments ; but if they did make any reply, it was commonly such a plain and simple one, as if there was nothing more in the case, but that they had just heard such doctrines of their Saviour, and had believed, and done accordingly.

“I could say a great deal of his private piety : how it was nourished by a continual recourse to God, and preserved by a strict watchfulness in beating down pride, and reducing the craftiness and impetuosity of nature to a childlike simplicity, and in a good degree crowned with Divine love, and victory over the whole set of earthly passions. He thought prayer to be more his business than any thing else ; and I have seen him come out of his closet with a serenity of countenance that was next to shining : it discovered what he had been doing, and gave me double hope of receiving wise directions, in the matter about which I came to consult him. In all his motions he attended to the will of God. He had neither the

presumption nor the leisure to anticipate things whose season was not now ; and would show some uneasiness whenever any of us, by impertinent speculations, were shifting off the appointed improvement of the present minute.

“ Because he required such a regulation of our studies as might devote them all to God, he has been accused as one that discouraged learning. Far from that ; for the first thing he struck at in young men was that indolence which will not submit to close thinking. He earnestly recommended to them a method and order in all their actions.

“ If any one could have provoked him, I should ; for I was very slow in coming into their measures, and very remiss in doing my part. I frequently contradicted his assertions ; or, which is much the same, distinguished upon them. I hardly ever submitted to his advice at the time he gave it, though I relented afterwards. He is now gone to Georgia as a missionary, where there is ignorance that aspires after Divine wisdom, but no false learning that is got above it. He is, I confess, still living ; and I know that an advantageous character is more decently bestowed on the deceased. But, besides that his condition is very like that of the dead, being unconcerned in all we say, I am not making any attempt on the opinion of the public, but only studying a private edification. A family picture of him his relations may be allowed to keep by them. And this is the idea of Mr. Wesley, which I cherish for the service of my own soul, and which I take the liberty likewise to deposit with you.”*

This letter is honorable to Mr. Gambold’s friendship ; but he was not himself, at that time, of mature spiritual discernment, nor had Mr. Wesley opened the state of his heart to him with the freedom which we have seen in his letters to his mother. The external picture of the man is exact ; but he was not inwardly that perfect Christian which Mr. Gambold describes, nor had he that abiding “ interior peace.” He was struggling with inward corruptions, which made him still cry, “ O wretched man that I am, who shall deliver me from the body of this death ? ” And he as yet put mortification, retirement, and contempt of the world, too much in the place of that Divine atonement, the virtue of which, when

* Whitehead’s Life.

received by simple faith, at once removes the sense of guilt, cheers the spirit by a peaceful sense of acceptance through the merits of Christ, and renews the whole heart after the image of God. He was indeed attempting to "work out his own salvation with fear and trembling;" but not as knowing that "it is God that worketh in us to will and to do of his good pleasure." He had not, in this respect, learned "to be nothing," that he might "possess all things."

CHAPTER III.

The Wesleys on their voyage—Intercourse with the Moravians—Conduct, troubles, and sufferings in Georgia—Affair of Miss Hopkey—Mr. Wesley returns to England.

MR. WESLEY now prepared for Georgia, the place where, as he afterwards said, “God humbled me, and proved me, and showed me what was in my heart.” But he was not suffered to depart without remonstrances from friends, which he answered calmly and at length, and the scoffs of the profane, to which he made but brief reply. “What is this, sir?” said one of the latter class to him; “are you turned Quixote too? Will nothing serve you but to encounter windmills?” To which he replied, “Sir, if the Bible be not true, I am as very a fool and madman as you can conceive; but if it be of God, I am sober-minded.”

Mr. Charles Wesley, although in opposition to the opinion of his brother Samuel, agreed to accompany him to Georgia, and received holy orders. They were accompanied by Mr. Ingham, of Queen’s College, and Mr. Delamotte. That Mr. Wesley considered the sacrifices and hardships of their mission in the light of means of religious edification to themselves, as well as the means of doing good to others, is plain from his own account: “Our end in leaving our native country was not to avoid want: God had given us plenty of temporal blessings; nor to gain the dung and dross of riches and honor; but simply this, to save our souls, to live wholly to the glory of God.” These observations are sufficiently indicative of that dependence upon a mortified course of life, and that seclusion from the temptations of the world, which he then thought essential to religious safety.

Georgia is now a flourishing State, and the number of Methodist societies in it very considerable: a result not then

lull to temporary sleep, but cannot eradicate—"the fear of death."

They landed on the 6th of February, 1736, on a small uninhabited island; from whence Mr. Oglethorpe proceeded to Savannah, and returned the next day, bringing with him Mr. Spangenberg, one of the Moravian pastors, already settled there.

"I soon found," says Mr. Wesley, "what spirit he was of; and asked his advice with regard to my own conduct. He said, 'My brother, I must first ask you one or two questions. Have you the witness within yourself? Does the Spirit of God bear witness with your spirit that you are a child of God?' I was surprised, and knew not what to answer. He observed it, and asked, 'Do you know Jesus Christ?' I paused, and said, 'I know he is the Saviour of the world.' 'True,' replied he; 'but do you know he has saved you?' I answered, 'I hope he has died to save me.' He only added, 'Do you know yourself?' I said, 'I do.' But I fear they were vain words."*

Mr. Charles Wesley took charge of Frederica, and Mr. John of Savannah, where, the house not being ready, he took up his residence with the Germans, with whose spirit and conduct he became still more favorably impressed, and whose mode of proceeding in the election and ordination of a bishop carried him back, he says, to those primitive times "where form and state were not; but Paul the tent-maker, and Peter the fisherman, presided; yet with demonstration of the Spirit and power."

Mr. Wesley had not been long at Savannah before he heard from Charles of his troubles and opposition at Frederica. His presence among the licentious colonists, and the frequent reproofs he administered, made him an object of great hatred; and "plots were formed, either to ruin him in the opinion of Oglethorpe, or to take him off by violence."† Oglethorpe was for a time successfully practiced upon, treated him with coldness, and left him to endure the greatest privations. He lay upon the ground in the corner of a hut, and was denied the luxury of a few boards for a bed. He was out of favor with the governor; even the servants on that account insulted

* Journal.

† Whitehead's Life.

him ; and, worn-out with vexation and hardships, he fell into a dangerous fever. In this state he was visited by his brother John, who prevailed upon him to break a resolution which “honor and indignation” had induced him to form, of “starving rather than ask for necessities.” Soon after this, Mr. Oglethorpe discovered the plots of which he had been the victim, and was fully reconciled to him. He then took charge of Savannah, whilst John supplied his place at Frederica ; and in July, 1736, he was sent to England, charged with dispatches from Mr. Oglethorpe to the trustees and the Board of Trade, and in December arrived at Deal ; thus terminating a service in which he had preached with great fidelity and zeal, but had met with very unworthy returns.

Of the two places, Savannah appears to have been more hopeful than Frederica ; and as Mr. John Wesley did not find the door open for preaching to the Indians, he consulted with his companions, in what manner they might be most useful to the flock at Savannah. It was agreed, 1. To advise the more serious among them to form themselves into a little society, and to meet once or twice a week, in order to reprove, instruct, and exhort one another. 2. To select out of these a smaller number for a more intimate union with each other ; which might be forwarded partly by their conversing singly with each, and inviting them all together to Mr. Wesley’s house ; and this accordingly they determined to do every Sunday in the afternoon. “Here,” says Dr. Whitehead, “we see the first rudiments of the future economy of classes and bands.”*

In this respect he probably learned something from the Moravians, and the whole plan fell in with his previous views of discipline and method. The character of his mind was eminently practical ; he was in earnest, and he valued things just as they appeared to be adapted to promote the edification

* There was, however, nothing new in this. Mr. Wesley had doubtless heard, in his visits to London, of the religious societies described by Dr. Woodward, which were encouraged by the more serious clergy, and held weekly private meetings for religious edification. It is probable that he had even attended such meetings in the metropolis. Wherever, indeed, a revival of serious religion has taken place, and ministers have been in earnest to promote it, we see similar means adopted, as by Baxter at Kidderminster, during his eminently successful ministry there.

and salvation of those committed to his charge. A school was also established; and the children regularly catechized by Mr. Wesley, both in private and in the church. Evening meetings for the more serious were also held at his house; so actively did he apply himself, not only to the public services of the sanctuary, but to every kind of engagement by which he might make "full proof of his ministry." The religious state of his own mind, however, remained much the same. He saw another striking instance of the power of faith, in the peaceful and edifying death of one of the Moravians; and had another proof that he himself was not saved from "the fear which hath torment," in a severe storm of thunder and lightning. Both indicated to him that he had not attained the state of "the sons of God;" but his views were still perplexed and obscure. From a conversation which he had with some Indians who had visited Savannah, he concluded that the way was opened for him to preach among the Choctaws, and this he was desirous of attempting; but, as Savannah would have been left without a minister, the governor objected; and his friends were also of opinion that he could not then be spared from the colony.

In his visits to Frederica he met with great opposition and much illiberal abuse: in Savannah he was, however, rapidly gaining influence, when a circumstance occurred which issued in his departure from Georgia altogether. He had formed an attachment to an accomplished young lady, a Miss Hopkey,* niece to the wife of Mr. Causton, the chief magistrate of Savannah, which she appears to have returned, or at least encouraged. The biographers of Mr. Wesley, Dr. Whitehead and Mr. Moore, differ as to the fact whether this connection was broken off by him, or by the lady herself in consequence of his delays. The latter professes to have received the whole account from Mr. Wesley, and must therefore be presumed to be the best authority. From his statement it appears that Mr. Delamotte suspected the sincerity of the lady's pretensions to piety; and thought his friend Mr. Wesley, whose confiding and unsuspecting heart prevented him at all times from being a severe judge of others, was likely to be the victim of artifices which he had not the skill or the in-

* Incorrectly called Miss Causton by Mr. Wesley's biographers.

elation to discern. His remonstrances led Mr. Wesley to refer the question of his marriage with Miss Hopkey to the judgment of the elders of the Moravian Church, which he thought he was at liberty to do, since the acquaintance, though it had ripened into regard and thoughts of marriage, had not, it seems, proceeded to any thing determinate. The Moravians advised him to proceed no farther; and his conduct towards Miss Hopkey became cautious and distant, very naturally to her mortification, and perhaps pain. An entry in his journal shows that he had a considerable struggle with his own feelings, and that his sense of duty had exacted a great sacrifice from his heart. The lady soon afterwards married a Mr. Williamson; but a hostile feeling towards him had been left in the minds of her friends, which the gossiping and censorious habits of a small colony would not fail to keep alive. Though Mr. Wesley did not certainly see her married to another with perfect philosophy, it was not in his generous nature to allow his former affection to turn into resentment, which was the fault subsequently charged upon him; and as he soon saw many things in her to reprove, it is probable that he thought his escape a fortunate one. Perhaps, considering the singularity of his habits at that time, it was well for the lady also; which seems, indeed, jocosely intimated in a passage of a letter of his brother Samuel to him on this occasion: "I am sorry you are disappointed in one match, because you are unlikely to find another."

An opportunity for the manifestation of the secret prejudice which had been nourished by the friends of the niece of Mrs. Causton was afforded in about five months after her marriage. Mr. Wesley adhered to the rubric of the Church of England as to the administration of the sacrament, without respect of persons, and with a rigidness which was not at all common. He repelled those whom he thought unworthy; and when any one had neglected the ordinance, he required him to signify his name the day before he intended to communicate again. Some time after Mrs. Williamson's marriage, he discovered several things which he thought blamable in her conduct. These, as she continued to communicate, he mentioned to her, and she in return became angry. For reasons, therefore, which he stated to her in a letter, he repelled her from the communion. This letter was written by desire of Mr.

Causton, who wished to have his reasons for repelling his niece in writing :

“At Mr. Causton’s request I write once more. The rules whereby I proceed are these: ‘So many as intend to partake of the holy communion shall signify their names to the curate, at least some time the day before.’ This you did not do.

“‘And if any of these—have done any wrong to his neighbor, by word or deed, so that the congregation be thereby offended, the curate shall advertise him, that in anywise he presume not to come to the Lord’s table until he hath openly declared himself to have truly repented.’

“If you offer yourself at the Lord’s table on Sunday, I will advertise you, as I have done more than once, wherein you have done wrong; and when you have openly declared yourself to have truly repented, I will administer to you the mysteries of God.”*

The storm now broke forth upon him. A warrant was issued, and he was brought before the recorder and magistrates, on the charges of Mr. Williamson, 1. That he had defamed his wife. 2. That he had causelessly repelled her from the holy communion. Mr. Wesley denied the first charge; and the second being wholly ecclesiastical, he would not acknowledge the authority of the magistrate to decide upon it. He was, however, told that he must appear before the next court, holden at Savannah.

The Causton family became now most active in their efforts to injure him. By them, the reason why Mr. Wesley had repelled Mrs. Williamson from the Lord’s table was stated to be his resentment against her for having refused to marry him; which they knew to be contrary to the fact. Garbled extracts of his letters were read by Causton to those whom he could collect to hear them, probably in order to confirm this; and Mrs. Williamson was prevailed upon to swear to and sign a paper containing assertions and insinuations injurious to his character.†

The calm courage of the man who was thus so violently and unjustly persecuted, was not, however, to be shaken.

“I sat still at home,” says Mr. Wesley, “and, I thank God,

* Journal.

† Ibid.

easy, having committed my cause to him, and remembered his word, 'Blessed is the man that endureth temptation ; for when he is tried, he shall receive the crown of life, which the Lord hath promised to them that love him.' ''*

As the sitting of the court drew near, Causton used every art to influence the grand jury ; and, when they met, gave them "a long and earnest charge, 'to beware of spiritual tyranny, and to oppose the new illegal authority which was usurped over their consciences.' Mrs. Williamson's affidavit was read ; and he then delivered to them a paper, entitled, 'A List of Grievances, presented by the grand jury for Savannah, this — day of August, 1737.' In the afternoon, Mrs. Williamson was examined, who acknowledged that she had no objections to make against Mr. Wesley's conduct before her marriage. The next day, Mr. and Mrs. Causton were also examined, when she confessed that it was by her request Mr. Wesley had written to Mrs. Williamson on the 5th of July. And Mr. Causton declared, that if Mr. Wesley had asked his consent to have married his niece, he should not have refused it. The grand jury continued to examine these ecclesiastical grievances, which occasioned warm debates till Thursday ; when Mr. Causton, being informed they had entered on matters beyond his instructions, went to them, and behaved in such a manner, that he turned forty-two, out of the forty-four, into a fixed resolution to inquire into his whole behavior. They immediately entered on that business, and continued examining witnesses all day on Friday. On Saturday, Mr. Causton, finding all his efforts to stop them ineffectual, adjourned the court till Thursday, the first of September, and spared no pains, in the meantime, to bring them to another mind. September 1. He so far prevailed, that the majority of the grand jury returned the list of grievances to the court, in some particulars altered, under the form of two presentments, containing ten bills, only two of which related to the affair of Mrs. Williamson ; and only one of these was cognizable by that court, the rest being merely ecclesiastical. September 2. Mr. Wesley addressed the court to this effect : 'As to nine of the ten indictments against me, I know this court can take no cognizance of them ; they be-

* Journal.

ing matters of an ecclesiastical nature, and this not an ecclesiastical court. But the tenth, concerning my speaking and writing to Mrs. Williamson, is of a secular nature; and this, therefore, I desire may be tried here, where the facts complained of were committed.' Little answer was made, and that purely evasive.

"In the afternoon he moved the court again for an immediate trial at Savannah; adding, 'that those who are offended may clearly see whether I have done any wrong to any one; or whether I have not rather deserved the thanks of Mrs. Williamson, Mr. Causton, and of the whole family.' Mr. Causton's answer was full of civility and respect. He observed, 'Perhaps things would not have been carried so far, had you not said, you believed if Mr. Causton appeared, the people would tear him to pieces; not so much out of love to you, as out of hatred to him for his abominable practices.' If Mr. Wesley really spake these words, he was certainly very imprudent, considering the circumstances in which he was placed. But we too often find in disputes, that the constructions of others on what has been said are reported as the very words we have spoken; which I suspect to have been the case here. Mr. Causton, however, sufficiently discovered the motives that influenced his conduct in this business.

"Twelve of the grand jurors now drew up a protest against the proceedings of the majority, to be immediately sent to the trustees in England. In this paper they gave such clear and satisfactory reasons, under every bill, for their dissent from the majority, as effectually did away all just ground of complaint against Mr. Wesley, on the subjects of the prosecution."*

"He attended the court holden on November the third; and again at the court held on the twenty-third; urging an immediate hearing of his case, that he might have an opportunity of answering the allegations preferred against him. But this the magistrates refused, and at the same time countenanced every report to his disadvantage; whether it was a mere invention, or founded on a malicious construction of any thing he did or said. Mr. Wesley perceiving that he had not the most distant prospect of obtaining justice; that he

was in a place where those in power were combined together to oppress him, and could any day procure evidence (as experience had shown) of words he had never spoken, and of actions he had never done; being disappointed, too, in the primary object of his mission, preaching to the Indians; he consulted his friends what he ought to do; who were of opinion with him, that by these circumstances Providence did now call him to leave Savannah. The next day he called on Mr. Causton, and told him he designed to set out for England immediately.”*

The magistrates made a show of forbidding him to leave the colony; but he embarked openly, after having publicly advertised his intention, no man interposing to prevent him; one leading object of these persecutions being to drive him away. His sermons had been too faithful, and his reproofs too poignant, to make his continuance desirable to the majority of an irreligious colony.

The root of all this opposition, no doubt, lay in the enmity of his hearers to truth and holiness; but its manifestation might be occasioned in part by the strictness with which he acted upon obsolete branches of ecclesiastical discipline, and the unbending manner in which he insisted upon his spiritual authority. In the affair of Mrs. Williamson, he stands perfectly exculpated from the base motives which his enemies charged upon him; but, in the first stages, it neither appears to have been managed with prudence, nor a proper degree of Christian courtesy. His enemies have sneered at his declaration, that, after he left Georgia, he discovered that he who went out to teach others Christianity was not a Christian himself. But had he been a Christian in that full, evangelical sense, which he meant; had he been that which he afterwards became, not only would the exclusion of Mrs. Williamson from the sacrament have been effected in another manner, but his mission to Georgia would probably have had a very different result. His preaching was defective in that one great point, which gives to preaching its real power over the heart, “Christ crucified;” and his spirit, although naturally frank and amiable, was not regenerated by that “power from on

* Whitehead's Life.

high," the first and leading fruits of which are meekness and charity.

In the midst of his trials, Mr. Wesley received very consolatory letters from his friends, both in England and in America; and there were many in Georgia itself who rightly estimated the character and the labors of a man who held five or six public services on the Lord's day, in English, Italian, and French, for the benefit of a mixed population; who spent his whole time in works of piety and mercy; and who distributed his income so profusely in charity, that, for many months together, he had not "one shilling in the house." His health, whilst in America, continued good; and it is in proof of the natural vigor of his constitution, that he exposed himself to every change of season, frequently slept on the ground, under the dews of the night in summer, and in winter with his hair and clothes frozen to the earth. He arrived in London, February 3d, 1738, and, notwithstanding his many exercises, reviewed the result of his American labors with some satisfaction: "Many reasons I have to bless God for my having been carried into that strange land contrary to all my preceding resolutions. Hereby, I trust, he hath in some measure 'humbled me, and proved me, and shown me what was in my heart.' Hereby I have been taught to 'beware of men.' Hereby God has given me to know many of his servants, particularly those of the Church of Hernhuth. Hereby my passage is open to the writings of holy men, in the German, Spanish, and Italian tongues. All in Georgia have heard the word of God; some have believed, and begun to run well. A few steps have been taken towards publishing the glad tidings, both to the African and American heathens. Many children have learned 'how they ought to serve God,' and to be useful to their neighbor. And those whom it most concerns have an opportunity of knowing the state of their infant colony, and laying a firmer foundation of peace and happiness to many generations."

CHAPTER IV

Mr. Wesley's review of his religious experience—Trouble of mind—Interview with Peter Böhler—Receives the doctrine of justification by faith—Preaches it—Mr. Charles Wesley's religious experience—Remarks.

THE solemn review which Mr. Wesley made of the state of his religious experience, both on his voyage home and soon after his landing in England, deserves to be particularly noticed, both for general instruction, and because it stands in immediate connection with a point which has especially perplexed those who have attributed his charges against himself, as to the deficiency of his Christianity at this period, to a strange and fanatical fancy. By the most infallible of proofs, he tells us—that of his feelings—he was convinced of his having “no such faith in Christ” as prevented his heart from being troubled; and he earnestly prays to be “saved by such a faith as implies peace in life and death.” “I went to America to convert the Indians; but O, who shall convert me? Who is he that will deliver me from this evil heart of unbelief? I have a fair summer religion: I can talk well, nay, and believe myself, while no danger is present; but let death look me in the face, and my spirit is troubled; nor can I say, ‘To die is gain.’”

‘I have a sin of fear, that when I’ve spun
My last thread, I shall perish on the shore.’”*

[* This quotation is from Dr. Donne’s “Hymn to God the Father.” As it is somewhat of a curiosity, and as it illustrates the tone of Mr.

He thought, therefore, that a faith was attainable which should deliver him entirely from guilty dread, and fill him with peace; but of this faith itself his notions were still confused. He manifestly regarded it, generally, as a principle of belief in the gospel, which, by quickening his efforts to self-mortification and entire obedience, would raise him, through a renewed state of heart, into acceptance and peace with God. This error is common. It regards faith, not so much as the personal trust of a guilty and helpless sinner upon Christ for salvation and all the gifts of spiritual life, but as working out sanctifying effects in the heart and life, partly by natural, partly by supernatural process, and thus producing peace of conscience. But he goes on with this interesting history of his heart.

“I was early warned against laying too much stress on outward works, as the Papists do, or on a faith without works, which, as it does not include, so it will never lead to, true hope or charity.”*

Here he manifestly confounds the faith by which a man is justified, which certainly does not “include” in itself the

Wesley's experience, as brought to view in the text, it is here subjoined.—T. O. S.]

A HYMN TO GOD THE FATHER.

I.

Wilt thou forgive that sin where I begun,
Which was my sin, though it were done before?
Wilt thou forgive that sin through which I run,
And do run still, though still I do deplore?
When thou hast done thou hast not done,
For I have more.

II.

Wilt thou forgive that sin which I have won
Others to sin, and made my sins their door?
Wilt thou forgive that sin which I did shun
A year or two, but wallowed in a score?
When thou hast done thou hast not done,
For I have more.

III.

I have a sin of fear, that when I've spun
My last thread, I shall perish on the shore;
But swear by thyself that at my death thy Son
Shall shine as he shines now and heretofore;
And having done that thou hast done,
I fear no more.

* Journal.

moral effects of which he speaks, with the faith of a man who is in a justified *state*, which necessarily produces them because of that vital union into which it brings him with Christ his Saviour, by whom he is saved from the power and love, as well as from the guilt, of sin.

"I fell among some Lutheran and Calvinist authors, whose confused and indigested accounts magnified faith to such an amazing size, that it quite hid all the rest of the commandments."^{*}

This is perhaps a proof that he did not understand these writers, any more than he did the Moravians in Georgia, who failed to enlighten him on the subject of faith, although he saw that they, in fact, possessed a "peace through believing," which he had not, and yet painfully felt to be necessary. The writers he mentions probably represented faith only as necessary to justification; whilst he conceived them to teach that faith only is necessary to final salvation.

"The English writers, such as Bishop Beveridge, Bishop Taylor, and Mr. Nelson, a little relieved me from these well-meaning, wrong-headed Germans. Their accounts of Christianity I could easily see to be, in the main, consistent both with reason and Scripture."[†]

Beveridge would have met his case more fully than either Taylor or Nelson, had he been in a state of mind to comprehend him; and still better would he have been instructed by studying, with as much care as he examined Taylor and Law, the Homilies of his own Church, and the works of her older divines.

The writings of the Fathers then promised to give him further satisfaction; but to them he at length took various exceptions. He finally resorted to the Mystic writers, "whose noble descriptions of union with God, and internal religion, made every thing else appear mean, flat, and insipid. But in truth they made good works appear so too, yea, and faith itself, and what not?" These gave me an entire new view of religion, nothing like any I had before. But, alas! it was nothing like that religion which Christ and his apostles lived and taught. I had a plenary dispensation from all the commands of God. The form ran thus: 'Love is all: all the

* *Journal.*

† *Ibid.*

commands beside are only means of love: you must choose those which you feel are means to you, and use them as long as they are so.' Thus were all the bands burst at once. And though I could never fully come into this, nor contentedly omit what God enjoined, yet, I know not how, I fluctuated between obedience and disobedience. I had no heart, no vigor, no zeal in obeying, continually doubting whether I was right or wrong, and never out of perplexities and entanglements. Nor can I at this hour give a distinct account how or when I came a little back toward the right way; only my present sense is this: All the other enemies of Christianity are triflers: the Mystics are the most dangerous of its enemies: they stab it in the vitals; and its most serious professors are most likely to fall by them. May I praise Him who hath snatched me out of this fire likewise, by warning all others that it is set on fire of hell!"*

He was, however, delivered from the errors of the Mystics, only to be brought back to the point from which he set out; but his humble conclusion from the whole shows that the end of this long and painful struggle was about to be accomplished: he was now brought fully to feel and confess his utter helplessness, and was not "far from the kingdom of God."

"And now," says he, "it is upwards of two years since I left my native country, in order to teach the Georgia Indians the nature of Christianity; but what have I learned myself in the mean time? Why, (what I least of all suspected,) that I, who went to America to convert others, was never converted myself. 'I am not mad,' though I thus speak; but 'speak the words of truth and soberness,' if haply some of those who still dream may awake, and see that as I am, so are they.

"Are they read in philosophy? So was I. In ancient or modern tongues? So was I also. Are they versed in the science of divinity? I, too, have studied it many years. Can they talk fluently upon spiritual things? The very same I could do. Are they plenteous in alms? Behold, I give all my goods to feed the poor.

"Do they give of their labor as well as their substance?

I have labored more abundantly than they all. Are they willing to suffer for their brethren? I have thrown up my friends, reputation, ease, country: I have put my life in my hand, wandering into strange lands: I have given my body to be devoured by the deep, parched up with heat, consumed by toil and weariness, or whatsoever God shall please to bring upon me. But does all this (be it more or less, it matters not) make me acceptable to God? Does all I ever did or can know, say, give, do, or suffer, justify me in his sight? yea, or the constant use of all the means of grace? (which, nevertheless, is meet, right, and our bounden duty;) or that I know nothing of myself—that I am, as touching outward, moral righteousness, blameless? or, to come closer yet, the having a rational conviction of all the truths of Christianity? Does all this give a claim to the holy, heavenly, divine character of a Christian? By no means. If the oracles of God are true, if we are still to abide by ‘the law and the testimony,’ all these things, though, when ennobled by faith in Christ, they are ‘holy, and just, and good,’ yet without it are ‘dung and dross.’

“This then have I learned in the ends of the earth, that I am ‘fallen short of the glory of God;’ that my whole heart is ‘altogether corrupt and abominable,’ and, consequently, my whole life; (seeing it cannot be that ‘an evil tree’ should ‘bring forth good fruit;’) that my own works, my own sufferings, my own righteousness, are so far from reconciling me to an offended God, so far from making any atonement for the least of those sins which ‘are more in number than the hairs of my head,’ that the most specious of them need an atonement themselves, or they cannot abide his righteous judgment; that having the sentence of death in my heart, and having nothing in or of myself to plead, I have no hope but that of being justified freely ‘through the redemption that is in Jesus;’ I have no hope, but that if I seek I shall find the Christ, and ‘be found in him, not having my own righteousness, but that which is through the faith of Christ, the righteousness which is of God by faith.’

“If it be said that I have faith, (for many such things have I heard from many miserable comforters,) I answer, So have the devils—a *sort* of faith; but still they are strangers to the covenant of promise. So the apostles had even at Cana in

Galilee, when Jesus first ‘manifested forth his glory;’ even then they, in a sort, ‘believed on him;’ but they had not then ‘the faith that overcometh the world.’ The faith I want is ‘a sure trust and confidence in God, that, through the merits of Christ, my sins are forgiven, and I reconciled to the favor of God.’ I want that faith which St. Paul recommends to all the world, especially in his Epistle to the Romans, that faith which enables every one that hath it to cry out, ‘I live not; but Christ liveth in me; and the life which I now live, I live by faith in the Son of God, who loved me and gave himself for me.’ I want that faith which none has without knowing that he hath it; (though many imagine they have it who have it not;) for whosoever hath it is freed from sin; the whole ‘body of sin is destroyed’ in him; he is freed from fear, ‘having peace with God through Christ, and rejoicing in hope of the glory of God.’ And he is freed from doubt, having the love of God shed abroad in his heart, through the Holy Ghost which is given unto him; which Spirit itself beareth witness with his spirit that he is a child of God.”* ”*

A spirit thus breathing after God, and anxious to be taught “the way of God more perfectly,” could not be left in its darkness and solicitude. A few days after his arrival in London, he met with Peter Böhler, a minister of the Moravian Church. This was on February 7th, which he marks as “a day much to be remembered,” because the conversation which he had with Böhler on the subject of saving faith, a subject probably brought on by himself, first opened his mind to true views on that subject, notwithstanding the objections with which he assaulted the statements of the Moravian teacher, and which caused Böhler more than once to exclaim, “My brother, that philosophy of yours must be purged away.” At Oxford, whither he had gone to visit Charles, who was sick, he again met with his Moravian friend; “by whom,” he says, “in the hand of the great God, I was clearly convinced of unbelief, of the want of that faith whereby alone we are saved with the full Christian salvation.”

“He was now convinced that his faith had been too much separated from an evangelical view of the promises of a free justification, or pardon of sin, through the atonement and

* Journal.

mediation of Christ alone, which was the reason why he had been held in continual bondage and fear.”* In a few days he met Peter Böhler again, “who now,” he says, “amazed me more and more, by the account he gave of the fruits of living faith, the holiness and happiness which he affirmed to attend it. The next morning I began the Greek Testament again, resolving to abide by ‘the law and the testimony,’ being confident that God would hereby show me whether this doctrine was of God.”†

In a fourth conversation with this excellent man, he was still more confirmed in the view “that faith is,” to use the words of our Church, “a sure trust and confidence which man has in God, that, through the merit of Christ, his sins are forgiven, and he reconciled to the favor of God.” Some of his objections to Böhler’s statements on instantaneous conversion were also removed by a diligent examination of the Scriptures. “I had,” he observes, “but one retreat left on this subject: thus, I grant, God wrought in the first ages of Christianity; but the times are changed. What reason have I to believe he works in the same manner now? But, on Sunday, 22d, I was beat out of this retreat, too, by the occurring evidence of several living witnesses, who testified God had so wrought in themselves, giving them, in a moment, such a faith in the blood of his Son as translated them out of darkness into light, and from sin and fear into holiness and happiness. Here ended my disputing. I could now only cry out, ‘Lord, help thou my unbelief!’”

He now began to declare that doctrine of faith which he had been taught; and those who were convinced of sin gladly received it. He was also much confirmed in the truth by hearing the experience of Mr. Hutchins, of Pembroke College, and Mrs. Fox: “Two living witnesses,” he says, “that God can, at least, if he does not always, give that faith whereof cometh salvation, in a moment, as lightning falling from heaven.”‡

Mr. Wesley and a few others now formed themselves into a religious society, which met in Fetter-lane. But although they thus assembled with the Moravians, they remained members of the Church of England; and afterwards, when some

* Whitehead’s Life.

† Journal.

‡ Ibid.

of the Moravian teachers introduced new doctrines, Mr. Wesley and his friends separated from them, and formed that distinct community which has since been known as "The Methodist Society." The rules of the Fetter-lane society were printed under the title of "Orders of a Religious Society, meeting in Fetter-lane; in obedience to the command of God by St. James, and by the advice of Peter Böhler. 1738."

As yet Mr. Wesley had not attained the blessing for which he so earnestly sought, and now with clearer views. His language as to himself, though still that of complaint, was become, in truth, the language of a broken and a contrite heart. It was no longer in the tone of a man disappointed as to the results of his own efforts, and thrown into distressing perplexity, as not knowing where to turn for help. He was now bowed in lowly sorrow before the throne; but he knew that it was "the throne of grace;" and his cry was that of the publican, "God be merciful to me a sinner!" In a letter to a friend, he says:

"I feel what you say, though not enough; for I am under the same condemnation. I see that the whole law of God is holy, just, and good. I know every thought, every temper of my soul, ought to bear God's image and superscription. But how am I fallen from the glory of God! I feel that 'I am sold under sin.' I know that I, too, deserve nothing but wrath, being full of all abominations, and having no good thing in me to atone for them, or to remove the wrath of God. All my works, my righteousness, my prayers, need an atonement for themselves. So that my mouth is stopped. I have nothing to plead. God is holy: I am unholy. God is a consuming fire: I am altogether a sinner, meet to be consumed.

"Yet I hear a voice, (and is it not the voice of God?) saying, 'Believe, and thou shalt be saved. He that believeth is passed from death unto life. God so loved the world, that he gave his only-begotten Son, that whosoever believeth on him should not perish, but have everlasting life.'"^{*}

In this state of mind he continued till May the 24th,

THE REV. JOHN WESLEY.

1738, and then gives the following account of his conversion :

“I think it was about five this morning that I opened my Testament on those words, ‘There are given unto us exceeding great and precious promises, that by these ye might be partakers of the Divine nature.’ (2 Peter i. 4.) Just as I went out, I opened it again on these words, ‘Thou art not far from the kingdom of God.’ In the afternoon I was asked to go to St. Paul’s. The anthem was, ‘Out of the deep have I called unto thee, O Lord : Lord, hear my voice. O let thine ears consider well the voice of my complaint. If thou, Lord, wilt be extreme to mark what is done amiss, O Lord, who may abide it? But there is mercy with thee ; therefore thou shalt be feared. O Israel, trust in the Lord ; for with the Lord there is mercy, and with him is plenteous redemption. And he shall redeem Israel from all his sins.’

“In the evening I went, very unwillingly, to a society in Aldersgate street, where one was reading Luther’s preface to the Epistle to the Romans. About a quarter before nine, while he was describing the change which God works in the heart through faith in Christ, I felt my heart strangely warmed. I felt I did trust in Christ, Christ alone, for salvation ; and an assurance was given me that he had taken away my sins, even mine, and saved me from the ‘law of sin and death.’

“I began to pray with all my might for those who had in a more especial manner despitefully used me and persecuted me. I then testified openly to all there what I now first felt in my heart. But it was not long before the enemy suggested, ‘This cannot be faith, for where is thy joy?’ Then was I taught that peace and victory over sin are essential to faith in the Captain of our salvation ; but that, as to the transports of joy that usually attend the beginning of it, especially in those who have mourned deeply, God sometimes giveth, sometimes withholdeth them, according to the counsel of his own will.”*

After this, he had some struggles with doubt ; but he proceeded from “strength to strength,” till he could say, “Now I was always conqueror.” His experience, nurtured by ha-

bitual prayer, and deepened by unwearied exertion in the cause of his Saviour, settled into that steadfast faith and solid peace, which the grace of God perfected in him to the close of his long and active life.

His brother Charles was also made partaker of the same grace. They had passed together through the briers and thorns, through the perplexities and shadows, of the legal wilderness, and the hour of their deliverance was not far separated. Böhler visited Charles in his sickness at Oxford; but "the Pharisee within" was somewhat offended when the honest German shook his head at learning that his hope of salvation rested upon "his best endeavors." After his recovery, the reading of Halyburton's Life produced in him a sense of his want of that faith which brings "peace and joy in the Holy Ghost." Böhler visited him again in London; and he began seriously to consider the doctrine which he urged upon him. His convictions of his state of danger, as a man unjustified before God, and of his need of the faith whereof cometh salvation, increased; and he spent his whole time in discoursing on these subjects, in prayer, and reading the Scriptures. Luther on the Galatians then fell into his hands; and on reading the preface he observes :

"I marvelled that we were so soon and entirely removed from him that called us into the grace of Christ, unto another gospel. Who would believe that our Church had been founded on this important article of justification by faith alone? I am astonished I should ever think this a new doctrine; especially while our Articles and Homilies stand unrepealed, and the key of knowledge is not yet taken away. From this time I endeavored to ground as many of our friends as came to see me in this fundamental truth—salvation by faith alone; not an idle, dead faith, but a faith which works by love, and is incessantly productive of all good works and all holiness."*

"On Whit-Sunday, May 21st, he awoke in hope and expectation of soon attaining the object of his wishes, the knowledge of God reconciled in Christ Jesus. At nine o'clock, his brother and some friends came to him, and sang a hymn suited to the day. When they left him he betook himself to

prayer. Soon afterwards a person came and said, in a very solemn manner, ‘Believe in the name of Jesus of Nazareth, and thou shalt be healed of all thine infirmities.’ The words went through his heart, and animated him with confidence. He looked into the Scripture, and read, ‘Now, Lord, what is my hope? Truly my hope is even in thee.’ He then cast his eye on these words, ‘He hath put a new song into my mouth, even thanksgiving unto our God; many shall see it and fear, and put their trust in the Lord.’ Afterwards he opened upon Isaiah xl. 1: ‘Comfort ye, comfort ye my people, saith our God; speak comfortably to Jerusalem, and cry unto her, that her warfare is accomplished, that her iniquity is pardoned, for she hath received of the Lord’s hand double for all her sins.’ In reading these passages of Scripture, he was enabled to view Christ as set forth to be a propitiation for his sins, through faith in his blood; and he received that peace and rest in God which he had so earnestly sought.

“The next day he greatly rejoiced in reading the 107th Psalm, so nobly descriptive, he observes, of what God had done for his soul. He had a very humbling view of his own weakness; but was enabled to contemplate Christ in his power to save to the uttermost all those who come unto God by him.”*

Such was the manner in which these excellent men, whom God had been long preparing for the great work of reviving scriptural Christianity throughout these lands, were at length themselves brought “into the liberty of the sons of God.” On the account thus given, a few observations may not be misplaced.

It is easy to assail with ridicule such disclosures of the exercises of minds impressed with the great concern of salvation, and seeking for deliverance from a load of anxiety in “a way which they had not known;” and flippantly to resolve all these shadowings of doubt, these dawning of hope, and the joyous influence of the full day of salvation, as some have done, into fancy, nervous affection, or natural constitution. To every truly serious mind, these will, however, appear subjects of a momentous character; and no one will proceed either safely or soberly to judge of them, who does not pre-

* Whitehead’s Life.

viously inquire into the doctrine of the New Testament on the subject of human salvation, and apply the principles which he may find there, authenticated by infallible inspiration, to the examination of such cases. If it be there declared that the state of man by nature, and so long as he remains unforgiven by his offended God, is a state of awful peril, then the all-absorbing seriousness of that concern for deliverance from spiritual danger, which was exhibited by the Wesleys, is a feeling becoming our condition, and is the only rational frame of mind which we can cultivate. If we are required to be of "an humble and broken spirit," and if the very root of true repentance lies in "godly sorrow" for sin; then their humiliations and self-reproaches were in correspondence with a state of heart which is enjoined upon all by an authority which we cannot dispute. If the appointed method of man's salvation, laid down in the gospel, be gratuitous pardon through faith in the merits of Christ's sacrifice, and if a method of seeking justification by works of moral obedience to the Divine law be plainly placed by St. Paul in opposition to this, and declared to be vain and fruitless; then, if in this way the Wesleys sought their justification before God, we see how true their own statement must of necessity have been, that, with all their efforts, they could obtain no solid peace of mind, no deliverance from the enslaving fear of death and final punishment, because they sought that by imperfect works which God has appointed to be attained by faith alone. If it be said that their case was not parallel with that of the self-righteous Jews, who did not receive the Christian religion, and therefore that the argument of the apostle does not apply to those who believe the gospel, it will remain to be inquired whether the circumstance of a mere belief in the Christian system, when added to works of imperfect obedience, makes any essential difference in the case; or, in other words, whether justification may not be sought by endeavors to obey the law, although the Judaism necessarily implied in it may be arrayed in the garb of Christian terms and phrases. If, indeed, by "works of the law," St. Paul had meant only the ceremonial observances of the Jewish Church, the case would be altered; but his Epistle to the Romans puts it beyond all doubt that, in his argument respecting justification, he speaks of the moral law, since his grand reason to prove that by the

works of the law no man can be justified, is, that "by the law is the knowledge of sin." That law is recognized and embodied in the New Testament; but its first office there is to give "the knowledge of sin," that men may be convinced, or, as St. Paul forcibly says, "slain" by it; and it stands there in connection with the atonement for sin made by the sacrifice upon the cross. Nor is the faith which delivers men from the condemnation of a law which has been broken, and never can be perfectly kept by man, a mere belief in the truth of the doctrine of Christ, but reliance upon his sacrifice, in which consists that personal act by which we become parties to the covenant of free and gratuitous justification; and which then only stands sure to us, because then only we accept the mercy of God, as exercised towards us through Christ, and on the prescribed conditions. If, therefore, in the matter of our justification, like the Wesleys, before they obtained clearer light, and the divines who were their early guides, we change the office of the moral law—though we may still regard it as in some way connected with the gospel, and call it by the general term of Christianity, of which it in truth forms the preceptive part—and resort to it, not that we may be convinced of the greatness of our sins, and of our utter inability to commend ourselves to a holy God, the requirements of whose law have never been relaxed, but as the means of qualifying ourselves, by efforts of obedience to it, for the reception of Divine mercy, and acquiring a fitness and worthiness for the exercise of grace towards us; then we reject the perfection and suitableness of the atonement of Christ; we refuse to commit our whole case, in the matter of our justification, to that atonement, according to the appointment of God; and as much seek justification by works of the law as did the Jews themselves. Such was the case with the Wesleys, as stated in their own words. Theirs was not, indeed, a state of heartless formality and self-deluding Pharisaism, aiming only at external obedience. It was just the reverse of this: they were awakened to a sense of danger, and they aimed at, nay, struggled with intense efforts after, universal holiness, inward and outward. But it was not a state of salvation; and if we find a middle state like this described in the Scriptures—a state in transit from dead formality to living faith and moral deliverance—the question, with respect to the truth of their

representations, as to their former state of experience, is settled. Such a middle state we see plainly depicted by the Apostle Paul, in the seventh chapter of the Epistle to the Romans. There the mind of the person described "consents to the law that it is good," but finds in it only greater discoveries of his sinfulness and danger; there the effort, too, is after universal holiness—"to will is present," but the power is wanting; every struggle binds the chain tighter; sighs and groans are extorted, till self-despair succeeds, and the true Deliverer is seen and trusted in: "O wretched man that I am, who shall deliver me from the body of this death? I thank God through Jesus Christ my Lord."* The deliverance, also, in the case described by St. Paul is marked with the same characters as those exhibited in the conversion of the Wesleys: "There is now no condemnation to them which are in Christ Jesus, who walk not after the flesh, but after the

*F** "All the time I was at Savannah I was thus beating the air. Being ignorant of the righteousness of Christ, which, by a living faith in him, bringeth salvation 'to every one that believeth,' I sought to establish my own righteousness, and so labored in the fire all my days. I was now properly under the law. I knew that 'the law of God was spiritual; 'I consented to it that it was good; yea, I delighted in it after the inner man.' Yet I was 'carnal, sold under sin.' Every day was I constrained to cry out, 'What I do, I allow not; for what I would, I do not; but what I hate, that I do. To will is indeed present with me; but how to perform that which is good, I find not. For the good which I would I do not; but the evil which I would not, that I do. I find a law, that, when I would do good, evil is present with me: even the law in my members, warring against the law of my mind, and still bringing me into captivity to the law of sin.'

"In this state, I was indeed fighting continually, but not conquering. Before, I had willingly served sin; now it was unwillingly; but still I served it. I fell and rose, and fell again. Sometimes I was overcome, and in heaviness; sometimes I overcame, and was in joy. For as, in the former state, I had some foretastes of the terrors of the law, so had I in this, of the comforts of the gospel. During this whole struggle between nature and grace, which had now continued above ten years, I had many remarkable returns to prayer, especially when I was in trouble: I had many sensible comforts, which are, indeed, no other than short anticipations of the life of faith. But I was still under the law, not under grace—the state most who are called Christians are content to live and die in. For I was only striving with, not freed from, sin; neither had I 'the witness of the Spirit with my spirit.' And indeed could not; for 'I sought it not by faith, but, as it were, by the works of the law.'"*—Wesley's Journal*

Spirit; for the law of the Spirit of life in Christ Jesus hath made me free from the law of sin and death." "Therefore, being justified by faith, we have peace with God, through our Lord Jesus Christ." Every thing in the account of the change wrought in the two brothers, and several of their friends about the same time, answers, therefore, to the New Testament. Nor was their experience, or the doctrine upon which it was founded, new, although in that age of declining piety unhappily not common. The Moravian statement of justifying faith was that of all the Churches of the Reformation; and through Peter Böhler* Mr. Wesley came first to understand the true doctrine of that Church of which he was a clergyman. His mind was never so fully imbued with the letter and spirit of that Article in which she has so truly interpreted St. Paul, as when he learned from him, almost in the words of the Article itself, that "we are justified by faith only;" and that this is "a most wholesome doctrine." For the joyous change of Mr. Wesley's feelings, upon his persuasion of his personal interest in Christ through faith, those persons who, like Dr. Southey,† have bestowed upon it several philosophic solutions, might have found a better reason, had they either consulted St. Paul, who says, "We joy in God, by whom we have received the reconciliation," or their own Church, which has emphatically declared, that the doctrine of justification by faith is not only very wholesome, but also "very full of comfort."

[* A worthy descendant of this excellent man—a Miss Böhler—resides at Bethlehem, Pa., being connected with the Moravian Female Seminary at that place.—T. O. S.]

† Life of Wesley.

CHAPTER V.

State of religion in the nation—Mr. Wesley's visit to Germany—Return to England—His labors in London—Meets with Mr. Whitefield—Dr. Woodward's societies—Mr. Charles Wesley's labors—Field-preaching—Remarks.

FROM this time Mr. Wesley commenced that laborious and glorious ministry, which directly or indirectly was made the instrument of the salvation of a multitude, not to be numbered till “the day which shall make all things manifest.” That which he had experienced he preached to others, with the confidence of one who had “the witness in himself;” and with a fulness of sympathy for all who wandered in paths of darkness and distress, which could not but be inspired by the recollection of his own former perplexities.

At this period the religious and moral state of the nation was such as to give the most serious concern to the few remaining faithful. There is no need to draw a picture darker than the truth, to add importance to the labors of the two Wesleys, Mr. Whitefield, and their associates. The view here taken has often been drawn by pens unconnected with and hostile to Methodism.

The Reformation from Popery, which so much promoted the instruction of the populace in Scotland, did much less for the people of England, a great majority of whose lower classes at the time of the rise of Methodism were even ignorant of the art of reading; in many places were semi-barbarous in their manners; and had been rescued from the superstitions of Popery, only to be left ignorant of every thing beyond a few vague and general notions of religion. Great numbers were destitute even of these; and there are still agricultural districts in the southern and western counties, where the case

is not, even at this moment, much improved. A clergyman has lately asserted in print, that in many villages of Devonshire the only form of prayer still taught to their children by the peasantry consists of the goodly verses handed down from their Popish ancestors :

“ Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John,
Bless the bed that I lie on,” etc.

The degree of ignorance on all scriptural subjects, and of dull, uninquiring irreligiousness which prevails in many other parts, is well known to those who have turned their attention to such inquiries, and would be incredible to those who have not.* A great impression was made in many places by the zealous preachers who sprang forth at the Reformation; and in the large towns especially they turned many of the people “from darkness to light.” But the great body of the Popish parish priests went round with the Reformation, without conviction, and performed the new service, as they performed the old, in order to hold fast their livings. As what was called Puritanism prevailed, more zealous preaching and more careful instruction were employed; and by such ministers as the two thousand who were silenced by the Act of Uniformity, with many equally excellent men who conformed to the re-established Church, a great body of religious and well-instructed people were raised up; and indeed before the civil wars commenced, the nation might be said to be in a state of hopeful moral improvement. These troubles, however, arose before the effect produced upon a state of society sunk very low in vice and ignorance could be widely extended; and the keen and ardent political feelings which were then excited, and the demoralizing effects of civil warfare, greatly injured the spirit of piety, by occupying the attention of men, and rousing their passions by other, and often unhallowed, subjects. The effect was as injurious upon the advocates of the old Church discipline as upon those of the new, and probably worse; because it did not meet in them, for the

* By far the greater number of the peasants in Hampshire and Berkshire, lately tried under the special commissions for riots and stack-burning, were found to be unable to read.

most part, with principles so genuine and active to resist it. In many of the latter, Antinomianism and fanaticism became conspicuous ; but in the former, a total irreligion, or a lifeless formality, produced a haughty dislike of the spiritualities of religion, or a sneering contempt of them. The mischief was completed by the restoration of the Stuarts ; for whatever advantages were gained by that event, in a civil sense, it let in a flood of licentiousness and impiety which swept away almost every barrier that had been raised in the public mind by the labors of former ages. Infidelity began its ravages upon the principles of the higher and middle classes ; the mass of the people remained uneducated, and were Christians but in name, and by virtue of their baptism ; whilst many of the great doctrines of the Reformation were banished both from the universities and the pulpits. Archbishop Leighton complains that his “ Church was a fair carcase without a spirit ; ” and Burnet observes that in his time “ the clergy had less authority, and were under more contempt, than those of any Church in Europe ; for they were much the most remiss in their labors, and the least severe in their lives.” Nor did the case much amend up to the period of which we speak. Dr. Southey says, that “ from the restoration to the accession of the house of Hanover, the English Church could boast of its brightest ornaments and ablest defenders, men who have never been surpassed in erudition, in eloquence, or in strength and subtilty of mind.” This is true ; but it is equally so, that, with very few exceptions, those great powers were not employed to teach, defend, and inculcate the doctrines of that Church on personal religion, as it is taught in her liturgy, her articles, and her homilies, but what often is subversive of them ; and the very authority, therefore, which such writers acquired by their learned and able works was, in many respects, mischievous. They stood between the people and the better divines of the earlier age of the Church, and put them out of sight ; and they set an example of preaching which, being generally followed, placed the pulpit and the desk at perpetual variance, and reduced an evangelical liturgy to a dead form, which was repeated without thought, or so explained as to take away its meaning. A great proportion of the clergy, whatever other learning they might possess, were grossly ignorant of theology, and contented themselves with

reading short, unmeaning sermons, purchased or pilfered, and formed upon the lifeless theological system of the day. A little Calvinism remained in the Church, and a little evangelical Arminianism ; but the prevalent divinity was Pelagian, or what very nearly approached it. Natural religion was the great subject of study, when theology was studied at all, and was made the test and standard of revealed truth. The doctrine of the *opus operatum* of the Papists, as to sacraments, was the faith of the divines of the older school ; and a refined system of ethics, unconnected with Christian motives, and disjoined from the vital principles of religion in the heart, was the favorite theory of the modern. The body of the clergy neither knew nor cared about systems of any kind. In a great number of instances they were negligent and immoral ; often grossly so. The populace of the large towns were ignorant and profligate ; and the inhabitants of villages added to ignorance and profligacy, brutish and barbarous manners. A more striking instance of the rapid deterioration of religious light and influence in a country scarcely occurs than in our own, from the Restoration till the rise of Methodism. It affected not only the Church, but the dissenting sects, in no ordinary degree. The Presbyterians had commenced their course through Arianism down to Socinianism ; and those who held the doctrines of Calvin had, in too many instances, by a course of hot-house planting, luxuriated them into the fatal and disgusting errors of Antinomianism. There were, indeed, many happy exceptions ; but this was the general state of religion and morals in the country, when the Wesleys, Whitefield, and a few kindred spirits came forth, ready to sacrifice ease, reputation, and even life itself, to produce a reformation.

Before Mr. Wesley entered upon the career which afterward distinguished him, and having no preconceived plan or course of conduct but to seek good for himself and to do good to others, he visited the Moravian settlements in Germany. On his journey he formed an acquaintance with several pious ministers in Holland and Germany ; and at Marienbourg was greatly edified by the conversation of Count Zinzendorf, and others of the Brethren, of whose views he did not, however, in all respects even then approve. From thence he proceeded to Hernhuth, where he stayed a fortnight,

conversing with the elders and observing the economy of that Church, part of which, with modifications, he afterward introduced among his own societies. The sermons of Christian David especially interested him; and of one of them, on "the ground of our faith," he gives the substance; which we may insert, both as excellent in itself, and as it so well agrees with what Mr. Wesley afterward uniformly taught:

"The word of reconciliation which the apostles preached, as the foundation of all they taught, was, that 'we are reconciled to God, not by our own works, nor by our own righteousness, but wholly and solely by the blood of Christ.'

"But you will say, Must I not grieve and mourn for my sins? Must I not humble myself before my God? Is not this just and right? And must I not first do this before I can expect God to be reconciled to me? I answer, It is just and right. You must be humbled before God. You must have a broken and contrite heart. But then observe, this is not your own work. Do you grieve that you are a sinner? This is the work of the Holy Ghost. Are you contrite? Are you humbled before God? Do you indeed mourn, and is your heart broken within you? All this worketh the self-same Spirit.

"Observe again, this is not the foundation. It is not this by which you are justified. This is not the righteousness, this is no part of the righteousness, by which you are reconciled unto God. You grieve for your sins. You are deeply humble. Your heart is broken. Well. But all this is nothing to your justification.* The remission of your sins is not owing to this cause, either in whole or in part. Nay, observe further, that it may hinder your justification; that is, if you build any thing upon it: if you think, I must be so or so contrite: I must grieve more, before I can be justified. Understand this well. To think you must be more contrite, more humble, more grieved, more sensible of the weight of sin, before you can be justified, is to lay your contrition, your grief, your humiliation, for the foundation of your being justified; at least for a part of the foundation. Therefore,

* "This is not guarded. These things do not merit our justification, but they are absolutely necessary in order to it. God never pardons the impenitent."—*Wesley's Journal*.

it binders your justification; and a hindrance it is which must be removed, before you can lay the right foundation. The right foundation is, not your contrition, (though that is not your own,) **not** your righteousness, nothing of your own; nothing that is wrought in you by the Holy Ghost; but it is something without you, namely, the righteousness and blood of Christ.

“For this is the word, ‘To him that believeth on God that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for righteousness.’ See ye not that the foundation is nothing in us? There is no connection between God and the ungodly. ‘There is no tie to unite them. They are altogether separate from each other. They have nothing in common. There is nothing less or more in the ungodly, to join them to God. Works, righteousness, contrition? No. Ungodliness only. This then do, if you will lay a right foundation—Go straight to Christ with all your ungodliness. Tell him, Thou whose eyes are as a flame of fire, searching my heart, seest that I am ungodly. I plead nothing else. I do not say, I am humble, or contrite; but I am ungodly. Therefore, bring me to him that justifieth the ungodly. Let thy blood be the propitiation for me; for there is nothing in me but ungodliness.

“Here is a mystery. Here the wise men of the world are lost, are taken in their own craftiness. This the learned of the world cannot comprehend. It is foolishness unto them. Sin is the only thing which divides men from God. Sin (let him that heareth understand) is the only thing which unites them to God; that is, the only thing which moves the Lamb of God to have compassion upon them, and by his blood to give them access to the Father.

“This is the word of reconciliation which we preach. This is the foundation which never can be moved. By faith we are built upon this foundation; and this faith also is the gift of God. It is his free gift, which he now and ever giveth to every one that is willing to receive it. And when they have received this gift of God, then their hearts will melt for sorrow that they have offended him. But this gift of God lives in the heart, not in the head. The faith of the head, learned from men or books, is nothing worth. It brings neither remission of sins, nor peace with God. Labor, then, to believe with *your* whole heart. So shall you have redemption

through the blood of Christ. So shall you be cleansed from all sin. So shall ye go on from strength to strength, being renewed day by day in righteousness and all true holiness.”*

“I would gladly,” says Mr. Wesley, “have spent my life here; but my Master calling me to labor in another part of his vineyard, I was constrained to take my leave of this happy place. O when shall this Christianity cover the earth, as ‘the waters cover the sea!’” He adds, in another place, “I was exceedingly comforted and strengthened by the conversation of this lovely people; and returned to England more fully determined to spend my life in testifying the gospel of the grace of God.”†

He arrived in London in September, 1738. His future course of life does not appear to have been shaped out in his mind: no indication of this appears in any of his letters, or other communication. So little ground is there for the insinuation, which has been so often made, that he early formed the scheme of making himself the head of a sect. This, even those inconsistencies, considering him as a Churchman, into which circumstances afterward impelled him, sufficiently refute. That he was averse to settle as a parish minister, is certain; and the man who regarded “the world as his parish” must have had large views of usefulness. That he kept in mind the opinion of the bishop who ordained him, that he was at liberty to decline settling as a parish priest, provided he thought that he could serve the Church better in any other way, is very probable; and if he had any fixed purpose at all at this time, beyond what circumstances daily opened to him, and from which he might infer the path of duty, it was to attempt to revive the spirit of religion in the Church to which he belonged, and which he loved, by preaching “the gospel of the grace of God” in as many of her pulpits as he should be permitted to occupy. This was the course he pursued. Wherever he was invited, he preached the obsolete doctrine of salvation by grace through faith. In London great crowds followed him: the clergy generally excepted to his statement of the doctrine: the genteeler part of his audiences, whether they attended to the sermon or not, were offended at the bustle of crowded congregations; and soon almost all the

* Journal.

† Ibid.

churches of the metropolis, one after another, were shut against him. He had, however, largely labored in various parts of the metropolis in churches, rooms, houses, and prisons; and the effects produced were powerful and lasting. Soon after, we find him at Oxford, employed in writing to his friends abroad, communicating the good news of a great awakening both in London and in that city. To Dr. Koker, of Rotterdam, he writes, October 13, 1738: "His blessed Spirit has wrought so powerfully both in London and Oxford, that there is a general awakening, and multitudes are crying out, 'What must we do to be saved?' So that till our gracious Master sendeth more laborers into his harvest, all my time is much too little for them." And to the church at Hernhuth he writes, under the same date: "We are endeavoring here, also, by the grace which is given us, to be followers of you, as ye are of Christ. Fourteen were added to us since our return; so that we have now eight bands of men, consisting of fifty-six persons, all of whom seek for salvation only in the blood of Christ. As yet we have only two small bands of women, the one of three, the other of five, persons. But here are many others who only wait till we have leisure to instruct them how they may most effectually build up one another in the faith and love of Him who gave himself for them.

"Though my brother and I are not permitted to preach in most of the churches in London, yet, thanks be to God, there are others left, wherein we have liberty to speak the truth as it is in Jesus. Likewise every evening, and on set evenings in the week, at two several places, we publish the word of reconciliation, sometimes to twenty or thirty, sometimes to fifty or sixty, sometimes to three or four hundred persons, met together to hear it."

In December he met Mr. Whitefield, who had returned to London from America, "and they again took sweet counsel together." In the spring of the next year, he followed Mr. Whitefield to Bristol, where he had preached with great success in the open air. Mr. Wesley first expounded to a little society,* accustomed to meet in Nicholas street; and the

* The "societies" which Mr. Wesley mentions in his journals as visited by him, for the purpose of expounding the Scriptures, in Lon-

next day he overcame his scruples, and preached abroad, on an eminence near the city, to more than two thousand persons. On this practice he observes, that, though till lately he had been so tenacious of every point relating to decency and order, that he should have thought the saving of souls almost a sin, if it had not been done in a church, yet "I have

don and Bristol, were the remains of those which Dr. Woodward describes, in an account first published about 1698 or 1699. They began, about the year 1667, among a few young men in London, who, under Dr. Horneck's preaching, and the morning lectures in Cornhill, were brought, says Dr. Woodward, "to a very affecting sense of their sins, and began to apply themselves in a very serious way to religious thoughts and purposes." They were advised by their ministers to meet together weekly for "good discourse;" and rules were drawn up "for the better regulation of these meetings." They contributed weekly for the use of the poor, and stewards were appointed to take care of and to disburse their charities. In the latter part of the reign of James II. they met with discouragement; but on the accession of William and Mary, they acquired new vigor. When Dr. Woodward wrote his account, there were about forty of these societies in activity within the Bills of Mortality, a few in the country, and nine in Ireland. Out of these societies about twenty associations arose, in London, for the prosecution and suppression of vice; and both these, and the private societies for religious edification, had for a time much encouragement from several bishops, and from the queen herself. By their rules they were obliged, at their weekly meetings, to discourse only on such subjects as tended to practical holiness, and to avoid all controversy; and, besides relieving the poor, they were to promote schools, and the catechizing of "young and ignorant persons in their respective families." These societies certainly opened a favorable prospect for the revival of religion in the Church of England. But whether they were cramped by clerical jealousy lest laymen should become too active in spiritual concerns; or that, from their being bound by their orders to prosecute vice, by calling in the aid of the magistrate, their moral influence among the populace was counteracted, they appeared to have declined from about 1710; and although several societies still remained in London, Bristol, and a few other places, at the time when Mr. Wesley commenced his labors, they were not in a state of growth and activity. They had, however, been the means of keeping the spark of piety from entire extinction. The sixth edition of Dr. Woodward's account of these societies was published in 1744; but from that time we hear no more of them: they either gradually died away, or were absorbed in the Methodist societies. This, at least, was the case with several of them in London and Bristol; and with that of St. Ives, in Cornwall.

since seen abundant reason to adore the wise providence of God herein, making a way for myriads of people, who never troubled any church, or were likely so to do, to hear that word which they soon found to be the power of God unto salvation."

The manner in which he filled up his time may be seen from the following account of his weekly labors, at this period, at or near Bristol: "My ordinary employment in public was now as follows: Every morning I read prayers and preached at Newgate. Every evening I expounded a portion of Scripture, at one or more of the societies. On Monday, in the afternoon, I preached abroad, near Bristol. On Tuesday, at Bath and Two-Mile Hill, alternately. On Wednesday, at Baptist-Mills. Every other Thursday, near Pensford. Every other Friday, in another part of Kingswood. On Saturday, in the afternoon, and Sunday morning, in the Bowling-Green. On Sunday, at eleven, near Hannam-Mount; at two, at Clifton; at five, at Rose-Green. And hitherto, as my day is, so is my strength."*

During Mr. Wesley's visit to Germany, his brother Charles was zealously employed in preaching the same doctrines, and with equal zeal, in the churches in London; and in holding meetings for prayer and expounding the Scriptures. At this time he also visited Oxford, and was made useful to several of his old college friends. When his brother returned from Hernhuth, he met him with great joy in London, and they "compared their experience in the things of God." The doctrine of predestination, on which so many disputes have arisen in the Church, and which was soon to be warmly debated among the first Methodists, was shortly afterwards started at a meeting for exposition. Mr. Charles contented himself with simply protesting against it. He now first began to preach extempore. In a conference which the brothers had with the Bishop of London, they cleared up some complaints, as to their doctrine, which he had received against them, and were, upon the whole, treated by him with liberality. He strongly disapproved, however, of their practice of rebaptizing persons who had been baptized by dissenters, in which they exhibited the firm hold which their High-Church

feelings still retained upon their minds. His Lordship showed himself, in this respect, not only more liberal, but better versed in ecclesiastical law and usage. The bishop, at this and at other interviews, guarded them strongly against Antinomianism ; of which, however, they were in no danger. He was probably alarmed, as many had been, at the stress they laid on faith, not knowing the necessary connection of the faith they preached with universal holiness. Mr. Whitefield was at this time at Oxford, and pressed Charles earnestly to accept a college living ; which, as Dr. Whitehead justly observes, “gives pretty clear evidence that no plan of itinerant preaching was yet fixed on, nor indeed thought of. Had any such plan been in agitation among them, it is very certain Mr. Whitefield would not have urged this advice on Mr. Charles Wesley, whom he loved as a brother, and whose labors he highly esteemed.”*

About this time some disputes took place, in the Fetter-lane society, as to lay-preaching ; and Mr. Charles Wesley, in the absence of his brother, declared warmly against it. He had also, whilst Mr. John Wesley was still at Bristol, a painful interview at Lambeth, with the Archbishop of Canterbury. His Grace took no exceptions to his doctrine, but condemned the irregularity of his proceedings, and even hinted at inflicting excommunication. This threw him into great perplexity of mind, until Mr. Whitefield, with characteristic boldness, urged him to preach “in the fields the next Sunday ; by which step he would break down the bridge, render his retreat difficult or impossible, and be forced to fight his way forward.” This advice he followed. “June 24th, I prayed,” says he, “and went forth in the name of Jesus Christ. I found near a thousand helpless sinners waiting for the word in Moorfields. I invited them in my Master’s words, as well as name : ‘Come unto me, all ye that labor and are heavy-laden, and I will give you rest.’ The Lord was with me, even me, the meanest of his messengers, according to his promise. At St. Paul’s, the psalms, lessons, etc., for the day put new life into me ; and so did the sacrament. My load was gone, and all my doubts and scruples. God shone on my path, and I knew this was his will concerning

me. I walked to Kennington Common, and cried to multitudes upon multitudes, 'Repent ye, and believe the gospel.' The Lord was my strength, and my mouth, and my wisdom. O that all would therefore praise the Lord for his goodness!'

At Oxford, also, he had to sustain the severity of the Dean on the subject of field-preaching; but he seized the opportunity of bearing his testimony to the doctrine of justification by faith, by preaching with great boldness before the university. On his return to London, he resumed field-preaching in Moorfields, and on Kennington Common. At one time it was computed that as many as ten thousand persons were collected, and great numbers were roused to a serious inquiry after religion. His word was occasionally attended with an overwhelming influence.

That great public attention should be excited by these extraordinary and novel proceedings, and that the dignitaries of the Church, and the advocates of stillness and order, should take the alarm at them, as "doubting whereunto this thing might grow," were inevitable consequences. A doctrine so obsolete, that on its revival it was regarded as new and dangerous, was now publicly proclaimed as the doctrine of the apostles and reformers: the consciousness of the forgiveness of sins was professed by many, and enforced as the possible attainment of all: several clergymen of talents and learning, which would have given influence to any cause, endued with mighty zeal, and with a restless activity, instead of settling in parishes, were preaching in various churches and private rooms, and to vast multitudes in the open air, alternately in the metropolis, and at Bristol, Oxford, and the interjacent places. They alarmed the careless, by bringing before them the solemnities of the last judgment; they explained the spirituality of that law upon which the self-righteous trusted for salvation, and convinced them that the justification of man was by the grace of God alone through faith; and they roused the dosing adherents of mere forms, by teaching that true religion implies a change of the whole heart wrought by the Holy Ghost. With equal zeal and earnestness, they checked the pruriency of the Calvinistic system, as held by many Disseuters, by insisting that the law which cannot justify was still the rule of life, and the standard of holiness to all true believers; and taught that mere doctrinal views

of evangelical truth, however correct, were quite as vain and unprofitable as Pharisaism and formality, when made a substitute for vital faith, spirituality, and practical holiness. All this zeal was supported and made more noticeable by the moral elevation of their character. Their conduct was scrupulously hallowed; their spirit, gentle, tender, and sympathizing; their courage, bold and undaunted; their patience, proof against all reproach, hardships, persecutions; their charities to the poor abounded to the full extent of all their resources; their labors were wholly gratuitous; and their wonderful activity, and endurance of the fatigues of rapid travelling, seemed to destroy the distance of place, and to give them a sort of ubiquity in the vast circuit which they had then adopted as the field of their labors. For all these reasons they "were men to be wondered at," even in this the infancy of their career; and as their ardor was increased by the effects which followed—the conversion of great numbers to God, of which the most satisfactory evidence was afforded—it disappointed those who anticipated that their zeal would soon cool, and that, "shorn of their strength," by opposition, reproach, and exhausting labors, they would become "like other men."

An infidel or semi-Christian philosophy has its theories at hand to account for the appearance and conduct of such extraordinary men. If their own supposed "artifices," and the "temptation to place themselves at the head of a sect," will not solve the case, it then resorts "to the circumstances of the age," or to "that restless activity and ambition" which finds in them "a promising sphere of action, and is attracted onward by its first successes." Even many serious Churchmen of later times, who contend that the great men of the Reformation were raised up by Divine Providence in mercy to the world, are kept by sectarian prejudices from acknowledging a similar providential leading in the case of the Wesleys, Whitefield, and Howell Harris, because the whole of the good effected has not rested within their own pale, and all the sheep collected out of the wilderness have not been gathered into their own fold. The sober Christian will, however, resort to the first principles of his own religion, in order to form his judgment. He will acknowledge that the Lord of the harvest has the prerogative of "sending forth his labor-

ers;" that men who change the religious aspect of whole nations cannot be the offspring of chance, or the creation of circumstances; that, whatever there may be of personal fitness in them for the work, as in the eminent natural and acquired talents of St. Paul, and whatever there may be in circumstances to favor their usefulness, these things do not shut out the special agency of God, but make it the more manifest; since the first more strikingly marks his agency in preparing his own servants, and training his soldiers; and the second, his wisdom in choosing the times of their appearance, and the scenes of their labors, and thus setting before them "an open door, and effectual." Nor can it be allowed, if we abide by the doctrine of the Scriptures, that a real spiritual good could have been so extensively and uniformly effected, and "multitudes turned to the Lord," unless God had been with the instruments, seconding their labors, and "giving his own testimony to the word of his grace." The hand of God is equally conspicuous in connecting the leading events of their earlier history with their future usefulness. They were men "separated to the gospel of God;" and every devout and grateful Christian will not cease to recognize in their appearance, labors, and successes, the mercy of God to a land where "truth had fallen in the streets," and the people were sitting in darkness, and in the shadow of death.

CHAPTER VI

Effect of the labors of the Messrs. Wesley and Mr. Whitefield at Kingswood—Mr. Wesley at Bath—Statement of his doctrinal views—Separates from the Moravians in London—Formation of the Methodist Society—Mr. Wesley's mother—Correspondence between Mr. John and Mr. Samuel Wesley on extraordinary emotions, and the doctrine of Assurance—Remarks—Enthusiasm—Divine influence—Difference between Mr. Wesley and Mr. Whitefield—Their reconciliation—Mr. Maxfield—Mr. Wesley's defence of his calling out preachers to assist him in his work—Remarks.

WE left Mr. Wesley at Bristol, in the summer of 1739; to which scene of labor, after a visit to London, he again returned. Kingswood was mentioned in the account given by Mr. Wesley, in the preceding chapter, of his labors; and in this district, inhabited by colliers, and, from its rudeness, a terror to the neighborhood, the preaching of the two brothers and of Mr. Whitefield was eminently successful. The colliers were even proverbial for wickedness; but many of them became truly exemplary for their piety. These had been exhorted, it seems, to go to Bristol to receive the sacrament; but their numbers were so considerable that the Bristol clergy,* averse to the additional labor imposed upon them, repelled them from the communion, on the plea that they did not belong to their parishes.

* Several of the Bristol clergy were, at that time, of a persecuting character. They induced a Captain Williams, the master of a vessel trading to Georgia, to make an affidavit of some statements, to the disadvantage of Mr. Wesley, in the affair of Mrs. Williamson; but they took care that he should set sail before they published it. This led to the publication of Mr. Wesley's first Journal, as he states in the preface. In that Journal he gave his own account of the matter, and they were silenced.

The effect of the leaven which had been thus placed in this mass of barbarism, was made conspicuous in the following year, in the case of a riot, of which Mr. Charles Wesley gives the following account. Being informed that the colliers had risen, on account of the dearness of corn, and were marching for Bristol, he rode out to meet them, and talk with them. Many seemed disposed to return with him to the school which had been built for their children; but the most desperate rushed violently upon them, beating them, and driving them away from their pacific adviser. He adds, "I rode up to a ruffian, who was striking one of our colliers, and prayed him rather to strike me. He answered, 'No, not for all the world,' and was quite overcome. I turned upon another, who struck my horse, and he also sank into a lamb. Wherever I turned, Satan's cause lost ground, so that they were obliged to make one general assault, and the violent colliers forced the quiet ones into the town. I seized one of the tallest, and earnestly besought him to follow me. Yes, he said, that he would, all the world over. I pressed about six into the service. We met several parties, and stopped and exhorted them to follow us; and gleaning some from every company, we increased as we marched on singing to the school. From one till three o'clock we spent in prayer, that evil might be prevented, and the lion chained. Then news was brought us that the colliers were returned in peace. They had walked quietly into the city, without sticks or the least violence. A few of the better sort of them went to the Mayor, and told their grievance; then they all returned as they came, without noise or disturbance. All who saw it were amazed. Nothing could more clearly have shown the change wrought among them than this conduct on such an occasion. I found afterwards that all our colliers to a man had been forced away. Having learned of Christ not to resist evil, they went a mile with those who compelled them, rather than free themselves by violence. One man the rioters dragged out of his sick-bed, and threw him into the fish-pond. Near twenty of Mr. Willis's men they had prevailed on, by threatening to fill up their pits, and bury them alive, if they did not come up and bear them company." "It was a happy circumstance that they forced so many of the Methodist colliers to go with them; as these, by their advice and example,

restrained the savage fury of the others. This undoubtedly was the true cause why they all returned home without making any disturbance."

To a gentleman who requested some account of what had been done in Kingswood, Mr. John Wesley wrote the following statement :

" Few persons have lived long in the west of England who have not heard of the colliers of Kingswood, a people famous, from the beginning hitherto, for neither fearing God nor regarding man: so ignorant of the things of God, that they seemed but one remove from beasts that perish, and, therefore, utterly without the desire of instruction, as well as without the means of it.

" Many last winter used tauntingly to say of Mr. Whitefield, 'If he will convert heathens, why does not he go to the colliers of Kingswood?' In the spring he did so. And as there were thousands who resorted to no place of public worship, he went after them into their own 'wilderness, to seek and save that which was lost.' When he was called away, others went into 'the highways and hedges, to compel them to come in.' And, by the grace of God, their labor was not in vain. The scene is already changed. Kingswood does not now, as a year ago, resound with cursing and blasphemy. It is no more filled with drunkenness and uncleanness, and the idle diversions that naturally led thereto. It is no longer full of wars and fightings, of clamor and bitterness, of wrath and envyings. Peace and love are there. Great numbers of the people are mild, gentle, and easy to be entreated. They 'do not cry, neither strive;' and hardly is 'their voice heard in the streets,' or indeed in their own wood, unless when they are at their usual evening diversion, singing praise unto God their Saviour."

At this time Mr. Wesley visited Bath, where the celebrated Beau Nash, then lord of the ascendant in that city, attempted to confront the field-preacher.

" There was great expectation at Bath of what a noted man was to do to me there; and I was much entreated 'not to preach; because no one knew what might happen.' By this report I also gained a much larger audience, among whom were many of the rich and great. I told them plainly, the Scripture had concluded them all under sin, high and low,

rich and poor, one with another. Many of them seemed to be not a little surprised, and were sinking apace into seriousness, when their champion appeared, and, coming close to me, asked by what authority I did these things. I replied, 'By the authority of Jesus Christ, conveyed to me by the (now) Archbishop of Canterbury, when he laid his hands upon me, and said, "Take thou authority to preach the gospel." ' He said, 'This is contrary to act of Parliament. This is a conventicle.' I answered, 'Sir, the conventicles mentioned in that act (as the preamble shows) are seditious meetings. But this is not such. Here is no shadow of sedition. Therefore it is not contrary to that act.' He replied, 'I say it is. And besides, your preaching frightens people out of their wits.' 'Sir, did you ever hear me preach?' 'No.' 'How then can you judge of what you never heard?' 'Sir, by common report. Common report is enough.' 'Give me leave, sir, to ask, Is not your name Nash?' 'My name is Nash.' 'Sir, I dare not judge of you by common report. I think it is not enough to judge by.' Here he paused a while, and, having recovered himself, asked, 'I desire to know what this people come here for?' On which one replied, 'Sir, leave him to me. Let an old woman answer him. You, Mr. Nash, take care of your body. We take care of our souls; and for the good of our souls we come here.' He replied not a word, but walked away.

"As I returned, the street was full of people, hurrying to and fro, and speaking great words. But when any of them asked, 'Which is he?' and I replied, 'I am he,' they were immediately silent. Several ladies following me into Mr. Merchant's house, the servant told me there were some wanted to speak with me. I went to them, and said, 'I believe, ladies, the maid mistook; you only wanted to look at me.' I added, 'I do not expect that the rich and great should want either to speak with me or to hear me, for I speak the plain truth; a thing you hear little of, and do not desire to hear.' A few more words passed between us, and I retired."*

After visiting London, and preaching to vast multitudes in Moorfields, on Kennington Common, and other places, some of whom were strangely affected, and many effectually

awakened to a sense of sin, in October, Mr. Wesley had a pressing invitation to Wales, where, although the churches were shut against him, he preached in private houses, and in the open air, often during sharp frosts, and was gladly received by the people. "I have seen," says he, "no part of England so pleasant, for sixty or seventy miles together, as those parts of Wales I have been in; and most of the inhabitants are indeed ripe for the gospel. I mean, if the expression seems strange, they are earnestly desirous of being instructed in it; and as utterly ignorant of it they are as any Creek or Cherokee Indians. I do not mean they are ignorant of the name of Christ; many of them can say both the Lord's Prayer and the Belief; nay, and some all the Catechism; but take them out of the road of what they have learned by rote, and they know no more (nine in ten of those with whom I conversed) either of gospel salvation, or of that faith whereby alone we are saved, than Chicali or Tomo Chachi. Now, what spirit is he of who had rather these poor creatures should perish for lack of knowledge, than that they should be saved, even by the exhortations of Howell Harris, or an itinerant preacher? The word did not fall to the ground. Many repented, and believed the gospel. And some joined together to strengthen each other's hands in God, and to provoke one another to love and to good works."*

About this time he stated his doctrinal views in perhaps as clear a manner, though in a summary form, as at any period subsequently :

"A serious clergyman desired to know in what points we differed from the Church of England. I answered, To the best of my knowledge, in none: the doctrines we preach are the doctrines of the Church of England; indeed, the fundamental doctrines of the Church, clearly laid down, both in her prayers, articles, and homilies.

"He asked, 'In what points then do you differ from the other clergy of the Church of England?' I answered, In none from that part of the clergy who adhere to the doctrines of the Church; but from that part of the clergy who dissent from the Church (though they own it not) I differ in the points following :

“First. They speak of justification, either as the same thing with sanctification, or as something consequent upon it. I believe justification to be wholly distinct from sanctification, and necessarily antecedent to it.

“Secondly. They speak of our own holiness or good works as the cause of our justification, or that for the sake of which, on account of which, we are justified before God. I believe, neither our own holiness nor good works are any part of the cause of our justification ; but that the death and righteousness of Christ are the whole and sole cause of it, or that for the sake of which, on account of which, we are justified before God.

“Thirdly. They speak of good works as a condition of justification necessarily previous to it. I believe, no good work can be previous to justification, nor, consequently, a condition of it; but that we are justified (being till that hour ungodly, and therefore incapable of doing any good work) by faith alone ; faith without works ; faith, though producing all, yet including no good works.

“Fourthly. They speak of sanctification, or holiness, as if it were an outward thing ; as if it consisted chiefly, if not wholly, in these two points : 1. The doing no harm. 2. The doing good, as it is called ; that is, the using the means of grace, and helping our neighbor.

“I believe it to be an inward thing ; namely, ‘the life of God in the soul of man ; a participation of the Divine nature ; the mind that was in Christ ;’ or, ‘the renewal of our heart after the image of Him that created us.’

“Lastly. They speak of the new birth as an outward thing ; as if it were no more than baptism, or, at most, a change from outward wickedness to outward goodness, from a vicious to what is called a virtuous life. I believe it to be an inward thing ; a change from inward wickedness to inward goodness ; an entire change of our inmost nature from the image of the devil, wherein we are born, to the image of God ; a change from the love of the creature to the love of the Creator, from earthly and sensual to heavenly and holy affections ; in a word, a change from the tempers of the spirits of darkness to those of the angels of God in heaven.

“There is therefore a wide, essential, fundamental, irreconcileable difference between us ; so that if they speak the truth

as it is in Jesus, I am found a false witness before God. But if I teach the way of God in truth, they are blind leaders of the blind.”*

Disputes having arisen between the Methodists and Moravians, who still formed one society at Fetter-lane, Mr. Wesley returned to London. Over this society he professed to have no authority, and, as it appeared, had but little influence. Various new doctrines of a mystical kind, which he thought dangerous, had been introduced by several of the teachers; and it seems he foresaw a separation from them to be inevitable; for he had taken a place near Moorfields, which had been used as a foundry for casting cannon; and on this visit he preached in it to very numerous congregations. He was on this and other visits to London unsuccessful in settling the disputes which had arisen in the society; and in June, 1740, he again came to London, and spent upwards of a month among them, occupied at intervals in the same attempt. His efforts being fruitless, he read to them the following paper:

“About nine months ago, certain of you began to speak contrary to the doctrine we had till then received. The sum of what you asserted is this: 1. That there is no such thing as weak faith; that there is no justifying faith where there is ever any doubt or fear, or where there is not, in the full sense, a new, a clean heart. 2. That a man ought not to use those ordinances of God, which our Church terms means of grace, before he has such a faith as excludes all doubt and fear, and implies a new, a clean heart. 3. You have often affirmed, that to search the Scriptures, to pray, or to communicate, before we have this faith, is to seek salvation by works; and till these works are laid aside, no man can receive faith.

“I believe these assertions to be flatly contrary to the word of God. I have warned you hereof again and again, and besought you to turn back to the law and to the testimony. I have borne with you long, hoping you would turn. But as I find you more and more confirmed in the error of your ways, nothing now remains but that I should give you up to God. You that are of the same judgment, follow me.”—“I then,” adds Mr. Wesley, “without saying any thing more, withdrew, as did eighteen or nineteen of the society.”

Those who continued to adhere to him then met at the foundry: the whole number amounted to about seventy-two. The Moravian teacher, Molther, appears to have been the chief author of the novel opinions objected to by Mr. Wesley; whom, however, Peter Böhler thought Mr. Wesley misunderstood; which was not likely, as Mr. Charles Wesley mentions the same things in his *Journal*. Towards the Moravian Church at large, Mr. Wesley continued to feel an unabated affection; but as he was never a member of that Church, and maintained only a kind of co-fraternity with those of them who were in London, when these became infected with novel opinions, his departure from them, with such as were of the same mind as himself, and were also members of the Church of England, was a step of prudence and of peace. From a conversation which he had with Count Zinzendorf, a short time afterwards, and which he has published, it would seem that a refined species of Antinomianism had crept in amongst the Moravians; and that the Count was, at that time, by no means a teacher of the class of Peter Böhler. But to affirm, with Zinzendorf, that there is nothing but imputed righteousness, and to reject inherent righteousness—to insist upon all our perfection being *in Christ*, and to deny the Christian perfection or maturity which believers derive *from him*—was not in accordance with the Moravian Church, as appears from the following extract from the authorized exposition of their doctrines by Spangenberg; which, since the perversions of these “wrong-headed men” have been mentioned, it would be unjust to the body of the Moravians to withhold:

“Although this faith, which is so peculiar to all the children of God, that whoever has it not is no child of God, does no outward wonders and signs, raises none from the dead, removes no mountains; yet it does and performs other things which are of much greater importance. What are those things? Answer: We through faith attain to the enjoyment of that which Christ hath, by his sacrifice, purchased for us. We are, 1. Through faith in Jesus Christ, made free from the dominion of sin. Paul says, ‘Sin shall not have dominion over you; for ye are not under the law, but under grace.’ (Rom. vi. 14.)

“All those who believe in Jesus Christ are freed from the curse and condemnation of the law: they obtain forgiveness

of sins, become the adopted children of God, and are sealed with the Holy Ghost. These are they, then, who are made free from the dominion of sin, because they are under grace. Now, when they are thus exhorted, ‘Let not sin reign in your mortal body, that ye should obey it in the lusts thereof; neither yield ye your members as instruments of unrighteousness unto sin,’ etc., (Rom. vi. 12, 13,) they cannot say, ‘O, this is impossible for us! We are but sinful men: the flesh is weak;’ and the like. For they have Jesus Christ, who saveth his people from their sins: they have a Father in heaven, who heareth their prayer and supplication. The Holy Ghost dwells in their hearts, and strengthens them in all that is good. If they, therefore, do but rightly make use of the grace wherein, through faith, they stand, then sin can have no dominion over them. This is exactly what John says, (1 Epist. iii. 9,) ‘Whosoever is born of God doth not commit sin;’ (he doth not let sin reign, or have the dominion in his mortal body, that he should obey it in the lusts thereof;) ‘for his seed remaineth in him; and he cannot sin, because he is born of God.’ That is, his heart will comply with no such thing; for he loves our Saviour, being a child of God, and a partaker of the Holy Ghost.”*

Not only Antinomian errors, but mystic notions of ceasing from ordinances, and waiting for faith in stillness, greatly prevailed, also, among the Moravians in London at this time, and were afterwards carried by them into many of the country Methodist societies in Yorkshire, Derbyshire, and other places. Of the effect at Nottingham, Mr. Wesley gives a curious account, in his Journal for June, 1743:—

“ In the afternoon we went on to Nottingham, where Mr. Howe received us gladly. At eight the society met, as usual. I could not but observe, 1. That the room was not half full, which used, until very lately, to be crowded within and without. 2. That not one person who came in used any prayer at all; but every one immediately sat down, and began either talking to his neighbor, or looking about to see who was there. 3. That when I began to pray, there appeared a general surprise, no one offering to kneel down, and those who stood, choosing the most easy; indolent posture which they con-

* Exposition, pp. 215, 216.

veniently could. I afterwards looked for one of our hymn-books upon the desk, (for I knew Mr. Howe had brought one from London,) but both that and the Bible were vanished away; and in the room lay the Moravian Hymns, and the Count's Sermons.”*

That ineptious book, Luther on the Galatians, appears to have been the source of the Antinomianism of the Moravians; and their quietism they learned from Madame Guion, and other French mystic writers.

The Methodist Society, as that name distinguishes the people who to this day acknowledge Mr. Wesley as their founder under God, was, properly speaking, as a society specially under his pastoral charge, collected in this year, (1740,) at the chapel in Moorfields, where he regularly preached, and where, by the blessing of God upon his and Mr. Charles Wesley's labors, the society rapidly increased. For this, and for the societies in Bristol, Kingswood, and other parts, he, in 1743, drew up a set of Rules, which continue in force to the present time, and the observance of which was then, and continues to be, the condition of membership. They are so well known, as to render it unnecessary to quote them. It may only be observed, that they enjoin no peculiar opinions, and relate entirely to moral conduct, to charitable offices, and to the observance of the ordinances of God. Churchmen or Dissenters, walking by these Rules, might become and remain members of these societies, provided they held their doctrinal views and disciplinary prepossessions in peace and charity. The sole object of the union was to assist the members to “make their calling and election sure,” by cultivating the religion of the heart, and a holy conformity to the laws of Christ. These Rules bear the signature of John and Charles Wesley.

Mr. Wesley's mother about this time began to attend his ministry. She had been somewhat prejudiced against her sons by reports of their “errors” and “extravagances;” but was convinced, upon hearing them, that they spoke “according to the oracles of God.” There is an interesting entry in Mr. Wesley's Journal respecting this venerable woman:—

“September 3. I talked largely with my mother, who told

me that, till a short time since, she had scarce heard such a thing mentioned as the having forgiveness of sins now, or God's Spirit bearing witness with our spirit. Much less did she imagine that this was the common privilege of all true believers. 'Therefore,' said she, 'I never durst ask for it myself. But two or three weeks ago, while my son Hall was pronouncing those words, in delivering the cup to me, The blood of our Lord Jesus Christ which was given for thee; the words struck through my heart, and I knew God for Christ's sake had forgiven me all my sins.'

"I asked, whether her father (Dr. Annesley) had not the same faith; and whether she had not heard him preach it to others. She answered, 'He had it himself, and declared, a little before his death, that for more than forty years he had no darkness, no fear, no doubt at all of his being accepted in the Beloved;' but that, nevertheless, she did not remember to have heard him preach, no, not once, explicitly upon it; whence she supposed he also looked upon it as the peculiar blessing of a few, not as promised to all the people of God."*

The extraordinary manner in which some persons were frequently affected under Mr. Wesley's preaching as well as that of his coadjutors, now created much discussion, and to many gave great offence. Some were seized with trembling; others sank down, and uttered loud and piercing cries; others fell into a kind of agony. In some instances, whilst prayer was offered for them, they rose up with a sudden change of feeling, testifying that they had "redemption through the blood of Christ, even the forgiveness of sins, according to the riches of his grace." Mr. Samuel Wesley, who denied the knowledge of the forgiveness of sins, treated these things, in a correspondence with his brother, alternately with sarcasm and serious severity, and particularly attacked the doctrine of assurance. In this controversy, Mr. John Wesley attaches no weight whatever to these outward agitations; but contends that he is bound to believe the profession made by many who had been so affected, of an inward change, because that had been confirmed by their subsequent conduct and spirit. On the subject of assurance, the disputants put forth their logical

* Journal.

acuteness; but the result appears to have been, upon the whole, instructive to the elder brother, whose letters soften considerably towards the close of the dispute. Mr. Samuel Wesley died in the following November. The circumstances to which he objected, although he knew them only by report, and was too far removed from the scene to be an accurate judge, have since that time furnished ample subject for serious or satirical animadversion to many writers, and to none more than to Dr. Southey.* A few general remarks upon this point may not, therefore, be here out of place. By this writer it is affirmed that great importance was attached by Mr. Wesley to those emotions and bodily affections which occasionally occurred; and that the most visionary persons, and those who pretended ecstasies, dreams, etc., were, at least in the early part of his ministry, the objects of his special respect, as eminently holy and favored. This is so far from the fact, that it is difficult to meet with a divine whose views of religion are more practical and definite. He did not deny that occasionally "God," even now, "speaketh in a dream, in a vision of the night," and that he may thus "open the ears of men to instruction, and command them to depart from iniquity:" he believed that, in point of fact, many indisputable cases of this kind have occurred in modern times; and in this belief he agreed with many of the wisest and the best of men. He has recorded some cases of what may be called ecstasy, generally without an opinion of his own, leaving every one to form his own judgment from the recorded fact. He unquestionably believed in special effusions of the influence of the Holy Spirit upon congregations and individuals, producing powerful emotions of mind, expressed in some instances by bodily affections; and he has furnished some facts on which Dr. Southey has exercised his philosophy, with a success, probably, more satisfactory to himself than convincing to his readers. But that any thing extraordinary, either of bodily or mental affection, was with Mr. Wesley, at any time of his life, of itself deemed so important as to be regarded as a mark of superior piety, is a most unfounded assumption. Those of his Sermons which contain the doctrines which he deemed essential, his Notes on the New Testament,

* *Life of Wesley.*

and the Rules by which every member of his societies was required to be governed, are sufficiently in refutation of this notion. In them no reference is made to any thing visionary, as a part, however small, of true religion, unless, indeed, all spiritual religion, changing the heart, and sanctifying the affections, be thought visionary. The rule of admission into his societies was "a desire to flee from the wrath to come;" but then the sincerity of this was to be evidenced by corresponding "fruits" in the conduct; and on this condition only, further explained by detailed regulations, all of them simple and practical, were the members to remain in connection with him. These Rules are the standing evidence that, from the first formation of the Methodist societies, neither a speculative nor a visionary scheme of religion was the basis of their union. Had Mr. Wesley placed religion, in the least, in those circumstances, he would have set up a very different standard of doctrine in his Sermons; and the Rules of his societies would have borne an equivocal and mystic character.

That cases of real enthusiasm occurred at this and subsequent periods, is indeed allowed. There are always nervous, dreamy, and excitable people to be found; and the emotion which was produced among those who were really so "pricked in the heart" as to cry with a sincerity equal to that which was felt by those of old, "What shall we do to be saved?" would often be communicated to such persons by natural sympathy. No one could be blamed for this, unless he had encouraged the excitement for its own sake, or taught the people to regard it as a sign of grace, which most assuredly Mr. Wesley never did. Nor is it correct to represent these effects, genuine and factitious together, as peculiar to Methodism. A great impression was made by the preaching of the Wesleys and Mr. Whitefield in almost all places where they went. Thousands in the course of a few years, and of those too who had lived in the greatest unconcern as to spiritual things, and were most ignorant and depraved in their habits, were recovered from their vices, and the moral appearance of whole neighborhoods was changed. Yet the effects were not without precedent even in those circumstances in which they have been thought most singular and exceptionable. Great and rapid results of this kind were pro-

duced in the first ages of Christianity, but not without "outrages," and strong corporeal as well as mental emotions, nay, and extravagances too. By perversion, even condemnable heresies arose, and a rank and real enthusiasm; but will any man from this argue against Christianity itself, or asperse the labors and characters of those holy men who planted its genuine root in Asia, Africa, and Europe? Will he say, that as, through the corrupt nature of men, evil often accompanies good, one is to be confounded with the other, and that those great evangelists were the authors of the evil because they were the instruments of the benefit? Even in the decline of true piety in the Church of Christ, there were not wanting holy and zealous ministers to carry out the tidings of salvation to the barbarous ancestors of European nations; and strong and effectual impressions were made by their faithful and powerful preaching upon the savage multitudes who surrounded them, accompanied with many effects similar to those which attended the preaching of the Wesleys and Whitefield. But all who went on these sacred missions were not enthusiasts; nor were all the conversions effected by them a mere exchange of superstitions. Such objectors might have known that like effects often accompanied the preaching of eminent men at the Reformation, and that many of the Puritan and Nonconformist ministers had similar successes in large districts in our own country. They might have known that in Scotland, and also among the grave Presbyterians of New England, previous to the rise of Methodism, such impressions had not unfrequently been produced by the ministry of faithful men, attended by very similar circumstances; and they might have been informed that, though on a smaller scale, the same results have followed the ministry of modern missionaries of different religious societies in various parts of the world. It may be laid down as a principle established by fact, that, whenever a zealous and faithful ministry is raised up, after a long spiritual dearth, the early effects of that ministry are not only powerful, but often attended with extraordinary circumstances; nor are such extraordinary circumstances necessarily extravagances because they are not common. If there be an explicit truth in Scripture, it is, that the success of the ministry of the gospel, in the conversion of men, is the consequence of Divine influence; and if

there be a well-ascertained fact in ecclesiastical story, it is that no great and indisputable results of this kind have been produced but by men who have acknowledged this truth, and have gone forth in humble dependence upon that coöperation which is promised in the words, "And, lo, I am with you always, even to the end of the world." This fact, equally striking and notorious, is a strong confirmation that the sense of the sacred oracles on this point was not mistaken by them. The testimony of the word of God is, that, as to ministerial success, "God giveth the increase;" the testimony of experience is, that no success in producing true conversion has ever taken place in any Church, but when this coöperation of God has been acknowledged and sought by the agents employed in it.

The doctrine of Divine influence, as necessary to the conversion of men, being thus grounded on the evidence of Scripture, and further confirmed by fact, it may follow, and that in perfect conformity with revelation, that such influence may be dispensed in different degrees, at different periods. That it was more eminently exerted at the first establishment of Christianity than at some other periods, is certain; and that not only in extraordinary gifts, (for though these might awaken attention and silence unbelief, we have the evidence of Scripture history to prove that miracles cannot of themselves convert men from vice,) but in sanctifying energy, without which the heart is never brought to yield to the authority and will of God in its choice and affections. That in various subsequent periods there have been special dispensations of favor to nations, with reference to the improvement of their moral state, is clear, from a fact which cannot be denied, that eminently holy and gifted men have been raised up at such periods for the benefit of the countries and the age in which they appeared, from whose exertions they have derived the highest moral advantages. For the reasons we have given, we cannot refer the appearance of such men to chance, nor the formation of their characters to the circumstances and spirit of "stirring times." We leave these conclusions to the philosophy of the world; and recognize, in the appearance of such instruments, the merciful designs and special grace of Him "who worketh all and in all." But the argument is, that if such men have really been the in-

struments of "turning many to righteousness," and that if the principles of our religion forbid us to believe that this can be done by any gifts or qualities in them, however lofty, then, according to the Scripture doctrine, they were "workers together with God," and the age in which they labored was distinguished by a larger effusion of the Holy Spirit upon the minds of men. Why this should occur at one time more eminently than at another, we pretend not to say; but even this notion, so enthusiastic, probably, to many, is still in conformity to the word of God, which declares that "the wind bloweth where it listeth;" and that the influence of the Holy Spirit, like the atmosphere, is subject to laws not ascertainable by man. And if this effusion of his influence argue especial, though undeserved, favor to particular nations and ages, this is not more difficult to account for than that, at some periods and places, men of eminent usefulness should be sent into the world, when they do not appear in others; which, being a mere matter of fact, leaves no room for cavil. This view, likewise, accords with what the Scriptures teach us to expect as to the future. For the accomplishment of the sublime consummation of the Divine counsels, agents of great efficiency and qualifications, we believe, will from time to time appear; but our hope does not rest on them, but on Him only who has explicitly promised to "pour out his Spirit upon all flesh," at once to give efficiency to instruments in themselves feeble, however gifted, and so "to order the unruly wills and passions of men," that they may be subdued and sanctified by the truth. If such effusions of Divine influence be looked for, and on such principles, as the means of spreading the power of Christianity generally, we may surely believe it quite accordant both with the spirit and letter of Scripture, that the same influence should often be exerted to preserve and to revive religion; and that if nations, already Christian, are to be the instruments of extending Christianity, not in name only, but in its spirit and sanctity, into all the earth, they should be prepared for this high designation by the special exercise of the same agency turning them from what is merely formal in religion to its realities, and making them examples to others of the purifying grace of the gospel of God our Saviour. Let it then be supposed (no great presumption, indeed) that Christians have quite as

good a foundation for these opinions as others can boast for that paltry philosophy by which they would explain the effects produced by the preaching of holy and zealous ministers in different ages; and we may conclude that such effects, as far as they are genuine, are the result of Divine influence; and, when numerous and rapid, of a Divine influence specially and eminently exerted, giving more than ordinary assistance to the minds of men in their religious concerns, and rendering the obstinate more inexcusable by louder and more explicit calls. Of the extraordinary circumstances which have usually accompanied such visitations, it may be said, that if some should be resolved into purely natural causes, some into real enthusiasm, and (under favor of our philosophers) others into Satanic imitation, a sufficient number will remain, which can only be explained by considering them as results of a strong impression made upon the consciences and affections of men by an influence ascertained to be Divine, though usually exerted through human instrumentality, by its unquestionable effects upon the heart and life. Nor is it either irrational or unscriptural to suppose that times of great national darkness and depravity, the case certainly of this country at the outset of Mr. Wesley and his colleagues in their glorious career, should require a strong remedy; and that the attention of a sleeping people should be roused by circumstances which could not fail to be noticed by the most unthinking. We do not attach primary importance to secondary circumstances; but they are not to be wholly disregarded. The Lord was not in the wind, nor in the earthquake, nor in the fire, but in the "still small voice;" yet that "still small voice" might not have been heard, except by minds roused from their inattention by the shaking of the earth, and the sounding of the storm.

If, however, no special and peculiar effusion of Divine influence on the minds of many of Mr. Wesley's hearers be supposed—if we only assume the exertion of that ordinary influence which, as we have seen, must accompany the labors of every minister of Christ to render them successful in saving men—the strong emotions often produced by the preaching of the founder of Methodism might be accounted for on principles very different from those adopted by many objectors. The multitudes to whom he preached were generally grossly

ignorant of the gospel; and he poured upon their minds a flood of light: his discourses were plain, pointed, earnest, and affectionate; the feeling produced was deep, piercing, and, in numberless cases, such as we have no right, if we believe the Bible, to attribute to any other cause than that inward operation of God with his truth which alone can render human means effectual. Many of those on whom such impressions were made retired in silence, and nurtured them by reflection. The "stricken deer" hastened into solitude, there to bleed, unobserved by all but God. This was the case with the majority; for visible and strong emotions were the occasional, and not the constant, results. At some seasons, indeed, effects were produced which, on Christian principles, we may not hesitate to say, can only be accounted for on the assumption that the influence was both Divine and special; at others, the impression was great, but yet we need assume nothing more than the ordinary blessing of God which accompanies "the word of his grace," when delivered in the fulness of faith and love, in order to account for it. But besides those who were silently pierced, and whose minds were sufficiently strong to command their emotions, there were often many of a class not accustomed to put such restraints upon themselves. To a powerful feeling they offered but a slight resistance, and it became visible. To many people then, as now, this would appear extravagant; but on what principle can the genuineness of the impression be questioned? Only if no subsequent fruit appeared. For if a true conversion followed, then, if there be truth in religion itself, the "finger of God" must be acknowledged.

We have hitherto seen Mr. Wesley and Mr. Whitefield laboring together in harmony, and uniting in a common design to promote the revival of scriptural Christianity through the land. But Mr. Wesley about this time being impressed with the strong tendency of the Calvinistic doctrines to produce Antinomianism, published a sermon against absolute predestination, at which Mr. Whitefield, who some time previously had embraced that notion, took offence. A controversy between them, embracing some other points, ensued, which issued in a temporary estrangement; and they labored from this time independently of each other; their

societies in London, Kingswood, and other places, being kept quite separate.

A reconciliation, however, took place between Mr. Wesley and Mr. Whitefield in January, 1750, so that they preached in each other's chapels. The following entry on this subject appears in his Journal:—"Friday, 19th. In the evening I read prayers at the chapel in West street, and Mr. Whitefield preached a plain, affectionate discourse. Sunday, 21. He read prayers, and I preached. Sunday, 28. I read prayers, and Mr. Whitefield preached. How wise is God, in giving different talents to different preachers! So, by the blessing of God, one more stumbling-block is removed."

The following extract from Mr. Whitefield's will is a pleasing instance of generous, truly Christian feeling:—"I leave a mourning-ring to my honored and dear friends, and disinterested fellow-laborers, the Rev. Messrs. John and Charles Wesley, in token of my indissoluble union with them in heart and Christian affection, notwithstanding our difference in judgment about some particular points of doctrine."*

Mr. Wesley, at Mr. Whitefield's own desire, preached his funeral sermon at the Tabernacle, Moorfields.

Several preachers were now employed by Mr. Wesley to assist in the growing work, which already had swelled beyond even his and his brother's active powers suitably to supply with the ministration of the word of God. Mr. Charles Wesley had discouraged this from the beginning, and even he himself hesitated; but, with John, the promotion of religion was the first concern, and Church order the second, although inferior in consideration to that only. With Charles these views were often reversed. Mr. Wesley, in the year 1741, had to caution his brother against joining the Moravians, after the example of Mr. Gambold, to which he was at that time inclined; and adds, "I am not clear that Brother Maxfield should not expound at Greyhound-lane, nor can I as yet do without him. Our clergymen have increased full as much as the preachers." Mr. Maxfield's preaching had the strong sanction of the Countess of Huntingdon; but so little of design, with reference to the forming of a sect, had Mr.

* Journal.

Wesley, in the employment of Mr. Maxfield, that, in his own absence from London, he had only authorized him to pray with the society, and to advise them as might be needful; and upon his beginning to preach, he hastened back to silence him. On this his mother addressed him: "John, you know what my sentiments have been. You cannot suspect me of favoring readily any thing of this kind. But take care what you do with respect to that young man; for he is as surely called of God to preach as you are. Examine what have been the fruits of his preaching, and hear him also yourself." He took this advice, and could not venture to forbid him.

His defence of himself on this point we may pronounce irrefutable; and it turns upon the disappointment of his hopes, that the parochial clergy would take the charge of those who, in different places, had been brought to God by his ministry, and that of his fellow-laborers.

"It pleased God," says Mr. Wesley, "by two or three ministers of the Church of England, to call many sinners to repentance, who, in several parts, were undeniably turned from a course of sin to a course of holiness.

"The ministers of the places where this was done ought to have received those ministers with open arms; and to have taken those persons who had just begun to serve God into their particular care; watching over them in tender love, lest they should fall back into the snare of the devil.

"Instead of this, the greater part spoke of those ministers as if the devil, not God, had sent them. Some repelled them from the Lord's table; others stirred up the people against them, representing them, even in their public discourses, as fellows not fit to live: Papists, heretics, traitors; conspirators against their king and country.

"And how did they watch over the sinners lately reformed? Even as a leopard watcheth over his prey. They drove some of them from the Lord's table; to which, till now, they had no desire to approach. They preached all manner of evil concerning them, openly cursing them in the name of the Lord. They turned many out of their work, persuaded others to do so too, and harassed them in all manner of ways.

"The event was, that some were wearied out, and so turned back to the vomit again. And then these good pas-

tors gloried over them, and endeavored to shake others by their example.

“When the ministers, by whom God had helped them before, came again to those places, great part of their work was to begin again, if it could be begun again; but the relapsers were often so hardened in sin, that no impression could be made upon them.

“What could they do in a case of so extreme necessity, where so many souls lay at stake?

“No clergyman would assist at all. The expedient that remained was, to find some one among themselves who was upright of heart, and of sound judgment in the things of God; and to desire him to meet the rest as often as he could, in order to confirm them, as he was able, in the ways of God, either by reading to them, or by prayer, or by exhortation.”

This statement may indeed be considered as affording the key to all that which, with respect to Church order, may be called irregularity in Mr. Wesley’s future proceedings. God had given him large fruits of his ministry in various places; when he was absent from them, the people were “as sheep having no shepherd,” or were rather persecuted by their natural pastors, the clergy; he was reduced, therefore, to the necessity of leaving them without religious care, or of providing it for them. He wisely chose the latter; but, true to his own principles, and even prejudices, he carried this no farther than the necessity of the case: the hours of service were in no instance to interfere with those of the Establishment, and at the parish church the members were exhorted to communicate. Thus a religious society was raised up within the national Church, and with this anomaly, that, as to all its interior arrangements as a society, it was independent of the ecclesiastical authority of that Church. The irregularity was, in principle, as great when the first step was taken as at any future time. It was a form of practical and partial separation, though not of theoretical dissent; but it arose out of a moral necessity, and existed for some years in such a state that, had the clergy been disposed to coöperate in this evident revival and spread of true religion, and had the heads of the Church been willing to sanction itinerant labors among its ministers, and private religious meetings among the serious part of the people for mutual edification, the

great body of Methodists might have been retained in communion with the Church of England.

On this matter, which was often brought before the leading and influential clergy, they made their own election. They refused to coöperate: they doubtless thought that they acted right; and, excepting the obloquy and persecution with which they followed an innocent and pious people, they perhaps did so; for a great innovation would have been made upon the discipline of the Church, for which, at that time, at least, it was little prepared. But the clergy, having made their election, have no right, as some of them continue to do, to censure either the founders of Methodism or their people for making more ample provision for their spiritual wants. It was imperative upon the former to provide that pastoral care for the souls brought to God by their labors, which the Church could not or would not afford; and the people had a Christian liberty to follow that course which they seriously believed most conducive to their own edification, as well as a liberty by the very laws of their country. The violent clerical writers against Methodism have usually forgotten that no man in England is bound to the national Church by any thing but moral influence; and that from every other tie he is set free by the laws which recognize and protect religious liberty. Mr. Wesley resisted all attempts at a formal separation, still hoping that a more friendly spirit would spring up among the clergy; and he even pressed hard upon the consciences of his people to effect their uniform and constant attendance at their parish churches, and at the sacrament; but he could not long and generally succeed. Where the clergyman of a parish was moral or pious, there was no difficulty; but cases of conscience were continually arising among his societies, as to the lawfulness of attending the ministry of the irreligious and profane clergymen, who were then and long afterward found throughout the land; and as to hearing, and training up children to hear, false and misleading doctrines, Pelagian, Antinomian, or such as were directed in some form against the religion of the heart, as taught in the Scriptures, and in the services of the national Church. These cases exceedingly perplexed Mr. Wesley; and though he relaxed his strictness in some instances, yet, as he did not sufficiently yield to meet the whole case, and perhaps could

not do it without adopting such an ecclesiastical organization of his societies as would have contradicted the principles to which, as to their relation to the Church, he had, perhaps, over-hastily and peremptorily committed himself: the effect was, that, long before his death, the attendance of the Methodists at such parish churches as had not pious ministers was exceeding scanty; and as they were not permitted public worship among themselves in the hours of Church service, a great part of the Sabbath was lost to them, except as they employed it in family and private exercises. So, also, as to the Lord's Supper: as it was not then administered by their own preachers, it fell into great and painful neglect. To meet the case in part, the two brothers, and a few clergymen who joined them, had public service in church hours, in the chapels in London and some other places, and administered the Lord's Supper to numerous communicants: a measure which, like other inconsistencies of a similar kind, grew out of a sense of duty, warring with, and restrained by, strong prepossessions, and the very sincere but very unfounded hope just mentioned—that a more friendly spirit would be awakened among the clergy, and that all the sheep gathered out of the wilderness would at length be kindly welcomed into the national fold. As ecclesiastical irregularities, these measures stood, however, precisely on the same principle as those subsequent changes which have rendered the body of Methodists still more distinct and separate: a subject to which reference will again be made. The warmest advocates of Church Methodism among ourselves were never consistent Churchmen; and the Church writers, who have set up the example of Mr. Wesley against his more modern followers, have been wholly ignorant or unmindful of his history. Dr. Southey, and others who have fancied a plan of separation in Mr. Wesley's mind from the beginning, though followed cautiously and with policy, "step by step," have shown a better acquaintance with the facts of the progress of Methodism; though they have been most unjust to the pure and un-designing mind of its founder; who walked "step by step," it is true, but only as Providence, by an arrangement of circumstances, seemed to lead the way; and would make no change but as a necessity, arising from conscientious views of the prosperity of a spiritual work, appeared to dictate. Had

he looked forward to the forming of a distinct sect as an honor, he would have attempted to enjoy it in its fulness during his life; and had he been so skilful a designer as some have represented him, he would not have left a large body unprovided for, in many respects essential to its prosperity and permanence, at his death. He left his work unfinished, and knew that he should leave it in that state; but he threw the final results, in the spirit of a strong faith, upon the care of Him whose hand he had seen in it from the beginning.

CHAPTER VII.

Persecution in London—Institution of classes—Mr. Wesley charged with being a Papist—His labors in Yorkshire, Northumberland, and Lincolnshire—Death of Mrs. Susannah Wesley—Labors and persecutions of Mr. Charles Wesley in Staffordshire and Yorkshire—Increase of the societies—Mr. Wesley's danger and escape at Wednesbury—His first visit to Cornwall—Riots in Staffordshire—Preaches for the last time before the University of Oxford—Correspondence with the Rev. J. Erskine—His sermon on “A catholic spirit”—First Conference held—Remarks.

WE have now to follow these apostolic men into still more extended fields of labor, and to contests more formidable. They had sustained many attacks from the press, and some frowns from the authorities of the Church. By mobs they had occasionally been insulted both in England and Wales. But in London, some riotous proceedings, of a somewhat violent character, now occurred at their places of worship. With respect to these, the following anecdote is curious, as it shows that Mr. Wesley's zeal was regarded with favor in a high quarter: “On the last day of 1742, Sir John Ganson called upon Mr. Wesley, and said, ‘Sir, you have no need to suffer these riotous mobs to molest you, as they have done long. I and all the other Middlesex magistrates have orders from above to do you justice whenever you apply to us.’ Two or three weeks after they did apply. Justice was done, though not with rigor; and from that time the Methodists had peace in London.”*

In the discipline of Methodism, the division of the society

into classes is an important branch. Each class is placed under a person of experience and piety, who meets the others once a week, for prayer, and inquiry into the religious state of each, in order to administer exhortation and counsel. The origin of these classes was, however, purely accidental. The chapel at Bristol was in debt; and it was agreed that each member of the society should contribute one penny a week to reduce the burden. The Bristol society was therefore divided into classes; and, for convenience, one person was appointed to collect the weekly subscriptions from each class, and to pay the amount to the stewards. The advantage of this system, when turned to a higher purpose, at once struck the methodical and practical mind of Mr. Wesley: he therefore invited several "earnest and sensible men" to meet him; and the society in London was divided into classes like that of Bristol, and placed under the spiritual care of these tried and experienced persons. At first they visited each person, at his own residence, once a week; but the preferable mode of bringing each class together weekly was at length adopted. These meetings are not, as some have supposed, inquisitorial; but their business is confined to statements of religious experience, and the administration of friendly and pious counsel. Mutual acquaintance with each other is thus formed; the leader is the friend and adviser of all; and among the members, by their praying so often with and for each other, the true "fellowship of saints" is promoted. Opportunities are also thus afforded for ascertaining the wants of the poorer members, and obtaining relief for them; and for visiting the sick: the duty of the leader being to see his members once in the week, either at the meeting, or, if absent from that, at home. Upon this institution Mr. Wesley remarks, "Upon reflection I could not but observe, this is the very thing which was from the beginning of Christianity. In the earliest times, those whom God had sent forth 'preached the gospel to every creature.' The body of hearers were mostly either Jews or heathens. But as soon as any of these were so convinced of the truth as to forsake sin, and seek the gospel of salvation, they immediately joined them together, took an account of their names, advised them to watch over each other, and met these *κατηχούμενοι*, *catechumens*, as they were then called, apart from the great congregation, that they

might instruct, rebuke, exhort, and pray with them and for them, according to their several necessities.”*

A current charge against Mr. Wesley, about this time, was, that he was a Papist; and, from the frequent references to it in his Journal, although it was treated by him with characteristic sprightliness, it appears to have been the occasion of much popular odium, arising from the fears entertained by the nation of the movements of the Pretender. In his Journal, March, 1741, he says, “Calling on a person near Grosvenor Square, I found there was but too much reason here for crying out of the increase of Popery, many converts to it being continually made by the gentleman who preaches in Swallow street three days in every week. Now, why do not the champions, who are continually crying out, ‘Popery, Popery,’ in Moorfields, come hither, that they may not always be fighting ‘as one that beateth the air?’ Plainly, because they have no mind to fight at all, but to show their valor without an opponent. And they well know they may defy Popery at the Foundry without any danger of contradiction.” And some time afterward he remained in London, from whence all Papists had been ordered by proclamation to depart, a week longer than he intended, that he might not seem to plead guilty to the charge. The notion that the Methodists were Papists was also, in those times, the occasion of their being persecuted in several places in the country.

Mr. Wesley now extended his labors northward. He first accepted an invitation into Leicestershire, and has the following amusing anecdote in his Journal: “I stopped a little at Newport-Pagnell, and then rode on till I overtook a serious man, with whom I immediately fell into conversation. He presently gave me to know what his opinions were; therefore I said nothing to contradict them. But that did not content him; he was quite uneasy to know whether I held the doctrine of the decrees, as he did. But I told him, over and over, we had better keep to practical things, lest we should be angry at one another; and so we did for two miles, till he caught me unawares, and dragged me into the dispute before I knew where I was. He then grew warmer and warmer; told me I was rotten at heart, and supposed I was one of John

* *Journal.*

Wesley's followers. I told him, 'No; I am John Wesley himself.' Upon which he appeared,

*'Improvismum aspris veluti qui sentibus anguem
Pressit'—*

'as one who had unawares trodden on a snake,' and would gladly have run away outright. But, being the better mounted of the two, I kept close to his side, and endeavored to show him his heart till we came into the street of Northampton.' In this journey he visited Yorkshire. At Birstal and the neighborhood many persons had been awakened to a serious concern by the conversation and preaching of honest John Nelson, who had himself been brought to the knowledge of God in London, by attending the service at the Foundry, and had returned to his friends in Yorkshire, chiefly moved by a strong desire to promote their salvation. The natural genius of this excellent man, who afterwards suffered much persecution, and was barbarously treated by the magistrates and clergy, was admirably acute, and gave to his repartees a surprising power and convincingness. He greatly excelled in conversation on religious subjects; and his Journal is one of the most interesting pieces of biography published among the Methodists. When Mr. Wesley reached Birstal, he found that he had been the instrument of very extensive good, so that the moral aspect of the town had been changed. After preaching to a large congregation on Birstal-Hill, and on the side of Dewsbury-Moor, and encouraging Mr. Nelson in his endeavors to do good, Mr. Wesley proceeded to Newcastle-upon-Tyne, hoping to have the same fruit of his labors among the colliers of that district as he had seen among those of Kingswood. So true was this lover of the souls of men to his own advice to his preachers, "Go not only to those who need you, but to those who need you most."

On walking through the town, after he had taken some refreshment, he observes, "I was surprised; so much drunkenness, cursing, and swearing, even from the mouths of little children, do I never remember to have seen and heard before in so short a time." Sunday, May 30th, at seven in the morning, he walked down to Sandgate, the poorest and most contemptible part of the town; and, standing at the end of the street with John Taylor, began to sing the hundredth

Psalm. "Three or four people," says he, "came out to see what was the matter, who soon increased to four or five hundred. I suppose there might be twelve or fifteen hundred before I had done preaching, to whom I applied these solemn words: 'He was wounded for our transgressions, he was bruised for our iniquities: the chastisement of our peace was upon him, and by his stripes we are healed.'"

In returning southward, he preached in various parts of Yorkshire; and, visiting Epworth, where a small society of Methodists had been collected, and finding the use of the church denied him, he stood upon his father's tomb, and preached to a numerous congregation, who, as well as himself, appear to have been deeply impressed with the circumstance of the son speaking to them, as from the ashes of his father, on those solemn subjects on which that venerable parish priest had faithfully addressed them for so many years. This was Sunday, June 6th, 1742; and on the Wednesday following he humorously relates: "I rode over to a neighboring town, to wait upon a justice of peace, a man of candor and understanding; before whom, I was informed, their angry neighbors had carried a whole wagon-load of these new heretics. But when he asked what they had done, there was a deep silence; for that was a point their conductors had forgot. At length one said, 'Why, they pretend to be better than other people; and, besides, they pray from morning till night.' Mr. S—— asked, 'But have they done nothing besides?' 'Yes, sir,' said an old man, 'an't please your worship, they have *convert'd* my wife. Till she went among them, she had such a tongue; and now she is as quiet as a lamb.' 'Carry them back, carry them back,' replied the justice, 'and let them convert all the scolds in the town.'"^{**}

On the Sunday following he also preached at Epworth; and remarks, "At six I preached, for the last time, in Epworth churchyard, (being to leave the town the next morning,) to a vast multitude gathered together from all parts, on the beginning of our Lord's Sermon on the Mount. I continued among them for near three hours; and yet we scarce knew how to part. O let none think his labor of love is lost, because the fruit does not immediately appear. Near forty

years did my father labor here; but he saw little fruit of all his labor. I took some pains among this people, too; and my strength also seemed to be spent in vain. But now the fruit appeared. There were scarce any in the town, on whom either my father or I had taken any pains formerly, but the seed sown so long since now sprang up, bringing forth repentance and remission of sins.'*

The following remarks on a sermon he heard at Painswick occur in his Journal about this time, and deserve notice: "I went to church at ten, and heard a remarkable discourse, asserting, 'that we are justified by faith alone; but that this faith, which is the previous condition of justification, is the complex of all Christian virtues, including all holiness and good works in the very idea of it.'

"Alas! How little is the difference between asserting, either, 1. That we are justified by works, which is Popery barefaced; (and, indeed, so gross, that the sober Papists, those of the Council of Trent in particular, are ashamed of it;) or, 2. That we are justified by faith and works, which is Popery refined or veiled; (but with so thin a veil, that every attentive observer must discern it is the same still;) or, 3. That we are justified by faith alone, but by such faith as includes all good works.† What a poor shift is this: 'I will not say we are justified by works, nor yet by faith and works, because I have subscribed articles and homilies which maintain just the contrary. No; I say, we are justified by faith alone. But then by faith I mean works!'"

After visiting Bristol, he was recalled to London, to attend the last moments of his mother: "Friday, July 30th, about three in the afternoon, I went to my mother, and found her change was near. I sat down on the bedside. She was in her last conflict, unable to speak, but, I believe, quite sensible. Her look was calm and serene, and her eyes fixed upward, while we commended her soul to God. From three to four, the silver cord was loosening, and the wheel breaking at the cistern; and then, without any struggle, or sigh, or groan, the soul was set at liberty. We stood round the bed, and

* Journal.

† Although the faith which justifies does not include good works, it will, when it has justified us, produce and be followed by good works; because it brings us into vital union with Christ.

fulfilled her last request, uttered a little before she lost her speech, ‘Children, as soon as I am released, sing a psalm of praise to God.’”*

So decided a witness was this venerable and intellectual woman of the assurance of faith ; a doctrine she had learned from her sons more clearly to understand. To their sound views, on this scriptural and important subject, the latter years of her life, and her death, gave a testimony which to them must have been, in the highest degree, delightful and encouraging. The following beautiful epitaph, written by her son Charles, was inscribed on her tombstone in Bunhill-FIELDS :

“In sure and steadfast hope to rise,
And claim her mansion in the skies,
A Christian here her flesh laid down,
The cross exchanging for a crown.

True daughter of affliction, she,
Inured to pain and misery,
Mourned a long night of griefs and fears,
A legal night of seventy years.

The Father then revealed his Son,
Him in the broken bread made known :
She knew and felt her sins forgiven,
And found the earnest of her heaven.

Meet for the fellowship above,
She heard the call, ‘Arise, my love !’
‘I come,’ her dying looks replied,
And lamb-like, as her Lord, she died.”

The labors of Mr. Charles Wesley had been very extended and arduous during the early part of the year 1743 ; and, by the Divine blessing, eminently successful. From the west of England, he proceeded to the colliers of Staffordshire, who had before been visited, and found that the society at Wednesbury had increased to more than three hundred, of whose religious state he speaks in his Journal with strong feelings of joy. At Walsall, he preached on the market-house steps :—

“The street was full of fierce Ephesian beasts, (the principal man setting them on,) who roared, and shouted, and

threw stones, incessantly. At the conclusion, a stream of ruffians was suffered to beat me down from the steps: I rose; and, having given the blessing, was beat down again; and so a third time. When we had returned thanks to the God of our salvation, I then, from the steps, bid them depart in peace, and walked through the thickest of the rioters. They reviled us, but had no commission to touch a hair of our head."

He then proceeded to Birmingham, Nottingham, and then to Sheffield. Here the infant society was as a "flock among wolves; the minister having so stirred up the people, that they were ready to tear the Methodists in pieces. At six o'clock I went to the society-house, next door to our brother Bennet's. Hell from beneath was moved to oppose us. As soon as I was in the desk, with David Taylor, the floods began to lift up their voice. An officer in the army contradicted and blasphemed. I took no notice of him, but sang on. The stones flew thick, striking the desk and the people. To save them and the house from being pulled down, I gave out that I should preach in the street, and look them in the face. The whole army of the aliens followed me. The Captain laid hold on me, and began rioting: I gave him for answer, 'A Word in Season; or, Advice to a Soldier.' I then prayed, particularly for His Majesty King George, and 'preached the gospel with much contention.' The stones often struck me in the face. I prayed for sinners, as servants of their master, the devil; upon which, the Captain ran at me with great fury, threatening revenge for abusing, as he called it, 'the King, his master.' He forced his way through the brethren, drew his sword, and presented it to my breast. I immediately opened my breast, and, fixing my eye on his, and smiling in his face, calmly said, 'I fear God, and honor the King.' His countenance fell in a moment: he fetched a deep sigh, and, putting up his sword, quietly left the place. He had said to one of the company, who afterwards informed me, 'You shall see, if I do but hold my sword to his breast, he will faint away.' So, perhaps, I should, had I only his principles to trust to; but if at that time I was not afraid, no thanks to my natural courage. We returned to our brother Bennet's, and gave ourselves up to prayer. The rioters followed, and exceeded in outrage all I have seen before.

Those at Moorfields, Cardiff, and Walsall were lambs to these. As there is no 'king in Israel,' I mean no magistrate in Sheffield, every man doeth as seemeth good in his own eyes." The mob now formed the design of pulling down the society-house, and set upon their work while Mr. Charles Wesley and the people were praying and praising God within. "It was a glorious time," says he, "with us: every word of exhortation sank deep, every prayer was sealed, and many found the Spirit of glory resting upon them." The next day the house was completely pulled down, not one stone being left upon another. He then preached again in the street, somewhat more quietly than before; but the rioters became very noisy in the evening, and threatened to pull down the house where he lodged. He went out to them, and made a suitable exhortation; and they soon afterwards separated, and peace was restored.

At five the next morning, he took leave of the society in these words: "Confirming the souls of the disciples, and exhorting them to continue in the faith, and that we must through much tribulation enter into the kingdom of God." He observes, "Our hearts were knit together, and greatly comforted: we rejoiced in hope of the glorious appearing of the great God, who had now delivered us out of the mouth of the lions. David Taylor had informed me that the people of Thorpe, through which we should pass, were exceedingly mad against us. So we found them, as we approached the place, and were turning down the lane to Barley-Hall. The ambush rose, and assaulted us with stones, eggs, and dirt. My horse flew from side to side, till he found his way through them. They wounded David Taylor in the forehead, and the wound bled much. I turned back, and asked what was the reason that a clergyman could not pass without such treatment. At first the rioters scattered; but their captain, rallying them, answered with horrible imprecations and stones. My horse took fright, and turned away with me down a steep hill. The enemy pursued me from afar, and followed shouting. Blessed be God, I received no hurt, only from the eggs and dirt. 'My clothes indeed abhorred me,' and my arm pained me a little from a blow I received at Sheffield."*

stewards to attend all that were sick in all parts of the town ; desired the leaders of the classes would more carefully inquire, and more constantly inform them, who were sick ; and asked, Who among you is willing, as well as able, to supply this lack of service ?

“ The next morning, many willingly offered themselves. I chose six-and-forty of them, whom I judged to be of the most tender, loving spirit, divided the town into twenty-three parts, and desired two of them to visit the sick in each division.

“ It is the business of a visitor of the sick,

“ 1. To see every sick person within his district thrice a week. 2. To inquire into the state of their souls, and advise them as occasion may require. 3. To inquire into their disorders, and procure advice for them. 4. To relieve them, if they are in want. 5. To do any thing for them which he (or she) can do. 6. To bring in his account weekly to the steward.” “ Upon reflection, I saw how exactly in this also we had copied after the primitive Church. What were the ancient deacons ? What was Phœbe, the deaconess, but such a visitor of the sick ?

“ I did not think it needful to give them any particular rules besides those that follow :

“ 1. Be plain and open in dealing with souls. 2. Be mild, tender, patient. 3. Be cleanly in all you do for the sick. 4. Be not nice.”

The same year was remarkable in the life of Mr. Wesley, for his escape from one of the most dangerous of his encounters with deluded and infuriated mobs. It was first incited by a sermon preached in Wednesbury church, by the clergyman. “ I never,” says Mr. Wesley, “ heard so wicked a sermon, and delivered with such bitterness of voice and manner.” Whilst Mr. Wesley was at Bristol, he heard of the effect produced by this charitable address of the minister to his parishioners, who was assisted in stirring up the persecution against the society, as was very frequent in those days, by the neighboring magistrates—full of what they called churchmanship and loyalty. At Wednesbury, Darlaston, and West-Bromwich, the mobs were stimulated to abuse the Methodists in the most outrageous manner ; even women and children were beaten, stoned, and covered with mud ; their houses broken

open, and their goods spoiled or carried away.* Mr. Wesley hastened to comfort and advise this harassed people as soon as the intelligence reached him, and preached at noon at Wednesbury without molestation; but in the afternoon the mob surrounded the house. The result will best be given from his own account, which displays at once his own admirable presence of mind, and the singular providence of God:—

“I was writing at Francis Ward’s in the afternoon, when the cry arose that the mob had beset the house. We prayed that God would disperse them; and so it was: one went this way, another that, so that in half an hour not a man was left. I told our brethren, Now is the time to go; but they pressed me exceedingly to stay. So, that I might not offend them, I sat down, though I foresaw what would follow. Before five the mob surrounded the house again, and in greater numbers than ever. The cry of one and all was, ‘Bring out the minister! We will have the minister!’ I desired one to take the captain by the hand and bring him into the house. After a few sentences interchanged between us, the lion was become a lamb. I desired him to go, and bring one or two of the most angry of his companions. He brought in two, who were ready to swallow the ground with rage; but in two minutes they were as calm as he. I then bade them make way, that I might go out among the people. As soon as I was in the midst of them, I called for a chair, and asked, ‘What do any of you want with me?’ Some said, ‘We want you to go with us to the justice.’ I replied, ‘That I will with all my heart.’ I then spoke a few words, which God applied; so that they cried out with might and main, ‘The gentleman is an honest gentleman, and we will spill our blood in his defence.’ I asked, ‘Shall we go to the justice to-night, or in the morning?’ Most of them cried, ‘To-night, to-night!’ on which I went before, and two or three hundred followed, the rest returning whence they came.

“The night came on before we had walked a mile, together with heavy rain. However, on we went to Bentley-Hall, two

* The descendants of some of these persecuted people still remain, and show, one a cupboard, another some other piece of furniture, the only article saved from the wreck, and preserved, with pious care, as a monument of the sufferings of their ancestors.

miles from Wednesbury. One or two ran before, to tell Mr. Lane they had brought Mr. Wesley before his worship. Mr. Lane replied, 'What have I to do with Mr. Wesley? Go and carry him back again.' By this time the main body came up, and began knocking at the door. A servant told them Mr. Lane was in bed. His son followed, and asked what was the matter. One replied, 'Why, an't please you, they sing psalms all day; nay, and make folks rise at five in the morning; and what would your worship advise us to do?' 'To go home,' said Mr. Lane, 'and be quiet.'

"Here they were at a full stop, till one advised to go to Justice Persehouse, at Walsall. All agreed to this; so we hastened on, and about seven came to his house. But Mr. Persehouse also sent word that he was in bed. Now they were at a stand again; but at last they all thought it the wisest course to make the best of their way home. About fifty of them undertook to convoy me; but we had not gone a hundred yards, when the mob of Walsall came pouring in like a flood, and bore down all before them. The Darlaston mob made what defence they could; but they were weary, as well as outnumbered; so that, in a short time, many being knocked down, the rest went away, and left me in their hands.

"To attempt speaking was vain, for the noise on every side was like the roaring of the sea; so they dragged me along till we came to the town, where seeing the door of a large house open, I attempted to go in; but a man catching me by the hair, pulled me back into the middle of the mob. They made no more stop till they had carried me through the main street, from one end of the town to the other. I continued speaking all the time to those within hearing, feeling no pain or weariness. At the west end of the town, seeing a door half open, I made toward it, and would have gone in, but a gentleman in the shop would not suffer me, saying they would pull the house to the ground. However, I stood at the door, and asked, 'Are you willing to hear me speak?' Many cried out, 'No, no! knock his brains out! Down with him! Kill him at once!' Others said, 'Nay, but we will hear him first.' I began asking, 'What evil have I done? Which of you all have I wronged in word or deed?' and continued speaking for above a quarter of an hour, till my voice suddenly failed

Then the floods began to lift up their voice again; many crying out, ‘Bring him away! bring him away!’

“In the mean time my strength and my voice returned, and I broke out aloud into prayer. And now the man who just before headed the mob, turned and said, ‘Sir, I will spend my life for you: follow me, and not one soul here shall touch a hair of your head.’ Two or three of his fellows confirmed his words, and got close to me immediately. At the same time the gentleman in the shop cried out, ‘For shame, for shame! let him go!’ An honest butcher, who was a little farther off, said it was a shame they should do thus; and pulled back four or five, one after another, who were running on the most fiercely. The people then, as if it had been by common consent, fell back to the right and left; while those three or four men took me between them, and carried me through them all; but on the bridge the mob rallied again; we therefore went on one side, over the milldam, and thence through the meadows, till, a little before ten, God brought me safe to Wednesbury; having lost only one flap of my waistcoat, and a little skin from one of my hands.

“From the beginning to the end, I found the same presence of mind as if I had been sitting in my own study. But I took no thought for one moment before another; only once it came into my mind, that if they should throw me into the river, it would spoil the papers that were in my pocket. For myself, I did not doubt but I should swim across, having but a thin coat, and a light pair of boots.

“The circumstances that follow I thought were particularly remarkable: 1. That many endeavored to throw me down while we were going down-hill, on a slippery path, to the town; as well judging, that if I was once on the ground I should hardly rise any more. But I made no stumble at all, nor the least slip, till I was entirely out of their hands. 2. That although many strove to lay hold on my collar or clothes to pull me down, they could not fasten at all: only one got fast hold of the flap of my waistcoat, which was soon left in his hand. 3. That a lusty man just behind struck at me several times with a large oaken stick; with which if he had struck me once on the back part of my head, it would have saved him all further trouble; but every time the blow was turned aside, I know not how. 4. That another came rush-

ing through the press, and, raising his arm to strike, on a sudden let it drop, and only stroked my head, saying, ‘What soft hair he has!’ 5. That I stopped exactly at the mayor’s door, as if I had known it, which the mob doubtless thought I did, and found him standing in the shop; which gave the first check to the madness of the people. 6. That the very first men whose hearts were turned were the heroes of the town, the captains of the rabble on all occasions; one of them having been a prize-fighter at the bear-gardens. 7. That from first to last I heard none give a reviling word, or call me any opprobrious name whatever. But the cry of one and all was, ‘The preacher! the preacher! the parson! the minister!’ 8. That no creature, at least within my hearing, laid any thing to my charge, either true or false; having, in the hurry, quite forgot to provide themselves with an accusation of any kind. And, lastly, they were utterly at a loss what they should do with me, none proposing any determinate thing, only, ‘Away with him! kill him at once!’

“When I came back to Francis Ward’s, I found many of our brethren waiting upon God. Many also, whom I had never seen before, came to rejoice with us; and the next morning, as I rode through the town, in my way to Nottingham, every one I met expressed such a cordial affection, that I could scarce believe what I saw and heard.”

At Nottingham he met with Mr. Charles Wesley, who has inserted in his Journal a notice of the meeting, highly characteristic of the spirit of martyrdom in which both of them lived:

“My brother came, delivered out of the mouth of the lions! His clothes were torn to tatters: he looked like a soldier of Christ. The mob of Wednesbury, Darlaston, and Walsall, were permitted to take and carry him about for several hours, with a full intent to murder him; but his work is not yet finished, or he had been now with the souls under the altar.” Undaunted by the usage of John, Charles immediately set out for Wednesbury, to encourage the societies.

In this year Mr. Wesley made his first journey into Cornwall, where his brother had preceded him, led by the same sympathies to communicate the gospel to the then rude and neglected miners of that extreme part of the kingdom, as had induced him to visit the colliers of Kingswood, Staffordshire,

and the north. Here he had preached in various places, sometimes amidst mobs, "as desperate as that at Sheffield." Mr. Wesley followed in August, and came to St. Ives, where he found a small religious society, which had been formed upon Dr. Woodward's plan. They gladly received him, and formed the nucleus of the Methodist societies in Cornwall, which from this time rapidly increased. In this visit he spent three weeks, preaching in the most populous parts of the mining district, with an effect which still continues to be felt. In no part of England has Methodism obtained more influence than in the west of Cornwall. It has become, in fact, the leading profession of the people; and its moral effects upon society may be looked upon with the highest satisfaction and gratitude. Nor were the Cornish people ungrateful to the instrument of the benefit. When he was last in the county, in old age, the man who had formerly slept on the ground for want of a lodging, and picked blackberries to satisfy his hunger, and who had narrowly escaped with his life from a desperate mob at Falmouth, passed through the towns and villages as in a triumphal march, whilst the windows were crowded with people, anxious to get a sight of him, and to pronounce upon him their benedictions.

Between this visit and that of the next year, a hot persecution, both of the preachers and people, broke forth. The preaching-house at St. Ives was pulled down to the ground; one of the preachers was impressed and sent for a soldier, as were several of the people; whilst being stoned, covered with dirt, and abused, was the treatment which many others of them met with from day to day. But, notwithstanding this, they who had been eminent for hurling, fighting, drinking, and all manner of wickedness, continued eminent for sobriety, piety, and meekness. The impressment of the preachers for soldiers by the magistrates was not, however, confined to Cornwall. About the same time, John Nelson and Thomas Beard were thus seized and sent for soldiers, for no other crime, either committed or pretended, than that of calling sinners to repentance. The passive heroism of John Nelson is well known. Thomas Beard, also, was "nothing terrified by his adversaries;" but his body after a while sank under affliction. He was then lodged in the hospital of Newcastle, where he still praised God continually. His fever increasing, he was

let blood ; his arm festered, mortified, and was cut off ; two or three days after which, God signed his discharge, and called him to his eternal home.

The riots in Staffordshire, also, still continued. "The mob of Walsall, Darlaston, and Wednesbury, hired for the purpose by their superiors, broke open their poor neighbors' houses at their pleasure by day and by night ; extorting money from the few that had it, taking away or destroying their victuals and goods, beating and wounding their bodies, insulting the women, and openly declaring they would destroy every Methodist in the country. Thus His Majesty's peaceable and loyal subjects were treated for eight months, and were then publicly branded in the Whitehall and London Evening Post, for rioters and incendiaries !!"*

Several other instances of the brutal maltreatment of the preachers occurred in these early periods, which ended in disablement or premature death. The persecution at St. Ives, Mr. Wesley observes, "was owing in great measure to the indefatigable labors of Mr. Hoblin and Mr. Simmons, gentlemen worthy to be had in everlasting remembrance for their unwearyed endeavors to destroy heresy.

*'Fortunati ambo ! Siquid mea pagina possit,
Nulla dies unquam memori vos eximet ævo.'*

"Happy both ! Long as my writings shall your fame remain."

In August, 1744, Mr. John Wesley preached for the last time before the University of Qxford. Mr. Charles Wesley was present, and observes in his Journal : "My brother bore his testimony before a crowded audience, much increased by the races. Never have I seen a more attentive congregation : they did not suffer a word to escape them. Some of the heads of colleges stood up the whole time, and fixed their eyes upon him. If they can endure sound doctrine, like his, he will surely leave a blessing behind him. The Vice-Chancellor sent after him, and desired his notes, which he sealed up and sent immediately."

His own remarks upon this occasion are, "I am now clear of the blood of those men. I have fully delivered my own

* Whitehead's Life.

soul. And I am well pleased that it should be the very day on which, in the last century, near two thousand burning and shining lights were put out at one stroke. Yet what a wide difference is there between their case and mine! They were turned out of house and home, and all that they had; whereas I am only hindered from preaching in one place, without any other loss, and that in a kind of honorable manner; it being determined that, when my next turn to preach came, they would pay another person to preach for me. And so they did twice or thrice, even to the time I resigned my fellowship.”*

Mr. Wesley had at this time a correspondence with the Rev. James Erskine, from whom he learned that several pious ministers and others in Scotland duly appreciated his character, and rejoiced in the success of his labors, notwithstanding the difference of their sentiments. Mr. Erskine’s letter, indeed, contains a paragraph which breathes a liberality not very common in those days, and which may be useful in the present, after all our boastings of enlarged charity: “Are the points which give the different denominations (to Christians,) and from whence proceed separate communities, animosities, evil-speakings, surmises, and, at least, coolness of affection, aptness to misconstrue, slowness to think well of others, stiffness in one’s own conceits, and overvaluing one’s own opinion, etc., etc., are these points (at least, among the far greatest part of Protestants) as important, as clearly revealed, and as essential, or as closely connected with the essentials of practical Christianity, as the loving of one another with a pure heart fervently, and not forsaking, much less refusing, the assembling of ourselves together, as the manner of some was, and now of almost all is?”†

In a subsequent letter, this excellent man expresses an ardent wish for union among all those of different denominations and opinions who love the Lord Jesus Christ; and on such a subject he was speaking to a kindred mind; for no man ever set a better example of Christian charity, and nowhere is the excellence and obligation of that temper more forcibly drawn and inculcated than in his most interesting

* *Journal.*

† *Ibid.*

sermon on "A Catholic Spirit." With such a testimony and example before them, his followers would be the most inexcusable class of Christians, were they to indulge in that selfish sectarianism with which he was so often unjustly charged; and for which they, though not faultless in this respect, have also been censured more frequently and indiscriminately than they have merited. It would scarcely be doing justice to this part of Mr. Wesley's character, not to insert an extract from the sermon alluded to:—

"Is thy heart right with God? If it be, give me thy hand. I do not mean, 'Be of my opinion.' You need not. I do not expect or desire it. Neither do I mean, 'I will be of your opinion.' I cannot. It does not depend on my choice: I can no more think, than I can see or hear, as I will. Keep you your opinion; I mine; and that as steadily as ever. You need not endeavor to come over to me, or bring me over to you. I do not desire you to dispute those points, or to hear or speak one word concerning them. Let all opinions alone on one side and the other. Only 'give me thine hand.'

"I do not mean, 'embrace my modes of worship;' or, 'I will embrace yours.' This, also, is a thing which does not depend either on your choice or mine. We must both act as each is fully persuaded in his own mind. Hold you fast that which you believe is most acceptable to God; and I will do the same. I believe the Episcopal form of Church-government to be scriptural and apostolical. If you think the Presbyterian or Independent is better, think so still, and act accordingly. I believe infants ought to be baptized, and that this may be done either by dipping or sprinkling. If you are otherwise persuaded, be so still, and follow your own persuasion. It appears to me that forms of prayer are of excellent use, particularly in the great congregation. If you judge extemporary prayer to be of more use, act suitable to your own judgment. My sentiment is, that I ought not to forbid water, wherein persons may be baptized; and that I ought to eat bread and drink wine, as memorials of my dying Master. However, if you are not convinced of this, act according to the light you have. I have no desire to dispute with you one moment upon any of the preceding heads. Let all these smaller points stand aside. Let them never come into sight.

‘If thine heart be as my heart,’ if thou love God and all mankind, I ask no more: ‘Give me thy hand.’

“I mean, first, love me. And that not only as thou lovest all mankind; not only as thou lovest thine enemies, or the enemies of God, those that hate thee, that ‘despitefully use thee and persecute thee;’ not only as a stranger, as one of whom thou knowest neither good nor evil. I am not satisfied with this. No: ‘If thine heart be right, as mine with thy heart,’ then love me with a very tender affection, as a friend that is closer than a brother, as a brother in Christ, a fellow-citizen of the New Jerusalem, a fellow-soldier engaged in the same warfare, under the same Captain of our salvation. Love me as a companion in the kingdom and patience of Jesus, and a joint-heir of his glory.

“Love me (but in a higher degree than thou dost the bulk of mankind) with the love that is ‘long-suffering and kind;’ that is patient, if I am ignorant or out of the way, bearing and not increasing my burden; and is tender, soft, and compassionate still; that ‘envieth not,’ if at any time it please God to prosper me in this work even more than thee. Love me with the love that ‘is not provoked,’ either at my follies or infirmities, or even at my acting (if it should sometimes so appear to thee) not according to the will of God. Love me so as to ‘think no evil’ of me, to put away all jealousy and evil surmising. Love me with the love that ‘covereth all things;’ that never reveals either my faults or infirmities; that ‘believeth all things,’ is always willing to think the best, to put the fairest construction on all my words and actions; that ‘hopeth all things;’ either that the thing related was never done, or not done with such circumstances as are related; or, at least, that it was done with a good intention, or in a sudden stress of temptation. And hope to the end, that whatever is amiss will, by the grace of God, be corrected, and whatever is wanting supplied, through the riches of his mercy in Christ Jesus.”*

And then, having shown how a catholic spirit differs from practical and speculative latitudinarianism and indifference, he concludes: “A man of a catholic spirit is one who, in the manner above-mentioned, ‘gives his hand’ to all whose ‘hearts

are right with his heart.' One who knows how to value and praise God for all the advantages he enjoys, with regard to the knowledge of the things of God, the true scriptural manner of worshipping him; and, above all, his union with a congregation fearing God and working righteousness. One who, retaining these blessings with the strictest care, keeping them as the apple of his eye, at the same time loves as friends, as brethren in the Lord, as members of Christ and children of God, as joint partakers now of the present kingdom of God, and fellow-heirs of his eternal kingdom, all, of whatever opinion, or worship, or congregation, who believe in the Lord Jesus Christ, who love God and man, who, rejoicing to please and fearing to offend God, are careful to abstain from evil, and zealous of good works. He is the man of a truly catholic spirit, who bears all these continually upon his heart; who, having an unspeakable tenderness for their persons, and longing for their welfare, does not cease to commend them to God in prayer, as well as to plead their cause before men; who speaks comfortably to them, and labors, by all his words, to strengthen their hands in God. He assists them to the uttermost of his power in all things, spiritual and temporal. He is ready 'to spend and be spent' for them; yea, 'to lay down his life for their sake.'''*

The first Conference was held in June, 1744. The societies had spread through various parts of the kingdom; and a number of preachers, under the name of assistants and helpers, the former being superintendents of the latter, had been engaged by Mr. Wesley in the work. Some clergymen, also, more or less coöperated to promote these attempts to spread the flame of true religion, and were not yet afraid of the cross. These circumstances led to the distribution of different parts of the kingdom into circuits, to which certain preachers were for a time appointed, and were then removed to others. The superintendence of the whole was in the two brothers, but particularly in Mr. John Wesley. The annual Conferences afforded, therefore, an admirable opportunity of conversing on important points and distinctions of doctrine, that all might "speak the same thing" in their public ministrations, and of agreeing upon such a discipline as the new

circumstances in which the societies were placed might require. The labors of the preachers for the ensuing year were also arranged; and consultation was held on all matters connected with the promotion of the work of God in which they were engaged. Every thing went on, however, not on a pre-conceived plan, but "step by step," as circumstances suggested and led the way. To the great principle of doing good to the souls of men every thing was subordinated; not excepting even their prejudices and fears, as will appear from the Minutes of the first Conference, which was held in London, as just stated, in 1744. The ultimate separation of the societies from the Church, after the death of the first agents in the work, was at that early period contemplated as a possibility, and made a subject of conversation; and the resolution was, "We do and will do all we can to prevent those consequences which are supposed to be likely to happen after our death; but we cannot in good conscience neglect the present opportunity of saving souls while we live, for fear of consequences which may possibly, or probably, happen after we are dead." To this principle Mr. Wesley was "faithful unto death," and it is the true key to his public conduct. His brother, after some years, less steadily adhered to it; and most of the clergymen who attached themselves to Mr. Wesley in the earlier periods of Methodism, found it too bold a position, and one which exposed them to too severe a fire, to be maintained by them. It required a firmer courage than theirs to hold out at such a post; but the founder of Methodism never betrayed the trust which circumstances had laid upon him.

CHAPTER VIII.

Mr. Charles Wesley's labors in Cornwall, Kent, Staffordshire, and the north of England—Persecution at Devizes—Remarks—Mr. Wesley at Newcastle—His statement of the case between the clergy and the Methodists—Remarks—Labors in Lincolnshire, etc.—Persecutions in Cornwall—Count Zinzendorf—Dr. Doddridge—Mr. Wesley a writer of tracts—His sentiments on Church Government—Extracts from the Minutes of the early Conferences—Remarks—Mr. Wesley's labors in different parts of the kingdom—His zeal to diffuse useful knowledge—Mobs in Devonshire—Visits Ireland—Succeeded there by his brother—Persecutions in Dublin.

THE year 1745 was chiefly spent by Mr. Charles Wesley in London, Bristol, and Wales. In the early part of the next year, he paid a visit to a society raised up by Mr. Whitefield at Plymouth, and from thence proceeded into Cornwall, where he preached in various places with great success, but in some of them amidst much persecution. He reviewed this journey with great thankfulness, because of the effects which had been produced by his ministry; and at the close of it he wrote the hymn beginning with the stanza :

“All thanks be to God,
Who scatters abroad
Throughout every place,
By the least of his servants, his savor of grace :
Who the victory gave,
The praise let him have ;
For the work he hath done ;
All honor and glory to Jesus alone !”

On his return to London, through the introduction of Mr. R. Perronet, a pious young man, he visited the Rev. Vincent

Perronet, the venerable Vicar of Shoreham, in Kent, a very holy and excellent clergyman, of whose wise and considerate counsels the Wesleys afterwards frequently availed themselves, in all matters which involved particular difficulty. The name of Wesley was, however, it seems, everywhere become a signal for riot; for, being invited to perform service in Shoreham church, "As soon," says he, "as I began to preach, the wild beasts began roaring, stamping, blaspheming, ringing the bells, and turning the church into a bear-garden. I spoke on for half an hour, though only the nearest could hear. The rioters followed us to Mr. Perronet's house, raging, threatening, and throwing stones. Charles Perronet hung over me to intercept the blows. They continued their uproar after we got into the house."* Mr. E. Perronet returned with him to London, and accompanied him on a tour to the north. On the way they visited Staffordshire, which was still riotous and persecuting; and Mr. Charles Wesley's young friend had a second specimen of the violent and ignorant prejudice with which these modern apostles were followed. The mob beset the house at Tipton-Green, and, beating at the door, demanded entrance. "I sat still," says he, "in the midst of them for half an hour, and was a little concerned for E. Perronet, lest such rough treatment, at his first setting out, should daunt him. But he abounded in valor, and was for reasoning with the wild beasts before they had spent any of their violence. He got a deal of abuse thereby, and not a little dirt; both of which he took very patiently. I had no design to preach; but being called upon by so unexpected a congregation, I rose at last, and read, 'When the Son of man shall come in his glory, and all the holy angels with him, then shall he sit on the throne of his glory.' While I reasoned with them of judgment to come, they grew calmer by little and little. I then spake to them, one by one, till the Lord had disarmed them all. One who stood out the longest, I held by the hand, and urged the love of Christ crucified, till, in spite of both his natural and diabolical courage, he trembled like a leaf. I was constrained to break out into prayer for him. Our leopards were all become lambs; and very kind we were at part-

ing. Near midnight the house was clear and quiet. We gave thanks to God for our salvation, and slept in peace."*

Proceeding onward to Dewsbury, he met with an instance of clerical candor, which, as it was rare in those times, deserves to be recorded: "The minister did not condemn the society unheard, but talked with them, examined into the doctrine they had been taught, and its effects on their lives. When he found that as many as had been affected by the preaching were evidently reformed, and brought to church and sacrament, he testified his approbation of the work, and rejoiced that sinners were converted to God."†

After visiting Newcastle, he went, at the request of Mr. Wardrobe, a Dissenting minister, to Hexham, where the following incidents occurred:—"I walked directly to the market-place, and called sinners to repentance. A multitude of them stood staring at me, but all quiet. The Lord opened my mouth, and they drew nearer and nearer, stole off their hats, and listened; none offered to interrupt, but one unfortunate esquire, who could get no one to second him. His servants and the constables hid themselves; one he found, and bid him go and take me down. The poor constable simply answered, 'Sir, I cannot have the face to do it; for what harm does he do?' Several Papists attended, and the Church minister who had refused me his pulpit with indignation. However, he came to hear with his own ears. I wish all who hang us first, would, like him, try us afterwards.

"I walked back to Mr. Ord's through the people, who acknowledged, 'It is the truth, and none can speak against it.' A constable followed, and told me, 'Sir Edward Blacket orders you to *disperse* the town,' (depart, I suppose he meant,) 'and not raise a disturbance here.' I sent my respects to Sir Edward, and said, if he would give me leave, I would wait upon him and satisfy him. He soon returned with an answer, that Sir Edward would have nothing to say to me; but if I preached again, and raised a disturbance, he would put the law in execution against me. I answered that I was not conscious of breaking any law of God or man; but, if I did, I was ready to suffer the penalty; that, as I had not given notice of preaching again at the Cross, I

* Journal.

† Whitehead's Life.

should not preach again at that place, nor cause a disturbance anywhere. I charged the constable, a trembling, submissive soul, to assure his worship that I reverenced him for his office' sake. The only place I could get to preach in was a cock-pit; and I expected Satan would come and fight me on his own ground. 'Squire Roberts, the justice's son, labored hard to raise a mob, for which I was to be answerable; but the very boys ran away from him, when the poor 'squire persuaded them to go down to the cock-pit and cry fire. I called, in words then first heard in that place, 'Repent, and be converted, that your sins may be blotted out.' God struck the hard rock, and the waters gushed out. Never have I seen a people more desirous of knowing the truth at the first hearing. I passed the evening in conference with Mr. Wardrobe. O that all our Dissenting ministers were like-minded! then would all dissensions cease for ever! November 28th, at six, we assembled again in our chapel, the cock-pit. I imagined myself in the Pantheon, or some heathen temple, and almost scrupled preaching there at first; but we found 'the earth is the Lord's, and the fulness thereof.' His presence consecrated the place. Never have I found a greater sense of God than while we were repeating his own prayer. I set before their eyes Christ crucified. The rocks were melted, and gracious tears flowed. We knew not how to part. I distributed some books among them, which they received with the utmost eagerness, begging me to come again, and to send our preachers to them."*

After preaching in various parts of Lincolnshire, and the midland counties, Mr. Charles Wesley returned to London; but soon, with unwearied spirit, in company with Mr. Minton, he set off for Bristol, taking Devizes by the way, where he had as narrow an escape for his life as his brother had experienced at Wednesbury. An account of these distinguished ministers of Christ would be imperfect without a particular notice of a few of their greatest perils. They show the wretched state of that country which they were the appointed instruments of raising into a higher moral and civil condition, and they illustrate their own character. Each of the brothers might truly say, with an apostle and his coadjutors, "We

have not received the spirit of fear, but of power," (courage,) "of love, and of a sound mind." They felt, too, that they had "received" it; for, with them, "boasting was excluded" by that "law of faith" which led them in all things to trust in and to glorify God. The account is taken from Mr. Charles Wesley's Journal. The Devizes mob had this peculiarity, that it was led on, not only by the curate, but by two Dissenters: thus "Herod and Pilate were made friends:”—

"February 25th. A day never to be forgotten. At seven o'clock I walked quietly to Mrs. Philips's, and began preaching a little before the time appointed. For three quarters of an hour I invited a few listening sinners to Christ. Soon after, Satan's whole army assaulted the house. We sat in a little ground-room, and ordered all the doors to be thrown open. They brought a hand-engine, and began to play into the house. We kept our seats, and they rushed into the passage. Just then, Mr. Borough, the constable, came; and seizing the spout of the engine, carried it off. They swore if he did not deliver it, they would pull down the house. At that time they might have taken us prisoners: we were close to them, and none to interpose; but they hurried out to fetch the larger engine. In the mean time, we were advised to send for the mayor; but Mr. Mayor was gone out of town, in the sight of the people, which gave great encouragement to those who were already wrought up to a proper pitch by the curate and the gentlemen of the town, particularly Mr. Sutton and Mr. Willey, Dissenters, the two leading men. Mr. Sutton frequently came out to the mob to keep up their spirits. He sent word to Mrs. Philips, that if she did not turn that fellow out to the mob, he would send them to drag him out. Mr. Willey passed by again and again, assuring the rioters he would stand by them, and secure them from the law, do what they would.

"The rioters now began playing the larger engine, which broke the windows, flooded the rooms, and spoiled the goods. We were withdrawn to a small upper room in the back part of the house, seeing no way to escape their violence, as they seemed under the full power of the old murderer. They first laid hold on the man who kept the society-house, dragged him away, and threw him into the horse-pond, and, it was said,

broke his back. We gave ourselves unto prayer, believing the Lord would deliver us—how, or when, we saw not, nor any possible way of escaping: we therefore stood still to see the salvation of God. Every now and then some or other of our friends would venture to us, but rather weakened our hands, so that we were forced to stop our ears and look up. Among the rest, the mayor's maid came, and told us her mistress was in tears about me, and begged me to disguise myself in women's clothes, and try to make my escape. Her heart had been turned towards us by the conversion of her son, just on the brink of ruin. God laid his hand on the poor prodigal, and, instead of running to sea, he entered the society. The rioters without continued playing their engine, which diverted them for some time; but their number and fierceness still increased; and the gentlemen supplied them with pitchers of ale, as much as they would drink. They were now on the point of breaking in, when Mr. Borough thought of reading the proclamation: he did so at the hazard of his life. In less than the hour, of above a thousand wild beasts, none were left but the guard. Our constable had applied to Mr. Street, the only justice in town, who would not act. We found there was no help in man, which drove us closer to the Lord; and we prayed with little intermission the whole day.

“Our enemies at their return made their main assault at the back-door, swearing horribly they would have me if it cost them their lives. Many seeming accidents concurred to prevent their breaking in. The man of the house came home, and, instead of turning me out, as they expected, took part with us, and stemmed the tide for some time. They now got a notion that I had made my escape, and ran down to the inn, and played the engine there. They forced the innkeeper to turn out our horses, which he immediately sent to Mr. Clark's, which drew the rabble and their engine thither. But the resolute old man charged and presented his gun, till they retreated. Upon their revisiting us, we stood in jeopardy every moment. Such threatenings, curses, and blasphemies, I have never heard. They seemed kept out by a continual miracle. I remembered the Roman senators, sitting in the Forum, when the Gauls broke in upon them, but thought there was a fitter posture for Christians, and

told my companion they should take us off our knees. We were kept from all hurry and discomposure of spirit by a Divine power resting upon us. We prayed and conversed as freely as if we had been in the midst of our brethren, and had great confidence that the Lord would either deliver us from the danger, or in it. In the height of the storm, just when we were falling into the hands of the drunken, enraged multitude, Mr. Minton was so little disturbed, that he fell fast asleep.

“They were now close to us on every side, and over our heads, untiling the roof. A ruffian cried out, ‘Here they are, behind the curtain.’ At this time we fully expected their appearance, and retired to the furthermost corner of the room; and I said, ‘This is the crisis.’ In that moment Jesus rebuked the winds and the sea, and there was a great calm. We heard not a breath without, and wondered what was become of them. The silence lasted for three quarters of an hour, before any one came near us; and we continued in mutual exhortation and prayer, looking for deliverance. I often told my companions, ‘Now God is at work for us: he is contriving our escape. He can turn these leopards into lambs; can command the heathen to bring his children on their shoulders, and make our fiercest enemies the instruments of our deliverance.’ About three o’clock Mr. Clark knocked at the door, and brought with him the persecuting constable. He said, ‘Sir, if you will promise never to preach here again, the gentlemen and I will engage to bring you safe out of town.’ My answer was, ‘I shall promise no such thing. Setting aside my office, I will not give up my birthright, as an Englishman, of visiting what place I please of His Majesty’s dominions.’ ‘Sir,’ said the constable, ‘we expect no such promise, that you will never come here again: only tell me that it is not your present intention, that I may tell the gentlemen, who will then secure your quiet departure.’ I answered, ‘I cannot come again at this time, because I must return to London a week hence. But, observe, I make no promise of not preaching here when the door is opened; and do not you say I do.’

“He went away with this answer, and we betook ourselves to prayer and thanksgiving. We perceived it was the Lord’s doing, and it was marvellous in our eyes. The hearts of our

adversaries were turned. Whether pity for us, or fear for themselves, wrought strongest, God knoweth; probably the latter; for the mob were wrought up to such a pitch of fury, that their masters dreaded the consequence, and therefore went about appeasing the multitude, and charging them not to touch us in our departure.

“While the constable was gathering his posse, we got our things from Mr. Clark’s, and prepared to go forth. The whole multitude were without expecting us, and saluted us with a general shout. The man Mrs. Naylor had hired to ride before her was, as we now perceived, one of the rioters. This hopeful guide was to conduct us out of the reach of his fellows. Mr. Minton and I took horse in the face of our enemies, who began clamoring against us; the gentlemen were dispersed among the mob, to bridle them. We rode a slow pace up the street, the whole multitude pouring along on both sides, and attending us with loud acclamations. Such fierceness and diabolical malice I have not before seen in human faces. They ran up to our horses as if they would swallow us, but did not know which was Wesley. We felt great peace and acquiescence in the honor done us, while the whole town were spectators of our march. When out of sight we mended our pace; and, about seven o’clock, came to Wrexall. The news of our danger was got thither before us; but we brought the welcome tidings of our deliverance. We joined in hearty prayer to our Deliverer, singing the hymn,

‘Worship, and thanks, and blessing,’ etc.

“February 26. I preached at Bath, and we rejoiced like men who take the spoil. We continued our triumph at Bristol, and reaped the fruits of our labors and sufferings.”

Amidst such storms, more or less violent, were the foundations of that work laid, the happy results of which tens of thousands now enjoy in peace. But even the piety which could hazard such labors and dangers for the sake of “seeking and saving the lost,” and the heroic devotedness which remained constant under them, have not been able to win for them the praise of prejudiced writers on the subject of Methodism. Dr. Southey* has little sympathy with the suffer-

* Life of Wesley.

ings which a persecuted people were doomed in many places so callously to endure ; and he finds in the heroism of their leaders a subject of reproach and contempt, rather than of that admiration which, had they occupied some poetical position, he had doubtless expressed as forcibly and nobly as any man.

Mr. Whitefield, he tells us, had “a great longing to be persecuted ;” though the quotation from one of his letters, on which he justifies the aspersion, shows nothing more than a noble defiance of suffering, should it occur in the course of what he esteemed his duty. Similar sarcasms have been cast by infidels upon all who, in every age, have suffered for the sake of Christ ; and, like those in which Dr. Southey has indulged, they were intended to darken the lustre of that patient courage which sprang out of love to the Saviour and the souls of men, by resolving it into spiritual pride, and a desire to render themselves conspicuous. Of John Nelson, one of Mr. Wesley’s first lay-coadjutors, who endured no ordinary share of oppression and suffering, as unprovoked and unmerited as the most modest and humble demeanor on his part could render it, Dr. Southey truly says, that “he had as high a spirit, and as brave a heart, as ever Englishman was blessed with ;” yet even the narration of his wrongs, so scandalous to the magistracy of the day, and which were sustained by him in the full spirit of Christian constancy, is not dismissed without a sneer at this honest and suffering man himself : “To prison, therefore, Nelson was taken, to his heart’s content.” And so, because he chose a prison rather than violate his conscience, and endured imprisonments and other injuries, with the unbending feeling of a high and noble mind, corrected and controlled by “the meekness and gentleness of Christ,” imprisonment was his desire, and the distinction which he is supposed to have derived from it, his motive ! Before criticism so flippant and callous, no character, however sacred and revered, could stand. It might be applied with equal success to the persecutions of the apostles, and the first Christians themselves ; to the confessors, in the reign of Mary ; and to the whole “noble army of martyrs.”

The real danger to which these excellent men were exposed is, however, concealed by Dr. Southey. Whitefield’s fears, or rather hopes, of persecution, he says, “were suited to the days of Queen Mary, Bishop Gardiner, and Bishop Bonner.

they were ridiculous or disgusting in the time of George the Second, Archbishop Potter, and Bishop Gibson." This is said, because Mr. Whitefield thought that he might probably be called to "resist unto blood;" and our author would have it supposed that all this was "safe boasting," in the reign of George the Second, and whilst the English Church had its Archbishop Potter, and its Bishop Gibson. But not even in the early part of the reign of George the Third, and with other bishops in the Church as excellent as Potter and Gibson, was the anticipation groundless. The real danger was, in fact, so great from the brutality of the populace, the ignorance and supineness of the magistrates, and the mob-exciting activity of the clergy, one of whom was usually the instigator of every tumult, that every man who went forth on the errand of mercy in that day took his life in his hand, and needed the spirit of a martyr, though he was not in danger of suffering a martyr's death, by regular civil or ecclesiastical process. Dr. Southey has himself in part furnished the confutation of his own suggestion, that little danger was to be apprehended, by the brief statements he has given of the hair-breadth escapes of the Wesleys, and of the sufferings of John Nelson. But a volume might be filled with accounts of outrages committed from that day to our own, in different places, (for they now occasionally occur in obscure and unenlightened parts of the country,) upon the persons of Methodist preachers, for the sole fault of visiting neglected places, and preaching the gospel of salvation to those who, if Christianity be true, are in a state of spiritual darkness and danger. To be pelted with stones, dragged through ponds, beaten with bludgeons, rolled in mud, and to suffer other modes of ill-treatment, was the anticipation of all the first preachers, when they entered upon their work; and this was also the lot of many of their hearers. Some lives were lost, and many shortened; the most singular escapes are on record; and if the tragedy was not deeper, that was owing, at length, to the explicit declarations of George the Third on the subject of toleration, and the upright conduct of the judges in their circuits, and in the higher courts, when an appeal was made to the laws in some of the most atrocious cases. Assuredly the country magistrates in general, and the clergy, were entitled to little share of the praise. Much of this is acknowledged by Dr. Southey; but he

attempts to throw a part of the blame upon the Wesleys themselves. "Their doctrines of perfection and assurance" were, he thinks, among the causes of their persecution; and "their zeal was not tempered with discretion." With discretion, in his view of it, their zeal was not tempered. Such discretion would neither have put them in the way of persecution, nor brought it upon them: it would have disturbed no sinner, and saved no soul. But they were not indiscreet in seeking danger, and provoking language never escaped lips in which the law of meekness always triumphed. And as for doctrines, the mobs and their excitors were then just as discriminating as mobs have ever been from the beginning of the world. They were usually stirred up by the clergy, and other persons of influence in the neighborhood, who were almost as ignorant as the ruffians they employed to assault the preachers and their peaceable congregations. The description of the mob at Ephesus, in the Acts of the Apostles, suited them as well as if they had been the original, and not the copy: "Some cried one thing, and some another; for the assembly was confused; and the more part knew not wherefore they were come together." They generally, however, agreed to pull down the preacher, and to abuse both him and his hearers, men, women, and even children; and that because "they troubled them about religion."

That immediate resort to God in prayer, which was practiced, in cases of "peril and danger," by these persecuted ministers; and their ascription of deliverances to the Divine interposition, as in the instances above given, have also been subjects of either grave rebuke, or semi-infidel ridicule. It is not necessary to contend that every particular instance which, in the Journals of the Wesleys, is referred to an immediate answer to prayer, was so in reality; because a few cases may reasonably appear doubtful. These, however, only prove that they cultivated the habit of regarding God in all things, and of gratefully acknowledging his hand in all the events of life; and if there was at any time any over-application of these excellent views and feelings, yet, in minds so sober as to make the word of God, diligently studied, their only guide in all matters of practice, no injurious result could follow. But we must reject the Bible altogether, if we shut out a particular providence; and we reduce prayer to a real absurdity, unless

we allow that its very ground and reason is special interposition. Why, for instance, should a Collect teach us to pray that “this day we may fall into no sin, neither run into any kind of danger,” if we do not thereby place ourselves under a special protection of God, and if our interests must necessarily be dragged after the wheel of some general system of government? Divine interposition is indeed ordinarily invisible, and can be known only from general results: it impresses no mark of interruption or of quickened activity upon the general courses of things with which we may be surrounded: it works often unconsciously through our own faculties, and through the wills and purposes of others, as unconscious of it as we ourselves; yet even in this case, where the indevout see man only, the better-instructed acknowledge God, who “worketh all in all.” But to say that the hand of God is never specially marked in its operations; that his servants, who are raised up by him for important services, shall never receive proofs of his particular care; that an entire trust in him, in the most critical circumstances, shall have no visible honor put upon it; that when we are “in all things” commanded to make our requests known unto God, the prayers which, in obedience to that command, we offer to him in the time of trouble shall never have a special answer—is to maintain notions wholly subversive of piety, and which cannot be held without rejecting, or reducing to unmeaningness, many of the most explicit and important declarations of Holy Scripture. These were not the views entertained by the Wesleys; and in their higher belief they coincided with good men in all ages. They felt that they were about their Master’s business; and they trusted in their Master’s care, so long as it might be for his glory that they should be permitted to live. Nor for that were they anxious; desiring only, that whilst they lived, they should “live unto the Lord,” and that when they died, “they should die to him;” and that so “Christ might be magnified in their body, whether by life or by death.”

The labors of Mr. John Wesley, during the same period of two years, may be abridged from his Journal. In the first month of the year 1745, we find him at London, and at Bristol and its neighborhood. In February, he made a journey, in the stormy and wintry weather of that season, to New-

castle, preaching at various intermediate places. The following extract shows the cheerful and buoyant spirit with which he encountered these difficulties:—

“ Many a rough journey have I had before; but one like this I never had, between wind, and hail, and rain, and ice, and snow, and driving sleet, and piercing cold. But it is past. Those days will return no more, and are therefore as though they had never been.

‘ Pain, disappointment, sickness, strife,
Whate’er molests or troubles life;
However grievous in its stay,
It shakes the tenement of clay,
When past, as nothing we esteem;
And pain, like pleasure, is a dream.’ ”*

As a specimen of that cool and self-possessed manner which gave him so great a power over rude minds, we may take the following anecdote. A man at Newcastle had signalized himself by personal insults offered to him in the streets; and, upon inquiry, he found him an old offender in persecuting the members of the society, by abusing and throwing stones at them. Upon this, he sent him the following note:—

“ ROBERT YOUNG :

“ I EXPECT to see you, between this and Friday, and to hear from you that you are sensible of your fault. Otherwise, in pity to your soul, I shall be obliged to inform the magistrates of your assaulting me yesterday in the street.

“ I am your real friend,
“ JOHN WESLEY.”

“ Within two or three hours Robert Young came, and promised quite a different behavior. So did this gentle reproof, if not save a soul from death, yet prevent a multitude of sins.”†

Whilst at Newcastle, he drew up the following case:—

“ NEWCASTLE-UPON-TYNE, March 11, 1745-6.

“ I HAVE been drawing up this morning a short state of

* Journal.

† Ibid.

the case between the clergy and us: I leave you to make any such use of it as you believe will be to the glory of God.

“1. About seven years since, we began preaching inward, present salvation, as attainable by faith alone.

“2. For preaching this doctrine, we were forbidden to preach in the churches.

“3. We then preached in private houses, as occasion offered; and, when the houses could not contain the people, in the open air.

“4. For this, many of the clergy preached or printed against us, as both heretics and schismatics.

“5. Persons who were convinced of sin begged us to advise them more particularly how to flee from the wrath to come. We replied, if they would all come at one time, (for they were numerous,) we would endeavor it.

“6. For this we were represented, both from the pulpit and the press, (we have heard it with our ears, and seen it with our eyes,) as introducing Popery, raising sedition, practicing both against Church and State; and all manner of evil was publicly said, both of us, and those who were accustomed to meet with us.

“7. Finding some truth herein, viz., that some of those who so met together walked disorderly, we immediately desired them not to come to us any more.

“8. And the more steady were desired to overlook the rest, that we might know if they walked according to the gospel.

“9. But now several of the bishops began to speak against us, either in conversation or in public.

“10. On this encouragement, several of the clergy stirred up the people to treat us as outlaws or mad dogs.

“11. The people did so, both in Staffordshire, Cornwall, and many other places.

“12. And they do so still, wherever they are not restrained by their fear of the secular magistrate.

“Thus the case stands at present. Now, what can we do, or what can you our brethren do, toward healing this breach? which is highly desirable; that we may withstand, with joint force, the still-increasing flood of Popery, deism, and immorality.

“Desire of us any thing we can do with a safe conscience, and we will do it immediately. Will you meet us here?

Will you do what we desire of you, so far as you can with a safe conscience?

“Let us come to particulars. Do you desire us, 1. To preach another, or to desist from preaching this, doctrine?

“We think you do not desire it, as knowing we cannot do this with a safe conscience.

“Do you desire us, 2. To desist from preaching in private houses, or in the open air?

“As things are now circumstanced, this would be the same as desiring us not to preach at all.

“Do you desire us, 3. To desist from advising those who now meet together for that purpose? or, in other words, to dissolve our societies?

“We cannot do this with a safe conscience; for we apprehend many souls would be lost thereby, and that God would require their blood at our hands.

“Do you desire us, 4. To advise them only one by one?

“This is impossible, because of their number.

“Do you desire us, 5. To suffer those who walk disorderly still to mix with the rest?

“Neither can we do this with a safe conscience; because ‘evil communications corrupt good manners.’

“Do you desire us, 6. To discharge those leaders of bands or classes (as we term them) who overlook the rest?

“This is, in effect, to suffer the disorderly walkers still to mix with the rest; which we dare not do.

“Do you desire us, lastly, to behave with reverence toward those who are overseers of the Church of God; and with tenderness both to the character and persons of our brethren, the inferior clergy?

“By the grace of God, we can and will do this. Yea, our conscience beareth us witness that we have already labored so to do; and that at all times, and in all places.

“If you ask what we desire of you to do, we answer, 1. We do not desire any of you to let us preach in your churches, either if you believe us to preach false doctrine, or if you have, upon any other ground, the least scruple concerning it. But we desire that any who believes us to preach true doctrine, and has no scruple at all in this matter, may not be either publicly or privately discouraged from inviting us to preach in his church.

“2. We do not desire that any one who thinks that we are heretics or schismatics, and that it is his duty to preach or print against us as such, should refrain therefrom, so long as he thinks it his duty; although in this case the breach can never be healed.

“But we desire that none will pass such a sentence, until he has calmly considered both sides of the question; that he would not condemn us unheard, but first read what we have written, and pray earnestly that God may direct him in the right way.

“3. We do not desire any favor, if either Popery, sedition, or immorality be proved against us.

“But we desire you will not credit, without proof, any of those senseless tales that pass current with the vulgar; that, if you do not credit them yourselves, you will not relate them to others; (which we have known done;) yea, that you will confute them, so far as ye have opportunity, and discountenance those who still retail them abroad.

“4. We do not desire any preferment, favor, or recommendation from those that are in authority, either in Church or state. But we desire,

“1. That if any thing material be laid to our charge, we may be permitted to answer for ourselves. 2. That you would hinder your dependents from stirring up the rabble against us, who are certainly not the proper judges of these matters. And, 3. That you would effectually suppress, and thoroughly discountenance, all riots and popular insurrections, which evidently strike at the foundation of all government, whether of Church or state.

“Now, these things you certainly can do, and that with a safe conscience: Therefore, until these things are done, the continuance of the breach is chargeable on you, and you only.”*

It is evident from this paper that Mr. Wesley’s difficulties, arising from his having raised up a distinct people within the national Church, pressed upon him. He desired union and coöperation with the clergy; but his hope was disappointed; and perhaps it was much more than he could reasonably indulge. It shows, however, his own sincerity, and that he was

not only led into his course of irregularity, but impelled forward in it, by circumstances which his zeal and piety had created, and which all his prejudices in favor of the Church could not control.

After spending some time in Newcastle and the neighboring places, he visited Lincolnshire, Yorkshire, Lancashire, and Cheshire. On his return southward, he called at Wednesbury, long the scene of riot, and preached in peace. At Birmingham he had to abide the pelting of stones and dirt; and, on his return to London, he found some of the society inclined to Quakerism; but by reading "Barclay's Apology" over with them, and commenting upon it, their scruples were removed. Antinomianism, both of mystic and Calvinistic origin, also gave him trouble; but his testimony against it was unsparing. To erroneous opinions, when innocent, no man was more tender; but when they infected the conduct, they met from him the sternest resistance. "I would wish all to observe, that the points in question between us and either the German or English Antinomians are not points of opinion, but of practice. We break with no man for his opinion. We think and let think."*

In the summer he proceeded to Cornwall, where Dr. Borlase, the historian of that county, in the plenitude of his magisterial authority, still carried on a systematic persecution against the Methodists. He had made out an order for Mr. Maxfield, who had been preaching in various places, to be sent on board a man-of-war; but the Captain would not take him. A pious and peaceable miner, with a wife and seven children, was also apprehended under the Doctor's warrant, because he had said "that he knew his sins forgiven," and this zealous anti-heretic finally made out a warrant against Mr. Wesley himself, but could find no one to execute it. From Cornwall, where his ministry had been attended with great effect, Mr. Wesley proceeded to Wales, and thence to Bristol.

Count Zinzendorf, about this time, directed the publication of an advertisement, declaring that he and his people had no connection with John and Charles Wesley; and concluded with a prophecy that they would "soon run their heads against

* Journal.

a wall." On this Mr. Wesley contents himself with coolly remarking, "We will not, if we can help it."

He now proceeded northward; and at Northampton called on Dr. Doddridge, from whom he had previously received several letters, breathing the most catholic spirit. At Leeds, the mob pelted him and the congregation with dirt and stones; and the next evening, being "in higher excitement, they were ready," says he, "to knock out our brains for joy that the Duke of Tuscany was Emperor." On his arrival at Newcastle, the town was in the utmost consternation, news having arrived that the Pretender had entered Edinburgh. By the most earnest preaching, he endeavored to turn this season of alarm to the spiritual profit of the people; and the large congregations whom he addressed in the streets heard with solemn attention. He then visited Epworth, but speedily returned to Newcastle; judging, probably, that the place of anxiety and danger was his post of duty. Here he made an offer to the General, through one of the aldermen, to preach to the troops encamped near the town, whose dissolute language and manners greatly affected him; but he seems to have received no favorable answer; so, after preaching a few times near the camp, he returned southwards, endeavoring, at Leeds, Birmingham, and other places, to turn the public agitation, arising from the apprehension of civil war, to the best account, by enforcing "repentance towards God, and faith in our Lord Jesus Christ."

Mr. Wesley had occasionally employed himself in writing and getting printed small religious tracts, many thousands of which were distributed. This was revived with vigor on his return to London this year; and he thus, by his example, was probably the first to apply, on any large scale, this important means of usefulness to the reformation of the people. In the form of those excellent institutions called "Tract Societies," the same plan has now long been carried on systematically, to the great spiritual advantage of many thousands. At this period he observes, advertizing to the numerous small tracts he had written and distributed, "It pleased God hereby to provoke others to jealousy; insomuch that the Lord Mayor had ordered a large quantity of papers, dissuading from cursing and swearing, to be printed, and distributed to the train-bands. And this day, an 'Earnest Exhortation to Serious Repent-

ance' was given at every church-door in or near London, to every person who came out ; and one left at the house of every householder who was absent from church. I doubt not but God gave a blessing therewith."*

In the early part of 1746, we find the following entry in Mr. Wesley's Journal :—"I set out for Bristol. On the road I read over Lord King's account of the Primitive Church. In spite of the vehement prejudice of my education, I was ready to believe that this was a fair and impartial draught. But, if so, it would follow that bishops and presbyters are (essentially) of one order ; and that originally every Christian congregation was a Church independent on all others."

The truth is, that Lord King came in only to confirm him in views which he had for some time begun to entertain ; and they were such as show that, though he was a Church-of-England man as to affection, which was strong and sincere as far as its doctrines and its Liturgy were concerned, and though he regarded it with great deference as a legal institution, yet, in respect to its ecclesiastical polity, he was even then very free in his opinions. At the second Conference, in 1745, it was asked, "Is Episcopal, Presbyterian, or Independent Church-government most agreeable to reason ?" The answer is as follows :

"The plain origin of Church-government seems to be this : Christ sends forth a person to preach the gospel ; some of

* Journal. Previous to this, we find him a tract writer and distributor ; for he observes, in the year 1742, "I set out for Brentford with Robert Swindels. The next day we reached Marlborough. When one in the room beneath us was swearing desperately, Mr. Swindels stepped down, and put into his hand the paper entitled, 'Swear not at all.' He thanked him, and promised to swear no more. And he did not while he was in the house." Mr. Wesley had already written tracts entitled "A Word to a Smuggler," "A Word to a Sabbath-breaker," "A Word to a Swearer," "A Word to a Drunkard," "A Word to a Street-walker," "A Word to a Malefactor," and several others. He published these, that his preachers and people might have them to give away to those who were guilty of these crimes, or in danger of falling into them. He considered this as one great means of spreading the knowledge of God. He also early gave his influence to the Sunday-school system. Mr. Raikes began his Sunday-school in Gloucester in 1784 ; and in January, 1785, Mr. Wesley published an account of it in his Magazine, and exhorted his societies to imitate that laudable example.

those who hear him repent, and believe in Christ; they then desire him to watch over them, to build them up in faith, and to guide their souls into paths of righteousness. Here, then, is an independent congregation, subject to no pastor but their own; neither liable to be controlled, in things spiritual, by any other man, or body of men, whatsoever. But soon after, some from other parts, who were occasionally present whilst he was speaking in the name of the Lord, beseech him to come over and help them also. He complies; yet not till he confers with the wisest and holiest of his congregation; and with their consent appoints one who has gifts and grace to watch over his flock in his absence. If it please God to raise another flock, in the new place, before he leaves them, he does the same thing, appointing one whom God hath fitted for the work to watch over these souls also. In like manner, in every place where it pleases God to gather a little flock by his word, he appoints one in his absence to take the oversight of the rest, to assist them as of the ability which God giveth.

“These are deacons, or servants of the Church; and they look upon their first pastor as the common father of all these congregations, and regard him in the same light, and esteem him still as the shepherd of their souls. These congregations are not strictly independent, as they depend upon one pastor, though not upon each other.

“As these congregations increase, and the deacons grow in years and grace, they need other subordinate deacons, or helpers; in respect of whom they may be called presbyters or elders, as their father in the Lord may be called the bishop or overseer of them all.”

This passage is important, as it shows that from the first he regarded his preachers, when called out and devoted to the work, as, in respect of primitive antiquity and the universal Church, parallel to deacons and presbyters. He also then thought himself a scriptural bishop. Lord King’s researches into antiquity served to confirm these sentiments, and corrected his former notion as to a distinction of orders.

It should here be stated, that at these early Conferences one sitting appears to have been devoted to conversation on matters of discipline, in which the propriety of Mr. Wesley’s proceedings in forming societies, calling out preachers, and originating a distinct religious community, governed by its

own laws, were considered; and this necessarily led to the examination of general questions of Church-government and order. This will explain the reason why in the Conferences which Mr. Wesley, his brother, two or three clergymen, and a few preachers held in the years 1744, 1745, 1746, and 1747, such subjects were discussed as are contained in the above extract, and in those which follow. On these, as on all others, they set out with the principle of examining every thing "to the foundation."

"Q. Can he be a spiritual governor of the Church who is not a believer, not a member of it?

"A. It seems not; though he may be a governor in outward things, by a power derived from the King.

"Q. What are properly the laws of the Church of England?

"A. The Rubrics; and to these we submit, as the ordinance of men, for the Lord's sake.

"Q. But is not the will of our governors a law?

"A. No; not of any governor, temporal or spiritual. Therefore, if any bishop wills that I should not preach the gospel, his will is no law to me.

"Q. But if he produce a law against your preaching?

"A. I am to obey God rather than man."

"Q. Is mutual consent absolutely necessary between the pastor and his flock?

"A. No question: I cannot guide any soul, unless he consent to be guided by me; neither can any soul force me to guide him, if I consent not.

"Q. Does the ceasing of this consent on either side dissolve this relation?

"A. It must, in the very nature of things. If a man no longer consent to be guided by me, I am no longer his guide; I am free. If one will not guide me any longer, I am free to seek one who will."

"Q. Does a Church in the New Testament always mean a single congregation?

“A. We believe it does; we do not recollect any instance to the contrary.

“Q. What instance or ground is there then in the New Testament for a National Church?

“A. We know none at all; we apprehend it to be a merely political institution.

“Q. Are the three orders of bishops, priests, and deacons plainly described in the New Testament?

“A. We think they are, and believe they generally obtained in the Church of the apostolic age.

“Q. But are you assured that God designed the same plan should obtain in all Churches throughout all ages?

“A. We are not assured of it, because we do not know it is asserted in holy writ.

“Q. If the plan were essential to a Christian Church, what must become of all foreign Reformed Churches?

“A. It would follow, they are no part of the Church of Christ; a consequence full of shocking absurdity.

“Q. In what age was the Divine right of Episcopacy first asserted in England?

“A. About the middle of Queen Elizabeth’s reign: Till then all the bishops and clergy in England continually allowed and joined in the ministrations of those who were not episcopally ordained.

“Q. Must there not be numberless accidental variations in the government of various Churches?

“A. There must, in the nature of things. As God variously dispenses his gifts of nature, providence, and grace, both the offices themselves, and the officers in each, ought to be varied from time to time.

“Q. Why is it that there is no determinate plan of Church-government appointed in Scripture?

“A. Without doubt because the wisdom of God had a regard to that necessary variety.

“Q. Was there any thought of uniformity in the government of all Churches, until the time of Constantine?

“A. It is certain there was not; nor would there have been then, had men consulted the word of God only.”

Nothing, therefore, can be more clear, than that Mr. Wesley laid the groundwork of his future proceedings, after much deliberation, at this early stage of his progress. He

felt that a case of necessity had arisen, calling upon him to provide a ministry and a government for the people who had been raised up; a necessity which rested upon the obvious alternative, that they must either be furnished with pastors of their own, or be left without sufficient aid in the affairs of their souls. This led him closely to examine the whole matter; and he saw that when the authority of Scripture alone was referred to in matters of Church arrangement and regulation, it enjoined no particular form of administration as binding, but left the application of certain great and inviolable principles to the piety and prudence of those whom God might honor as the instruments of usefulness to the souls of men. Here he took his stand; and he proceeded to call forth preachers, and set them apart or ordain them* to the

* The act of setting apart ministers by Mr. Wesley, but without imposition of hands, is here called their *ordination*, although that term has not been generally in use among us; and may be objected to by those who do not consider that imposition of hands, however impressive as a form, and in most Churches the uniform practice, is still but a *circumstance*, and cannot enter into the *essence* of ordination. That every religious society has the power to determine the mode in which "*the separation*" of its ministers "*to the gospel of God*" shall be visibly notified and expressed, will only be questioned by those whom prejudice and a wretched bigotry have brought under their influence. What the body of Methodists now practice, in this respect, will, however, be allowed to stand on clearer ground than the proceedings of Mr. Wesley, who still continued in communion with the Church. It has, therefore, been generally supposed that Mr. Wesley did not consider his appointment of preachers without imposition of hands as an *ordination* to the ministry; but only as an irregular employment of laymen in the spiritual office of merely expounding the Scriptures in a case of moral necessity. This, however, is not correct. They were not appointed to expound or preach merely, but were solemnly set apart to the pastoral office, as the Minutes of the Conferences show; nor were they regarded by him as *laymen*, except when in common parlance they were distinguished from the clergy of the Church; in which case he would have called any Dissenting minister a *layman*. The first extract from the Minutes of the Conferences above given, sufficiently shows that as to the Church of Christ at large, and as to his own societies, he regarded the preachers, when fully devoted to the work, not as *laymen*, but as *spiritual men*, and *ministers*; men, as he says, "*moved by the Holy Ghost*" to preach the gospel, and who after trial were ordained to that and other branches of the pastoral office. In his sketch of the origin of Church government in that extract, he clearly had in

sacred office, and to enlarge the work by their means, under the full conviction of his acting under as clear a scriptural authority as could be pleaded by Churchmen for Episcopacy, by the Presbyterians for Presbytery, or by the Congregationalists for Independency. Still he did not go beyond the necessity. He could make this scriptural appointment of ministers and ordinances without renouncing communion with the national Church, and therefore he did not renounce it. In these views Charles Wesley too, who was at every one of the early Conferences, concurred with him; and if he thought somewhat differently on these points afterwards, it was Charles who departed from first principles, not John. So much for the accuracy of Dr. Whitehead, who constructed his Life of the two brothers upon just the opposite opinion!

The discipline which Mr. Wesley maintained in the societies was lenient and long-suffering; but where there was an evil at the root, he had an unsparing hand. In March, 1746, he came to Nottingham, and observes: "I had long

view the conformity between what had taken place in his own case, and that which must, in a great number of instances, have occurred in the earliest periods of Christianity; and whilst he evidently refers to himself, as the father and bishop of the whole of the societies, he tacitly compares his "Assistants" to the ancient "Presbyters," and his "Helpers" to the ancient "Deacons." In point of fact, so fully did he consider himself, even in 1747, (whether consistently or not as a Churchman, let others determine, I speak only to the fact,) as *setting apart* or *ordaining* to the ministry, that he appears to have had thoughts of adding imposition of hands to his usual mode of ordination, which was preceded by fasting and private prayer, and consisted of public examination, prayer, and appointment; and he only declines this for *prudential* reasons. "Why," says he, "do we not use more *form* in receiving a new laborer? 1. Because there is something of stateliness in it, and we would be little and inconsiderable. 2. Because we would not *make haste*; we desire barely to follow Providence as it gradually opens." (*Minutes of 1747.*) Even this form, therefore, was regarded as what might, in other circumstances, be required. The bearing of these remarks upon some future ordinations of Mr. Wesley, by imposition of hands, will be pointed out in its proper place. [In the Ordinal prepared by Mr. Wesley from that of the Church of England for the Methodist Episcopal Church in the United States, in 1784, the laying on of hands is prescribed in the ordination of superintendents, or bishops, elders, and deacons, and has always been practiced by the Methodist Episcopal Church.—T. O. S.]

doubted what it was which hindered the work of God here. But upon inquiry the case was plain. So many of the society were either triflers, or disorderly walkers, that the blessing of God could not rest upon them. So I made short work by cutting off all such at a stroke, and leaving only that little handful, who, as far as could be judged, were really in earnest to save their souls."

At Wednesbury and Birmingham he found that some Antinomian teachers, the offspring of that seed which, before the recent revival of religion, had been sown in various parts of the country, and who, in that concern about spiritual things which now prevailed, began more zealously to bestir themselves to mislead and destroy the souls of men, under pretence of preaching a purer gospel, had troubled the societies. By personal conversation with some of these teachers, in the presence of the people, he drew out the odious extent to which they carried their notions of "Christian liberty;" and thus took an effectual method of exposing and confuting the deadly error.

Upon his return to London, it appeared that certain pretended prophets had appeared in the metropolis, and had excited the attention of many. He gratified his curiosity by going to visit one of them; and with good-humored sarcasm observes, that as "he aimed at talking Latin and could not, he plainly showed that he did not understand his own calling." Sober Scotland has in our own day exhibited a similar fanaticism; and the gift of tongues, pretended by some persons there, appears to have proved quite as unsatisfactory an evidence of a Divine commission, as in this case. In visiting Newgate he found a penitent and hopeful malefactor; and his journal affords a specimen of that originality of remark which peculiar cases, often perplexing to others, called forth from him. "A real, deep work of God seemed to be already begun in his soul. Perhaps by driving him too fast, Satan has driven him to God; to that repentance which shall never be repented of." When he subsequently visited Dr. Dodd under condemnation, he is reported to have replied to his apologies for receiving him in the condemned cell, "Courage, brother; perhaps God saw that nothing else would do."

Bristol, Wales, Devonshire, and Cornwall occupied Mr.

Wesley's attention during the summer of 1746; and London, Bristol, and the places adjacent, for the remainder of the year. About this time also he received various letters from the army abroad, giving an account of the progress of religion among the soldiers, and of the brave demeanor in battle of many of their Methodist comrades. These accounts appear to have given him great satisfaction; as showing the power of religion in new circumstances, and as affording him an answer to his enemies, who asserted that his doctrines had the effect of making men dastardly, negligent of duty, and disloyal. In the early part of the year 1747, we find him braving the snows of February in Lincolnshire; and in March he reached Newcastle, to supply the absence of his brother from that important station.

Among other excellences possessed by this great man, he was fond of smoothing the path of knowledge, to the diffusion of which he devoted much attention, and for which end he published several compendiums and brief treatises on its most important branches. In this respect also he was foremost to tread in a path which has been of late years vigorously pursued; and he must be reckoned as one of the leaders of that class of wise and benevolent men, who have exerted themselves to extend the benefits of useful information from the privileged orders of society, into the middle and lower classes. "This week," says he, "I read over with some young men a Compendium of Rhetoric, and a system of Ethics. I see not why a man of tolerable understanding may not, in six months' time, learn more of solid philosophy than is commonly learned at Oxford in four (perhaps seven) years."

On his return from his labors in the north of England, he called at Manchester, which he had formerly several times visited in order to take counsel with his college friend, Clayton, and Dr. Byrom, and had preached in the churches. He was now seen there in a new character. The small house which was occupied by the society could not contain a tenth part of the people, and he therefore walked to Salford-Cross. "A numberless crowd of people partly ran before, partly followed after me. I thought it best not to sing; but, looking round, asked abruptly, 'Why do you look as if you had never seen me before? Many of you have seen me in the

neighboring church, both preaching and administering the sacrament.' I then gave out the text, 'Seek ye the Lord while he may be found; call upon him while he is near.' None interrupted at all, or made any disturbance, till, as I was drawing to a conclusion, a big man thrust in, with three or four more, and bade them 'bring out the engine.' Our friends desired me to remove into a yard just by, which I did, and concluded in peace."

From the north he proceeded through Nottingham and Staffordshire to London, and from thence to the west of England. The influence which his calm courage often gave him over mobs was remarkably shown on this journey: "Within two miles of Plymouth, one overtook and informed us, that the night before all the dock was in an uproar; and that a constable, endeavoring to keep the peace, was beaten and much hurt. As we were entering the dock, one met us, and desired we would go the back way. 'For,' said he, 'there are thousands of people waiting about Mr. Hyde's door.' We rode up straight into the midst of them. They saluted us with three huzzas; after which I alighted, took several of them by the hand, and began to talk with them. I would gladly have passed an hour among them, and believe if I had there had been an end of the riot; but the day being far spent, (for it was past nine o'clock,) I was persuaded to go in. The mob then recovered their spirits, and fought valiantly with the doors and windows. But about ten they were weary, and went every man to his own home. The next day I preached at four, and then spoke severally to a part of the society. About six in the evening I went to the place where I preached the last year. A little before we had ended the hymn, came a lieutenant, a famous man, with his retinue of soldiers, drummers, and mob. When the drums ceased, a gentleman-barber began to speak; but his voice was quickly drowned in the shouts of the multitude, who grew fiercer and fiercer as their numbers increased. After waiting about a quarter of an hour, perceiving the violence of the rabble still increasing, I walked down into the thickest of them, and took the captain of the mob by the hand. He immediately said, 'Sir, I will see you safe home. Sir, no man shall touch you. Gentlemen, stand off. Give back. I will knock the first man down that touches him.' We walked on in great

peace, my conductor every now and then stretching out his neck, (he was a very tall man,) and looking round, to see if any behaved rudely, till we came to Mr. Hyde's door. We then parted in much love. I stayed in the street near half an hour after he was gone, talking with the people, who had now forgot their anger, and went away in high good-humor."

In Cornwall we have a specimen of his prompt and faithful habits of discipline:—

“Wednesday, 8. I preached at St. Ives, then at Sithney. On Thursday the stewards of all the societies met. I now diligently inquired what exhorters there were in each society; whether they had gifts meet for the work; whether their lives were eminently holy; and whether there appeared any fruit of their labor. I found, upon the whole, 1. That there were no fewer than eighteen exhorters in the county. 2. That three of these had no gifts at all for the work, neither natural nor supernatural. 3. That a fourth had neither gifts nor grace, but was a dull, empty, self-conceited man. 4. That a fifth had considerable gifts, but had evidently made shipwreck of the grace of God. These, therefore, I determined immediately to set aside, and advise our societies not to hear them. 5. That J. B., A. L., and J. W., had gifts and grace, and had been much blessed in the work. Lastly, that the rest might be helpful when there was no preacher in their own or the neighboring societies, provided they would take no step without the advice of those who had more experience than themselves.”

In August he visited Ireland, for the first time. Methodism had been introduced into Dublin by Mr. Williams, one of the preachers, whose ministry had been attended with great success, so that a considerable society had been already formed. Mr. Wesley was allowed to preach once at St. Mary's, “to as gay and senseless a congregation,” he observes, “as I ever saw.” This was not, however, permitted a second time; and he occupied the spacious yard of the meeting-house, both in the mornings and evenings, preaching to large congregations of both poor and rich. Among his hearers he had also the ministers of various denominations. The state of the Catholics excited his peculiar sympathy; and, as he could have little access to them by preaching, he published

an address specially for their use. In his Journal he makes a remark on the religious neglect of this class of our fellow-subjects by Protestants, which contains a reproof, the force of which has, unhappily, extended to our own times: "Nor is it any wonder that those who are born Papists generally live and die such, when the Protestants can find no better ways to convert them than penal laws and Acts of Parliament." The chief perplexities which Ireland has occasioned to the empire are to be traced to this neglect; and the dangers which have often sprung up to the state from that quarter have been, and continue to be, its appropriate punishment. Mr. Wesley's visit at this time to Ireland was short; but he requested his brother to succeed him. Mr. Charles Wesley, therefore, accompanied by another preacher, Mr. Charles Perronet, one of the sons of the venerable Vicar of Shoreham, arrived there in September. A persecution had broken out against the infant society in Dublin; and "the first news," says Mr. Charles Wesley, "we heard was, that the little flock stood fast in the storm of persecution, which arose as soon as my brother left them. The Popish mob broke open their room, and destroyed all before them. Some of them are sent to Newgate, others bailed. What will be the event we know not, till we see whether the grand jury will find the bill." He afterwards states that the grand jury threw out the bill, and thus gave up the Methodists to the fury of a licentious mob. "God has called me to suffer affliction with his people. I began my ministry with 'Comfort ye, comfort ye my people,' etc. I met the society, and the Lord knit our hearts together in love stronger than death. We both wept and rejoiced for the consolation. God hath sent me, I trust, to confirm these souls, and to keep them together in the present distress."*

Mr. Charles Wesley spent the winter in Dublin, being daily employed in preaching, and visiting the people. In February he made an excursion into the country, where a few preachers were already laboring, and, in some places, with great success. Thus was the first active and systematic agency for the conversion of the neglected people of Ireland commenced by the Methodists; and, till of late years, it is

greatly to be regretted that they were left to labor almost alone. From that time, however, not only was the spirit of religion revived in many Protestant parts of the country, and many Papists converted to the truth, but the itinerant plan, which was there adopted as in England, enabled the preachers to visit a great number of places where the Protestants were so few in numbers as not to be able to keep up regular worship, or to make head, when left to themselves, against Popish influence. A barrier was thus erected against the further encroachments of Popery; and the light was kept burning in districts where it would otherwise have been entirely extinguished. The influence of the Methodist societies would, however, have been much more extensive, had not the large emigrations which have been almost constantly setting in from Ireland to America, borne away a greater number of their members, in proportion, than those of any other community. Mr. Charles Wesley spent part of the year 1748 in Ireland, and preached in several of the chief towns, and especially at Cork, with great unction and success.

CHAPTER IX.

Labors of the preachers—Doctrinal conversations of the Conferences—Justification—Repentance—Faith—Assurance—Remarks—Fruits of justifying faith—Sanctification—Witness of the Spirit—Remarks—Spirit in which Mr. Wesley sought truth—Miscellaneous extracts from the Minutes of the early Conferences—Notices of the deaths of preachers—Remarks.

THE notices of the journeys and labors of these indefatigable ministers of Christ, given in the preceding chapter, afford but a specimen of the manner in which the foundations of the Methodist Connection were carried out and firmly laid. Nor were the preachers under their direction, though laboring in more limited districts of country, scarcely less laboriously employed. At this period, one of them writes from Lancashire to Mr. Wesley: “Many doors are opened for preaching in these parts, but cannot be supplied for want of preachers. I think some one should be sent to assist me, otherwise we shall lose ground. My circuit requires me to travel one hundred and fifty miles in two weeks; during which time I preach publicly thirty-four times, besides meeting the societies, visiting the sick, and transacting other affairs.”*

Of the preachers, some were engaged in business, and preached at their leisure in their own neighborhoods; but still, zealous for the salvation of men, they often took considerable journeys. Others gave themselves up, for a time, to more extended labors, and then settled; but the third class, who had become the regular “Assistants” and “Helpers” of Mr. Wesley, were devoted wholly to the work of the ministry; and, after a period of probation, and a scrutiny into their character and talents at the annual Conferences, were admit-

* Whithead’s Life.

ted, by solemn prayer, into what was called "full connection," which, as we have stated, was their ordination. No provision was, however, made at this early period for their maintenance. They took neither "purse nor srip;" they cast themselves upon the providence of God, and the hospitality and kindness of the societies, and were by them, like the primitive preachers, "helped forward after a godly sort,"* on their journeys, to open new places, and to instruct those for whose souls "no man cared." It might be as truly said of them as of the first propagators of Christianity, they had "no certain dwelling-place." Under the severity of labor, and the wretched accommodations to which they cheerfully submitted, many a fine constitution was broken, and premature death was often induced.

The annual Conferences have been mentioned; and that a correct view may be taken of the doctrines which at those meetings it was agreed should be taught in the societies, it will be necessary to go back to their commencement. At first every doctrine was fully sifted in successive "Conversations," and the great principles of a godly discipline were drawn out into special regulations, as circumstances appeared to require. After the body had acquired greater maturity, these doctrinal discussions became less frequent; a standard and a test being ultimately established in a select number of Mr. Wesley's doctrinal Sermons, and in his "Notes on the New Testament." The free and pious spirit in which these inquiries were entered into was strikingly marked at the first Conferences, in the commencing exhortation: "Let us all pray for a willingness to receive light, to know of every doctrine whether it be of God." The widest principle of Christian liberty was also laid down, as suited to the infant state of a society which was but just beginning to take its ground, and to assume the appearance of order.

"Q. 3. How far does each of us agree to submit to the judgment of the majority?

"A. In speculative things, each can only submit so far as his judgment shall be convinced; in every practical point,

* The want of a provision for their wives and families, in the early periods of Methodism, caused the loss of many eminent preachers, who were obliged to settle in Independent congregations.

each will submit so far as he can, without wounding his conscience.

“Q. 4. Can a Christian submit any further than this to any man, or number of men, upon earth?

“A. It is plain he cannot: either to Bishop, Convocation, or General Council. And this is that grand principle of private judgment on which all the Reformers at home and abroad proceeded: ‘Every man must judge for himself; because every man must give an account of himself to God.’”*

Never, it may be affirmed, was the formation of any Christian society marked by the recognition of principles more liberal, or more fully in the spirit of the New Testament.

To some of the doctrinal conversations of the first Conferences it is necessary to refer, in order to mark those peculiarities of opinion which distinguished the Wesleyan Methodists. It is, however, proper to observe, that the clergymen and others who thus assembled, did not meet to draw up formal articles of faith. They admitted those of the Church of England; and their principal object was to ascertain how several of the doctrines, relative to experimental Christianity, which they found stated in substance in those Articles, and further illustrated in the Homilies, were to be understood and explained. This light they sought from mutual discussion, in which every thing was brought to the standard of the word of inspired truth.

Their first subject was Justification, which they describe with great simplicity; not loading it with epithets, as in the systematic schools, nor perplexing it by verbal criticism. It is defined to be “pardon,” or “reception into God’s favor;” a view which is amply supported by several explicit passages of Scripture, in which the terms, “pardon,” “forgiveness,” and “remission of sins,” are used convertibly with the term “justification.” To be “received into God’s favor,” according to these Minutes, is necessarily connected with the act of forgiveness, and is the immediate and inseparable consequence of that gracious procedure. The same may be said of adoption; which, in some theological schemes, is made to flow from regeneration, while the latter is held to commence previously to justification. In Mr. Wesley’s views, adoption, as

being a relative change, is supposed to be necessarily involved in justification, or the pardon of sin; and regeneration to flow from both, as an inward moral change arising from the powerful and efficacious work of the Holy Spirit, who is in that moment given to believers.* To their definition of justification, the Minutes add, "It is such a state that, if we continue therein, we shall be finally saved;" thus making final salvation conditional, and justification a state which may be forfeited. All wilful sin was held to imply a casting away of vital faith, and thereby to bring a man under wrath and condemnation; "nor is it possible for him to have justifying faith again without previously repenting." They also agree that faith is "the condition of justification;" adding as the proof, "for every one that believeth not is condemned, and every one who believes is justified." In Mr. Wesley's sermon on justification by faith, the office of faith in justifying is thus more largely set forth:

"Surely the difficulty of assenting to the proposition, that faith is the only condition of justification, must arise from not understanding it. We mean thereby thus much, that it is the only thing without which no one is justified; the only thing that is immediately, indispensably, absolutely requisite in order to pardon. As, on the one hand, though a man should have every thing else, without faith, yet he cannot be justified; so, on the other, though he be supposed to want every thing else, yet if he hath faith, he cannot but be justified. For suppose a sinner of any kind or degree, in a full sense of his total ungodliness, or his utter inability to think, speak, or do good, and his absolute meetness for hell-fire: suppose, I say, this sinner, helpless and hopeless, casts himself wholly on the mercy of God in Christ, (which indeed he cannot do but by the grace of God,) who can doubt but he is forgiven in that moment? Who will affirm that any more is indispensably required, before that sinner can be justified?"

* The connection of favor and adoption with *pardon* arises from the very nature of that act. Pardon, or forgiveness, is release from the penalties and forfeitures incurred by transgression. Of those penalties, the loss of God's favor and of filial relation to him was among the most weighty; pardon, therefore, in its nature, or at least in its natural consequences, implies a restoration to the blessings forfeited, for else the penalty would, in part, remain in force.

"And at what time soever a sinner thus believes, be it in his early childhood, in the strength of his years, or when he is old and hoary-headed, God justifieth that ungodly one ; God, for the sake of his Son, pardoneth and absolveth him, who had in him, till then, no good thing. Repentance, indeed, God had given him before ; but that repentance was neither more nor less than a deep sense of the want of all good, and the presence of all evil. And whatever good he hath or doeth from that hour, when he first believes in God through Christ, faith does not find, but bring. This is the fruit of faith. First, the tree is good, and then the fruit is good also."

Mr. Wesley's views of repentance in this passage will also be noted. Here, as at the first Conference, he insists that repentance, which is conviction of sin, and works meet for repentance, go before justifying faith ; but he held, with the Church of England, that all works before justification had "the nature of sin ;" and that, as they had no root in the love of God, which can only arise from a persuasion of his being reconciled to us, they could not constitute a moral worthiness preparatory to pardon. That a true repentance springs from the grace of God, is certain ; but whatever fruits it may bring forth, it changes not man's relation to God. He is a sinner, and is justified as such ; "for it is not a saint but a sinner that is forgiven, and under the notion of a sinner." God justifieth the ungodly, not the godly.* Repentance, according to his statement, is necessary to true faith ; but faith alone is the direct and immediate instrument of pardon.

Those views of faith (of that faith by which a man, thus penitent, comes to God through Christ) which are expressed in the Minutes of this first Conference, deserve a more particular consideration. Here, as in defining justification, the language of the schools, and of systematic, philosophizing divines, is laid aside, and a simple enunciation is made of the doctrine of the New Testament. "Faith in general is a divine, supernatural *elenchos* of things not seen ; that is, of past, future, or spiritual things. It is a spiritual sight of God and the things of God."†

In this description, faith is distinguished from mere belief, or an intellectual conviction which the consideration of the

* Sermons.

† Minutes.

evidences of the truth of Scripture may produce, and yet lead to no practical or saving consequence. And that there may be a sincere and undoubting belief of the truth, without producing any saving effect, is a point of which our very consciousness may sufficiently assure us; although, in order to support a particular theory on the subject of faith, this has sometimes been denied. Trust is constantly implied in the scriptural account of acceptable and saving faith; and this is the sense in which it was evidently taken in the above definition; for its production in the heart is referred to supernatural agency, and it is made to result from, and to be essentially connected with, a demonstration of spiritual things—such a conviction, wrought by the teaching Spirit, as produces not merely a full persuasion, but a full reliance. Six years before this time, Mr. Wesley, in a sermon before the University of Oxford, had more at large expressed the same views as to justifying faith:—“Christian faith is not only an assent to the whole gospel of Christ, but also a full reliance on the blood of Christ; a trust in the merits of his life, death, and resurrection; a recumbency upon him as our atonement and our life, as given for us, and living in us. It is a sure confidence which a man hath in God, that, through the merits of Christ his sins are forgiven, and he reconciled to the favor of God; and, in consequence hereof, a closing with him, and cleaving to him, as our ‘wisdom, righteousness, sanctification, and redemption,’ or, in one word, our salvation.”*

It will, however, be remarked, that, in order to support his view of the nature of justifying faith by the authority of the Church of England, Mr. Wesley has quoted her words from the Homily on Salvation in the latter part of the above extract; and he thereby involved the subject in an obscurity which some time afterwards he detected and acknowledged. The incorrectness of the wording of the homily is indeed very apparent, although in substance it is sound and scriptural. When that homily defines justifying faith to be “a sure trust and confidence which a man hath in God that his sins are forgiven, and he reconciled to the favor of God,” it is clear that, by the founders of the English Church, saving faith is regarded not as mere belief, but as an act of trust and

* Sermons.

confidence subsequent to the discovery made to a man of his sin and danger, and the fear and penitential sorrow which are thereby produced. The object of that faith they make to be God, assuredly referring to God in the exercise of his mercy through the atonement and intercession of Christ; and the trust and confidence of which the homily speaks must be therefore taken to imply a distinct recognition of the merits of Christ, and a full reliance upon them. So far all is scripturally correct, although not so fully expressed as could be desired. That from such a faith, exercised in these circumstances, a "confidence," taking the word in the sense of persuasion or assurance, that "a man's sins are forgiven, and he reconciled to the favor of God," certainly follows, is the doctrine of Scripture; and the authority of the homily may therefore also be quoted in favor of that view of assurance at which Churchmen have so often stumbled, and to which they have so often scornfully referred as the fanatical invention of modern sectaries. There is, however, an error in the homily, which lies not in its substance and general intent, but in this, that it applies the same terms, "trust and confidence," both to God's mercy in Christ, which is its proper object, and to "forgiveness of sins," which is the consequence of a sure trust and confidence in God as exercising mercy "through Christ," because it is that in order to which the trust and confidence is exercised. It follows, therefore, that either there is an error in the latter part of the statement itself; justifying faith not being a confidence that sin is forgiven, which is absurd, because it is the condition previously required in order to the forgiveness of sin; or otherwise, which is probable, that the term "confidence," in the mind of the writer of the homily, was taken in a different sense when applied to God, the object of trust, and to the forgiveness of sin; and, when referred to the latter, meant that persuasion of the fact of being forgiven, which must be attributed to a secret assurance of remission and acceptance by the Spirit of adoption, and which ordinarily closely follows, or is immediately connected with, justifying faith, but which is not of its essence. But "confidence" in this sense implies filial confidence, the trust of a child, of one already passed into the family of God; and hence this is rather the description of the habitual faith of a justified man, than of the act by which a

sinner is justified and adopted. Mr. Wesley therefore soon perceived that the definition of justifying faith in this homily needed some correction; and he thus expressed his views in 1747, in a letter to his brother:—

“Is justifying faith a sense of pardon? *Negatur*” It is denied.

“By justifying faith I mean that faith which whosoever hath not, is under the wrath and the curse of God. By a sense of pardon I mean a distinct, explicit assurance that my sins are forgiven.

“I allow, 1. That there is such an explicit assurance. 2. That it is the common privilege of real Christians. 3. That it is the proper Christian faith which purifieth the heart and overcometh the world.”

“But the assertion, that justifying faith is a sense of pardon, is contrary to reason: it is flatly absurd. For how can a sense of our having received pardon be the condition of our receiving it?

“But does not our Church give this account of justifying faith? I am sure she does of saving or Christian faith: I think she does of justifying faith too. But to the law and to the testimony. All men may err; but the word of the Lord shall stand for ever.”

Mr. Wesley, however, still regarded that trust in the merits of Christ’s death, in which justifying faith consists, as resulting from a supernatural conviction that Christ “loved me” as an individual, and “gave himself for me.” In this he placed the proof that faith is “the gift of God,” a work of the Holy Spirit, as being produced along with this conviction, or immediately following it. From this supernatural conviction, not only that God was in Christ “reconciling the world unto himself,” but that he died “for my sins,” there follows an entire committal of the case of the soul to the merits of the sacrifice of Christ, in an act of trust—in that moment, he held, God pardons and absolves him that so believes or trusts, and that this, his pardon or justification, is then witnessed to him by the Holy Ghost. Nor can a clearer or simpler view of stating this great subject, in accordance with the Scriptures, be well conceived. The state of a penitent is one of various degrees of doubt, but all painful. He questions the love of God to him, from a deep sense of his

sin, although he may allow that he loves all the world beside. Before he can fully rely on Christ, and the promises of the gospel, he must have heightened and more influential views of God's love in Christ, and of his own interest in it. It is the office of the Holy Spirit "to take of the things of Christ, and show them to" the humble mind. This office of the Spirit agrees with that *ξλεγχος*, or "divine conviction," of which Mr. Wesley speaks, and which shows, with the power of demonstrative evidence, the love of Christ to the individual himself in the intention of his sacrifice. From this results an entire and joyful acquiescence with the appointed method of salvation, and a full reliance upon it, followed, according to the promise of Scripture, with actual forgiveness, and the cheering testimony of the Spirit of adoption. Of this faith he allowed different degrees, yet the lowest degree saving; and also different degrees of assurance, and therefore of joy. He was careful to avoid binding the work of the Spirit to one rule, and to distinguish between that peace which flows from a comfortable persuasion of "acceptance through Christ," and those higher joys which may be produced by that more heightened assurance which God is pleased in many cases to impart. He taught that the essence of true justifying faith consists in the entire personal trust of the man of a penitent and broken spirit upon the merits of his Saviour, as having died for him; and that to all who so believe, faith is "imputed for righteousness;" or, in other words, pardon was administered.*

* That Mr. Wesley did not hold that assurance of personal pardon is of the essence of justifying faith, is certain, from the remarks in his letter to his brother before quoted, in which he plainly states, that to believe that I am pardoned in order to pardon, is an absurdity and a contradiction. There will, however, appear some obscurity in a few other passages in his writings, unless we notice the sense in which he uses certain terms, a matter in which he never felt himself bound by the systematic phraseology of scholastic theologians. Thus there is an apparent discrepancy between the statement of his views as given above, and the following passage in his sermon on the "Scripture Way of Salvation:"

"Taking the word in a more particular sense, faith is a divine evidence and conviction, not only that 'God was in Christ, reconciling the world unto himself,' but also that *Christ loved me and gave himself for me*. It is by faith (whether we term it the essence, or rather a

The immediate fruits of justifying faith are stated in these Minutes to be "peace, joy, love: power over all outward sin, and power to keep down inward sin." Justifying faith, when lost, is not again attainable, except by repentance and prayer; but "no believer need come again into a state of doubt, or fear, or darkness; and that (ordinarily at least) he will not, unless by ignorance or unfaithfulness." Assaults of doubt and fear are, however, admitted, even after great confidence and joy; and "occasional heaviness of spirit before large manifestations of the presence and favor of God." To these views of doctrine may be added, that regeneration or the new birth is held to be concomitant with justification. "Good works cannot go before this faith; much less can

property thereof) that we receive *Christ*, that we receive him in all his offices, as Prophet, Priest, and King. It is by this that he is 'made of God unto us wisdom, and righteousness, and sanctification, and redemption.'

"'But is this the *faith of assurance*, or the *faith of adherence*?' The Scripture mentions no such distinction. The apostle says, 'There is one faith, and one hope of our calling; one Christian, saving faith, 'as there is one Lord,' in whom we believe, 'and one God and Father of us all.' And it is certain, this faith necessarily implies an *assurance* (which is here only another word for *evidence*, it being hard to tell the difference between them) that *Christ loved me and gave himself* for me. For 'he that believeth,' with the true living faith, 'hath the witness in himself: ' 'the Spirit witnesseth with his spirit, that he is a child of God.' 'Because he is a son, God hath sent forth the Spirit of his Son into his heart, crying, *Abba, Father;*' giving him an assurance that he is so, and a childlike confidence in him. But let it be observed that, in the very nature of the thing, the assurance goes before the confidence. For a man cannot have a childlike confidence in God till he know he is a child of God. Therefore, confidence, trust, reliance, adherence, or whatever else it be called, is not the first, as some have supposed, but the second branch or act of faith."

Yet in fact the only difficulty arises from not attending to his mode of stating the case, and his use of the term *assurance*. When he says that faith includes both adherence and assurance, it is obvious that he does not mean by assurance, the assurance of personal acceptance, which he distinctly, in the same passage, ascribes to the direct testimony of the Spirit of God; but the assurance that Christ "died for me," "for *my sins*," which special manifestations of God's love in Christ to me as an individual, producing an entire trust in the Divine sacrifice for sin, he attributes to a supernatural *elenchos* or conviction. This, however, he considers as a "conviction" in order to faith or

sanctification, which implies a continued course of good works, springing from holiness of heart; but they follow after;" and the reason given for this is, that as salvation, which includes a present deliverance from sin, a restoration of the soul to its primitive health, the renewing of the soul after the image of God, all holy and heavenly tempers and conversation, is by faith, it cannot precede faith, which is the appointed instrument of attaining it. To increase in all these branches of holiness, the exercise of faith in prayer, and the use of all the means appointed by God, are also necessary: a living faith being that which unites the soul to Christ, and secures the constant indwelling and influence of the Holy Spirit in the heart. Such a faith must therefore necessarily lead to uni-

trust; and then the act of personal and entire trust in this manifested love and goodness is succeeded by the direct testimony of the Spirit of Adoption, which he tells us gives a man "the assurance that he is a child of God, and a childlike confidence in him." And when he goes on so truly to state that, "in the very nature of the thing, the assurance goes before the confidence," and that "confidence, trust, or reliance," is not the first but the second branch of faith, he evidently does not here mean that confidence and trust in the merit of Christ by which we are justified, but *filial trust and confidence in God* as our reconciled Father, which must necessarily be subsequent to the other. According to Mr. Wesley's views, the order of our passing into a *state* of justification, and conscious reconciliation to God, is, 1. True repentance, which, however, gives us no worthiness, and establishes no claim upon pardon, although it so necessarily precedes justifying faith, that all trust even in the merits of Christ for salvation would be presumptuous and unauthorized without repentance; "since," as he says, "Christ is not even to be offered to the careless sinner."* 2. A supernatural *elenchos*, or assured conviction, that "Christ loved *me*, and *gave* himself for *me*," in the intention of his death; inciting to and producing full aquiescence with God's method of saving the guilty, and an entire personal trust in Christ's atonement for sin. Of this trust, actual justification is the result; but then follows, 3. The direct testimony of the Holy Spirit, giving assurance in different degrees, in different persons, and often in the same person, that I am a child of God; and, 4. Filial confidence in God. The *elenchos*, the *trust*, the *Spirit's witness*, and the *filial confidence*, he held, were frequently, but not always, so closely united as not to be distinguished as to *time*, though each is, from its *nature*, *successive* and *distinct*.

* Sermon on "The Law established through Faith."

THE REV. JOHN WESLEY.

versal holiness of heart and life, and stands as an impregnable barrier against Pharisaism on the one hand, and the pollutions of Antinomianism on the other.

On another doctrine, in defence of which Mr. Wesley afterwards wrote much, these early Minutes of Conference contain perhaps the best epitome of his views, and may be somewhat at length quoted :

“Q. 1. What is it to be sanctified ?

“A. To be renewed in the image of God, in righteousness and true holiness.

“Q. 2. Is faith the condition, or the instrument, of sanctification ?

“A. It is both the condition and instrument of it. When we begin to believe, then sanctification begins. And as faith increases, holiness increases, till we are created anew.

“Q. 3. What is implied in being a perfect Christian ?

“A. The loving the Lord our God with all our heart, and with all our mind and soul and strength. (Deut. vi. 5 ; xxx. 6 : Ezek. xxxvi. 25-29.)

“Q. 4. Does this imply that all inward sin is taken away ?

“A. Without doubt ; or how could he be said to be saved ‘from all his uncleannesses ?’ (Ezek. xxxvi. 29.)”

And again,—

“Q. 1. How much is allowed by our brethren who differ from us, with regard to entire sanctification ?

“A. They grant, 1. That every one must be entirely sanctified in the article of death.

“2. That, till then, a believer daily grows in grace, comes nearer and nearer to perfection.

“3. That we ought to be continually pressing after this, and to exhort all others so to do.

“Q. 2. What do we allow to them ?

“A. We grant, 1. That many of those who have died in the faith, yea, the greater part of those we have known, were not sanctified throughout, not made perfect in love, till a little before death.

“2. That the term ‘sanctified,’ is continually applied by St. Paul to all that were justified, were true believers.

“3. That by this term alone, he rarely (if ever) means, saved from all sin.

“4. That, consequently, it is not proper to use it in this

sense, without adding the word ‘wholly, entirely,’ or the like.

“5. That the inspired writers almost continually speak of or to those who were justified; but very rarely, either of or to those who were wholly sanctified.

“6. That, consequently, it behooves us to speak in public almost continually of the state of justification; but more rarely, at least in full and explicit terms, concerning entire sanctification.

“Q. 3. What then is the point wherein we divide?

“A. It is this; whether we should expect to be saved from all sin before the article of death.

“Q. 4. Is there any clear scripture promise of this? that God will save us from all sin?

“A. There is: Psalm cxxx. 8, ‘He shall redeem Israel from all his sins.’

“This is more largely expressed in the prophecy of Ezekiel: ‘Then will I sprinkle clean water upon you, and you shall be clean; from all your filthiness and from all your idols will I cleanse you. I will also save you from all your uncleannesses:’ (chap. xxxvi. 25, 29.) No promise can be more clear. And to this the apostle plainly refers in that exhortation, ‘Having these promises, let us cleanse ourselves from all filthiness of flesh and spirit, perfecting holiness in the fear of God.’ (2 Cor. vii. 1.) Equally clear and express is that ancient promise, ‘The Lord thy God will circumcise thine heart, and the heart of thy seed, to love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul.’ (Deut. xxx. 6.)

“Q. 5. But does any assertion answerable to this occur in the New Testament?

“A. There does, and that laid down in the plainest terms. So 1 John iii. 8, ‘For this purpose the Son of God was manifested, that he might destroy the works of the devil;’ the works of the devil, without any limitation or restriction; but all sin is the work of the devil. Parallel to which is that assertion of St. Paul, (Eph. v. 25, 27,) ‘Christ loved the Church, and gave himself for it, that he might present it to himself a glorious Church, not having spot or wrinkle, or any such thing, but that it should be holy and without blemish.’

“And to the same effect is his assertion in the eighth of Romans, (verses 3, 4,) ‘God sent his Son, that the righteous-

ness of the law might be fulfilled in us, walking not after the flesh, but after the Spirit.'

"Q. 6. Does the New Testament afford any further ground for expecting to be saved from all sin?

"A. Undoubtedly it does, both in those prayers and commands which are equivalent to the strongest assertions.

"Q. 7. What prayers do you mean?

"A. Prayers for entire sanctification, which, were there no such thing, would be mere mockery of God. Such in particular are, 1. 'Deliver us from evil;' or rather, 'from the evil one.' Now when this is done, when we are delivered from all evil, there can be no sin remaining. 2. 'Neither pray I for these alone, but for them also which shall believe on me through their word; that they all may be one; as thou, Father, art in me, and I in thee, that they also may be one in us: I in them and thou in me, that they may be made perfect in one.' (John xvii. 20, 21, 23.) 3. 'I bow my knees unto the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, that he would grant you, 'that ye, being rooted and grounded in love, may be able to comprehend with all saints, what is the breadth, and length, and depth, and height, and to know the love of Christ, which passeth knowledge, that ye might be filled with all the fulness of God.' (Eph. iii. 14, 16-19.) 4. 'The very God of peace sanctify you wholly; and I pray God your whole spirit and soul and body be preserved blameless unto the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ.' (1 Thess. v. 23.)

"Q. 8. What command is there to the same effect?

"A. 1. 'Be ye perfect, as your Father which is in heaven is perfect.' (Matt. v. ult.)

"2. 'Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind.' (Matt. xxii. 37.) But if the love of God fill all the heart, there can be no sin there.

"Q. 9. But how does it appear that this is to be done before the article of death?

"A. First, from the very nature of a command, which is not given to the dead, but to the living.

"Therefore, 'Thou shalt love God with all thy heart,' cannot mean, Thou shalt do this when thou diest, but while thou livest.

"Secondly, from express texts of Scripture:

“1. ‘The grace of God that bringeth salvation hath appeared to all men; teaching us that, having renounced (*ἀπνοάγενοι*) ungodliness and worldly lusts, we should live soberly, righteously, and godly, in this present world; looking for the glorious appearing of our Lord Jesus Christ; who gave himself for us, that he might redeem us from all iniquity, and purify unto himself a peculiar people, zealous of good works.’ (Titus ii. 11-14.)

“2. ‘He hath raised up an horn of salvation for us, to perform the mercy promised to our fathers; the oath which he sware to our father Abraham, that he would grant unto us, that we, being delivered out of the hands of our enemies, should serve him without fear, in holiness and righteousness before him, all the days of our life.’ (Luke i. 69, etc.)

“Q. 16. Does not the harshly preaching perfection, tend to bring believers into a kind of bondage or slavish fear?

“A. It does. Therefore we should always place it in the most amiable light, so that it may excite only hope, joy, and desire.

“Q. 17. Why may we not continue in the joy of faith, even till we are made perfect?

“A. Why indeed? since holy grief does not quench this joy; since, even while we are under the cross, while we deeply partake of the sufferings of Christ, we may rejoice with joy unspeakable.

“Q. 18. Do we not discourage believers from rejoicing evermore?

“A. We ought not so to do. Let them, all their life long, rejoice unto God, so it be with reverence. And even if lightness or pride should mix with their joy, let us not strike at the joy itself, (this is the gift of God,) but at that lightness or pride, that the evil may cease, and the good remain.

“Q. 20. But ought we not to be troubled on account of the sinful nature which still remains in us?

“A. It is good for us to have a deep sense of this, and to be much ashamed before the Lord. But this should only incite us the more earnestly to turn unto Christ every moment, and to draw light, and life, and strength from him, that we may go on, conquering and to conquer. And, therefore, when the sense of our sin most abounds, the sense of his love should much more abound.”

The doctrine of assurance, and the source of it, the testimony of the Holy Spirit, as the Spirit of adoption, are frequently referred to in these early doctrinal conversations. This, however, is more fully stated in Mr. Wesley's sermons; and the following extracts will be necessary to present his views on this subject in their true light:

“But what is the witness of the Spirit? The original word, *μαρτυρία*, may be rendered either (as it is in several places) the witness, or, less ambiguously, the testimony, or the record. So it is rendered in our translation. (1 John v. 11.) ‘This is the record,’ the testimony, the sum of what God testifies in all the inspired writings, ‘that God hath given unto us eternal life, and this life is in his Son.’ The testimony now under consideration is given by the Spirit of God to and with our spirit. He is the Person testifying. What he testifies to us is ‘that we are the children of God.’ The immediate result of this testimony is ‘the fruit of the Spirit;’ namely, ‘love, joy, peace, long-suffering, gentleness, goodness.’ And without these, the testimony itself cannot continue. For it is inevitably destroyed, not only by the commission of any outward sin, or the omission of known duty, but by giving way to any inward sin; in a word, by whatever grieves the Holy Spirit of God.

“2. I observed many years ago, It is hard to find words in the language of men to explain the deep things of God. Indeed, there are none that will adequately express what the Spirit of God works in his children. But, perhaps, one might say, (desiring any who are taught of God to correct, soften, or strengthen the expression,) By the ‘testimony of the Spirit’ I mean, an inward impression on the soul, whereby the Spirit of God immediately and directly witnesses to my spirit that I am a child of God; that ‘Jesus Christ hath loved me, and given himself for me;’ that all my sins are blotted out, and I, even I, am reconciled to God.

“3. After twenty years' further consideration, I see no cause to retract any part of this. Neither do I conceive how any of these expressions may be altered, so as to make them more intelligible. I can only add, that if any of the children of God will point out any other expressions which are more clear, or more agreeable to the word of God, I will readily lay these aside.

“4. Meantime let it be observed, I do not mean hereby, that the Spirit of God testifies this by any outward voice; no, nor always by an inward voice, although he may do this sometimes. Neither do I suppose that he always applies to the heart (though he often may) one or more texts of Scripture. But he so works upon the soul by his immediate influence, and by strong though inexplicable operation, that the stormy wind and troubled waves subside, and there is a sweet calm; the heart resting as in the arms of Jesus, and the sinner being clearly satisfied that all his ‘iniquities are forgiven, and his sins covered.’

“5. Now, what is the matter of dispute concerning this? Not, whether there be a witness or testimony of the Spirit. Not, whether the Spirit does testify with our spirit that we are the children of God. None can deny this, without flatly contradicting the Scriptures, and charging a lie upon the God of truth. Therefore, that there is a testimony of the Spirit, is acknowledged by all parties.

“6. Neither is it questioned, whether there is an indirect witness or testimony that we are the children of God. This is nearly, if not exactly, the same with the ‘testimony of a good conscience toward God;’ and is the result of reason, or reflection on what we feel in our own souls. Strictly speaking, it is a conclusion drawn partly from the word of God, and partly from our own experience. The word of God says, every one who has the fruit of the Spirit is a child of God. Experience or inward consciousness tells me that I have the fruit of the Spirit; and hence, I rationally conclude, therefore I am a child of God. This is likewise allowed on all hands, and so is no matter of controversy.

“7. Nor do we assert that there can be any real testimony of the Spirit without the fruit of the Spirit. We assert, on the contrary, that the fruit of the Spirit immediately springs from this testimony; not always, indeed, in the same degree even when the testimony is first given, and much less afterwards. Neither joy nor peace is always at one stay; no, nor love; as neither is the testimony itself always strong and clear.

“8. But the point in question is, whether there be any direct testimony of the Spirit at all; whether there be any other testimony of the Spirit than that which arises from a consciousness of the fruit.

“1. I believe there is, because that is the plain, natural meaning of the text, ‘The Spirit itself beareth witness with our spirit that we are the children of God.’ It is manifest, here are two witnesses mentioned, who together testify the same thing, the Spirit of God, and our own spirit. The late Bishop of London, in his sermon on this text, seems astonished that any one can doubt of this, which appears upon the very face of the words. Now, ‘the testimony of our own spirit,’ says the Bishop, ‘is one, which is the consciousness of our own sincerity;’ or, to express the same thing a little more clearly, the consciousness of the fruit of the Spirit. When our spirit is conscious of this, of love, joy, peace, long-suffering, gentleness, goodness, it easily infers, from these premises, that we are the children of God.

“2. It is true, that great man supposes the other witness to be ‘the consciousness of our own good works.’ This, he affirms, is ‘the testimony of God’s Spirit.’ But this is included in the testimony of our own spirit; yea, and in sincerity, even according to the common sense of the word. So the apostle: ‘Our rejoicing is this, the testimony of our conscience, that in simplicity and godly sincerity we have our conversation in the world;’ where it is plain, sincerity refers to our words and actions at least as much as to our inward dispositions. So that this is not another witness, but the very same that he mentioned before; the consciousness of our good works being only one branch of the consciousness of our sincerity. Consequently, here is only one witness still. If, therefore, the text speaks of two witnesses, one of these is not the consciousness of our good works, neither of our sincerity; all this being manifestly contained in ‘the testimony of our spirit.’

“3. What, then, is the other witness? This might easily be learned, if the text itself were not sufficiently clear, from the verse immediately preceding: ‘Ye have received, not the spirit of bondage, but the Spirit of adoption, whereby we cry, Abba, Father!’ It follows, ‘The Spirit itself beareth witness with our spirit that we are the children of God.’

“4. This is further explained by the parallel text: ‘Because ye are sons, God hath sent forth the Spirit of his Son into your hearts, crying, Abba, Father!’ (Gal. iv. 6.) Is not this something immediate and direct, not the result of reflec-

tion or argumentation? Does not this Spirit cry, 'Abba, Father,' in our hearts, the moment it is given? antecedently to any reflection upon our sincerity, yea, to any reasoning whatsoever? And is not this the plain, natural sense of the words, which strikes any one as soon as he hears them? All these texts, then, in their most obvious meaning, describe a direct testimony of the Spirit.

"5. That the testimony of the Spirit of God must, in the very nature of things, be antecedent to the testimony of our own spirit, may appear from this single consideration. We must be holy in heart and life before we can be conscious that we are so. But we must love God before we can be holy at all, this being the root of all holiness. Now, we cannot love God till we know he loves us: 'We love him, because he first loved us.' And we cannot know his love to us till his Spirit witnesses it to our spirit. Since, therefore, the testimony of his Spirit must precede the love of God and all holiness, of consequence it must precede our consciousness thereof."

A doctrine so often misrepresented and misunderstood could not be so properly stated as in Mr. Wesley's own words; and as many, and those even professing to be sober Christians, have, principally with reference to this doctrine, frequently opened upon this venerable man the full cry of enthusiasm and fanatical delusion, it may be proper to add a few explanatory and defensive remarks, and that not merely for the sake of justice to his opinions, but in support of a great doctrine of revelation, most intimately connected with the hope and comfort of man.

And, 1. The doctrine of assurance, as held by the founder of Methodism, was not the assurance of eternal salvation, as held by Calvinistic divines; but that persuasion which is given by the Holy Spirit to penitent and believing persons, that they are "now accepted of God, pardoned, and adopted into God's family." It was an assurance, therefore, on the ground of which no relaxation of religious effort could be pleaded, and no unwatchfulness of spirit or irregularity of life allowed. For he taught, that only by the lively exercise of the same humble and obedient faith in the merits and intercession of Christ, this state of mind could be maintained; and it was made by him a motive (influential as

our desire of inward peace can be influential) to vigilance and obedience.

2. This doctrine cannot be denied without disconnecting religion from peace of mind, and habitual consolation. For if it is the doctrine of the inspired records, and of all orthodox Churches, that man is by nature prone to evil, and that in practice he violates that law under which as a creature he is placed, and is thereby exposed to punishment; if, also, it is there stated, that an act of grace and pardon is promised on the conditions of repentance towards God, and faith in our Lord Jesus Christ; if that repentance implies consideration of our ways, a sense of the displeasure of Almighty God, contrition of heart, and consequently trouble and grief of mind, mixed, however, with hope, inspired by the promise of forgiveness, and which leads to earnest supplication for the actual pardon of sin so promised, it will follow, from these premises, either that forgiveness is not to be expected till after the termination of our course of probation, that is, in another life; and that, therefore, this trouble and apprehension of mind can only be assuaged by the hope we may have of a favorable final decision on our case; or, that sin is in the present life forgiven as often as it is thus repented of, and as often as we exercise the required and specific acts of trust in the merits of our Saviour; but that this forgiveness of our sins is not in any way made known unto us; so that we are left, as to our feelings, in precisely the same state as if sin were not forgiven till after death, namely, in grief and trouble of mind, relieved only by hope; or, that when sin is forgiven by the mercy of God through Christ, we are, by some means, assured of it, and peace and satisfaction of mind take the place of anxiety and fear.

The first of these conclusions is sufficiently disproved by the authority of Scripture, which exhibits justification as a blessing attainable in this life, and represents it as actually experienced by true believers. "Therefore, being justified by faith," etc. "There is now no condemnation to them who are in Christ Jesus." "Whosoever believeth is justified from all things," etc. The quotations might be multiplied, but these are decisive. The notion that, though an act of forgiveness may take place, we are unable to ascertain a fact so important to us, is also irreconcilable with many

texts in which the writers of the New Testament speak of an experience, not confined personally to themselves, or to those Christians who were endowed with spiritual gifts, but common to all Christians. "Being justified by faith, we have peace with God." "We joy in God, by whom we have received the reconciliation." "Being reconciled unto God by the death of his Son." "We have not received the spirit of bondage again unto fear, but the Spirit of adoption, whereby we cry, Abba, Father!" To these may be added innumerable passages, which express the comfort, the confidence, and the joy of Christians; their "friendship" with God; their "access" to him; their entire union and delightful intercourse with him; and their absolute confidence in the success of their prayers. All such passages are perfectly consistent with deep humility and self-diffidence; but they are irreconcilable with a state of hostility between the parties, and with an unascertained, and only hoped-for, restoration of friendship and favor.

3. The services of the Church, of which Mr. Wesley was a minister, may be pleaded also in support of his opinions on this subject. Those services, though, with propriety, as being designed for the use, not of true Christians only, but of mixed congregations, they abound in acts of confession, and the expressions of spiritual grief, exhibit also this confidence and peace, as objects of earnest desire and hopeful anticipation, and as blessings attainable in the present life. We pray to be made "children, by adoption and grace;" to be "relieved from the fear of punishment by the comfort of God's grace;" not to be "left comfortless, but that God, the King of glory, would send to us the Holy Ghost to comfort us;" and that, by the same Spirit, having a right judgment in all things, "we may evermore rejoice in his holy comfort." In the prayer directed to be used for one troubled in mind or in conscience, we have also the following impressive petitions: "Break not the bruised reed, nor quench the smoking flax. Shut not up thy tender mercies in displeasure, but make him to hear of joy and gladness, that the bones which thou hast broken may rejoice. Deliver him from the fear of the enemy, and lift up the light of thy countenance upon him, and give him peace." Now, unless it be contended that by these petitions we are directed to seek

what we can never find, and always to follow that which we can never overtake, the Church, in the spirit of the New Testament, assumes that the forgiveness of sins, and the relief of the sorrows of the penitent state, are attainable, with those consequent comforts and joys which can only arise from some assurance of mind, by whatever means and in whatever degree communicated, that we have a personal interest in the general promise, and that we are reconciled to God by the death of his Son. For since the general promise is made to many who will never be benefited by it, it cannot of itself be the ground of a settled religious peace of mind. As it is a promise of blessings to be individually experienced, unless I can have personal experience of them, it holds up to hope what can never come into fruition.*

* "Faith is not merely a speculative but a practical acknowledgement of Jesus as the Christ; an *effort and motion of the mind towards God*; when the sinner, convinced of sin, accepts with thankfulness the proffered terms of pardon, and, in humble confidence applying individually to himself the benefit of the general atonement, in the elevated language of a venerable father of the Church, drinks of the stream which flows from the Redeemer's side. The effect is, that in a little he is filled with that perfect love of God which casteth out fear: he cleaves to God with the entire affection of the soul. And from this active, lively faith, overcoming the world, subduing carnal self, all those good works do necessarily spring, which God hath before ordained that we should walk in them."—BISHOP HORSLEY's *Sermons*.

"The purchase, therefore, was paid at once, yet must be *severally reckoned* to every soul whom it shall benefit. If we have not a hand to take what Christ's hand doth either hold or offer, what is sufficient in him cannot be effectual to us. The spiritual hand, whereby we apprehend the sweet offer of our Saviour, is faith, which, in short, is no other than an affiance in the Mediator. Receive peace, and be happy; believe, and thou hast received. Thus it is that we have an interest in all that God hath promised, or Christ hath performed. Thus have we from God both forgiveness and love, the ground of all whether peace or glory."—BISHOP HALL's *Heaven upon Earth*.

"It is the property of saving faith, that it hath a force to appropriate and make Christ our own. Without this, a general remote belief would have been cold comfort. 'He loved *me*, and gave himself for *me*,' saith St. Paul. What saith St. Chrysostom? 'Did Christ die only for St. Paul? No; *non excludit, sed appropriat*:' he excludes not others, but he will secure himself."—BISHOP BROWNRIGG, *Sermon on Easter Day*.

An assurance, therefore, that those sins which were felt to "be a burden intolerable" are forgiven, and that all ground of that apprehension of future punishment which causes the penitent to "bewail his manifold sins," is removed by restoration to the favor of the offended God, must be allowed, or nothing would be more incongruous and indeed impossible than the comfort, the peace, the rejoicing of spirit which, in the Scriptures, are attributed to believers. If, indeed, self-condemnation, and the apprehension of danger, had no foundation but in the imagination, the case would be totally altered. Where there is no danger, deliverance is visionary; and the joy it inspires is raving and not reason. But if a real danger exists, and if we cannot escape it except by an act of grace on the part of Almighty God, we must have some evidence of his gracious interposition in our case, or the guilty gloom will abide upon us. The more sincere and earnest a person is in the affairs of his salvation, the more miserable he must become if there be no possibility of his knowing that the wrath of God no longer abideth upon him; then the ways of wisdom would be no longer "ways of pleasantness, and paths of peace."

4. Few real Christians, therefore, have ever denied the possibility of our becoming so persuaded of the favor and good will of God towards us as to produce substantial comfort to the mind, but they have differed in opinion as to the means by which this is acquired. Some have said that we obtain it by inference; others, by the direct inward testimony of the Holy Spirit. The latter, as we have seen, was the opinion of Mr. Wesley; but he never failed to connect this doctrine with another, which, on the authority of St. Paul, he calls "the witness of our own spirit;" "the consciousness of having received, in and by the Spirit of adoption, the tempers mentioned in the word of God, as belonging to his adopted children—a consciousness that we are inwardly conformed, by the Spirit of God, to the image of his Son, and that we walk before him in justice, mercy, and truth, doing the things which are pleasing in his sight." These two testimonies he never put asunder, although he assigned them distinct offices; and this cannot be overlooked if justice be done to his opinions. In order to prevent presumption, he reminds his readers that the direct testimony of the Holy Spirit is subse-

quent to true repentance and faith; and, on the other hand, to guard against delusion, he asks, "How am I assured that I do not mistake the voice of the Spirit? Even by the testimony of my own spirit, 'by the answer of a good conscience towards God,' hereby you shall know that you are in no delusion, that you have not deceived your own soul. The immediate fruits of the Spirit ruling in the heart are love, joy, peace, bowels of mercy, humbleness of mind, meekness, gentleness, long-suffering. And the outward fruits are the doing good to all men, and a uniform obedience to all the commands of God." Where then is the enthusiasm of the doctrine as thus stated? An enthusiastic doctrine is unsupported by the sacred records; but in confirmation of this we read, "The Spirit itself beareth witness with our spirit that we are the children of God." Here the witnesses are the Spirit of God, and our own spirit; and the fact to which the testimony is given is, that "we are the children of God." "And because ye are sons, God hath sent forth the Spirit of his Son into your hearts, crying, Abba, Father!" To these passages may be added all those texts which speak of the inward intercourse of the Spirit of God with believers; of his dwelling in them and abiding with them, as the source of comfort and peace; and which, therefore, imply the doctrine. Nor can such passages be interpreted otherwise than as teaching the doctrine of assurance, conveyed immediately to the mind of true believers by the Holy Spirit, without allowing such principles of construction as would render the sense of Scripture uncertain, and unsettle the evidence of some of the most important doctrines of our religion.

It is true that a more "sober" and "less dangerous" method, as it has been called, of obtaining a comfortable assurance of our justification before God, has been insisted upon as equally consistent with the word of God; but, upon examination, it will be found delusive. This is what is termed a process of inference, and is thus explained: The question at issue is, "Am I a child of God?" The Scriptures declare that "as many as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the sons of God." I inquire, then, whether I have the Spirit of God; and in order to determine this, I examine whether I have "the fruits of the Spirit." Now, "the fruits of the

Spirit are love, joy, peace, gentleness, goodness, meekness, faith, temperance;" and having sufficient evidence of the existence of these fruits, I conclude that I have the Spirit of God, and am, therefore, a pardoned and accepted child of God. This is the statement. But among these enumerated fruits of the Spirit we find love, joy, and peace, as well as gentleness, goodness, meekness, fidelity, and temperance; and if it be said that no man has a right to assume that he is so "led by the Spirit of God," as to conclude that he is "a child of God," who has only the affections of "peace and joy" to ground his confidence upon, we have as good a reason to affirm the same thing, if he has "meekness and temperance," without "love, and peace, and joy;" the love, the peace, and the joy being as much fruits of the Spirit as the moral qualities also enumerated.

But can "love," love to God as our Father; "peace," peace with God, as in a state of friendship with us; and "joy," "joy in God by whom we have received the reconciliation," exist at all without a previous or concomitant assurance of the Divine forgiveness and favor? Surely nothing is so clear, as that it is not possible to love God as a Father and a Friend, whilst he is still regarded as an offended Sovereign and a vengeful Judge; and that to feel a sense of his displeasure, and to be at "peace" with him and to rejoice in him, are contradictions; and if so, the very ground of this inference that we are in the Divine favor, and adopted into his family, is taken away. This whole inferential process proceeds upon dividing the undivided fruit of the Spirit, for which we have assuredly no authority; nor indeed have we any reason to conclude that we have that gentleness, that goodness, that meekness, etc., which the apostle describes, should the "love, joy, and peace," which he places among the leading fruits of the Spirit, be wanting. If, then, the whole undivided fruit of the Spirit be taken as the medium of ascertaining the fact of our forgiveness and adoption, and if it is even absurd to suppose that we can love God, whilst yet we feel him to be angry with us; and that we can rejoice and have peace, whilst the fearful apprehensions of the consequences of unremitting sin are not removed from our minds, then the only ground of our "love, joy, and peace," is par-

don, revealed and witnessed, directly and immediately, by the Spirit of adoption.*

The mind of Mr. Wesley was also too discriminating, not to perceive that, in the scheme of attaining assurance by inference from moral changes only, there was a total neglect of the offices explicitly ascribed to the Holy Spirit in the New Testament, and which, on this scheme, are unnecessary. These are clearly stated to be that of "bearing witness" with the spirits of believers that they are the children of God; that of the Spirit of adoption, by which they call God Father, in the special sense in which it is correlative to that sonship

* The precedence of the direct witness of the Spirit of God to the indirect witness of our own, and the dependence of the latter upon the former, are very clearly stated by three divines of great authority; to whom I refer the rather, because many of their followers of the present day have become very obscure in their statements of this branch of Christian experience:

"St. Paul means, that the Spirit of God gives such a testimony to us, that he being our guide and teacher, our spirit concludes our adoption of God to be certain. For our own mind, of itself, independent of the preceding testimony of the Spirit, [*nisi preeunte Spiritus testimonio,*] could not produce this persuasion in us. For whilst the Spirit witnesses that we are the sons of God, he at the same time inspires this confidence into our minds, that we are bold to call God our Father."—*CALVIN on Romans* viii. 16.

"*Romans* viii. 16. 'The Spirit itself beareth witness with our spirits that we are the sons of God.' The witness which our own spirits do give unto our adoption is the work and effect of the Holy Spirit in us; if it were not, it would be false, and not confirmed by the testimony of the Spirit himself, who is the Spirit of truth; 'and none knoweth the things of God but the Spirit of God.' (1 Cor. ii. 11.) If he declare not our sonship in us and to us, we cannot know it. How doth he then bear witness to our spirits? What is the distinct testimony? It must be some such act of his as evidenceth itself to be from him, immediately, unto them that are concerned in it, that is, those unto whom it is given."—*DR. OWEN on the Spirit*, sect. 9.

"The Spirit of adoption doth not only excite us to call upon God as our Father, but it doth ascertain and assure us, as *before*, that we are his children. And this it doth not by an outward voice, as God the Father to Jesus Christ, nor by an angel, as to Daniel and the Virgin Mary, but by an inward and secret suggestion, whereby he raiseth our hearts to this persuasion, that God is our Father, and we are his children. *This is not the testimony of the graces and operations of the Spirit, but of the Spirit itself.*"—*POOLE on Romans* viii. 16.

which we obtain only by a justifying faith in Christ; and that of a Comforter, promised to the disciples to abide with them "for ever," that their "joy might be full."

Enough has been said on this subject to show that Mr. Wesley, on this doctrine, was neither rash nor inconsiderate, much less enthusiastic. It is grounded on no forced, no fanciful interpretation of Scripture; and it maintains, as of possible attainment, one of the richest and most important comforts of the human mind. It leaves no doubt as to a question which, whilst problematical, must, if we are earnest in seeking our salvation, be fatal to our peace; it supposes an intercourse between God and the minds of good men, which is, surely, in the full and genuine spirit of the Christian religion, eminently called the "ministration of the Spirit;" and it is, as taught by him, vitally connected with sober, practical piety. That, like the doctrine of justification by faith alone, it is capable of abuse, is very true. Many have perverted both the one and the other. Faith with some has been made a discharge from duty; and with respect to the direct witness of the Spirit, fancy has no doubt been taken, in some instances, for reality. But this could never legitimately follow from the holy preaching of the founder of Methodism. His view of the doctrine is so opposed to license and real enthusiasm, to pride and self-sufficiency, that it can only be made to encourage them by so manifest a perversion, that it has never occurred, except among those most ignorant of his writings. He never encouraged any to expect this grace but the truly penitent; and he prescribed to them "fruits meet for repentance." He believed that justification was always accompanied by a renewal of the heart; and as constantly taught, that the comfort "of the Holy Ghost" could remain the portion only of the humble and spiritual, and was uniformly and exclusively connected with a sanctifying and obedient faith. He saw that the fruits of the Spirit were "love, joy, peace," as well as "gentleness, goodness, meekness, and faith;" but he also taught, that all who were not living under the constant influence of the latter would fatally deceive themselves by any pretensions to the former.

Such were the views of the first Methodists on these important points; and such are the unchanged opinions of their successors to this day. They may be called peculiarities, be-

cause they differed in some respects from the same doctrines of justification, faith, assurance, and sanctification, when associated with various modifications of Calvinism; and although somewhat similar doctrines are found in many Arminian writers, yet in the theology of the Wesleys they derive life and vigor from the stronger views of the grace of God which were taught them by their Moravian and Calvinistic brethren.

No man more honestly sought truth than Mr. Wesley, and none more rigidly tried all systems by the law and the testimony. As to authority, he was "a man of one book;”* and whatever may be thought peculiar in his views, he drew from that source by the best application of his judgment. He wanted not, however, authority of another kind for his leading opinions. On the article of justification he agreed with all the Reformed Churches; his notion of saving faith was substantially that of the divines of the best ages of the Reformation, and of still earlier times; nor was his doctrine of the

* The following beautiful and striking passage, illustrative of the above remark, is from the preface to his Sermons:

"To candid, reasonable men, I am not afraid to lay open what have been the inmost thoughts of my heart. I have thought, I am a creature of a day, passing through life, as an arrow through the air. I am a spirit come from God, and returning to God: just hovering over the great gulf; till, a few moments hence, I am no more seen! I drop into an unchangeable eternity! I want to know one thing, the way to heaven; how to land safe on that happy shore. God himself has condescended to teach the way; for this very end he came from heaven. He hath written it down in a book. O give me that book! At any price, give me the book of God! I have it: Here is knowledge enough for me. Let me be *homo unius libri*, ‘a man of one book.’ Here, then, I am, far from the busy ways of men. I sit down alone; only God is here! In his presence I open, I read, his book; for this end, to find the way to heaven. Is there a doubt concerning the meaning of what I read? Does any thing appear dark and intricate? I lift up my heart to the Father of light. Lord, is it not thy word, ‘If any man lack wisdom, let him ask of God?’ Thou ‘givest liberally, and upbraidest not.’ Thou hast said, ‘If any be willing to do thy will, he shall know.’ I am willing to do; let me know thy will. I then search after and consider parallel passages of Scripture, ‘comparing spiritual things with spiritual.’ I meditate thereon, with all the attention and earnestness of which my mind is capable. If any doubt still remain, I consult those who are experienced in the things of God; and then, the writings, whereby, being dead, they yet speak. And what I thus learn, that I teach.”

direct witness of the Spirit to our adoption one as to which any exclusive peculiarity could be attributed to him, except that he more largely and zealously preached it than any other man in modern times. It was the doctrine of Luther, Calvin, Beza, Arminius, and others of equally eminent rank abroad and at home. We may add, also, that such prelates and divines as Hooper, Andrews, Hall, Hooker, Usher, Brownrigg, Wake, Pearson, Barrow, Owen, and Poole, have expressed it in terms as explicit, and with equal deference to the testimony of the word of God.

The Minutes of the early Conferences are not confined to doctrinal discussions; but we see in them the frame of the discipline of the body, growing up from year to year, and embodied in many copious directions and arrangements. The most important of these remain in force to this day, although some in a maturer state of the society have gone into disuse. This discipline need not particularly be specified, as being for the most part well known and established; but a few miscellaneous particulars may be selected from the Minutes of several successive years, as being in some instances of great importance, and in others characteristic, and occasionally amusing.

The duty of obeying bishops was considered at the very first Conference of 1744; and the conclusion is, that this obedience extends only to things indifferent; a rather strict narrowing up of canonical obedience, at this early period. The establishment of "a seminary for laborers" was a subject of consideration at this Conference also, but was postponed. The reasons why it was not afterwards carried into effect appear to have been, the rapid spread of the work, and the consequent demand for additional preachers. Mr. Wesley also looked to Kingswood school as subsidiary to this design. In the mean time he enjoined the study of the Greek and Latin poets and historians, as well as the original Scriptures, upon the preachers; and a large course of theological and general reading. This shows his views as to the subserviency of literature to usefulness in the ministry.*

* As the subject of a seminary or college has been of late brought under discussion, it may be not uninteresting to those who have not access to the manuscript copies of the first Minutes, extracts from

No preaching was to be continued where societies were not raised up. It seems to have been a fixed maxim with the Wesleys, not to spend time in cultivating barren ground. No band-ticket was to be given to the wearers of ruffles—a practice which, though then common, accorded not with their notions either of good taste, or of the duty of economizing money in order to charity. Equal strictness was observed as to the dress of females. *Simplex munditiis* was Mr. Wesley's classical rule; and the exclusive "ornament of a meek and quiet spirit," his scriptural one. All who married unbelievers were to be expelled from the society. The people were required not only to stand during singing, but whilst the text was read. This excellent custom now continues only in Ireland. Dram-drinking and pawnbroking were also sins of exclusion; so that, in fact, the Methodist societies were the first temperance societies. Reading was enjoined as a religious duty, and every preacher was bound to circulate every new book published or recommended by Mr. Wesley; so anxious was he to spread useful knowledge through society, and to improve at once the intellects and the hearts of his people. The officers of the society are said to be "clergymen, assistants, helpers, stewards, leaders of bands, leaders of classes, visitors of the sick, schoolmasters, and housekeepers." The last class will in the present day create a smile; but at that time their business was to reside in the houses built in several of the large towns, where both Mr. Wesley and the preachers took up their abode during their stay. They were elderly and pious women, who, being once invested with an official character, extended it sometimes from the house to the church, to the occasional annoyance of the preachers. As married preachers began to occupy the houses, they were at length dispensed with. Smuggling, and the buying of uncustomed goods, had frequent anathemas dealt out against them, and expulsion was the unmitigated penalty. Respect of persons

which only are in print, to give the passages which relate to this subject from the complete Minutes of 1744 and 1745. In the former year it is asked, "Can we have a seminary for laborers?" and the answer is, "If God spare us till another Conference." The next year the subject was resumed, "Can we have a seminary for laborers yet?" Answer: "Not till God gives us a proper tutor." So that the institution was actually resolved upon, and delayed only by circumstances.

was strictly forbidden to the preachers, who were also enjoined to be easy of access to all. Every preacher was to promise rather to break a limb than to disappoint a congregation. No preacher was to be continued who could not preach twice every day. He was to take care that only suitable tunes should be sung; and was advised to use in public only hymns of prayer and praise, not those descriptive of states of mind. Lemonade was to be taken after preaching, or candied orange-peel, or a little warm ale; but egg and wine, and late suppers, are denounced as downright poison. The views entertained of a call to the ministry deserve quoting in full:

“Q. How shall we try those who think they are moved by the Holy Ghost, and called of God to preach?

“A. Inquire, 1. Do they know God as a pardoning God? Have they the love of God abiding in them? Do they desire and seek nothing but God? And are they holy in all manner of conversation?

“2. Have they gifts (as well as grace) for the work? Have they a clear, sound understanding? Have they a right judgment in the things of God? Have they a just conception of salvation by faith? And has God given them any degree of utterance? Do they speak justly, readily, clearly?

“3. Have they fruit? Are any truly convinced of sin, and converted to God, by their preaching?

“As long as these three marks concur in any, we believe he is called of God to preach.”

The probation of the preachers was at first one year; but was afterward extended to four. The following Minute of 1745 shows that Mr. Charles Wesley was never considered as coördinate with his brother in the government of the societies:

“Should not my brother follow me step by step, and Mr. Meriton (another clergymen) him?”

“A. As far as possible.”

What Mr. Wesley was next to write, was a matter on which he asked the advice of the Conference for several years. A little stock of medicines, to be dispensed to the poor, was ordered to be provided for London, Bristol, and Newcastle. It is not generally known that Mr. Wesley pursued a course of regular medical study whilst at Oxford. Preachers were

cautioned against giving out long hymns; and were exhorted to choose the tunes, that so they might be suitable to the hymn. Copies of the Minutes of the Conference were to be written out, and given to each member present.* When the number of preachers increased, printing was adopted. In 1749, it seems to have been proposed that the societies every-where should be considered one, of which the London society should be the mother church. This, however, came to nothing. The societies, indeed, were one; but the centre of union was first Mr. Wesley himself, then the Conference of preachers. In the same year all chapels were directed to be built after the model of that of Rotherham; and the number of circuits, each very extensive, had increased to twenty-two. Regular funds for the support of the preachers, and for aiding worn-out preachers, began now to be established. A regular settlement of the chapels upon trustees had been enjoined in 1749; and in 1765, a person was appointed to be sent through England to survey the deeds, and supply wanting trustees. All chapel windows were to be sashed: no "tub pulpits" were to be allowed; and men and women were everywhere to sit apart. The societies are warned against "little oaths," such as "my life," "my honor," etc., and against "compliments," and unmeaning words. In general, many are reproved for talking too much, and reading too little. In 1776, all octagon chapels are directed to be built like that at Yarm; and all square ones like that at Scarborough. No Chinese paling was to be set up before any chapel; and the people were forbidden to crowd into the preachers' houses, as though they were coffee-houses. No leaders' meeting was to be held without the presence of a preacher; and the spirit of debating at all meetings was to be strictly guarded against. If bankrupts did not pay their debts when they were able, they were to be excluded the so-

* Perhaps not more than one or two manuscript copies of the complete Minutes of the Conferences from 1744 to 1747 are in existence. That which lies before me, and from which extracts have been made in the preceding pages, wants two or three of the first pages of the Minutes of 1744. It was not written by Mr. Wesley, but is a copy corrected by his own hand in different places. This is mentioned, as several of the extracts will be new even to some of the senior preachers.

ciety. Sluts were to be kept out of the preachers' houses; and cleanliness was held to be next to godliness.

Thus, to a number of little things, among many greater and weightier matters, the active mind, the taste, and the orderly habits, of the founder of Methodism applied itself. Every thing was, however, kind and bland in his manner of injunction; and when he was disappointed as to the exact observance of his regulations, his displeasure was admirably proportioned to the weight of the case. No man generally knew better how to estimate the relative importance of things, and to give each its proper place and rank; although it would be to deny to him the infirmity of human nature, to suppose that this rule of proportion was always observed. If little things were by him sometimes made great, this praise, however, he had without abatement, that he never made great things little.

The notices of the deaths of the preachers, year by year, in the early Minutes, all bear the impress of the brevity and point of Mr. Wesley's style. The first time that the regular question, "What preachers have died this year?" appears, is in the Minutes of 1777. A few sketches of character from this laconic obituary, in different years, will illustrate his manner of keeping these annual records:

"Thomas Hosking: a young man, just entering on the work; zealous, active, and of an unblamable behavior. And Richard Burk: a man of faith and patience, made perfect through sufferings; one who joined the wisdom and calmness of age with the simplicity of childhood."

"Richard Boardman: a pious, good-natured, sensible man, greatly beloved of all that knew him. He was one of the first two that freely offered themselves to the service of our brethren in America. He died of an apoplectic fit; and preached the night before his death. It seems he might have been eminently useful, but good is the will of the Lord.

"Robert Swindells had been with us above forty years. He was an Israelite indeed. In all those years I never knew him to speak a word which he did not mean; and he always spoke the truth in love: I believe no one ever heard him speak an unkind word. He went through exquisite pain (by

the stone) for many years; but he was not weary. He was still

‘Patient in bearing ill and doing well.’

One thing he had almost peculiar to himself: he had no enemy! So remarkably was that word fulfilled, ‘Blessed are the merciful; for they shall obtain mercy.’

“James Barry was for many years a faithful laborer in our Lord’s vineyard. And as he labored much, so he suffered much; but with unwearyed patience. In his death he suffered nothing, stealing quietly away in a kind of lethargy.

“Thomas Payne was a bold soldier of Jesus Christ. His temper was uncommonly vehement; but before he went hence, all that vehemence was gone, and the lion was become a lamb. He went away in the full triumph of faith, praising God with his latest breath.

“Robert Naylor, a zealous, active young man, was caught away by a fever in the strength of his years. But it was in a good hour; for he returned to Him whom his soul loved, in the full assurance of faith.

“A fall from his horse, which was at first thought of little consequence, occasioned the death of John Livermore; a plain, honest man, much devoted to God, and determined to live and die in the best of services.”

“John Prickard: a man thoroughly devoted to God, and an eminent pattern of holiness. And Jacob Rowell: a faithful old soldier, fairly worn out in his Master’s service.”

“Thomas Mitchell: an old soldier of Jesus Christ.”

“John Fletcher: [Vicar of Madeley:] a pattern of all holiness, scarce to be paralleled in a century. And J. Peacock: young in years, but old in grace; a pattern of all holiness, full of faith, and love, and zeal for God.

“Jeremiah Robertshaw, who was a good soldier of Jesus Christ, fairly worn out in his Master’s service. He was a pattern of patience for many years, laboring under sharp and almost continual pain, of meekness and gentleness to all men, and of simplicity and godly sincerity.

“Joshua Keighley, who was a young man deeply devoted to God, and greatly beloved by all that knew him. He was

‘About the marriage-state to prove;
But death had swifter wings than love.’

“Charles Wesley, who, after spending fourscore years with much sorrow and pain, quietly retired into Abraham’s bosom. He had no disease; but, after a gradual decay of some months,

‘The weary wheels of life stood still at last.’

His least praise was, his talent for poetry; although Dr. Watts did not scruple to say, that that single poem, ‘Wrestling Jacob,’ was worth all the verses he himself had written.

“John Mayly, worn out in the service of his Master. He suffered much in his last illness, and died triumphant in the Lord.”

Thus, neither his brother Charles, nor Mr. Fletcher, had a longer eulogy than any other preacher; so great was Mr. Wesley’s love of brevity.

The “care of the churches” now had come upon him, and was increasing; he had a responsibility to man, as well as to God, for the right management of a people whom his labors, and those of his coadjutors, had formed into a body distinct from the national Church, and, indeed, as to all ecclesiastical control, separate from it, although, in part, the members were attendants on her services. He was most anxious that this people should be raised to the highest state of religious and moral excellence; that they should be exemplary in all the relations of life, civil and domestic; wise in the Scriptures; well read in useful books; self-denying in their conduct, almost to severity; and liberal in their charities, in order to which they were enjoined to abstain from all unnecessary indulgences, and to be plain and frugal in dress. They were expected to rise early to a religious service at five o’clock, and to attend some evening service, if possible, several times in the week; and, beside their own Sabbath meetings, to be punctual in observing the services of the Church. They were to add to all this the most zealous efforts to do good to the bodies and souls of those who were around them, and to persevere in all these things with an ardor and an unweariedness equal to his own. With these great objects so strongly impressed upon his mind, that he should feel compelled to superintend every part of the system he had put into operation, and attend to every thing great or little which he conceived to retard or accelerate its motion, was the natural

consequence, and became with him matter of imperative conscience. A nobler object man could not propose to himself, than thus to spread the truth and example of a living and practical Christianity through the land, and to revive the spirit of piety in a fallen Church, and among a neglected people; and he had sufficient proofs from the wonderful success which had followed, success too of the most unequivocal kind, because the hearts of "multitudes had been turned to the Lord," that he was in the path of duty, and that the work was of God; but the standard which he set up in his own mind and in his rules, both for his preachers and people, was so high, that, in the midst of all those refreshing joys which the review of the work often brought, feelings of disappointment, and something like vexation, occasionally break forth in the Minutes of his Conferences. On the preachers in their circuits, an activity, an occupation of time, and an attention to various duties had been enjoined, similar to his own; but the regulations under which they were placed were often minute, and in minor matters they were often failing, even when in other respects they most faithfully and laboriously fulfilled their ministry. Stewards, leaders, and trustees come in also occasionally for their share of remonstrance and rebuke on account of inattention; whilst the societies, as being exposed to the various errors of the day, and to the ordinary influences of the temptations of an earthly state, sometimes declined, and then again revived; in some places were negligent, and in others were almost every thing he could wish them to be, so that he could say with an apostle respecting them, "Great is my glorying." To Mr. Wesley's frequent trials of patience were to be added the controversies, often very illiberal, in which he was engaged, and the constant misrepresentations and persecutions to which he and the societies were for many years exposed. When all these things are considered, and when it is also recollected how much every man who himself works by a strict method is apt to be affected by the irregularities and carelessness of others; the full and tranquil flow of his zeal and energy, and the temper, at once so strict and so mild, which breathes in the Minutes of the Conferences, place him in a very admirable point of light. Vexation and disappointment passed over his serene mind like the light clouds over the bright summer

field. The principle of an entire devotedness to serve God, and "his generation according to the will of God," in him never relaxed; and the words of one of his own beautiful hymns, to which in advanced life, in a conversation with a friend, he once alluded, as expressing his own past and habitual experience, were in him finely realized :—

"Jesus, confirm my heart's desire
To work, and speak, and think for thee;
Still let me guard the holy fire,
And still stir up thy gift in me.

"Ready for all thy perfect will,
My acts of faith and love repeat,
Till death thy endless mercies seal,
And make the sacrifice complete."

CHAPTER X.

Early list of circuits—Mr. Charles Wesley in London—Earthquake there—Differences between Mr. Charles Wesley and the preachers—Remarks—Respective views of the brothers—Mr. Wesley's marriage—Mr. Perronet—Kingswood School—Remarks—Mr. Wesley visits Scotland—Letters—Sickness—Mr. Whitefield's letter to him in anticipation of his death—Mr. Wesley's remarks on books—His Address to the Clergy—Remarks—Hervy's Letters.

THE doctrines and principal branches of the discipline of the body being generally settled, Mr. Wesley desisted from publishing extracts from the Minutes of the annual Conferences from 1749 to 1765. In the Minutes of the latter year we find for the first time a published list of the circuits, and of the preachers.* The circuits were then twenty-five in England, extending from Cornwall to Newcastle-upon-Tyne; in Scotland four; in Wales two; in Ireland eight; in all, thirty-nine. The total number of the preachers, given up entirely to the work, and acting under Mr. Wesley's direction, had then risen to ninety-two. But it will be necessary to look back upon the labors of the two brothers during this interval. Instead, however, of tracing Mr. Wesley's journeys into various parts of the kingdom in detail from

* In the manuscript copy of the first Minutes before mentioned, lists of circuits occasionally appear, as in 1746:—"How many circuits are there? Answer.—Seven. 1. London, including Surrey and Kent. 2. Bristol, including Somersetshire, Portland, Wiltshire, Oxfordshire, and Gloucestershire. 3. Cornwall. 4. Evesham, including Shrewsbury, Leominster, Hereford, Stroud, and Wednesbury. 5. York, including Yorkshire, Cheshire, Lancashire, Derbyshire, Nottinghamshire, and Lincolnshire. 6. Newcastle. 7. Wales."

his Journals, which present one uniform and unwearied activity in his high calling, it will be sufficient to notice the principal incidents.

Mr. Charles Wesley married in 1749, yet still continued his labors with but little abatement. He was at London at the time of the earthquake, and was preaching at the Foundry early in the morning when the second shock occurred. The entry in his Journal presents him in a sublime attitude, and may be given as an instance of what may be truly called the majesty of faith: "March 8th, 1750. This morning, a quarter after five, we had another shock of an earthquake, far more violent than that of February 8th. I was just repeating my text, when it shook the Foundry so violently, that we all expected it to fall on our heads. A great cry followed from the women and children. I immediately called out, 'Therefore, we will not fear, though the earth be moved, and the hills be carried into the midst of the sea; for the Lord of hosts is with us; the God of Jacob is our refuge.' He filled my heart with faith, and my mouth with words, shaking their souls as well as their bodies. The earth moved westward, then eastward, then westward again, through all London and Westminster. It was a strong and jarring motion, attended with a rumbling noise like that of thunder. Many houses were much shaken, and some chimneys thrown down, but without any further hurt."*

The impression produced in London by this visitation is thus recorded in a letter from Mr. Briggs to Mr. John Wesley: "This great city has been, for some days past, under terrible apprehensions of another earthquake. Yesterday, thousands fled out of town, it having been confidently asserted by a dragoon, that he had a revelation that great part of London, and Westminster especially, would be destroyed by an earthquake on the 4th instant, between twelve and one at night. The whole city was under direful apprehensions. Places of worship were crowded with frightened sinners, especially our two chapels, and the Tabernacle, where Mr. Whitefield preached. Several of the classes came to their leaders, and desired that they would spend the night with them in

* Journal.

prayer; which was done, and God gave them a blessing. Indeed, all around was awful. Being not at all convinced of the prophet's mission, and having no call from any of my brethren, I went to bed at my usual time, believing I was safe in the hands of Christ; and likewise, that, by doing so, I should be the more ready to rise to the preaching in the morning; which I did, praised be my kind Protector." In a postscript he adds, "Though crowds left the town on Wednesday night, yet crowds were left behind; multitudes of whom, for fear of being suddenly overwhelmed, left their houses, and repaired to the fields, and open places in the city. Tower-Hill, Moorfields, but above all Hyde Park, were filled, the best part of the night, with men, women, and children lamenting. Some, with stronger imaginations than others, mostly women, ran crying in the streets, 'An earthquake! an earthquake!' Such distress, perhaps, is not recorded to have happened before in this careless city. Mr. Whitefield preached at midnight in Hyde Park. Surely God will visit this city; it will be a time of mercy to some. O may I be found watching!"*

So ready were these great preachers of the time to take advantage of every event by which they might lead men to God. One knows not which most to admire, Mr. Whitefield preaching at midnight in Hyde Park to a crowd of affrighted people, expecting the earth to swallow them up; or Mr. Charles Wesley, with the very ground reeling under him, calling out to the congregation, "Therefore will we not fear, though the earth be moved, and the hills be carried into the midst of the sea; for the Lord of hosts is with us; the God of Jacob is our refuge;" and using this as his text.

The detected immorality and expulsion of one of the preachers, James Wheatley,† led the brothers to determine

* Whitehead's Life.

† Mr. Wesley has been censured by some persons for sanctioning the publication of a pamphlet on the "Duties of Husbands and Wives," written, as they supposed, by this wretched man, and especially for doing this after the misconduct of the author had been brought to light. But the charge is without foundation. The pamphlet in question was not written by James Wheatley, the preacher, but by William Whateley, the Puritan minister of Banbury; a man of the most exemplary piety, and one of the best practical writers

upon instituting a more strict inquiry into the life and behavior of every preacher in connection with them. Mr. Charles Wesley undertook that office, as being perhaps more confident in his own discernment of character, and less influenced by affection to the preachers. The result was, however, highly creditable to them, for no irregularity of conduct was detected; but as the visitation was not conducted, to say the least of it, in the bland manner in which it would have been executed by Mr. John Wesley, who was, indeed, alone regarded as the father of the Connection, it led, as might be expected, to bickerings. Many of the preachers did not come up to Mr. Charles Wesley's notions of attachment to the Church; some began to wish a little larger share in the government; and a few did not rise to his standard of ministerial abilities, although of this he judged only by report. From this time a stronger feeling of disunion between the preachers and him grew up, which ultimately led to his taking a much less active part in the affairs of the body, except to interfere occasionally with his advice, and, in still later years, now and then to censure the increasing irregularity of his brother's proceedings. The fact was, Mr. John Wesley was only carried forward by the same stream which had impelled both the brothers irretrievably far beyond the line prescribed to regular Churchmen; and Charles was chafing himself with the vain attempt to buffet back the tide, or at least to render it stationary. He saw, no doubt, during the visitation which he had lately undertaken, a growing tendency to separation from the Church, both among many of the preachers and the people, which, although it was the natural, nay, almost necessary, result of the circumstances in which they were placed, he somewhat uncandidly attributed to the ambition of the former; and, laying it down as a necessary qualification, that no preacher ought to be employed without giving some explicit pledge as to his purpose of adherence to the Church, he attempted to associate himself with his brother in the management, with equal power to call preachers into

of his age, who died in 1639. The work from which the pamphlet was extracted is entitled, "A Bride-Bush," and bears the date of 1619; which was at least a hundred years before Wheatley was born.

the work, and then to govern them. He appears laudably to have wished to improve their talents; but he proposed also greatly to restrict their number, and to subject them to stricter tests as to their attachment to the Establishment. Here began an important difference between the two brothers. Some impression was made upon the mind of Mr. John Wesley by his brother's letters written to him during his tour of inquisition, principally as they exaggerated the growing danger of separation from the Church; and upon Charles's return to London, John was persuaded, although "with difficulty," to sign an agreement, engaging that no preacher should be called into the work except by both of them conjointly, nor any reëdmited but with mutual consent. The intention of Charles was evidently to obtain a controlling power over his brother's proceedings; but there was one great rule to which Mr. John Wesley was more steadily faithful. This was to carry on and extend that which he knew to be the work of God, without regarding probable future consequences of separation from the Church after his death;* which was, in fact, the principle on which they had agreed at the first Conference of 1744,† and to which Charles stood pledged as fully as himself. It seems, therefore, that when Mr. John Wesley more fully discovered his brother's intention to restrict the number of preachers, under the plea of employing only men of superior abilities; and more especially after all that had passed between Charles and them during the inquisitorial visitation just named had been reported to him, he felt little disposed to assent to his having coauthority with himself in the management of the Connection; and Charles withdrawing more from public life, the government remained with John still more exclusively than before. This acquisition of entire authority, as it has been called, has been referred to by one of Mr. Wesley's biographers as a proof of his ambition, and his inability to bear a rival. The affection of the brothers itself affords a strong presumption against the existence of any such jealousy be-

* "Church or no Church," he observes in one of his letters to Charles, "we must attend to the work of saving souls." And in another, "I neither set it up, nor pull it down; but let you and I build the city of God."

† See pages 126, 127.

tween them; and besides, we find no previous instance of a single struggle for authority. But the fact was, that John always led the way, as sole director, with Charles as a confidential adviser; and they long acted together in this relation as with one soul. In the present case it was Charles only who grasped at a power which he had not previously possessed; and this was for a moment yielded, though hesitatingly, upon an *ex parte* statement, and under views not fully manifested. When, however, those were disclosed, John recoiled; and his brother, by a partial secession from the work, left the whole care of it upon his hands. Mr. Charles Wesley had, indeed, some time before this, rather hastily interposed to prevent the marriage of his brother with a very pious and respectable woman, Mrs. Grace Murray, to whom he was attached, and that, probably, under the influence of a little family pride, as she was not in an elevated rank of life;*

* Mr. Charles Wesley and Mr. Whitefield got the lady hastily married to Mr. Bennett, one of the preachers, whilst his brother was at a distance, probably not being himself aware, any more than she, of the strength of his attachment. The following extract from one of Mr. Wesley's unpublished letters shows, however, that he deeply felt it: "The sons of Zeruiah were too strong for me. The whole world fought against me, but, above all, *my own familiar friend*. Then was the word fulfilled, 'Son of man, behold, I take from thee the desire of thine eyes at a stroke; yet shalt not thou lament, neither shall thy tears run down.' The fatal, irrecoverable stroke was struck on Thursday last. Yesterday I saw my friend, (that was,) and him to whom she is sacrificed. 'But why should a living man complain, a man for the punishment of his sins?' The following passages, from a letter of the venerable Vicar of Shoreham to Mr. Charles, intimate how much he sympathized with Mr. John Wesley on the occasion, and how anxious he was to prevent a breach between the brothers, which this certainly unbrotherly act, the only one into which Charles seems to have been betrayed, was near producing. The letter is dated, Shoreham, 1749:—"Yours came this day to hand. I leave you to guess how such news must affect a person whose very soul is one with yours, and our friend. Let me conjure you to soothe his sorrows. Pour nothing but oil and wine into his wounds. Indulge no views, no designs, but what tend to the honor of God, the promoting the kingdom of his dear Son, and the healing of our wounded friend. How would the Philistines rejoice could they hear that Saul and Jonathan were in danger from their own swords!"

I have seen an explanation of Mr. Charles Wesley's conduct in

and this affair, in which there appears to have been somewhat of treachery, although, no doubt, well intended, had, for the first time, interrupted their harmony. But it is not at all likely that any feeling of resentment remained in the mind of John; and, indeed, the commission of visititation, with which Charles had been invested, was a sufficient proof that confidence had been restored. The true reason of the difference was, that the one wished to contract the work, from fear of the probable consequence of separation from the Church; the other pursued his course of enlarging and extending it, resolving to prevent separation to the best of his power, but leaving that issue in higher hands. Still, however, the affection of the brothers remained unimpaired.

In the year 1751, as Mr. Wesley was still resolved to marry, believing that his usefulness would be thereby promoted, he took to wife Mrs. Vizelle, a widow lady of independent fortune. She was a woman of a cultivated understanding, as her remaining letters testify; and that she appeared to Mr. Wesley to possess every other qualification which promised to increase both his usefulness and happiness, we may conclude

this affair, by the late Miss Wesley; but as the matter occurred before her birth, I have much doubt as to her perfect knowledge of the circumstances, so that I shall not fully state it. She lays the fault chiefly on the lady's want of explicitness; states that she had formed a previous, but concealed, attachment to Mr. Bennett; and that Mr. Charles having discovered this, he hastened the marriage.

Whatever the ostensible reason might be, it was no doubt eagerly seized by Mr. Charles Wesley as an occasion of breaking off a match, which he appears some time before to have interfered with, influenced, it is most probable, by the consideration of Mrs. Murray's inferior rank. From this feeling Mr. John Wesley was much more exempt, as the following anecdote, found in one of Miss Wesley's letters, indicates in a way very creditable to his amiable temper: "My brother Charles had an attachment, in early youth, to an amiable girl of inferior birth; this was much opposed by my mother and her family, who mentioned it with concern to my uncle. Finding from my father that this was the chief objection, my uncle only replied, 'Then there is no family blood? I hear the girl is good, but of no family.' 'Nor fortune either,' said my mother. He made no reply; but sent my brother a sum of money as a wedding-present; and I believe sincerely regretted that he was ultimately crossed in his inclination."

from his having made choice of her as his companion. We must suppose, also, that as he never intended to relax his labors, and adopt a more settled mode of life, this matter, also, was fully understood and agreed to before marriage. But, whatever good qualities Mrs. Wesley might appear to have, they were at length wholly swallowed up in the fierce passion of jealousy. For some time she travelled with him; but, becoming weary of this, and not being able to bind him down to a more domestic life, this passion increased. The violence of her temper broke out, also, against Mr. Charles Wesley, and his wife. This arose from very trifling circumstances, magnified into personal slights; and various unpleasant scenes are mentioned in Mr. Charles Wesley's unpublished letters, and described with a sprightliness which, whilst it shows that he was unconscious of having given her any just cause of offence, equally indicates the absence of sympathy. Perhaps this had been worn out by the long continuance of her caustic attacks upon him and his family, both by word and by letter. Certainly Mr. Charles Wesley must have felt her to be an annoying correspondent, if we may judge from some of her letters, still preserved, and in which, singular as it may appear, she zealously contends for her husband's superiority, and is indignant that he should be wearing himself out with excessive labor, whilst Charles was remaining at home in ease. Dr. Southey has candidly and justly stated the matter between her and her persecuted husband:

“Had Mrs. Wesley been capable of understanding her husband's character, she could not possibly have been jealous; but the spirit of jealousy possessed her, and drove her to the most unwarrantable actions. It is said that she frequently travelled a hundred miles for the purpose of watching, from a window, who was in the carriage with him, when he entered a town. She searched his pockets, opened his letters, put his letters and papers into the hands of his enemies, in hopes that they might be made use of to blast his character, and sometimes laid violent hands upon him, and tore his hair. She frequently left his house, and, upon his earnest entreaties, returned again; till, after having thus disquieted twenty years of his life, as far as it was possible for any domestic vexations to disquiet a man whose life was passed in locomotion, she seized on part of his Journals, and many other papers, which

were never restored, and departed, leaving word that she never intended to return. He simply states the fact in his Journal, saying that he knew not what the cause had been; and he briefly adds, *Non eam reliqui, non dimisi, non recocabo*: 'I did not forsake her, I did not dismiss her, I will not recall her.'**

The worst part of Mrs. Wesley's conduct, and which only the supposition of a degree of insanity, excited by jealousy, can palliate, was, that she interpolated several letters which she had intercepted, so as to make them bear a bad construction; and as Mr. Wesley had always maintained a large correspondence with all classes of persons, and, among others, with pious females, in some of whose letters there were strong expressions of Christian affection, she availed herself of this means of defaming him. Some of these she read to different persons in private, and especially to Mr. Wesley's opponents and enemies, adding extempore passages in the same tone of voice, but taking care not to allow the letters themselves to be read by the auditors; and in one or two instances she published interpolated or forged letters in the public prints. How her husband conducted himself amidst these vexations, the following passages, in a letter from Miss Wesley to a friend, written a little before her death, will show. They are at once important, as explanatory of the kind of annoyance to which this unhappy marriage subjected her uncle, and as containing an anecdote strongly illustrative of his character:

"I think it was in the year 1775 my uncle promised to take me with him to Canterbury and Dover. About this time Mrs. Wesley had obtained some letters which she used to the most injurious purposes, misinterpreting spiritual expressions, and interpolating words. These she read to some Calvinists, and they were to be sent to the Morning Post. A Calvinist gentleman, who esteemed my father and uncle, came to the former, and told him that, for the sake of religion, the publication should be stopped, and Mr. John Wesley be allowed to answer for himself. As Mrs. Wesley had read, but did not show, the letters to him, he had some doubts of their authenticity; and though they were addressed to Mr. John

* *Southey's Life.*

Wesley, they might be forgeries; at any rate, he ought not to leave town at such a juncture, but clear the matter satisfactorily.

“My dear father, to whom the reputation of my uncle was far dearer than his own, immediately saw the importance of refutation; and set off to the Foundry to induce him to postpone his journey, while I, in my own mind, was lamenting such a disappointment, having anticipated it with all the impatience natural to my years. Never shall I forget the manner in which my father accosted my mother, on his return home. ‘My brother,’ says he, ‘is indeed an extraordinary man. I placed before him the importance of the character of a minister: the evil consequences which might result from his indifference to it: the cause of religion: stumbling-blocks cast in the way of the weak; and urged him, by every relative and public motive, to answer for himself, and stop the publication. His reply was, ‘Brother, when I devoted to God my ease, my time, my life, did I except my reputation? No. Tell Sally I will take her to Canterbury to-morrow.’

“I ought to add that the letters in question were satisfactorily proved to be mutilated, and no scandal resulted from his trust in God.”

Some of these letters, mutilated, interpolated, or forged by this unhappy woman, have got into different hands, and are still preserved. In the papers of the Wesley family, recently collected, there are, however, sufficient materials for a full explanation of the whole case in detail; but as Mr. Wesley himself spared it, no one will, I presume, ever further disturb this unpleasant affair, unless some publication on the part of an enemy, for the sake of gain, or to gratify a party feeling, should render it necessary to defend the character of this holy and unsuspecting man.*

* The following passage in a letter from Mr. Perronet to Mr. Charles Wesley, dated Shoreham, November 3, 1752, shows that Mr. Wesley’s matrimonial afflictions must have commenced a very short time after marriage: “I am truly concerned that matters are in so melancholy a situation. I think the unhappy lady is most to be pitied, though the gentleman’s case is mournful enough. Their sufferings proceed from widely different causes. His are the visible chastisements of a loving Father; hers, the immediate effects of an angry, bitter spirit; and, indeed, it is a sad consideration that, after so many months have

A school at Kingswood, near Bristol, for the children of the poor, had been long built; but that neighborhood was also fixed upon by Mr. Wesley for an institution, in which the sons of the preachers, and those of the richer Methodists, should receive at once the best education, and the most efficient religious training. It was opened in June, 1748; and he published, soon after, a "Short Account" of the institution, with the plan of education adopted, particularly for those who were to remain so long in it as to go through a course of academical learning; and adds, "Whoever carefully goes through this course will be a better scholar than nine in ten of the graduates at Oxford and Cambridge." In this great and good design he grasped at too much; and the school came, in time, to be confined to the sons of the preachers, and ceased, as at first, to receive boarders. Indeed, from the increase of the preachers' families, the school was rapidly filled, and required enlargement at different times; and, finally, it was necessary to establish a second school at Wood-

elapsed, the same warmth and bitterness should remain." This truly venerable and holy man died in 1785, in the ninety-second year of his age. Two days before his death, his granddaughter, Miss Briggs, who attended him day and night, read to him the last three chapters of Isaiah. He then desired her to go into the garden, to take a little fresh air. Upon her return, she found him in an ecstasy, with the tears running down his cheeks, from a deep and lively sense of the glorious things which she had just been reading to him; and which, he believed, would shortly be fulfilled in a still more glorious sense than heretofore. He continued unspeakably happy all that day. On Sunday, his happiness seemed even to increase, till he retired to rest. Miss Briggs then went into the room to see if any thing was wanting; and, as she stood at the feet of the bed, he smiled, and said, "God bless thee, my dear child, and all that belongs to thee! Yea, he will bless thee!" This he earnestly repeated till she left the room. When she went in the next morning, his happy spirit had returned to God!

Mr. Perronet, like those great and good men, Messrs. Grimshaw and Fletcher, continued steadily attached to Mr. Wesley, and to the Methodists. He received the preachers joyfully, fitted up a room in the parsonage-house for their use, and attended their ministry himself at every opportunity. His house was one of the regular places of the Kent Circuit, and so continued to the day of his death. All his family were members of the society, and two of his sons preachers.

house-Grove, in Yorkshire. The circumstance of the preachers being so much from home, and removing every one or two years from their circuits, rendered an institution of this kind imperative ; and, as it necessarily grew out of the system of itinerancy, it was cheerfully and liberally, though often inadequately, supported by private subscriptions, and a public annual collection throughout all the congregations. The most gratifying moral results have followed ; and a useful and religious education has been secured to the sons of the preachers, many of whom, especially of late years, having afforded undeniable proofs of genuine conversion, and of a Divine call to public labors in the Church of Christ, have been admitted into the ministry, and are among its highest ornaments, or its brightest hopes. It is, however, to be regretted that the original plan of Mr. Wesley, to found an institution for the Connection at large, which should unite the advantages of a school and a college, has not been resumed in later and more favorable times. Various circumstances, at that early period, militated against the success of this excellent project, which have gradually disappeared ; and if, in that infant state of the cause, Mr. Wesley wisely thought that Methodism should provide for all its wants, religious and educational, within itself, much more incumbent is it to do so now. Many of the sons of our friends, for want of such a provision, have been placed in schools where their religious principles have been neglected or perverted ; and too often have been taught to ridicule, or to be ashamed of, the religious profession of their fathers.

In 1753, Mr. Wesley visited Scotland a second time, and preached at Glasgow to large congregations. He had gone there on the invitation of that excellent man, Dr. Gillies, minister of the College Kirk, who, a few days after he left, wrote to him as follows : "The singing of hymns here meets with greater opposition than I expected. Serious people are much divided. Those of better understanding and education are silent ; but many others are so prejudiced, especially at the singing publicly, that they speak openly against it, and look upon me as led to do a very wrong or sinful thing. I beg your advice, whether to answer them only by continuing in the practice of the thing, with such as have freedom to join, looking to the Lord for a blessing upon his own ordi-

nance; or, if I should publish a sheet of arguments from reason, and Scripture, and the example of the godly. Your experience of the most effectual way of dealing with people's prejudices, makes your advice on this head of the greater importance.

"I bless the Lord for the benefit and comfort of your acquaintance, for your important assistance in my Historical Collections, and for your edifying conversation and sermons in this place. May our gracious God prosper you wherever you are. O my dear Sir, pray for your brother, that I may be employed in doing something for the advancement of His glory, who has done so much for me, and who is my only hope."

This prejudice in favor of their own doggerel version of the Psalms of David generally remains among the Scotch to this day; and even in the Wesleyan societies raised up there, great opposition was at first made to the use of hymns. The Historical Collections of Dr. Gillies, mentioned in his letter, do justice to that revival of religion in this country of which Methodism was the instrument, and give many valuable accounts of similar revivals, and special effusions of the Holy Spirit upon the churches of Christ, in different ages.

The following extracts from two of Mr. Wesley's letters, written about this time, show how meekly this admirable man could take reproof; and with how patient a temper he could deal with peevish and complaining men.

"You give," says he, "five reasons why the Rev. Mr. P—— will come no more amongst us: 1. 'Because we despise the ministers of the Church of England.' This I flatly deny. I am answering letters this very post which bitterly blame me for just the contrary. 2. 'Because so much backbiting and evil-speaking is suffered amongst our people.' It is not suffered: all possible means are used, both to prevent and remove it. 3. 'Because I, who have written so much against hoarding up money, have put out seven hundred pounds to interest.' I never put sixpence out to interest since I was born; nor had I ever one hundred pounds together, my own, since I came into the world. 4. 'Because our lay preachers have told many stories of my brother and me.' If they did, I am sorry for them; when I hear the particulars I can answer, and perhaps make those ashamed who

believed them. 5. 'Because we did not help a friend in distress.' We did help him as far as we were able. 'But we might have made his case known to Mr. G——, Lady H——, etc. So we did, more than once; but we could not pull money from them, whether they would or no. Therefore, these reasons are of no weight. You conclude with praying that God would remove pride and malice from amongst us. Of pride I have too much; of malice I have none: however, the prayer is good, and I thank you for it."

The other letter from which I shall give an extract was written, apparently, to a gentleman of some rank and influence: "I do not recollect, for I kept no copy of my last, that I charged you with want of humility or meekness. Doubtless these may be found in the most splendid palaces. But did they ever move a man to build a splendid palace? Upon what motive you did this, I know not; but you are to answer it to God, not to me.

"If your soul is as much alive to God, if your thirst after pardon and holiness is as strong, if you are as dead to the desire of the eye and the pride of life, as you were six or seven years ago, I rejoice: if not, I pray God you may; and then you will know how to value a real friend.

"With regard to myself, you do well to warn me against 'popularity, a thirst of power and applause; against envy, producing a seeming contempt for the conveniences or grandeur of this life; against an affected humility; against sparing from myself to give to others, from no other motive than ostentation.' I am not conscious to myself that this is my case. However, the warning is always friendly; and it is always seasonable, considering how deceitful my heart is, and how many the enemies that surround me. What follows I do not understand: 'You behold me in the ditch, wherein you helped, though innocently, to cast me, and with a Levitical pity pass by on the other side. He and you, sir, have not any merit, though Providence should permit all these sufferings to work together for my good.' I do not comprehend one line of this, and therefore cannot plead either guilty or not guilty. I presume they are some that are dependent on me who, you say, 'keep not the commandments of God; who show a repugnance to serve and obey; who are as full of pride and arrogance as of filth and nastiness; who do not

pay lawful debts, nor comply with civil obligations; who make the waiting on the offices of religion a plea for sloth and idleness; who, after I had strongly recommended them, did not perform their moral duty, but increased the number of those incumbrances which they forced on you, against your will.' To this I can only say, 1. I know not whom you mean; I am not certain that I can so much as guess at one of them. 2. Whoever they are, had they followed my instructions, they would have acted in a quite different manner. 3. If you will tell me them by name, I will renounce all fellowship with them."

In the autumn of 1753 Mr. Wesley was threatened with consumption, brought on by repeated attacks of cold. By the advice of Dr. Fothergill he retired to Lewisham; and here, not knowing how it might please God to dispose of him, and wishing "to prevent vile panegyric" in case of death, he wrote his epitaph as follows:

Wesley Here lieth
 THE BODY OF JOHN WESLEY,
 A BRAND PLUCKED OUT OF THE BURNING;
 WHO DIED OF A CONSUMPTION IN THE FIFTY-FIRST
 YEAR OF HIS AGE.
 NOT LEAVING, AFTER HIS DEBTS ARE PAID,
 TEN POUNDS BEHIND HIM;
 PRAYING,
God be merciful to me an unprofitable servant!

He ordered that this, if any, inscription should be placed on his tombstone.

During Mr. Wesley's illness, Mr. Whitefield wrote to him in a strain which shows the fulness of affection which existed between those great and good men, notwithstanding their differences of opinion :

" BRISTOL, Dec. 3, 1753.

" REV. AND VERY DEAR SIR :

" If seeing you so weak when leaving London distressed me, the news and prospect of your approaching dissolution

hath quite weighed me down. I pity myself and the Church, but not you. A radiant throne awaits you, and ere long you will enter into your Master's joy. Yonder he stands with a massy crown, ready to put it on your head, amidst an admiring throng of saints and angels. But I, poor I, that have been waiting for my dissolution these nineteen years, must be left behind to grovel here below! Well, this is my comfort: it cannot be long ere the chariots will be sent even for worthless me. If prayers can detain them, even you, Rev. and very dear sir, shall not leave us yet; but if the decree is gone forth that you must now fall asleep in Jesus, may he kiss your soul away, and give you to die in the embraces of triumphant love! If in the land of the dying, I hope to pay my last respects to you next week. If not, Rev. and very dear sir, f—a—r—e—w—e—ll. *Ego sequar, etsi non passibus æquis.** My heart is too big, tears trickle down too fast, and you are, I fear, too weak for me to enlarge. Underneath you may there be Christ's everlasting arms! I commend you to his never-failing mercy, and am,

“Rev. and very dear sir,

“Your most affectionate, sympathizing, and afflicted
younger brother in the gospel of our common Lord,
“G. WHITEFIELD.”

From Lewisham he removed to the Hot Wells, near Bristol; and, ever intent upon improving time, began his Notes on the New Testament. For some time after this, he appears to have remained in an invalid state. During his retirement at Paddington he read a work which made a forcible attack upon his prejudices as a Churchman; and soon afterwards, another, which still further shook the deference he had once been disposed to pay to ecclesiastical antiquity.

“In my hours of walking, I read Dr. Calamy's Abridgment of Mr. Baxter's Life. What a scene is opened there! In spite of all my prejudices of education, I could not but see that the poor Nonconformists had been used without either justice or mercy; and that many of the Protestant bishops of King Charles had neither more religion nor humanity than the Popish bishops of Queen Mary.

* “I shall follow, though not with equal steps.”

"I read Mr. Baxter's History of the Councils. It is utterly astonishing, and would be wholly incredible, but that his vouchers are beyond all exception. What a company of execrable wretches have they been, (one cannot justly give them a milder title,) who have, almost in every age since St. Cyprian, taken upon them to govern the Church! How has one Council been perpetually cursing another; and delivering all over to Satan, whether predecessors and contemporaries, who did not implicitly receive their determinations, though generally trifling, sometimes false, and frequently unintelligible, or self-contradictory! Surely Mohammedanism was let loose to reform the Christians! I know not but Constantinople has gained by the change."

During Mr. Wesley's illness, Mr. Charles Wesley went forth to visit the societies, and to supply his brother's place.

In 1755, at the Conference held in Leeds, a subject which had been frequently stirring itself was formally discussed:—

"The point on which we desired all the preachers to speak their minds at large, was, whether we ought to separate from the Church. Whatever was advanced on one side or the other was seriously and calmly considered; and on the third day we were fully agreed in that general conclusion, that, whether it was lawful or not, it was no ways expedient."

Part of the preachers were, without restraint, permitted to speak in favor of a measure which in former Conferences would not have been listened to in the shape of discussion; and the conclusion was that the question of the lawfulness of separation was evaded, and the whole matter was reduced to "expediency." Of this Conference we have no Minutes; but where was Mr. Charles Wesley?* Mr. Charles Perronet and some others, for whom Mr. Wesley had great respect, were at this time urging him to make full provision for the spiritual wants of his people, as being in fact in a state of real and hopeless separation from the Church; and he did some years afterwards so far relax, as to allow of preaching in

* Three years after, Mr. Wesley published twelve reasons against separation; all, however, of a prudential kind. To these Mr. Charles Wesley added his separate testimony; but as to himself, he adds that he thought it not lawful. Here, then, was another difference in the views of the brothers.

Church hours under certain circumstances, as, 1. When the minister was wicked ; or held pernicious doctrine. 2. When the churches would not contain the population of a town ; or where the church was distant. In that case he prescribed reading the Psalms and Lessons, and part of the Liturgy. And for this purpose, as well as for the use of the American societies, he published his *Abridgment of the Common Prayer*, under the title of the “Sunday Service of the Methodists.”

In 1756 he printed an Address to the Clergy, plain, affectionate, and powerful ; breathing at once the spirit of an apostle, and the feeling of a brother. Happy if that call had been heard ! He might perhaps be influenced in this by a still lingering hope of a revival of the spirit of zeal and piety among the ministers of the Established Church ; in which case that separation of his people from the Church, which he began to foresee as otherwise inevitable, he thought, might be prevented ; and this he had undoubtedly much at heart. Under the same view it probably was that in 1764 he addressed a circular to all the serious clergy whom he knew, inviting them to a closer coöperation in promoting the influence of religion in the land, without any sacrifice of opinion, and being still at liberty, as to outward order, to remain “quite regular, or quite irregular, or partly regular, and partly irregular.” Of the thirty-four clergymen addressed, only three returned any answer. This seems to have surprised both him and some of his biographers. The reason is, however, very obvious : Mr. Wesley did not propose to abandon his plan and his preachers, or to get the latter ordained and settled in curacies, as proposed a few years before by Mr. Walker of Truro ; and the matter had now obviously gone too far for the clergy to attach themselves to Methodism. They saw, with perhaps clearer eyes than Mr. Wesley’s, that the Methodists could not now be embodied in the Church ; and that for them to coöperate directly with him, would only be to partake of his reproach, and to put difficulties in their own way, to which they had not the same call. A few clergymen, and but a few, still continued to give him, with fulness of heart, the right hand of fellowship, and to coöperate in some degree with him. Backward he could not go ; but the forward career of still more extended usefulness was before him. From this time

he gave up all hope of a formal connection with even the pious clergy. "They are," he observes, "a rope of sand, and such they will continue;" and he therefore set himself with deep seriousness to perpetuate the union of his preachers. At the Conference of 1769 he read a paper, the object of which was to bind the preachers together by a closer tie, and to provide for the continuance of their union after his death. They were to engage solemnly to devote themselves to God, to preach the old Methodist doctrines, and to maintain the whole Methodist discipline; after Mr. Wesley's death they were to repair to London, and those who chose to act in concert were to draw up articles of agreement; whilst such as did not so agree were to be dismissed "in the most friendly way possible." They were then to choose a committee by vote, each of the members of which was to be moderator in his turn, and this committee was to enjoy Mr. Wesley's power of proposing preachers to be admitted or excluded, of appointing their stations for the ensuing year, and of fixing the time of the next Conference. This appears to have been the first sketch of an ecclesiastical constitution for the body; and it mainly consisted in the entire delegation of the power which Mr. Wesley had always exercised, to a committee of preachers to be chosen by the rest when assembled in Conference. The form of government he thus proposed was therefore a species of Episcopacy to be exercised by a committee of three, five, or seven, as the case might be. Another and a more eligible provision was subsequently made; but this sufficiently shows that Mr. Wesley had given up all hope of union with the Church; and his efforts were henceforth directed merely to prevent any thing like formal separation, and the open renunciation of her communion, during his own life, by allowing his preachers to administer the sacraments.

About this time much prejudice was excited against Mr. Wesley in Scotland, by the republication of Hervey's Eleven Letters. He had three times visited this country; and, preaching only upon the fundamental truths of Christianity, had been received with great affection. The societies had increased, and several of his preachers were stationed in different towns. Lady Frances Gardiner, the widow of Colonel Gardiner, and other persons, eminent for piety and rank,

attended the Methodist ministry; but the publication of this wretched work caused a temporary odium. Hervey, who had been one of the little band at Oxford, became a Calvinist; and as his notions grew more rigid with age, so his former feelings of gratitude and friendship to Mr. Wesley were blunted. He had also fallen into the hands of Cudworth, a decided Antinomian, who "put in and out" of the Letters "what he pleased." They were not, however, published until Hervey's death, and against his dying injunction. It is just to so excellent a man to record this fact; but the work was published in England, and republished, with a violent preface by Dr. Erskine, in Scotland; and among the Calvinists it produced the effect of inspiring great horror of Mr. Wesley as a most pestilent heretic, whom it was doing God service to abuse without measure or modesty. The feelings of Mr. Charles Wesley, at this treatment of his brother, may be gathered from an answer he returned, upon being requested to write Hervey's epitaph:

ON BEING DESIRED TO WRITE AN EPITAPH FOR
MR. JAMES HERVEY.

"O'ERREACHED, impelled by a sly Gnostic's art,
To stab his father, guide, and faithful friend,
Would pious Hervey act the accuser's part?
And *could* a life like his in malice end?

"No: By redeeming love the snare is broke:
In death his rash ingratitude he blames;
Desires and *wills* the evil to revoke,
And dooms th' unfinished libel to the flames.

"Who, then, for filthy gain betrayed his trust,
And showed a kinsman's fault in open light?
Let *him* adorn the monumental bust,
Th' encomium fair in brass or marble write:

"Or if they need a nobler trophy raise,
As long as Theron and Aspasio live,
Let Madan or Romaine record his praise:
Enough that Wesley's brother can *forgive!*"*

* Mr. Charles Wesley, however, afterwards wrote and published some verses upon Mr. Hervey's death, in which the kind recollections of old friendship are embodied, and the anticipations of a happy

The unfavorable impression made by Hervey's Letters, surcharged by Cudworth's Antinomian venom, was, however, quickly effaced from all but the bigots; and with them, judging from Moncrief's Life of Erskine, it remains to this day. In his future visits to Scotland, Mr. Wesley was received with marks of the highest respect; and at Perth he had the freedom of the city handsomely conferred upon him.

meeting in heaven are sweetly expressed. The following are the concluding stanzas:

“FATHER, to us vouchsafe the grace
Which brought our friend victorious through:
Let us his shining footsteps trace,
Let us his steadfast faith pursue:
Follow this follower of the Lamb,
And conquer all through Jesus' name.

“Free from the law of sin and death,
Free from the Antinomian leaven,
He led his Master's life beneath;
And, laboring for the rest of heaven,
By active love and watchful prayer,
He showed his heart already there.

“O might we all, like him, believe,
And keep the faith, and win the prize!
Father, prepare and then receive
Our hallowed spirits to the skies,
To chant, with all our friends above,
Thy glorious, everlasting love.”

CHAPTER XI.

Methodism in America—Revivals of religion—Remarks—Mr. Wesley's labors—Notices of books from his Journals—Minutes of the Conference of 1770—Remarks—Mr. Shirley's Circular—Mr. Wesley's “Declaration”—Controversy respecting the Minutes—Remarks—Increase of the societies—Projects for the management of the Connection after Mr. Wesley's death.

METHODISM having begun to make some progress in America, in consequence of the emigration of some of the members of the society from England and Ireland,* Mr. Wesley inquired of the preachers at the Conference of 1769, whether any of them would embark in that service. Messrs. Boardman and Pilmoor, two excellent men, of good gifts, volunteered their services, and were sent to take the charge of the societies. From this time the work spread with great rapidity; more than twenty preachers had devoted themselves to it previously to the war of independence; and societies were raised up in Maryland, Virginia, New-York, and Pennsylvania.† During the war they still prosecuted their labors; though, as several of them took the side of the mother country, they were exposed to danger.‡ Others, with more

[* Philip Embury, who organized the first permanent society in New York, in 1766, and Robert Strawbridge, who formed the first in Frederick county, Maryland, in the same year, were both from Ireland.—T. O. S.]

[† To which might be added New Jersey, Delaware, and North Carolina. The Minutes of 1776 report 683 members in North Carolina; and three preachers were sent that year to the “Carolina” Circuit.—T. O. S.]

[‡ These were English preachers; and they had to return to England in consequence of their “loyalty.” It does not appear that a single American Methodist preacher “took the side of the mother country.” The Methodists, as a body, were staunch patriots.—T. O. S.]

discretion, held on their way in silence, speaking only of the things of God. The warm loyalty of Mr. Wesley led him to publish a pamphlet on the subject of the quarrel, entitled, "A Calm Address to the American Colonies;" but the copies which were shipped for America were laid hold of by a friend, who suppressed them; so that the work remained unknown in the colonies until a considerable time afterward. This was probably a fortunate incident for the infant cause. After the war had terminated, political views were of course laid aside, and Mr. Wesley made a provision for the government of his American societies, which will be subsequently adverted to. They became, of course, independent of British Methodism, but have most honorably preserved the doctrines, the general discipline, and, above all, the spirit, of the body. Great, and even astonishing, has been their success in that new and rising country, to the wide-spread settlements of which their plan of itinerancy was admirably adapted. The Methodists are become, as to numbers, the leading religious body of the Union; and their annual increase is very great. In the last year it was thirty-six thousand, making a total in their communion of one thousand nine hundred ministers, and four hundred and seventy-six thousand members; having, as stated in a recent statistical account, published in the United States, upwards of two millions five hundred thousand of the population under their immediate influence. In the number of their ministers, members and congregations, the Baptists nearly equal the Methodists; and these two bodies, both itinerant in their labors, have left all the other religious denominations far behind.* It is also satisfactory to remark,

[* The author obtained his figures from the Minutes of 1830. Those of 1855-6 report for the two branches of the Methodist Episcopal Church, one million, four hundred and twenty thousand, five hundred and sixty-six communicants, eleven thousand two hundred and eighteen local preachers, and seven thousand eight hundred and seventeen travelling preachers—total, nineteen thousand and thirty-five preachers, and thirteen bishops. If the number of Methodist Protestants and other Methodists, all agreeing with the old Connection in doctrine and for the most part substantially in discipline, were added to the foregoing, it would swell the total to largely over a million and a half in the United States. It is presumed that not less than five millions of the population of the Union attend the ministrations of the Methodist ministry.—The Regular Baptists report eight

that the leading preachers and members of the Methodist Church in the United States appear to be looking forward with enlarged views, and with prudent regard, to the future, and to aim at the cultivation of learning in conjunction with piety. Several colleges have been from time to time established; and recently a university, for the education of the youth of the American Connection, has been founded.* The work in the United States has been distinguished by frequent and extraordinary revivals of religion, in which a signal effect has been produced upon the moral condition of large districts of country; and great numbers of people have been rapidly brought under a concern for their salvation. In the contemplation of results so vast, and in so few years, we may devoutly exclaim, "What hath God wrought!"

The mention of what are called revivals of religion in the United States may properly here lead us to notice, that, in Great Britain also, almost every Methodist society has, at different times, experienced some sudden and extraordinary increase of members, the result of what has been believed to be, and that not without good reason, a special effusion of Divine influence upon the minds of men. Sometimes these effects have attended the preaching of eminently energetic

hundred and sixty-nine thousand four hundred and sixty-two members, and six thousand nine hundred and thirty-five ministers. If the number of Anti-Mission and various heterodox Baptist sects were added, it would swell the total to more than a million members, and ten thousand ministers—who, however, are not "itinerant in their labors," except in a modified way and to a limited extent.—T. O. S.]

[* The author alludes to the Wesleyan University, at Middletown, Conn., which was not, however, founded for the education of Methodist youth exclusively: like other American institutions of learning, its halls are open to all classes, those of openly immoral habits, of course, being excluded. The students in Methodist seminaries are subjected to no religious test or proselyting influence. The Wesleyan University has furnished ten presidents and thirty-six professors of colleges; thirty-five academies and classical schools are at this time supplied with principals from its *alumni*, and one hundred and forty of them are teachers, thirty-five barristers, one hundred and seventy-nine clergymen, one hundred and thirty being members of Methodist Conferences. The M. E. Church, North and South, at present numbers its universities and colleges by the score, and other educational institutions of a high grade by the hundred, and the number is constantly increasing.—T. O. S.]

preachers, but have often appeared where those stationed in the circuits have not been remarkably distinguished for energy or pathos. Sometimes they have followed the continued and earnest prayers of the people; at others, they have come suddenly and unlooked for. The effects, however, have been, that the piety of the societies has been greatly quickened, and rendered more deep and active, and that their number has increased; and of the real conversion of many who have thus been wrought upon, often very suddenly, the best evidence has been afforded. To sudden conversions, as such, great objections have been indeed taken. For these, however, there is but little reason; for if we believe the testimony of Scripture, that the Spirit is not only given to the disciples of Christ, after they assume that character, but in order to their becoming such; that, according to the words of our Lord, this Spirit is sent "to convince the world of sin," to the end that they may believe in Christ; and that the gospel, faithfully and fully proclaimed by the ministers of Christ, is "the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth," and is made so by the accompanying influence of the Holy Ghost; who shall prescribe a mode to Divine operation? Who, if he believes in such an influence accompanying the truth, shall presume to say that when that truth is proposed, the attention of the careless shall be roused only by a gradual and slow process? that the heart shall not be brought into a state of right feeling as to eternal concerns, but by a reiteration of means which we think most adapted to produce that effect? that no influence on the mind is genuine and Divine, if it operates not in a prescribed manner? that the Holy Spirit shall not avail himself of the variety which exists in the mental constitutions of men, to effect his purposes of mercy by different methods? and that the operations of grace shall not present, as well as those of nature, that beauteous variety which so much illustrates the glory of Him "who worketh all in all?" And, further, who shall say that even the peculiarities of men's natures shall not, in some instances, be set aside in the course of a Divine and secret operation, which, touching the springs of action, and opening the sources of feeling, gives an intensity of energy to the one, and a flow to the other, more eminently indicative of the finger of God in a work which his own glory, and the

humility proper to man, require should be known and acknowledged as His work alone? Assuredly there is nothing in the reason of the case to fix the manner of producing such effects to one rule, and nothing in Scripture. Instances of sudden conversion occur in the New Testament in sufficient number to warrant us to conclude that this may be often the mode adopted by Divine wisdom, and especially in a slumbering age, to arouse attention to long-despised and neglected truths. The conversions of the day of Pentecost were sudden, and, for any thing that appears to the contrary, they were real; for the persons so influenced were thought worthy "to be added to the Church." Nor was it by the miracle of tongues that the effect was produced. If miracles could have converted them, they had witnessed greater than even that glorious day exhibited. The dead had been raised up in their sight, the earth had quaked beneath their feet, the sun had hid himself and made an untimely night, and Christ himself had arisen from a tomb sealed and watched. It was not by the impression of the miracle of tongues alone, but by that supervenient gracious influence which operated with the demonstrative sermon of Peter, after the miracle had excited the attention of his hearers, that they were "pricked in their hearts, and cried, Men and brethren, what shall we do?"

The only true rule of judging of professed conversion is its fruits. The modes of it may vary, from circumstances of which we are not the fit judges, and never shall be, until we know more of the mystic powers of mind, and of that intercourse which Almighty God, in his goodness, condescends to hold with it.

It is granted, however, that in such cases a spurious feeling has been often mixed up with these genuine visitations: that some ardent minds, when even sincere, have not sufficiently respected the rules of propriety in their acts of worship: that some religious deception has taken place: that some persons have confounded susceptibility of feeling with depth of grace: that censoriousness and spiritual pride have displaced that humility and charity which must exist wherever the influence of the Spirit of God is really present; and that, in some cases, a real fanaticism has sprung up, as in the case of George Bell and his followers in London, at an early period of Methodism. But these are accidents: tares sown in the

field among the good seed, which were never spared by Mr. Wesley or his most judicious successors. In the early stages of their growth, indeed, and before they assumed a decided character, they were careful lest, by plucking them up, they should root out the good seed also; but both in Great Britain and in America, no extravagance has ever been encouraged by the authorities of either society, and no importance is attached to any thing but the genuine fruits of conversion.

In the early part of 1770, we find Mr. Wesley, as usual, prosecuting his indefatigable labors in different parts of the kingdom, and everywhere diffusing the influence of spirituality, and zeal, and the light of a "sound doctrine." His Journals present a picture of unwearied exertion, such as was perhaps never before exhibited; and in themselves they form ample volumes, of great interest, not only as a record of his astonishing and successful labors, but from their miscellaneous and almost uniformly instructive character. Now he is seen braving the storms and tempests in his journeys, fearless of the snows of winter and the heats of summer; then, with a deep susceptibility of all that is beautiful and grand in nature, recording the pleasures produced by a smiling landscape, or by mountain scenery; here, turning aside to view some curious object of nature; there, some splendid mansion of the great; showing, at the same time, in his pious and often elegant, though brief, reflections, with what skill he made all things contribute to devotion and cheerfulness. Again, we trace him into his proper work, preaching in crowded chapels, or to multitudes collected in the most public resorts in towns, or in the most picturesque places of their vicinity. Now he is seen by the side of the sick and dying, and then, surrounded with his societies, uttering his pastoral advices. An interesting and instructive letter frequently occurs; then a jet of playful and good-humored wit upon his persecutors, or the stupidity of his casual hearers; occasionally, in spite of the philosophers, an apparition story is given as he heard it, and of which his readers are left to judge; and often we meet with a grateful record of providential escapes from the falls of his horses, or from the violence of mobs. Notices of books also appear, which are often exceedingly just and striking; always short and characteristic; and,

as he read much on his journeys, they are very frequent. A few of these notices, in his Journal of this year, taken without selection, may be given as a specimen :

“ I read, with all the attention I was master of, Mr. Hutchinson’s Life, and Mr. Spearman’s Index to his Works. And I was more convinced than ever, 1. That he had not the least conception, much less experience, of inward religion. 2. That an ingenious man may prove just what he pleases, by well-devised scriptural etymologies; especially if he be in the fashion, if he affect to read the Hebrew without vowels. And, 3. That his whole hypothesis, philosophical and theological, is unsupported by any solid proof.”

“ I sat down to read and seriously consider some of the writings of Baron Swedenborg. I began with huge prejudice in his favor, knowing him to be a pious man, one of a strong understanding, of much learning, and one who thoroughly believed himself. But I could not hold out long. Any one of his visions puts his real character out of doubt. He is one of the most ingenious, lively, entertaining madmen that ever set pen to paper. But his waking dreams are so wild, so far remote both from Scripture and common sense, that one might as easily swallow the stories of Tom Thumb, or Jack the Giant-killer.”

“ I met with an ingenious book, the late Lord Lyttleton’s ‘ Dialogues of the Dead.’ A great part of it I could heartily subscribe to, though not to every word. I believe Madam Guion was in several mistakes, speculative and practical too; yet I would no more dare to call her, than her friend Archbishop Fénélon, ‘ a distracted enthusiast.’ She was undoubtedly a woman of very uncommon understanding, and of excellent piety. Nor was she any more ‘ a lunatic’ than she was ‘ a heretic.’

“ Another of this lively writer’s assertions is, ‘ Martin has spawned a strange brood of fellows, called Methodists, Moravians, Hutchinsonians, who are madder than Jack was in his worst days.’ I would ask any one who knows what good-breeding means, Is this language for a nobleman, or for a porter? But let the language be as it may, is the sentiment just? To say nothing of the Methodists, (although some of them, too, are not quite out of their senses,) could his Lordship show me in England many more sensible men than Mr.

Gambold and Mr. Okely? And yet both of these were called Moravians. Or could he point out many men of stronger and deeper understanding than Dr. Horne and Mr. William Jones? (if he could pardon them for believing the Trinity!) And yet both of these are Hutchinsonians. What pity is it, that so ingenious a man, like many others gone before him, should pass so peremptory a sentence, in a cause which he does not understand! Indeed, how could he understand it? How much has he read upon the question? What sensible Methodist, Moravian; or Hutchinsonian, did he ever calmly converse with? What does he know of them, but from the caricatures drawn by Bishop Lavington, or Bishop Warburton? And did he ever give himself the trouble of reading the answers to those warm, lively men? Why should a good-natured and a thinking man thus condemn whole bodies of men by the lump? In this I can neither read the gentleman, the scholar, nor the Christian."

"I set out for London; and read over in the way that celebrated book, Martin Luther's 'Comment on the Epistle to the Galatians.' I was utterly ashamed. How have I esteemed this book, only because I had heard it so commended by others! or, at best, because I had read some excellent sentences, occasionally quoted from it! But what shall I say, now I am judge for myself? now I see with my own eyes? Why, not only that the author makes nothing out, clears up not one considerable difficulty; that he is quite shallow in his remarks on many passages, and muddy and confused almost on all; but that he is deeply tinctured with Mysticism throughout, and hence often dangerously wrong. To instance only in one or two points. How does he (almost in the words of Tauler) decry reason, right or wrong, as an irreconcilable enemy to the gospel of Christ! Whereas, what is reason (the faculty so called) but the power of apprehending, judging, and discoursing? which power is no more to be condemned in the gross, than seeing, hearing, or feeling. Again: how blasphemously does he speak of good works, and of the law of God! constantly coupling the law with sin, death, hell, or the devil; and teaching that Christ delivers us from them all alike. Whereas, it can no more be proved by Scripture that Christ delivers us from the law of God, than that he delivers us from holiness or from heaven. Here (I apprehend) is the real

spring of the grand error of the Moravians. They follow Luther, for better, for worse. Hence their 'No works, no law, no commandment.' But who art thou that 'speakest evil of the law, and judgest the law?'"

"I read over, and partly transcribed, Bishop Bull's 'Harmonia Apostolica.' The position with which he sets out is this, 'that all good works, and not faith alone, are the necessarily previous condition of justification,' or the forgiveness of our sins. But, in the middle of the treatise, he asserts that faith alone is the condition of justification; 'for faith,' says he, 'referred to justification, means all inward and outward good works.' In the latter end, he affirms 'that there are two justifications; and that only inward good works necessarily precede the former, but both inward and outward the latter.'"

Mr. Wesley meant this brief but just analysis to be Bishop Bull's refutation; and it is sufficient.

"Looking for a book, in our college library, I took down, by mistake, the Works of Episcopius; which opening on an account of the Synod of Dort, I believed it might be useful to read it through. But what a scene is here disclosed! I wonder not at the heavy curse of God, which so soon after fell on the Church and nation. What a pity it is that the holy Synod of Trent, and that of Dort, did not sit at the same time! nearly allied as they were, not only as to the purity of doctrine which each of them established, but also as to the spirit wherewith they acted; if the latter did not exceed."

"Being in the Bodleian library, I lit on Mr. Calvin's account of the case of Michael Servetus; several of whose letters he occasionally inserts; wherein Servetus often declares in terms, 'I believe the Father is God, the Son is God, and the Holy Ghost is God.' Mr. Calvin, however, paints him such a monster as never was, an Arian, a blasphemer, and what not; besides strewing over him his flowers of dog, devil, swine, and so on, which are the usual appellations he gives to his opponents. But still he utterly denies his being the cause of Servetus's death. 'No,' says he, 'I only advised our magistrates, as having a right to restrain heretics by the sword, to seize upon and try that arch-heretic. But after he was condemned, I said not one word about his execution!'"

The above may be taken as instances of his laconic reviews of books.

Mr. Wesley's defence of the power he exercised in the government of the Methodist societies may also here be given; observing that it is easier, considering the circumstances in which he was placed, to carp at it, than to find a solid answer. Few men, it is true, have had so much power; but, on the other hand, he could not have retained it in a perfectly voluntary society, had he not used it mildly and wisely, and with a perfectly disinterested and public spirit.

“What is that power? It is a power of admitting into, and excluding from, the societies under my care; of choosing and removing stewards; of receiving or not receiving helpers; of appointing them when, where, and how to help me, and of desiring any of them to confer with me when I see good. And as it was merely in obedience to the providence of God, and for the good of the people, that I at first accepted this power, which I never sought; so it is on the same consideration, not for profit, honor, or pleasure, that I use it at this day.

“‘But several gentlemen are offended at your having so much power.’ I did not seek any part of it. But when it was come unawares, not daring to bury that talent, I used it to the best of my judgment. Yet I never was fond of it. I always did, and do now, bear it as my burden, the burden which God lays upon me; and, therefore, I dare not lay it down.

“But if you can tell me any one, or any five men, to whom I may transfer this burden, who can and will do just what I do now, I will heartily thank both them and you.”*

This year, 1770, is memorable in the history of Methodism, for having given birth to a long and very ardent controversy on the doctrines of Calvinism. It took its rise from the publication of the Minutes of the Conference, in which it was determined that, in some particulars then pointed out, the preachers had “leaned too much to Calvinism.” This is easily explained. Mr. Whitefield, and Howell Harris, the early coadjutors of the Wesleys, became Calvinists; but the affection which existed among this little band was strong;

* Wesley's Works.

and as they all agreed in preaching, what was at that time most needed, the doctrine of salvation by faith, “an agreement” was made at a very early period, between the Wesleys and Howell Harris, to forget all peculiarities of opinion as much as possible in their sermons, to use, as far as they could with a good conscience, the same phrases in expressing the points on which they substantially agreed, and to avoid controversy. Such an agreement shows the liberal feeling which existed among the parties; but it was not of a nature to be so rigidly kept as to give entire satisfaction. On these articles of peace, we find, therefore, endorsed, at a subsequent period, in the handwriting of Mr. Charles Wesley, “Vain agreement.” Mr. Wesley’s anxiety to maintain unity of effort as well as affection with Mr. Whitefield, led him also, in 1743, to concede to his Calvinistic views, as far as possible; and he appears not to have been disposed to deny, though he says he could not prove it, that some persons might be unconditionally elected to eternal glory; but not to the necessary exclusion of any other from salvation. And he was then “inclined to believe” that there is a state attainable in this life, “from which a man cannot finally fall.” But he was subsequently convinced, by the arguments of Mr. Thomas Walsh, that this was an error.* These considerations will account for the existence of what Mr. Wesley called “a leaning to Calvinism,” both in himself, and among some of the preachers, and

* Mr. Walsh was received by Mr. Wesley as a preacher in 1750, and died in 1759. The following is Mr. Wesley’s character of him:— “That blessed man sometimes preached in Irish, mostly in English; and wherever he preached, whether in English or Irish, the word was sharper than a two-edged sword. So that I do not remember ever to have known any preacher who, in so few years as he remained upon earth, was an instrument of converting so many sinners from the error of their ways. By violent straining of his voice he contracted a true pulmonary consumption, which carried him off. O what a man to be snatched away in the strength of his years! Surely thy ‘judgments are a great deep!’

“He was so thoroughly acquainted with the Bible, that if he was questioned concerning any Hebrew word in the Old, or any Greek word in the New Testament, he would tell, after a little pause, not only how often one or the other occurred in the Bible, but also what it meant in every place. Such a master of biblical knowledge I never knew before, and never expect to see again.”

rendered a review of the case necessary.* Though the leaders had approached so near “the very edge of Calvinism” on one side, and “of Antinomianism” also, with safety, it was not to be wondered at that others should overstep the line. Besides, circumstances had greatly changed. A strong tide of Antinomianism had set in, and threatened great injury to practical godliness throughout the land. Dr. Southey attributes this to the natural tendency of Methodism; but here he shows himself only partially acquainted with the subject. The decline of religion among many of the Dissenting churches had scattered the seeds of this heresy all around them, though not without calling forth a noble testimony against it from some of their ablest ministers; and when they began to feel the influence of the revival of piety in the last century, the tares sprang up with the plants of better quality. The Calvinism taught by Mr. Howell Harris, and Mr. Whitefield, was also perverted by many of their hearers to sanction the same error. Several of the evangelical clergy, likewise, who had no immediate connection with Mr. Wesley, were Calvinists of the highest grade; and as their number increased, their incautious statements of the doctrines of grace and fate, carried beyond their own intentions, became more mischievous. To show, however, that Antinomianism can graft itself upon other stocks besides that of the Calvinistic decrees, it was found also among many of the Moravians; and the Methodists did not escape. Wherever, indeed, the doctrine of justification by faith is preached, there is a danger, as St. Paul himself anticipated in his Epistle to the Romans, lest perverse, vain, and evil minds should pervert it to licentiousness; heavenly as it is in authority, and pure in its influence, when rightly understood! In fact, there is no such exclusive connection between the more sober Calvinistic theories of predestination and this great error, as some have supposed. It is too often met with, also, among those who hold the doctrine of general redemption; though it must be acknowledged that, for the most part, such persons at length go over to predestinarian notions, as affording, at least, some collateral

* Mr. Wesley's sermon on Imputed Righteousness is an instance of his anxiety to approach his Calvinistic brethren, in his modes of expression, as far as possible; and in this attempt he sometimes laid himself open to be misunderstood on both sides.

confirmation of the solifidian theory. That Calvinistic opinions, in their various forms, were at this time greatly revived and diffused, is certain. The religious excitement produced gave activity to theological inquiries; and speculative minds, especially those who had some taste for metaphysical discussions, were soon entangled in questions of predestination, prescience, necessity, and human freedom. The views of Calvin on these subjects were also held by many, who, connecting them with vital and saving truths, were honored with great usefulness and as the Wesleyan societies were often involved in these discussions, and in danger of having their faith unsettled and their practical piety injured by those in whom Calvinism had begun to luxuriate into the ease and carelessness of Antinomian license, no subject at that period more urgently required attention. For this reason, Mr. Wesley brought it before his Conference of preachers. The withering effects of this delusion were also strongly pointed out in his sermons, and were afterwards still more powerfully depicted by the master-pencil of Mr. Fletcher, in those great works to which he now began to apply himself, in order to stem the torrent. Dr. Southey has fallen into the error of imagining that Mr. Fletcher's descriptions of the ravages of Antinomianism were drawn from its effects upon the Wesleyan societies; but that mistake arose from his not adverting to the circumstance, that neither Mr. Wesley nor Mr. Fletcher confined their cares to these societies, but kept an equally watchful eye upon the state of religion in the land at large, and, consequently, in the Church of which they were ministers. The societies under Mr. Wesley's charge were, indeed, at no time more than very partially affected by this form of error. Still, in some places they had suffered, and in all were exposed to danger; and as Mr. Wesley regarded them not only as a people given to him by God, to preserve from error, but to engage to bear a zealous and steadfast testimony "against the evils of the time;" in every place, he endeavored to prepare them for their warfare, by instructing them fully in the questions at issue.

The Minutes of 1770 contained, therefore, the following passages:

"We said, in 1744, 'We have leaned too much toward Calvinism.' Wherein?

“1. With regard to man’s faithfulness. Our Lord himself taught us to use the expression. And we ought never to be ashamed of it. We ought steadily to assert, on his authority, that if a man is not ‘faithful in the unrighteous mammon,’ God will not give ‘him the true riches.’

“2. With regard to ‘working for life.’ This also our Lord has expressly commanded us. ‘Labor,’ *ἐργάζεσθε*, literally, ‘work for the meat that endureth to everlasting life.’ And, in fact, every believer, till he comes to glory, works for as well as from life.

“3. We have received it as a maxim, that ‘a man is to do nothing in order to justification.’ Nothing can be more false. Whoever desires to find favor with God should ‘cease from evil, and learn to do well.’ Whoever repents should do ‘works meet for repentance.’ And if this is not in order to find favor, what does he do them for?

“Review the whole affair.

“1. Who of us is now accepted of God?

“He that now believes in Christ, with a loving and obedient heart.

“2. But who among those that never heard of Christ?

“He that feareth God and worketh righteousness, according to the light he has.

“3. Is this the same with ‘he that is sincere?’

“Nearly, if not quite.

“4. Is not this ‘salvation by works?’

“Not by the merit of works, but by works as a condition.

“6. What have we then been disputing about for these thirty years?

“I am afraid, about words.

“7 The grand objection to one of the preceding propositions is drawn from matter of fact. God does, in fact, justify those who, by their own confession, neither feared God nor wrought righteousness. Is this an exception to the general rule?

“It is a doubt whether God makes any exceptions at all. But how are we sure that the person in question never did fear God and work righteousness? His own saying so is not proof; for we know how all that are convinced of sin undervalue themselves in every respect.

“8. Does not talking of a justified or a sanctified state tend

to mislead men? almost naturally leading them to trust in what was done in one moment? Whereas we are every hour and every moment pleasing or displeasing to God, ‘according to our works;’ according to the whole of our inward tempers, and our outward behavior.”

That these were passages calculated to awaken suspicion, and that they gave the appearance of inconsistency to Mr. Wesley’s opinions, and indicated a tendency to run to one extreme, in order to avoid another—an error which Mr. Wesley more generally avoided than most men—cannot be denied. They, however, when fairly examined, expressed nothing but what is found in substance in the doctrinal conversations at the Conferences from 1744 to 1747; but the sentiments were put in a stronger form, and were made to bear directly against the Antinomian opinions of the day. To “man’s faithfulness” nothing surely could be reasonably objected; it is enjoined upon believers in the whole gospel, and might have been known by the objectors to have been always held by Mr. Wesley, but so as necessarily to imply a constant dependence upon the influence of the Holy Spirit. That the rewards of eternity are also to be distributed in higher or lower degrees according to the obedient works of believers, yet still on a principle of grace, is a doctrine held by divines of almost every class, and is confirmed by many passages of Scripture. To the Antinomian notion, that a man is to do nothing in order to justification, Mr. Wesley opposes the same sentiment which he held in 1744, that previously to justification men must repent, and, if there be opportunity, do works meet for repentance; and when he asks, “If they do them not in order to justification, what do they do them for?” these words are far enough from intimating that such works are meritorious, although they are capable of being misunderstood. Repentance is indeed a condition of justification, as well as faith, but indirectly and remotely: “Repent ye, and believe the gospel;” and seeing that Mr. Wesley, so expressly in the same page, shuts out the merit of works, no one could be justly offended with this statement (except as far as the phrase is concerned) who did not embrace some obvious form of practical error.

The doctrine of the acceptance of such heathens as “fear God and work righteousness,” might be offensive to those who

shut out all heathens, as such, from the mercies of God—a tenet, however, which is not necessarily connected with Calvinism; and it ought not to have been objected to by others, unless Mr. Wesley had stated, as some of his opponents understood him to do, that a “heathen might be saved without a Saviour.” No such thought was ever entertained by him, as Mr. Fletcher observes in his defence; for he held that whenever a heathen is accepted, it is merely through the merits of Christ, although it is in connection with his “fearing God, and working righteousness.” “But how comes he to see that God is to be feared, and that righteousness is his delight?” Because a beam of our Sun of Righteousness shines in his darkness. All is therefore of grace; the light, the works of righteousness done by that light, and acceptance in consequence of them.”*

But when the Minutes went on to state that this shows that salvation is by works, as a “condition, though not by the merit of works,” the highest point of heresy was supposed to be reached. Yet from this charge, though it derived some color from a paradoxical mode of expression not to be commended, Mr. Fletcher brings off his friend unhurt:

“Our Church expresses herself more fully on this head in the Homily on Salvation, to which the article refers. ‘St. Paul,’ says she, ‘declares nothing’ (necessary) ‘on the behalf of man concerning his justification, but only a true and lively faith; and yet’ (N. B.) ‘that faith does not shut out repentance, hope, love,’ (of desire when we are coming, love of delight when we are come,) ‘dread, and the fear of God, to be joined with it in every man that is justified; but it shutteth them out from the office of justifying; so that they be all present together in him that is justified, yet they justify not all together.’ This is agreeable to St. Peter’s doctrine, maintained by Mr. Wesley. Only faith in Christ for Christians, and faith in the light of their dispensation for heathens, is necessary in order to acceptance. But though faith only justifies, yet it is never alone; for repentance, hope, love of desire, and the fear of God, necessarily accompany this faith, if it be living. Our Church, therefore, is not at all against works

proceeding from or accompanying faith in all its stages. She grants that, whether faith seeks or finds its object, whether it longs for or embraces it, it is still a lively, active, and working grace. She is only against the vain conceit that works have any hand in meriting justification, or purchasing salvation, which is what Mr. Wesley likewise strongly opposes.

“If any still urge, ‘I do not love the word condition;’ I reply, It is no wonder; since thousands so hate the thing, that they even choose to go to hell, rather than perform it. But let an old worthy divine, approved by all but Crisp’s disciples, tell you what we mean by condition: ‘An antecedent condition,’ says Mr. Flavel, in his ‘Discourse of Errors,’ ‘signifies no more than an act of ours, which, though it be neither perfect in any degree, nor in the least meritorious of the benefit conferred, nor performed in our own natural strength, is yet, according to the constitution of the covenant, required of us, in order to the blessings consequent thereupon, by virtue of the promise; and, consequently, benefits and mercies granted in this order are and must be suspended by the donor, till it be performed.’ Such a condition we affirm faith to be, with all that faith necessarily implies.”*

The greatest stone of stumbling was, however, the remarks on merit:

“As to merit itself, of which we have been so dreadfully afraid: We are rewarded ‘according to our works,’ yea, ‘because of our works.’ How does this differ from, ‘for the sake of our works?’ And how differs this from, *secundum merita operum*, ‘as our works deserve?’ Can you split this hair? I doubt I cannot.”

The outcry of “dreadful heresy” raised against him, particularly on this article, was the more uncandid, because by explaining the phrase *secundum merita operum*, to mean, ‘as our works deserve,’ it was clear, especially taking the passage in connection with what he had previously stated, that he understood merit in that loose, and not perhaps always correct sense in which it had often been used by several of the

* Fletcher’s Works.

ancient Fathers; and also that he was not speaking of our present justification, but of our final reward. But here Mr. Fletcher shall again be heard:

“If Mr. Wesley meant that we are saved by the merit of works, and not entirely by that of Christ, you might exclaim against his proposition as erroneous; and I would echo back your exclamation. But as he flatly denies it in those words, ‘not by the merit of works,’ and has constantly asserted the contrary for above thirty years, we cannot, without monstrous injustice, fix that sense upon the word merit in this paragraph.

“Divesting himself of bigotry and party spirit, he generously acknowledges truth, even when it is held forth by his adversaries. An instance of candor worthy of our imitation! He sees that God offers and gives his children, here on earth, particular rewards for particular instances of obedience. He knows that when a man is saved meritoriously by Christ, and conditionally by (or, if you please, upon the terms of) the work of faith, the patience of hope, and the labor of love, he shall particularly be rewarded in heaven for his works. And he observes, that the Scriptures steadily maintain, we are recompensed according to our works, yea, because of our works.

“The former of these assertions is plain from the parable of the talents, and from these words of our Lord: ‘The Son of man shall come in the glory of his Father, and reward every man according to his works’ (Matt. xvi. 27:) unbelievers, according to the various degrees of demerit belonging to their evil works; (for some of them shall comparatively ‘be beaten with few stripes;’) and believers, according to the various degrees of excellence found in their good works; ‘for as one star differeth from another star in glory, so also is the resurrection of the’ righteous ‘dead.’

“If we detach from the word merit the idea of ‘obligation on God’s part to bestow any thing upon creatures, who have a thousand times forfeited their comforts and existence’—if we take it in the sense we fix to it in an hundred cases; for instance, this: ‘A master may reward his scholars according to the merit of their exercises, or he may not; for the merit of the best exercise can never bind him to bestow a premium for it, unless he has promised it of his own accord’—if we take, I say, the word merit in this simple sense, it may be

joined to the word good works, and bear an evangelical meaning.

“To be convinced of it, candid reader, consider, with Mr. Wesley, that ‘God accepts and rewards no work but so far as it proceeds from his own grace through the Beloved.’ Forget not that Christ’s Spirit is the savor of each believer’s salt, and that he puts excellence into the good works of his people, or else they could not be good. Remember, he is as much concerned in the good tempers, words, and actions of his living members, as a tree is concerned in the sap, leaves, and fruit of the branches it bears. (John xv. 5.) Consider, I say, all this, and tell us, whether it can reflect dishonor upon Christ and his grace to affirm, that as his personal merit—the merit of his holy life and painful death—‘opens the kingdom of heaven to all believers,’ so the merit of those works which he enables his members to do, will determine the peculiar degrees of glory graciously allotted to each of them.”*

Mr. Fletcher came forward to defend his venerable friend, on account of the great uproar which the Calvinistic party had raised against him on the publication of these Minutes. The Countess of Huntingdon had taken serious alarm and offence; and the Rev. Walter Shirley, her brother and chaplain, had written a Circular Letter to all the serious clergy, and several others, inviting them to go in a body to the ensuing Conference, and “insist upon a formal recantation of the said Minutes, and, in case of a refusal, to sign and publish their protest against them.” Mr. Shirley and a few others accordingly attended the Bristol Conference, where, says Mr. Wesley, “we had more preachers than usual, in consequence of Mr. Shirley’s Circular Letter. At ten on Thursday morning he came, with nine or ten of his friends. We conversed freely for about two hours; and I believe they were satisfied that we were not such ‘dreadful heretics’ as they imagined, but were tolerably sound in the faith.”

The meeting was creditable to each party. Mr. Wesley acknowledged that the Minutes were “not sufficiently guarded.” This must be felt by all; they were out of his usual manner of expressing himself, and he had said the same truths often in a clearer, and safer, and even stronger

* Fletcher’s Works.

manner. He certainly did not mean to alter his previous opinions, or formally to adopt other terms in which to express them; and therefore to employ new modes of speaking, though for a temporary purpose, was not without danger, although they were capable of an innocent explanation. Even Mr. Fletcher confesses that the Minutes wore “a new aspect;” and that at first they appeared to him “unguarded, if not erroneous.” Mr. Wesley showed his candor in admitting the former; and, to prevent all future misconstruction, he and the Conference issued the following “Declaration,”* to which was appended a Note from Mr. Shirley, acknowledging his mistake as to the meaning in the Minutes :

“ BRISTOL, August 9, 1771.

“ WHEREAS the doctrinal points in the Minutes of a Conference, held in London, August 7, 1770, have been understood to favor ‘ justification by works;’ now the Rev. John Wesley and others, assembled in Conference, do declare, that we had no such meaning; and that we abhor the doctrine of ‘ justification by works,’ as a most perilous and abominable doctrine. And as the said Minutes are not sufficiently guarded in the way they are expressed, we hereby solemnly declare, in the sight of God, that we have no trust or confidence but in the alone merits of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ for justification or salvation, either in life, death, or the day of judgment. And though no one is a real Christian believer (and consequently cannot be saved) who doeth not good works, where there is time and opportunity, yet our works have no part in meriting or purchasing our justification, from first to last, either in whole or in part.

“ Signed by the Rev. Mr. Wesley and fifty-three preachers.”†

[* This “Declaration,” in Mr. Wesley’s handwriting, was kindly remitted to the Conference, at its session in Bristol, August, 1856, by the author of the *Life and Times of the Countess of Huntingdon*.—T. O. S.]

† This affair is capable of more illustration than it has received from Mr. Wesley’s biographers hitherto. Mr. Shirley’s Circular Letter was naturally resented by Mr. Wesley, as being published before any explanations respecting the Minutes had been asked from him, their author; and also from its assuming that Mr. S., and the clergy who might obey his summons, had the right to come into the Conference, and to demand a recantation. Mr. Shirley, therefore, soon

MR. SHIRLEY'S NOTE.

“MR. SHIRLEY'S Christian respects wait on Mr. Wesley
The Declaration agreed to in Conference the 8th of August,

found that he must approach in a more brotherly manner, or that Mr. Wesley and the Conference would have no intercourse with him. This led Lady Huntingdon and Mr. Shirley to address explanatory letters to Mr. Wesley. “As the method of proceeding, as well as the terms in which we had delivered ourselves,” says Mr. Shirley, “was objected to by many as by no means proper, and in submission to the precept, ‘Give no offence to Jew or Gentile, or to the Church of God,’ Lady Huntingdon and I wrote the following letters, which were delivered to Mr. Wesley the evening before the Conference met.” Lady Huntingdon says, “As you and your friends, and many others, have objected to the mode of the application to you in Conference, as an arbitrary way of proceeding, we wish to retract what a more deliberate consideration might have prevented,” etc. Mr. Shirley's letter acknowledges “that the Circular was too hastily drawn up, and improperly expressed; and, therefore, for the offensive expressions in it we desire we may be hereby understood to make every suitable submission to you.” On this explanation, Mr. Shirley and his friends were invited by Mr. Wesley to come to the Conference on the third day of its sitting. Mr. Shirley's published narrative thus proceeds: “To say the truth, I was pleased that the invitation came from Mr. Wesley, without any application made on our parts, that there might not be left the least room for censuring our proceedings as violent. On that day therefore I went thither, accompanied with the Rev. Mr. Glascot, the Rev. Mr. Owen, (two ministers officiating in Lady Huntingdon's chapels,) John Lloyd, Esq., of Bath; Mr. James Ireland, merchant of Bristol; Mr. Winter, and two students belonging to Lady Huntingdon's college.

“I shall only give you a brief detail of what passed, and rather the substance of what was spoken, than the exact words; omitting likewise many things of no great weight or consequence.

“After Mr. Wesley had prayed, I desired to know whether Lady Huntingdon's letter and mine to Mr. Wesley had been read to the Conference. Being answered in the negative, I begged leave to read the copies of them; which was granted. I then said that I hoped the submission made was satisfactory to the gentlemen of the Conference. This was admitted; but then it was urged, that as the offence given by the Circular Letter had been very public, so ought the letter of submission. I therefore readily consented to the publication of it, and have now fulfilled my promise. Mr. Wesley then stood up: the purport of his speech was a sketch of his ministry from his first setting out to the present time; with a view (as I understood) to prove that he had ever maintained justification by faith, and that there was nothing in the Minutes contrary thereunto. He complained of ill-treatment from many persons, that he apprehended had been under

1771, has convinced Mr. Shirley he had mistaken the meaning of the doctrinal points in the Minutes of the Conference held in London, August, 7, 1770; and he hereby wishes to

obligations to him; and said that the present opposition was not to the Minutes, but to himself personally. In answer, I assured them, in the most solemn manner, that, with respect to myself, my opposition was not to Mr. Wesley or any particular person, but to the doctrines themselves; and they were pleased thus far to give me credit. I then proceeded to speak to the point: informed them of the great and general offence the Minutes had given; that I had numerous protests and testimonies against them sent me from Scotland, and from various parts of these kingdoms; that it must seem very extraordinary indeed, if so many men of sense and learning should be mistaken, and that there was nothing really offensive in the plain, natural import of the Minutes; that I believed they themselves (whatever meaning they might have intended) would allow that the more obvious meaning was reprehensible; and, therefore, I recommended to them, nay, I begged and entreated for the Lord's sake, that they would go as far as they could with a good conscience, in giving the world satisfaction. I said I hoped they would not take offence, (for I did not mean to give it,) at my proposing to them a Declaration which I had drawn up, wishing that something at least analogous to it might be agreed to. I then took the liberty to read it; and Mr. Wesley, after he had made some (not very material) alterations in it, readily consented to sign it; in which he was followed by fifty-three of the preachers in connection with him; there being only one or two that were against it.

"Thus was this important matter settled. But one of the preachers (namely, Mr. Thomas Olivers) kept us a long time in debate; strenuously opposed the Declaration; and to the last would not consent to sign it. He maintained that our second justification (that is, at the day of judgment) is by works; and he saw very clearly that for one that holds that tenet, solemnly 'to declare in the sight of God that he has no trust or confidence but in the alone merits of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, for justification or salvation, either in life, death, or the day of judgment,' would be acting neither a consistent nor an upright part; for all the subtleties of metaphysical distinction can never reconcile tenets so diametrically opposite as these. But, blessed be God, Mr. Wesley, and fifty-three of his preachers, do not agree with Mr. Olivers in this material article; for it appears from their subscribing the Declaration, that they do not maintain a second justification by works.

"After the Declaration had been agreed to, it was required of me, on my part, that I would make some public acknowledgment that I had mistaken the meaning of the Minutes. Here I hesitated a little; for though I was desirous to do every thing (consistently with truth and a good conscience) for the establishment of peace and Christian

testify the full satisfaction he has in the said Declaration, and his hearty concurrence and agreement with the same.

“Mr. Wesley is at full liberty to make what use he pleases of this.

“AUGUST 10TH, 1771.”

Mr. Fletcher had entitled his defence of Mr. Wesley “The First Check to Antinomianism;” but he did not content himself with evangelizing the apparently legal Minutes, and defending the doctrinal consistency and orthodoxy of Mr. Wesley. He incidentally discussed various other points of the quinquarticular controversy; and he, as well as Mr. Wesley, was quickly assailed by a number of replies, not couched

fellowship, yet I was very unwilling to give any thing under my hand that might seem to countenance the Minutes in their obvious sense. But then, when I was asked by one of the preachers whether I did not believe Mr. Wesley to be an honest man, I was distressed, on the other hand, lest, by refusing what was desired, I should seem to infer a doubt to Mr. Wesley’s disadvantage. Having confidence, therefore, in Mr. Wesley’s integrity, who had declared he had no such meaning in the Minutes as was favorable to justification by works; and considering that every man is the best judge of his own meaning, and has a right, so far, to our credit, and that, though nothing else could, yet the Declaration did, convince me they had some other meaning than what appeared—I say, (these things considered,) I promised them satisfaction in this particular; and, a few days afterwards, sent Mr. Wesley the following message, with which he was very well pleased:

[Then follows Mr. Shirley’s Note, as given above.]

“Thus far all was well. The foundation was secured. And, with respect to lesser matters of difference, we might well bear with one another; and if either party should see occasion to oppose the other’s peculiar opinion, it might be done without vehemence, and without using any reproachful terms. The whole was conducted with great decency on all sides. We concluded with prayer, and with the warmest indications of mutual peace and love. For my own part, believe me, I was perfectly sincere; and thought this one of the happiest and most honorable days of my life.”

The whole conduct of Mr. Shirley, in this affair, affords a pleasing contrast to that of the Hills, Toplady, and others, who soon rushed hot and reckless into the controversy. Mr. Shirley, it is true, complains that, after this adjustment, Mr. Fletcher should have so severely attacked him in his five letters; but he appears never to have departed from the meekness of a Christian, and the manners of a gentleman.

in the most courteous style. Mr. Fletcher's skill and admirable temper so fully fitted him to conduct the dispute which had arisen, that Mr. Wesley left the contest chiefly to him, and calmly pursued his labors; and the whole issued in a series of publications, from the pen of the Vicar of Madeley, which, as a whole, can scarcely be too highly praised or valued.* While the language endures, they will effectually operate as checks to Antiuomianism in every subtle form which it may assume; and present the pure and beautiful system of evangelical truth, as well guarded on the other hand against Pelagian self-sufficiency. The Rev. Augustus Toplady, Mr. (afterward Sir Richard) Hill, and his brother, the Rev. Rowland Hill, with the Rev. John Berridge, were his principal antagonists; but his learning, his acuteness, his brilliant talent at illustrating an argument, and, above all, the hallowed spirit in which he conducted the controversy, gave him a mighty superiority over his opponents; and, although there will be a difference of opinion, according to the systems which different readers have adopted, as to the side on which the victory of argument remains, there can be none as to which bore away the prize of temper. Amidst the scurrilities and vulgar abuse of Mr. Toplady, otherwise an able writer and a man of learning, and the coarse virulence or buffoonery of the Hills and Berridge,† it is refreshing to remark, in the

* It ought to be observed that Mr. Fletcher's writings are not to be considered, in every particular, as expressing the views of Mr. Wesley, and the body of Methodists; and that, though greatly admired among us, they are not reckoned among the *standards* of our doctrines.

† The titles of several of the pieces written by Toplady and others, such as, "An old Fox tarred and feathered," "The Serpent and the Fox," "Pope John," etc., are sufficient evidences of the temper and manners of this band of controversialists. In what the Rev. Rowland Hill calls "*Some gentle Strictures*" on a sermon by Mr. Wesley, preached on laying the foundation-stone of the City Road chapel, Mr. Wesley is subjected to certain not very *gentle* objurgations, which it would be too sickening a task to copy or to read. The *Gospel Magazine*, so called, was equally unmeasured in its abuse, and as vulgar; but, to do justice to all parties, the Calvinists even of that day disapproved of this publication, and it was given up. Even Mr. Rowland Hill appears to have incurred the displeasure of some of his brethren; for, in a second edition of his "*Gentle Strictures*," he explains himself—awkwardly enough, certainly—that when he called

writings of the “saintly Fletcher,” so fine a union of strength and meekness; an edge so keen, and yet so smooth; and a heart kept in such perfect charity with his assailants, and so intent upon establishing truth, not for victory, but for salvation.

In this dispute Mr. Wesley wrote but little, and that chiefly in defence of his own consistency, in reply to Mr. Hill. His pamphlets also are models of temper, logical and calm, but occasionally powerfully reproving; not so much as feeling that he had received abuse and insult, as holding it his duty to bring the aggressor to a due sense of his own misdoings. The conclusion of his first reply to Mr. Hill is a strong illustration :

“ Having now answered the queries you proposed, suffer me, sir, to propose one to you; the same which a gentleman of your own opinion proposed to me some years since: ‘Sir, how is it that as soon as a man comes to the knowledge of the truth, it spoils his temper?’ That it does so I had observed over and over, as well as Mr. J. had. But how can we account for it? Has the truth (so Mr. J. termed what many love to term the doctrine of free grace) a natural tendency to spoil the temper? to inspire pride, haughtiness, superciliousness? to make a man ‘wiser in his own eyes than seven men that can render a reason?’ Does it naturally turn a man into a cynic, a bear, a Toplady? Does it at once set him free from all the restraints of good nature, decency, and good manners? Cannot a man hold distinguishing grace, as it is called, but he must distinguish himself for passion, sourness, bitterness? Must a man, as soon as he looks upon himself to be an absolute favorite of Heaven, look upon all that oppose him as Diabolians, as predestinated dogs of hell? Truly, the

Mr. Wesley “wretch,” and “miscreant,” they must remember that “wretch” means “an unhappy person,” and “miscreant,” “one whose belief is wrong!” We have, happily, no recent instances of equally unbrotherly and unchristian temper in connection with this controversy, except in the bitter and unsanctified spirit of Bogue and Bennett’s History of the Dissenters. The two Doctors, however, were in the habit of declining the merit of the passages on Methodism in favor of each other; and to which of them the honor of their authorship is due, has never yet, I believe, been ascertained. “Where there is shame,” says Dr. Johnson, “there may in time be virtue.”

melancholy instance now before us would almost induce us to think so. For who was of a more amiable temper than Mr. Hill, a few years ago? When I first conversed with him in London, I thought I had seldom seen a man of fortune who appeared to be of a more humble, modest, gentle, friendly disposition. And yet this same Mr. H., when he has once been grounded in a knowledge of the truth, is of a temper as totally different from this, as light is from darkness! He is now haughty, supercilious, disdaining his opponents, as unworthy to be set with the dogs of his flock! He is violent, impetuous, bitter of spirit! in a word, the author of the Review!

“O, sir, what a commendation is this of your doctrine! Look at Mr. Hill the Arminian! the loving, amiable, generous, friendly man. Look at Mr. Hill the Calvinist! Is it the same person? this spiteful, morose, touchy man? Alas, what has the knowledge of the truth done! What a deplorable change has it made! Sir, I love you still; though I cannot esteem you, as I did once. Let me entreat you, if not for the honor of God, yet for the honor of your cause, avoid, for the time to come, all anger, all spite, all sourness and bitterness, all contemptuous usage of your opponents, not inferior to you, unless in fortune. O put on again bowels of mercies, kindness, gentleness, long-suffering; endeavoring to hold, even with them that differ from you in opinion, the unity of the Spirit in the bond of peace!”

This controversy, painful as it was in many respects, and the cause of much unhallowed joy to the profane wits of the day, who were not a little gratified at this exhibition of what they termed “spiritual gladiatorialship,” has been productive of important consequences in this country. It showed to the pious and moderate Calvinists how well the richest views of evangelical truth could be united with Arminianism; and it effected, by its bold and fearless exhibition of the logical consequences of the doctrines of the decrees, much greater moderation in those who still admitted them, and gave birth to some softened modifications of Calvinism in the age that followed—an effect which has remained to this day. The disputes on these subjects have, since that time, been less frequent, and more temperate; nor have good men so much labored to depart to the greatest distance from each other, as

to find a ground on which they could make the nearest approaches. This has been especially the case between the Methodists and evangelical Dissenters. Of late, a Calvinism of a higher and sterner form has sprung up among a certain sect of the clergy of the Church of England; though some of them, whatever their private theory may be, feel that these points are not fit subjects for the edification of their congregations in public discourses. Of Calvinism since the period of this controversy the Methodist preachers and societies have been in no danger; so powerful and complete was its effect upon them. At no Conference, since that of 1770, has it been necessary again to ask, "Wherein have we leaned too much to Calvinism?" There has been, indeed, not in the body, but in some of its ministers occasionally, a leaning to what is worse than Calvinism—to a sapless, legal, and philosophizing theology. The influence of the opinions of the majority of the preachers has always, however, counteracted this; and the true balance between the extremes of each system, as set up in the doctrinal writings of Mr. Wesley, has been of late years better preserved than formerly. Those writings are, indeed, more read and better appreciated in the Connection than at some former periods; and perhaps at the present time they exert a more powerful influence than they ever did over the theological views of both preachers and people. To this the admirably complete, correct, and elegant edition of Mr. Wesley's Works, lately put forth by the labor and judgment of the Rev. Thomas Jackson, will still further contribute. Numerous valuable pieces, on different subjects, which had been quite lost to the public, have been recovered; and others, but very partially known, have been collected.

In the midst of all these controversies and cares, the societies continued to spread and flourish under the influence of the zeal and piety of the preachers, animated by the ceaseless activity and regular visits of Mr. Wesley, who, though now upwards of seventy years of age,* seemed to possess his

* In his seventy-second year he thus speaks of himself: "This being my birthday, the first day of my seventy-second year, I was considering, How is this, that I find just the same strength as I did thirty years ago? that my sight is considerably better now, and my nerves firmer than they were then? that I have none of the infirmi-

natural strength unabated. His thoughts were, however, frequently turning with anxiety to some arrangement for the government of the Connection after his death; and not being satisfied that the plan he had sketched out a few years before would provide for a case of so much consequence, he directed his attention to Mr. Fletcher, and warmly invited him to come forth into the work, and to allow himself to be introduced by him to the societies and preachers as their future head. Earnestly as this was pressed, Mr. Fletcher could not be induced to undertake a task to which, in his humility, he thought himself inadequate. This seems to have been his only objection; but had he accepted the offer, the plan would have failed, as Mr. Fletcher was a few years afterwards called into another world. From Mr. Charles Wesley, who had become a family man, and had nearly given up travelling, he had no hope as a successor; and even then a further settlement would have been necessary, because he could not be expected long to survive his brother. Still, therefore, this important matter remained undetermined. At the time the overture was made to Mr. Fletcher, the preachers who were fully engaged in the work amounted to one hundred and fifty; and the societies, in Great Britain and Ireland, to upwards of thirty-five thousand, exclusive of the regular hearers. This rapid and constant enlargement of the Connection heightened the urgency of the question of its future settlement; and it is pleasing to remark that Mr. Charles Wesley at length entered into this feeling, and offered his suggestions. In spite of the little misunderstandings which had arisen, he maintained a strong interest in a work of which he had been so eminent an instrument; and this grew upon him in his latter years. Thus we have seen him springing into activity upon the sickness of his brother, before mentioned, and performing for him the full "work of an evangelist," by travelling in his place; and, upon Mr. Wesley's recovery, his

ties of old age, and have lost several I had in my youth? The grand cause is the good pleasure of God, who doeth whatsoever pleaseth him. The chief means are, 1. My constantly rising at four for about fifty years. 2. My generally preaching at five in the morning—one of the most healthy exercises in the world. 3. My never travelling less, by sea or land, than four thousand five hundred miles in a year."

labors were afforded locally to the chapels in London and Bristol, to the great edification of the congregations. In one of his latest letters to his brother, entering into the question of a provision for the settlement of the future government of the Connection, he says, “I served West street chapel on Friday and Sunday. Stand to your own proposal: ‘Let us agree to differ.’ I leave America and Scotland to your latest thoughts and recognitions; only observing now, that you are exactly right: keep your authority while you live; and, after your death, *detur digniori*, or rather, *dignioribus*. You cannot settle the succession. You cannot divine how God will settle it.”

Thus Charles gave up as hopeless the return to the Church, and suggested the plan which his brother adopted—to devolve the government, not indeed upon one, but upon many, whom he esteemed “the worthiest” for age, experience, talent, and moderation.

CHAPTER XII.

Mr. Wesley's sickness in Ireland—Letter to the Commissioners of Excise—Visit to the Isle of Man—Opening of City Road chapel—“Arminian Magazine”—Disputes in the society at Bath—Mr. Wesley's letter to a nobleman—His visit to Holland—“Deed of Declaration”—Remarks.

IN 1775, Mr. Wesley, during a tour in the north of Ireland, had a dangerous sickness, occasioned by sleeping on the ground, in an orchard, in the hot weather, which he says he had been “accustomed to do for forty years, without ever being injured by it.” He was slow to admit that old age had arrived, or he trusted to triumph long over its infirmities. The consequence in this case, however, was, that, after manfully struggling with the incipient symptoms of the complaint, and attempting to throw them off by reading, journeying, and preaching, he sank into a severe fever; from which, after lying insensible for some days, he recovered with extraordinary rapidity; and resumed a service which, extended as it had been through so many years, was not yet to be terminated. Whilst in London, the next year, the following incident occurred :

An order had been made by the House of Lords, “That the commissioners of his Majesty's excise do write circular letters to all persons whom they have reason to suspect to have plate, as also to those who have not paid regularly the duty on the same,” etc. In consequence of this order, the Accountant-General for Household Plate sent Mr. Wesley a copy of the order, with the following letter :

“REVEREND SIR :

“As the commissioners cannot doubt but you have plate

for which you have hitherto neglected to make an entry, they have directed me to send you the above copy of the Lords' order; and to inform you, they expect that you forthwith make due entry of all your plate; such entry to bear date from the commencement of the Plate Duty, or from such time as you have owned, used, had, or kept any quantity of silver plate, chargeable by the Act of Parliament; as, in default hereof, the Board will be obliged to signify your refusal to their Lordships.

“N. B. An immediate answer is desired.”

Mr. Wesley replied as follows :

“SIR : I have two silver teaspoons at London, and two at Bristol. This is all the plate which I have at present; and I shall not buy any more while so many around me want bread.

I am, sir,

“Your most humble servant,

“JOHN WESLEY.”

No doubt the commissioners of his Majesty's excise thought that the head of so numerous a people had not forgotten his own interests, and that the interior of his episcopal residence in London was not without superfluities and splendor.

The Bishop of Sodor and Man having written a pastoral letter to all the clergy within his diocese, to warn their flocks against Methodism, and exhorting them to present all who attended its meetings in the spiritual courts, and to repel every Methodist preacher from the sacrament, Mr. Wesley hastened to the island, and in May, 1777, landed at Douglas. In every place he appears to have been cordially received by all ranks; and his prompt visit probably put a stop to this threatened ecclesiastical violence, for no further mention is made of it. The societies in the island continued to flourish; and, on Mr. Wesley's second visit, he found a new bishop, of a more liberal character.

The Foundry having become too small for the comfortable accommodation of the congregation in that part of London, and being also gloomy and dilapidated, a new chapel had been erected. “November 1st,” says Mr. Wesley, “was the

day appointed for opening the new chapel in the City Road. It is perfectly neat, but not fine, and contains far more than the Foundry; I believe, together with the morning chapel, as many as the Tabernacle. Many were afraid that the multitudes, crowding from all parts, would have occasioned much disturbance; but they were happily disappointed; there was none at all; all was quietness, decency, and order. I preached on part of Solomon's prayer at the dedication of the temple; and both in the morning and afternoon God was eminently present in the midst of the congregation."*

Here the brothers agreed to officiate as often as possible till the congregation should be settled. Two resident clergymen were also employed at this chapel as curates, for reading the full Church service, administering the sacraments, and burying the dead. But Mr. Charles Wesley took some little offence at the liberty given to the preachers to officiate in his brother's absence, and when he himself could not supply. His letter of complaint produced, however, no change in his brother's appointments; nor was it likely. Mr. Wesley knew well that his own preaching at the new chapel, and the ministrations of the other clergymen, during the hours of service in the parish church, without a license from the bishop, or the acknowledgment of his spiritual jurisdiction, was just as irregular an affair, considered ecclesiastically, as the other. The City Road chapel, with its establishment of clergy, service in canonical hours, and sacraments, was, in the eye of the law, as much as any Dissenting place of worship in London, a conventicle; though, when tried by a better rule, it was eminently, in those days of power and simplicity, "none other than the house of God, and the gate of heaven," to devout worshippers. An influence of a very extraordinary kind often rested upon the vast congregations assembled there; thousands were trained up in it for the kingdom of God; and the society exhibited a greater number of members, perhaps, than any other, except that in Bristol, who, for intelligence, deep experience in the things of God, stability, meekness of spirit, and holiness of life, were at once the ornaments of Methodism, and an influential example to the other societies of the metropolis.

In 1778 Mr. Wesley began to publish a periodical work, which he entitled, "The Arminian Magazine; consisting of Extracts and Original Treatises on Universal Redemption." He needed a medium through which he could reply to the numerous attacks made upon him; and he made use of it further to introduce into general circulation several choice treatises on Universal Redemption, and to publish selections from his valuable correspondence with pious persons. He conducted this work while he lived; and it is still continued by the Conference, under the title of the "Wesleyan Methodist Magazine," on the same general principles as to its theology, though on a more enlarged plan.

A dispute of a somewhat serious aspect arose in the following year out of the appointment of a clergyman by Mr. Wesley to preach every Sunday evening in the chapel at Bath. It was not probable that the preachers of the circuit should pay the same deference to a strange clergyman, recently introduced, as to Mr. Wesley; but when this exclusive occupation of the pulpit on Sunday evenings was objected to by them and part of the society, Mr. Wesley, supported by his brother, who had accompanied him to Bath, stood firmly upon his right to appoint when and where the preachers should officiate, as a fundamental part of the compact between them; and the assistant preacher, Mr. M'Nab, was suspended until "he came to another mind." As Mr. M'Nab who had thus fallen under Mr. Wesley's displeasure was supported by many of the other preachers, a stormy Conference was anticipated. To this meeting Mr. Wesley, therefore, foreseeing that his authority would be put to the trial, strongly invited his brother, in order that he might assist him with his advice. At first Mr. Charles Wesley declined, on the ground that he could not trust to his brother's vigor and resolution. He, however, attended; but when he saw that Mr. Wesley was determined to heal the breach by concession, he kept entire silence. The offending preacher was received back without censure; and, from this time, Dr. Whitehead thinks that Mr. Wesley's authority in the Conference declined. This is not correct; but that authority was exercised in a different manner. Many of the preachers had become old in the work; and were men of great talents, tried fidelity, and influence with the societies. These qualities were duly appreciated by Mr. Wesley, who

now regarded them more than formerly, when they were young and inexperienced, as his counsellors and coadjutors. It was an eminent proof of Mr. Wesley's practical wisdom, that he never attempted to contend with circumstances not to be controlled; and from this time he placed his supremacy no longer upon authority, but upon the influence of wisdom, character, and age, and thus confirmed rather than diminished it. Had Mr. Charles Wesley felt sure of being supported by his brother with what he called "vigor," it is plain from his letter on the occasion, that he would have stood upon the alternative of the unconditional submission of all the preachers, or a separation. His brother chose a more excellent way; and no doubt foresaw, not only that if a separation had been driven on by violence, it would have been an extensive one; but that among the societies which remained the same process would naturally, and necessarily, at some future time take place, and so nothing be ultimately gained, to counterbalance the immediate mischief. The silence maintained by Mr. Charles Wesley in this Conference did him also great honor. He suspected "the warmth of his temper;" he saw that, as his brother was bent upon conciliation, any thing he could say would only endanger the mutual confidence between him and his preachers; and he held his peace. He himself believed that a formal separation of the body of preachers and people from the Church would inevitably take place after his brother's death, and thought it best to bring on the crisis before that event. "You," says he to his brother, "think otherwise, and I submit." The fact has been, that no such separation as he feared, that is, separation on such principles, and under such feelings of hostility to the Established Church, has yet taken place.

The following letter written by Mr. Wesley in 1782, to a nobleman, high in office, shows how much his mind was alive to every thing which concerned the morals and religion of the country; and is an instance of the happy manner in which he could unite courtesy with reproof, without destroying its point. A report prevailed that the Ministry designed to embody the militia, and exercise them on a Sunday.

"MY LORD:

"If I wrong your Lordship, I am sorry for it; but I really
11*

believe your Lordship fears God ; and I hope your Lordship has no unfavorable opinion of the Christian revelation. This encourages me to trouble your Lordship with a few lines, which otherwise I should not take upon me to do.

“Above thirty years ago, a motion was made in Parliament for raising and embodying the militia, and for exercising them, to save time, on Sunday. When the motion was like to pass, an old gentleman stood up and said, ‘Mr. Speaker, I have one objection to this: I believe an old book, called the Bible.’ The members looked at one another, and the motion was dropped.

“Must not all others, who believe the Bible, have the very same objection ? And from what I have seen, I cannot but think, these are still three-fourths of the nation. Now, setting religion out of the question, is it expedient to give such a shock to so many millions of people at once ? And, certainly, it would shock them extremely ; it would wound them in a very tender part. For would not they, would not all England, would not all Europe, consider this as a virtual repeal of the Bible ? And would not all serious persons say, ‘We have little religion in the land now ; but by this step we shall have less still. For wherever this pretty show is to be seen, the people will flock together ; and will lounge away so much time before and after it, that the churches will be emptier than they are already !’

“My Lord, I am concerned for this on a double account. First, because I have personal obligations to your Lordship ; and would fain, even for this reason, recommend your Lordship to the love and esteem of all over whom I have any influence. Secondly, because I now reverence your Lordship for your office’ sake ; and believe it to be my bounden duty to do all that is in my little power to advance your Lordship’s influence and reputation.

“Will your Lordship permit me to add a word in my old-fashioned way ? I pray Him that has all power in heaven and earth to prosper all your endeavors for the public good ; and am, My Lord,

“Your Lordship’s willing servant,
“JOHN WESLEY.”

In 1783 Mr. Wesley paid a visit to Holland, having been

pressed to undertake this journey by a Mr. Ferguson, formerly a member of the London society, who had made acquaintance with some pious people, who, having read Mr. Wesley's sermons, were desirous of seeing him.

The following are extracts from his Journal; and they will be read with pleasure, both as exhibiting his activity at so advanced an age, and as they present an interesting picture of his intercourse with a pious remnant in several parts of that morally deteriorated country:

“Wednesday, June 11. I took coach with Mr. Brackenbury, Broadbent, and Whitfield; and in the evening we reached Harwich. I went immediately to Dr. Jones, who received me in the most affectionate manner. About nine in the morning we sailed; and at nine on Friday, 13th, landed at Helvoetsluis. Here we hired a coach for Briel; but were forced to hire a wagon also, to carry a box which one of us could have carried on his shoulders. At Briel we took a boat to Rotterdam. We had not been long there, when Mr. Bennet, a bookseller, who had invited me to his house, called for me. But as Mr. Loyal, the minister of the Scotch congregation, had invited me, he gave up his claim, and went with us to Mr. Loyal's. I found a friendly, sensible, hospitable, and, I am persuaded, a pious man.

“Saturday, 14. I had much conversation with the two English ministers, sensible, well-bred, serious men. These, as well as Mr. Loyal, were very willing I should preach in their churches; but they thought it would be best for me to preach in the Episcopal church. By our conversing freely together, many prejudices were removed, and all our hearts seemed to be united together.

“Sunday, 15. The Episcopal church is not quite so large as the chapel in West street; it is very elegant both without and within. The service began at half-past nine. Such a congregation had not often been there before. I preached on, ‘God created man in his own image.’ The people ‘seemed all, but their attention, dead.’ In the afternoon the church was so filled, as (they informed me) it had not been for these fifty years. I preached on, ‘God hath given us eternal life; and this life is in his Son.’ I believe God applied it to many hearts. Were it only for this hour, I am glad I came to Holland.

“ Monday, 16. We set out in a track-skuit for the Hague. By the way we saw a curiosity: the gallows near the canal, surrounded with a knot of beautiful trees! so the dying man will have one pleasant prospect here, whatever befalls him hereafter!

“ At eleven we came to Delft, a large, handsome town; where we spent an hour at a merchant’s house; who, as well as his wife, a very agreeable woman, seemed both to fear and to love God. Afterwards we saw the great church, I think, nearly, if not quite, as long as York Minster. It is exceedingly light and elegant within, and every part is kept exquisitely clean.

“ When we came to the Hague, though we had heard much of it, we were not disappointed. It is, indeed, beautiful beyond expression. Many of the houses are exceedingly grand, and finely intermingled with water and wood; yet not too close, but so as to be sufficiently ventilated by the air.

“ Being invited to tea by Madame de Vassenaar, (one of the first quality in the Hague,) I waited upon her in the afternoon. She received us with that easy openness and affability which is almost peculiar to Christians and persons of quality. Soon after came ten or twelve ladies more, who seemed to be of her own rank, (though dressed quite plainly,) and two most agreeable gentlemen; one of whom, I afterwards understood, was a colonel in the Prince’s Guards. After tea I expounded the first three verses of the thirteenth chapter of the First Epistle to the Corinthians. Captain M. interpreted, sentence by sentence. I then prayed, and Colonel V after me. I believe this hour was well employed.

“ Tuesday, 17. We dined at Mrs. L——’s, in such a family as I have seldom seen. Her mother, upwards of seventy, seemed to be continually rejoicing in God her Saviour. The daughter breathes the same spirit; and her grandchildren, three little girls and a boy, seem to be all love. I have not seen four such children together in England. A gentleman coming in after dinner, I found a particular desire to pray for him. In a little while he melted into tears, as indeed did most of the company. Wednesday, 18. In the afternoon Madame de Vassenaar invited us to a meeting at a

neighboring lady's house. I expounded Galatians vi. 14, and Mr. M. interpreted, as before.

"Thursday, 19. We took boat at seven. Mrs. L and one of her relations, being unwilling to part so soon, bore us company to Leyden, a large and populous town, but not so pleasant as Rotterdam. In the afternoon we went on to Haerlem, where a plain, good man and his wife received us in a most affectionate manner. At six we took boat again. As it was filled from end to end, I was afraid we should not have a very pleasant journey. After Mr. Ferguson had told the people who we were, we made a slight excuse, and sang a hymn. They were all attention. We then talked a little, by means of our interpreter, and desired that any of them who pleased would sing. Four persons did so, and sang well. After a while we sang again. So did one or two of them; and all our hearts were strangely knit together; so that, when we came to Amsterdam, they dismissed us with abundance of blessings.

"Friday, 20. At five in the evening we drank tea at a merchant's, Mr. G——'s, where I had a long conversation with Mr. de H., one of the most learned, as well as popular, ministers in the city; and I believe (what is far more important) he is truly alive to God. He spoke Latin well, and seemed to be one of a strong understanding, as well as of an excellent spirit. In returning to our inn, we called at a stationer's; and though we spent but a few minutes, it was enough to convince us of his strong affection, even to strangers. What a change does the grace of God make in the heart! Shyness and stiffness are now no more!

"Sunday, 22. I went to the New church, so called still, though four or five hundred years old. It is larger, higher, and better illuminated, than most of our cathedrals. The screen that divides the church from the choir is of polished brass, and shines like gold. I understood the psalms that were sung, and the text well, and a little of the sermon, which Mr. de H. delivered with great earnestness. At two I began the service at the English church, an elegant building, about the size of West street chapel; only it has no galleries, nor have any of the churches in Holland. I preached on Isaiah lv. 6, 7; and I am persuaded many received the truth in the love thereof.

“After service I spent another hour at Mr. V.’s. Mrs. V again asked me abundance of questions concerning deliverance from sin, and seemed a good deal better satisfied with regard to the great and precious promises. Thence we went to Mr. B., who had lately found peace with God. He was full of faith and love, and could hardly mention the goodness of God without tears. His wife appeared of the same spirit, so that our hearts were soon knit together. From thence we went to another family, where a large company were assembled; but all seemed open to receive instruction, and desirous to be altogether Christians.

“Wednesday, 25. We took boat for Haerlem. The great church here is a noble structure, equalled by few cathedrals in England, either in length, breadth, or height. The organ is the largest I ever saw, and is said to be the finest in Europe. Hence we went to Mr. Van K.’s, whose wife was convinced of sin, and brought to God, by reading Mr. Whitefield’s sermons.

“Here we were at home. Before dinner we took a walk in Haerlem wood. It adjoins to the town, and is cut out in many shady walks, with lovely vistas shooting out every way. The walk from the Hague to Scheveling is pleasant; those near Amsterdam more so; but these exceed them all.

“We returned in the afternoon to Amsterdam; and in the evening took leave of as many of our friends as we could. How entirely were we mistaken in the Hollanders, supposing them to be of a cold, phlegmatic, unfriendly temper! I have not met with a more warmly affectionate people in all Europe! No, not in Ireland!

“Thursday, 26. Our friends having largely provided us with wine and fruits for our little journey, we took boat in a lovely morning for Utrecht, with Mr. Van K.’s sister; who, in the way, gave us a striking account. ‘In that house,’ said she, pointing to it as we went by, ‘my husband and I lived; and that church adjoining it was his church. Five years ago we were sitting together, being in perfect health, when he dropped down, and in a quarter of an hour died. I lifted up my heart, and said, *Lord, thou art my husband now*; and found no will but his.’ This was a trial worthy of a Christian; and she has ever since made her word

good. We were scarcely got to our inn at Utrecht, when Miss L. came. I found her just such as I expected. She came on purpose from her father's country-house, where all the family were. I observe, of all the pious people in Holland, that, without any rule but the word of God, they dress as plainly as Miss March did formerly, and Miss Johnstone does now! And, considering the vast disadvantage they are under, having no connection with each other, and being under no such discipline at all as we are, I wonder at the grace of God that is in them.

“Saturday, 28. I have this day lived fourscore years; and, by the mercy of God, my eyes are not waxed dim; and what little strength of body or mind I had thirty years since, is just the same I have now. God grant I may never live to be useless. Rather may I

‘ My body with my charge lay down,
And cease at once to work and live.’

“Sunday, 29. At ten I began the service in the English church in Utrecht. I believe all the English in the city were present, and forty or fifty Hollanders. I preached on the 13th of the first of Corinthians, I think as searchingly as ever in my life. Afterwards, a merchant invited me to dinner. For six years he had been at death's door by an asthma, and was extremely ill last night; but this morning, without any visible cause, he was well, and walked across the city to the church. He seemed to be deeply acquainted with religion, and made me promise, if I came to Utrecht again, to make his house my home.

“In the evening, a large company of us met at Miss L.'s, where I was desired to repeat the substance of my morning sermon. I did so, Mr. Toydeniea, the professor of law in the university, interpreting it sentence by sentence. They then sang a Dutch hymn, and we an English one. Afterwards, M. Regulet, a venerable old man, spent some time in prayer for the establishment of peace and love between the two nations.

“Tuesday, July 1. I called on as many as I could of my friends, and we parted with much affection. We then hired a yacht, which brought us to Heivoetsluis, about eleven the next day. At two we went on board; but the wind turning

against us, we did not reach Harwich till about nine on Friday morning. After a little rest, we procured a carriage, and reached London about eleven at night.

“I can by no means regret either the trouble or expense which attended this little journey. It opened me a way into, as it were, a new world, where the land, the buildings, the people, the customs, were all such as I had never seen before; but as those with whom I conversed were of the same spirit with my friends in England, I was as much at home in Utrecht and Amsterdam, as in Bristol and London.”

That provision for the stability and the government of the Connection, after his death, which had been to Mr. Wesley a matter of serious concern for several years, was accomplished in 1784, and gave him, whenever he subsequently reverted to the subject, the greatest satisfaction. From this time he felt that he had nothing more to do, than to spend his remaining life in the same spiritual labors in which he had been so long engaged; and that he had done all that a true prudence required, to provide for the continuance and extension of a work which had so strangely enlarged under his superintendence.

This settlement was effected by a legal instrument, enrolled in chancery, called “A Deed of Declaration,” in which one hundred preachers, mentioned by name, were declared to be “the Conference of the people called Methodists.” By means of this Deed, a legal description was given to the term Conference, and the settlement of the chapels upon trustees was provided for; so that the appointment of preachers to officiate in them should be vested in the Conference, as it had heretofore been in Mr. Wesley. The Deed also declares how the succession and identity of the yearly Conference is to be continued, and contains various regulations as to the choice of a president and secretary, the filling up of vacancies, expulsions, etc. Thus “the succession,” as it was called in Mr. Charles Wesley’s letter, above quoted, was provided for; and the Conference, with its president, chosen annually, came into the place of the founder of the Connection, and has so continued to the present day. As the whole of the preachers were not included in the Deed, and a few who thought themselves equally entitled to be of the hundred preachers who thus formed the legal Conference were excepted, some dissat-

isfaction arose; but as all the preachers were eligible to be introduced into that body, as vacancies occurred, this feeling was but partial, and soon subsided.* All the preachers in full connection were also allowed to vote in the Conference; and subsequently, those who were not of the hundred, but had been in connection a certain number of years, were permitted, by their votes, to put the president into nomination for the confirmation of the legal Conference. Thus all reasonable ground for mistrust and jealousy was removed from the body of the preachers at large; and with respect to the hundred preachers themselves, the president being chosen annually, and each being eligible to that honor, efficiency of administration was wisely connected with equality. The consequence has been, that the preachers have generally remained most firmly united by affection and mutual confidence, and

* "Messrs. John Hampson, Sen., and John Hampson, Jun., his son, William Eells, and Joseph Pilmoor, with a few other travelling preachers, were greatly offended that their names were not inserted in the Deed. By Mr. Fletcher's friendly efforts, a partial reconciliation was effected between them and Mr. Wesley; but it was of short continuance. Soon after the Conference, 1784, Mr. Hampson, Sen., became an Independent minister; but, being old and infirm, and the people poor among whom he labored, he was assisted out of the preachers' fund while he lived. He died in the year 1795. Mr. Hampson, Jun., procured ordination in the Established Church, and got a living in Sunderland, in the north of England. Mr. Eells also left the Connection, and, some time after, joined Mr. Atlay at Dewsbury; and Mr. Pilmoor went to America."—*Myles*.

[It must be borne in mind that Mr. Pilmoor, who was sent to America by Mr. Wesley in 1769, returned to England in 1774. When he came back to America he took orders in the Protestant Episcopal Church, and lived and died a highly respected minister of a congregation in Philadelphia. It is said that as he was one day pluming himself somewhat on belonging to a ministry that could claim the presence of Christ, a Methodist present stepped up to him and asked him whether or not Christ was with him when, as a plain Methodist preacher, he preached to a vast multitude on the race-ground, the judges' stand being his pulpit. The Doctor paused a moment, and then replied, with the honesty for which he was remarkable, "If Christ was ever with me in all my life, he was with me then." The occasion was one of remarkable power and demonstration of the Spirit; and the inference was patent. The old citizens of Philadelphia speak of Dr. Pilmoor as simple in his manners, preaching to the last like a Methodist preacher, and maintaining friendly intercourse with his quondam brethren.—T. O. S.]

that few serious disputes have ever arisen among them, or have extended beyond a very few individuals. Ecclesiastical history does not, perhaps, present an instance of an equal number of ministers brought into contact so close, and called so frequently together, for the discussion of various subjects, among whom so much general unanimity, both as to doctrines and points of discipline, has prevailed, joined with so much real good will and friendship towards each other, for so great a number of years. This is the more remarkable, as, by their frequent changes from station to station, opposite interests and feelings are very often brought into conflict. The final decisions of the Conference on their appointment to these stations, generally the most perplexing part of its annual business, are, however, cheerfully or patiently submitted to, from the knowledge that each has of the public spirit with which that body is actuated, and the frank and brotherly manner in which all its proceedings are conducted. The order of proceeding in the business of the Conference is the same as in the days of Mr. Wesley. It admits candidates for the ministry, on proper recommendation from the superintendents and district meetings; examines those who have completed their probation of four years, and receives the approved into full connection, which is its ordination; investigates, without any exception, the character and talents of those who are already in connection year by year; appoints the stations of the year ensuing; sends additional preachers to new places; receives the reports of the committees appointed to manage and distribute various funds; reviews the state of the societies; and issues an annual pastoral address. At the time of the meeting of the Conferences, besides the Sunday services, public worship is held early in the morning, and in the evening of every day, except Saturday, which is usually attended by great multitudes. The business of each Conference, exclusive of that done in committees which meet previously, occupies, on the average, about a fortnight in every year. Were it not for the district meetings, composed of the preachers and the stewards of a number of circuits, or stations, in different parts of the kingdom, (an arrangement which was adopted after Mr. Wesley's death,) the business of the Conference would require a much longer time to transact; but in these meetings much is prepared for its final decision.

In this important and wise settlement of the government of the Connection by its founder, there appears but one regulation which seems to controvert that leading maxim to which he had always respect, namely, to be guided by circumstances in matters not determined by some great principle. I allude to the proviso which obliges the Conference not to appoint any preacher to the same chapel for more than three years successively; thus binding an itinerant ministry upon the societies for ever. Whether this system of changing ministers be essential to the spiritual interests of the body or not, or whether it might not be usefully modified, will be matters of opinion; but the point ought, perhaps, to have been left more at liberty.

CHAPTER XIII.

State of the societies in America—Ordination of superintendents and elders for the American societies—Remarks—Dr. Coke—Mr. Asbury—Mr. Charles Wesley's remonstrances—Ordinations for Scotland—Remarks—Mr. Wesley's second visit to Holland—His labors in England, Ireland, and the Norman Isles—Return to London—Remarks—Extract from a sermon by Bishop Copleston—Mr. Wesley's reflections on the progress of the work, and on entering his eighty-fifth year.

THE state in which the separation of the United States from the mother country left the Methodist American societies, had become a matter of serious concern to Mr. Wesley, and presented to him a new case, for which it was imperative to make some provision. This, however, could not be done but by a proceeding which he foresaw would lay him open to much remark, and some censure, from the rigid English Episcopalians. But with him, the principle of making every thing indifferent give place to the necessity of doing good or preventing evil was paramount; and when that necessity was clearly made out, he was not a man to hesitate. The mission of Messrs. Boardman and Pilmoor to America has been already mentioned. Two years afterward, in 1771, Mr. Wesley sent out Messrs. Asbury and Wright; and in 1773, Messrs. Rankin and Shadford. In 1777, the preachers in the different circuits in America had amounted to forty, and the societies had also greatly increased. These were scattered in towns and settlements so distant, that it required constant and extensive travelling from the preachers to supply them with the word of God. The two last-mentioned preachers returned, after employing themselves on the mis-

sion for about five years;* and Mr. Asbury, a true itinerant, who, in this respect, followed, in America, the unwearied example of Mr. Wesley, gradually acquired a great and deserved influence, which, supported as it was by his excellent sense, moderating temper, and entire devotedness to the service of God, increased rather than diminished to the end of a protracted life. The American preachers, like those in England, were at first restrained by Mr. Wesley from administering either of the sacraments; but when, through the war, and the acquisition of independence by the States, most of the clergy of the Church of England had left the country, neither the children of the members of the Methodist societies could be baptized, nor the Lord's Supper administered among them, without a change of the original plan. Mr. Asbury's predilections for the former order of things prevented him from listening to the request of the American societies to be formed into a regular Church, and furnished with all its spiritual privileges; and a division had already taken place among them. This breach, however, Mr. Asbury had the address to heal; and at the peace he laid the whole case before Mr. Wesley. The result will be seen in the following letter:

“TO DR. COKE, MR. ASBURY, AND OUR BRETHREN IN NORTH AMERICA.

“BRISTOL, September 10, 1784.

“BY a very uncommon train of providences, many of the provinces of North America are totally disjoined from their mother country, and erected into independent States. The English government has no authority over them, either civil or ecclesiastical, any more, than over the States of Holland. A civil authority is exercised over them, partly by the Congress, partly by the Provincial Assemblies. But no one either exercises or claims any ecclesiastical authority at all. In this peculiar situation, some thousands of the inhabitants of these

[* The names of Messrs. Boardman and Pilmoor are not in the Minutes of 1773, the first on record: they both returned to England in 1774. The names of Messrs. Rankin, Rodda, and Shadford, are in the Minutes of 1777, but the first two returned to England in that, and the last in the following year. Richard Wright appears only in the Minutes of 1773, when he was stationed at Norfolk.—T. O. S.]

States desire my advice; and, in compliance with their desire, I have drawn up a little sketch.

“Lord King’s account of the Primitive Church convinced me, many years ago, that bishops and presbyters are the same order, and consequently have the same right to ordain. For many years I have been importuned, from time to time, to exercise this right, by ordaining part of our travelling preachers; but I have still refused, not only for peace’ sake, but because I was determined, as little as possible, to violate the established order of the national Church to which I belonged.

“But the case is widely different between England and North America. Here there are bishops who have a legal jurisdiction. In America there are none, neither any parish ministers. So that, for some hundred miles together, there is none either to baptize, or to administer the Lord’s Supper. Here, therefore, my scruples are at an end; and I conceive myself at full liberty, as I violate no order, and invade no man’s right, by appointing and sending laborers into the harvest.

“I have accordingly appointed Dr. Coke, and Mr. Francis Asbury, to be joint superintendents over our brethren in North America; as also Richard Whatcoat and Thomas Vasey to act as elders among them, by baptizing and administering the Lord’s Supper. And I have prepared a liturgy, little differing from that of the Church of England, (I think the best-constituted national Church in the world,) which I advise all the travelling preachers to use on the Lord’s day, in all the congregations, reading the Litany only on Wednesdays and Fridays, and praying extempore on all other days. I also advise the elders to administer the Supper of the Lord on every Lord’s day.

“If any one will point out a more rational and scriptural way of feeding and guiding those poor sheep in the wilderness, I will gladly embrace it. At present I cannot see any better method than that I have taken.

“It has, indeed, been proposed to desire the English bishops to ordain part of our preachers for America. But to this I object, 1. I desired the Bishop of London to ordain only one, but could not prevail. 2. If they consented, we know the slowness of their proceedings; but the matter ad-

mits of no delay. 3. If they would ordain them now, they would likewise expect to govern them. And how grievously would this entangle us! 4. As our American brethren are now totally disentangled both from the state and from the English hierarchy, we dare not entangle them again either with the one or the other. They are now at full liberty simply to follow the Scriptures and the primitive Church. And we judge it best that they should stand fast in that liberty where-with God has so strangely made them free.

“JOHN WESLEY.”

Two persons were thus appointed as superintendents or bishops, and two as elders, with power to administer the sacraments; and the American Methodists were formed into a Church, because they could no longer remain a society attached to a colonial establishment which then had ceased to exist. The propriety and even necessity of this step is sufficiently apparent; but the mode adopted exposed Mr. Wesley to the sarcasms of his brother, who was not a convert to his opinion as to the identity of the order of bishops and presbyters; and to all High-Churchmen the proceeding has had the appearance of great irregularity. The only real irregularity, however, has been generally overlooked, whilst a merely apparent one has been made the chief subject of animadversion. The true anomaly was, that a clergyman of the Church of England should ordain, in any form, without separating from that Church, and formally disavowing its authority. And yet, if its spiritual governors did not choose to censure and disown him for denying the figment of the uninterrupted succession, which he openly said “he knew to be a fable;” for maintaining that bishops and priests were originally one order only; (points, let it be observed, which perhaps but few Churchmen will now, and certainly but few at that time, would very seriously maintain, so decisive is the evidence of Scripture and antiquity against them, and so completely was the doctrine of the three orders given up by the founders of the English Church itself;)* nor, finally, for

* “I am not ashamed of the room and office which I have given unto me by Christ to preach his gospel; for it is the power of God, that is to say, the elect organ or instrument ordained by God, and

proceeding to act upon that principle by giving orders; it would be hard to prove that he was under any moral obligation to withdraw from the Church. The bishops did not institute proceedings against him; and why should he formally renounce them altogether? It was doubtless such a view of his liberty, in this respect, that made him say on this occasion, in answer to his brother, “I firmly believe that I am a scriptural *ἐπίσκοπος*, as much as any man in England, or in Europe; for the uninterrupted succession I know to be a fable, which no man ever did or can prove. But this does, in no wise, interfere with my remaining in the Church of England; from which I have no more desire to separate than I had fifty years ago.”

The point which has been most insisted upon is the absurdity of a priest ordaining bishops. But this absurdity could not arise from the principle which Mr. Wesley had adopted, namely, that the orders were identical; and the censure therefore rests only upon the assumption that bishops and priests were of different orders; which he denied. He never did pretend to ordain bishops, in the modern sense; but only according to his view of primitive episcopacy. Little importance, therefore, is to be attached to Mr. Moore’s statement,* that, Mr. Wesley having named Dr. Coke and Mr. Asbury simply superintendents, he was displeased when, in

endued with such virtue and efficacy, that it is able to give, and administer effectually, everlasting life unto all those that will believe and obey unto the same.

“Item. That this office, this power and authority, was committed and given by Christ and his apostles unto certain persons only, that is to say, unto priests and bishops whom they did elect, call, and admit thereunto, by their prayers, and imposition of their hands.

“*The truth is, there is no mention made of any degrees or distinctions in orders, but only of deacons or ministers, and of priests or bishops.*”—A DECLARATION MADE OF THE FUNCTIONS AND DIVINE INSTITUTION OF BISHOPS AND PRIESTS, *Regno Hen. VIII. circiter A. D. 1537–40.*

This Declaration was signed by Cromwell the Vicar-General, Cranmer and Holgate, the archbishops, with many of their suffragans, together with other persons intituled, “*Sacrae Theologie, Juris Ecclesiastici et Civilis, Professores.*”

Archbishop Usher’s plan for comprehending the Presbyterians and Episcopalianists in the time of Charles I. was also founded upon the principle of bishops and presbyters being *one order*.

* Life of Wesley.

America, they took the title of bishops. The only objection he could have to the name was, that, from long association, it was likely to convey a meaning beyond his own intention. But this was a matter of mere prudential feeling, confined to himself; so that neither are Dr. Coke and Mr. Asbury to be blamed for using that appellation in Mr. Wesley's sense; which was the same as presbyter, as far as order was concerned; nor the American societies, (as they have sometimes inconsiderately been,) for calling themselves, in the same view, "The American Methodist Episcopal Church;" since their episcopacy is founded upon the principle of bishops and presbyters being of the same degree—a more extended office only being assigned to the former, as in the primitive Church. For though nothing can be more obvious than that the primitive pastors are called bishops or presbyters indiscriminately in the New Testament; yet, at an early period, those presbyters were, by way of distinction, denominated bishops, who presided in the meetings of the presbyters, and were finally invested with the government of several churches, with their respective presbyteries; so that two offices were then, as in this case, grafted upon the same order. Such an arrangement was highly proper for America, where many of the preachers were young, and had also to labor in distant and extensive circuits, and were therefore incapable of assisting, advising, or controlling each other. A travelling episcopacy, or superintendency, was there an extension of the office of elder or presbyter, but it of course created no other distinction; and the bishops of the Methodist Church in America have in practice as well exemplified the primitive spirit, as in principle they were conformed to the primitive discipline. Dr. Coke was only an occasional visitant in America; and though, in the sense of office, he was a bishop there, when he returned home, as here he had no such office, so he used no such title, and made no such pretension. Of this excellent man, it ought here to be said, that occasional visits to America could not satisfy his ardent mind: he became the founder and soul of the Methodist missions in various parts of the world, first under the direction of Mr. Wesley, and then in conjunction with the Conference; and by his voyages, travels, and labors, he erected a monument of noble and disinterested zeal and charity, which will never

be obliterated.* But Mr. Asbury remained the preaching, travelling, self-denying bishop of the American societies, till afterwards others were associated with him, plain and simple in their manners as the rest of their brethren, and distinguished from them only by "labors more abundant."

It was by thus absurdly confounding episcopacy in the modern acceptation, and in Mr. Wesley's view, that a good deal of misplaced wit was played off on this occasion; and not a little bitterness was expressed by many. He, however, performed a great and a good work; and not only provided for the spiritual wants of a people who indirectly had sprung from his labors, but gave to the American Church a form of administration admirably suited to a new and extensive empire, and under which the societies have, by the Divine blessing, prospered beyond all precedent. Some letters passed between him and Mr. Charles Wesley, on the subject of the American ordinations. The first, written by Charles, was warm and remonstrative; the second, upon receiving his brother's calm answer, was more mild, and shows that he was less afraid of what his brother had done for America, than that Dr. Coke, on his return, should form the Methodists of England into a regular and separate Church also! The concluding paragraph of this letter is, however, so affecting, so illustrative of that oneness of heart which no difference of opinion between the brothers could destroy, that it would be unjust to the memory of both not to insert it:

"I THANK you for your intention to remain my friend. Herein my heart is as your heart. Whom God hath joined, let not man put asunder. We have taken each other for better, for worse, till death do us—part? no; but eternally unite. Therefore, in the love which never faileth,

"I am your affectionate friend and brother,
"C. WESLEY."

* Dr. Coke connected himself with Mr. Wesley in 1776, as stated by the latter in his Journal: "Being at Kingston, near Taunton, I found a clergyman, Dr. Coke, late gentleman commoner of Jesus College, in Oxford, who came twenty miles on purpose. I had much conversation with him; and a union then began, which, I trust, shall never end." His name did not appear on the Minutes till the year 1778. In that year he was appointed to labor in London.

Some time after this, Mr. Wesley appointed several of the English preachers, by imposition of hands, to administer the sacraments to the societies in Scotland. There the English Establishment did not extend, and a necessity of a somewhat similar kind existed, though not of so pressing a nature, as in America. He, however, steadily objected to give this liberty, generally, to his preachers in England; and those who administered the sacraments in Scotland were not permitted to perform the same office in England upon their return. The reason why he refused to appoint, in the same manner, and for the same purpose, for England, is stated in the letter above given. He was satisfied of his power, as a presbyter, to ordain for such an administration; but he says, "I have still refused not only for peace' sake, but because I was determined as little as possible to violate the established order of the national Church to which I belonged." This was a prudent principle most sincerely held by him; and it explains his conduct in those particulars for which he has been censured by opposite parties. When it could not be avoided, without sacrificing some real good, he did violate "the established order," thinking that this order was in itself merely prudential. When that necessity did not exist, his own predilections, and the prejudices of many members of his societies, enforced upon him this abstinence from innovation. It may, however, be asked, in what light Mr. Wesley's appointments to the ministry, in the case of his own preachers, ought to be viewed. That they were ordinations to the work and office of the ministry, cannot be reasonably and scripturally doubted; and that they were so in his own intention, we have before shown from his own Minutes. It was required of them, as early as 1746, to profess to be "moved by the Holy Ghost, and to be called of God to preach." This professed call was to be tested by their piety, their gifts, and their usefulness; all which points were investigated; and after a probation they were solemnly received by prayer, "to labor with him in the gospel;" and from that time were devoted wholly to their spiritual work,* including the pastoral care of societies. Here

* It is observable that, in the Conference of 1768, he enjoined abstinence from all secular things upon them, both on the scriptural principle, 1 Timothy iv. 13, and on the ground that the Church, "in her office of ordination," required this of ministers.

was ordination, though without imposition of hands, which, although an impressive ceremony, enters not, as both the Scriptures and the nature of the thing itself point out, into the essence of ordination; which is a separation of men, by ministers, to the work of the ministry, by solemn prayer. This was done at every Conference by Mr. Wesley; who, as he had, as early as 1747, given up the uninterrupted succession and the distinct order of bishops as a fable, left himself, therefore, at liberty to appoint to the ministry in his own way. He made, it is true, a distinction at one time between the primitive offices of evangelists or teachers, and pastors, as to the right of giving the sacraments, which he thought belonged to the latter only; but as this implied that the primitive pastors had powers which the primitive evangelists, who ordained them, had not, it was too unsupported a notion for him long to maintain.* Yet, had this view of the case been allowed, the preachers were not mere teachers, but pastors, in the fullest sense. They not only taught, but guided and managed, the societies; receiving members, excluding members, and administering private, as well as public, admonitions; and if they were constituted teachers and pastors by his ordination, without the circumstance of the imposition of hands, it is utterly impossible to conceive that that ceremony conveyed any larger right, as such, to administer the sacraments, in the case of the few he did ordain in that manner for Scotland and America. As to them, it was a form of permission and appointment to exercise the right. His appointments to the ministry every Conference necessarily conveyed all the rights of a pastor, because they conveyed the pastoral office; but still, it did not follow that all the abstract rights of the ministry, thus conveyed to the body of the preachers, should be actually used. It was not imperative upon them to exercise all their functions; and he assumed no improper authority, as the father and founder of the Connection, to determine to what extent it was prudent to exercise them, provided he was satisfied that the sacraments were not put out of the power of the societies to observe. He exercised this suspending authority even over those preachers whom he appointed to give the sacraments in Scotland, by prohibiting them from

* See Moore's Life of Wesley, vol. ii., p. 340.

administering in the English societies, over which they became pastors. So little difference did his ordination by imposition of hands make in their case, even in his own estimation.* It was, when it followed the usual mode of introducing candidates into the ministry, a mere form of permission to exercise a previous right in a particular place, and a solemn designation to this service according to a liturgical form which he greatly admired; but the true ordination of those who were so set apart to administer the sacraments to the ministry itself, was the same as that of the rest of their brethren, and took place at the same time. Thus in Mr. Wesley's strongest language to Mr. Charles Perronet and the other preachers who thought it their duty to administer, he places his objection upon the decisive ground of his thinking it "a sin;" but not from their want of true ordination, to which he makes no allusion;† but he thought it sinful, because it would be injurious to the work of God, and so contrary to his word and will. That it was not, in his view, "a sin," for want of mere imposition of hands, is clear from the facts, that, in one case, he gave to one of the preachers leave to baptize and give the sacrament in particular circumstances, although he had no other ordination than his being "received into full connection" at the Conference, like the rest; and allowed two others, Mr. Highfield in England, and Mr. Myles in Dublin, to assist him in giving the sacrament, to the great offence of the Church people there.‡ That the

* When a few of the preachers received ordination from a Greek bishop, then in England, from whom he was falsely reported himself to have sought consecration, he would not suffer them to administer, although he did not doubt that the Greek was a true bishop.

† As early as 1756, he says to some of the preachers, "You think it is a duty to administer. Do so; and therein follow your own conscience." That is, they were at liberty to leave him; but not a word about the invalidity of their appointment to the whole work of the ministry.

‡ Mr. Wesley's innovations on Church order in Dublin appear, from several of his letters, to have produced somewhat outrageous attacks upon him from different quarters in that city. In one of them he says, "Every week I am bespattered in the public papers. Many are in tears on the occasion; many terribly frightened, and crying out, 'O, what will the end be?' What will it be? Why, glory to God in the highest, and peace and good-will among men.' Such was his rejoinder to these High Church alarms. At the same time, it must be con-

original designation of the preachers to the ministry was considered by the Conferences after his death—when they were obliged, in order to meet the spiritual wants and scriptural demands of the people, to administer the Lord's Supper to the societies in England—as a true and full ordination to the whole office of the Christian ministry, is clear from their authorizing the preachers to give the sacraments when requested by the societies, without reordination for this purpose, although they had Mr. Wesley's Presbyterian ordination by imposition of hands among themselves, and at their command, if they had judged it necessary to employ it. Their whole proceeding in this respect was merely to grant permission to exercise powers which they believed to have been previously conveyed by Mr. Wesley, in doing which they differed from him only in not marking that permission with any new form. Perhaps it might have been an improvement, had they accompanied all their future ordinations by the laying on of the hands of the president for the time being, assisted by a few of the senior preachers, and by using the fine ordination service of the Church of England: not, indeed, that this would have given a tittle more of validity to the act; but the imposition of hands would have been in conformity to the usage of the majority of Churches, and an instance of deference to an ancient scriptural form of solemn designation and blessing, used on various occasions. The whole of Mr. Wesley's proceedings, both as to America and Scotland, would have been as valid on scriptural grounds, had there been no other form used than simple prayer for men, already in the ministry, going forth on an important mission; but as the New Testament exhibited a profitable example of imposition of hands in the case of Paul and Barnabas, who had been long before ordained to the highest order of the ministry, when sent forth into a new field of labor, this example was followed.*

ceded that, however faithful Mr. Wesley was in abiding by his leading principle, of making mere adherence to what was called "*regular*" give place to the higher obligation of doing good, he was sometimes apt, in defending himself, to be too tenacious of appearing perfectly consistent.

* From the preceding observations, it will appear that Mr. Wesley's ordinations, both for America and Scotland, stood upon much the same ground. The full powers of the ministry had before been con-

But we return to the continued and unabated labors of this venerable servant of God. In 1786, at the Bristol Conference, the old subject of separating from the Church was again discussed, and, "without one dissenting voice," it was determined to continue therein; "which determination," he

veyed to the parties; but now they had a special designation to exercise them in every respect, in a new and peculiar sphere. Still, their ordination by imposition of hands did not imply that their former ordination was deficient, as to the *right* of administering the sacraments which it conveyed; for then, how came Dr. Coke, who was already a presbyter of the Church of England, to be ordained again, when, according to Mr. Wesley's own view, he could not be higher in *order* than a presbyter, although his powers might be *enlarged*, as to their application? The Conference, after Mr. Wesley's death, took, therefore, the true ground, in considering the act of admission into the ministry, so as to be devoted wholly to it, and to exercise the pastoral charge, to be a true and scriptural ordination both to preach the word, and to administer the sacraments; making wholly light of the absurd pretensions of a few among the preachers, who thought that they had received something more than their brethren, from the mere ceremony of the imposition of Mr. Wesley's hands, subsequent to their ordinary appointment by him when received into the body. Some of these, at the first Conference after Mr. Wesley's death, stood upon this point; but Mr. Benson refuted their notion, that imposition of hands was essential to ordination. He proved, from the New Testament, that this was but a circumstance; and showed that the body had always possessed a ministry scripturally, and therefore validly, ordained, although not in the most customary, or perhaps in the most influential, form. With Mr. Benson the Conference coincided; so that ordination, without imposition of hands, has continued to be the general practice to the present time. It is remarkable, that the few preachers who insisted upon imposition of hands being essential to ordination, and plumed themselves upon being distinguished from their brethren, because Mr. Wesley's hands had been laid upon them, did not remember a passage in a published letter of Mr. Wesley to Mr. Walker, of Truro, dated as long before as 1756, which sufficiently shows how totally disconnected the two things were in his mind; or that, if they adverted to it, its bearing in his controversy with Mr. Walker should not have been perceived: "That the seven deacons were outwardly ordained, even to that low office, cannot be denied. But Paul and Barnabas were separated for the work to which they were called. This was not ordaining them: it was only inducting them to the province for which our Lord had appointed them. For this end the prophets and teachers fasted, prayed, and 'laid their hands upon them,'--a rite which was used, not in *ordination only*, but in *blessing, and on many other occasions.*" [The British Conference, for some years past, has ordained by the imposition of hands.—T. O. S.]

remarks, "will, I doubt not, stand, at least till I am removed into a better world." After the Conference was concluded, he paid a second visit to Holland, in company with Mr. Brackenbury and Mr. Broadbent, preached in various places, expounded to private companies, and engaged in conversation with many learned and pious individuals. On his return to England, his Journal presents the usual record of constant preaching and travelling, interspersed with useful remark and incident. A few gleanings from it will be read with interest:

"Dec. 23, 1786. By great importunity I was induced (having little hope of doing good) to visit two of the felons in Newgate, who lay under sentence of death. They appeared serious; but I can lay little stress on appearances of this kind. However, I wrote in their behalf to a great man. And perhaps it was in consequence of this that they had a reprieve.

"Sunday, 24. I was desired to preach at the Old Jewry; but the church was cold, and so was the congregation. We had congregations of another kind the next day, Christmas day, at four in the morning, as well as five in the evening, at the New chapel, and at West street chapel about noon.

"Sunday, 31. From those words of Isaiah to Hezekiah, 'Set thy house in order,' I strongly exhorted all who had not done it already, to settle their temporal affairs without delay. It is a strange madness which still possesses many who are in other respects men of understanding, that they put this off from day to day, till death comes in an hour when they looked not for it.

"Friday, January 5, 1787, and in the vacant hours of the following days, I read Dr. Hunter's Lectures. They are very lively and ingenious. The language is good, and the thoughts generally just. But they do not suit my taste. I do not admire that florid way of writing. Good sense does not need to be so studiously adorned. I love St. John's style, as well as matter.

"Sunday, Feb. 25. After taking a solemn leave of our friends, both at West street and the New chapel, I took the mail-coach, and the next evening reached Exeter a little after ten o'clock. Tuesday, 27. We went on to Plymouth Dock. The large, new house, far the best in the west of

England, was well filled, though on so short a warning; and they seemed cordially to receive the exhortation, 'Rejoice in the Lord, O ye righteous.' I had the satisfaction to find the society here in a more flourishing state than ever. Notwithstanding all the pains that have been taken, and all the art that has been used, to tear them asunder, they cleave close together, and consequently increase in number as well as in strength.

"Wednesday, March 7. It rained much while we were at Plymouth and at the Dock, and most of the way from the Dock to Exeter. But we had lovely weather to-day, and came into Bath early in the evening. So crowded a house I had not seen here for many years. I fully delivered my own soul, by strongly enforcing those awful words, 'Many are called, but few are chosen.' I believe the word sank deep into many hearts. The next evening we had another large congregation equally serious. Thursday, 8. I went on to Bristol; and the same afternoon Mrs. Fletcher came thither from Madeley. The congregation in the evening was exceedingly large. I took knowledge what spirit they were of. Indeed, the work of God has much increased in Bristol since I was here last, especially among the young men, many of whom are a pattern to all the society.

"Monday, April 2. About noon I preached at Stockport; and in the evening at Manchester, where I fully delivered my own soul, both then and the next day. Wednesday, 4. I went to Chester, and preached in the evening on Heb. iii. 12. Finding there was no packet at Parkgate, I immediately took places in the mail-coach for Holyhead. The porter called us at two in the morning on Thursday, but came again in half an hour to inform us the coach was full; so they returned me my money, and at four I took a post-chaise. We overtook the coach at Conway, and, crossing the ferry with the passengers, went forward without delay: so we came to Holyhead an hour before them, and went on board between eleven and twelve o'clock. At one we left the harbor, and at two the next day came into Dublin Bay.

"On the road, and in the ship, I read Mr. Blackwell's 'Sacred Classics illustrated and defended.' I think he fully proves his point, that there are no expressions in the New Testament which are not found in the best and purest Greek

authors. In the evening we had a Sunday's congregation, and a blessing from on high.

“Sunday, 8. (Easter-day.) I preached in Bethesda, Mr. Smyth's new chapel. It is very neat, but not gay; and I believe will hold about as many people as West street chapel. Mr. Smyth read prayers, and gave out the hymns, which were sung by fifteen or twenty fine singers; the rest of the congregation listening with much attention, and as much devotion as they would have done to an opera. But is this Christian worship? Or ought it ever to be suffered in a Christian church? It was thought we had between seven and eight hundred communicants; and indeed the power of God was in the midst of them. Our own room in the evening was well filled with people, and with the presence of God.

“On Monday and Tuesday I preached again at Bethesda, and God touched several hearts, even of the rich and great; so that, for the time, at least, they were ‘almost persuaded to be Christians.’ It seems as if the good providence of God had prepared this place for those rich and honorable sinners who will not deign to receive any message from God but in a genteel way.

“Friday, 27 We went to Kilkenny, nine-and-twenty Irish miles from Mount Mellick. Religion was here at a low ebb, and scarcely any society left, when God sent three troops of horse. Several of the men are full of faith and love: since they came, the work of God has revived. I never saw the house so filled since it was built. And the power of God seemed to rest upon the congregation, as if he would still have a people in this place.

“Wednesday, May 9. Went to Bandon. Here also there has been a remarkable work of God; and yet not without many backsliders. It was, therefore, my chief business to strengthen the weak, and recall the wanderers. So in the evening I preached in the assembly-room, (which was offered me by the provost,) on, ‘How shall I give thee up, Ephraim?’ And God applied his word. At noon we took a walk to Castle-Barnard. Mr. Barnard has given it a beautiful front, nearly resembling that of Lord Mansfield's house at Caen-Wood, and opened part of his lovely park to the house, which, I think, has now as beautiful a situation as Rockingham

House in Yorkshire. Mr. Barnard much resembles, in person and air, the late Sir George Saville. Though he is far the richest person in these parts, he keeps no race-horses or hounds, but loves his wife and home, and spends his time and fortune in improving his estate, and employing the poor. Gentlemen of this spirit are a blessing to their neighborhood. May God increase their number!

“In the evening, finding no building would contain the congregation, I stood in the main street, and testified to a listening multitude, ‘This is not your rest.’ I then administered the Lord’s Supper to the society; and God gave us a remarkable blessing.

“Friday, May 25. I had a day of rest in this lovely family, (Mr. Slack’s,) only preaching morning and evening. Saturday, 26. I preached at Ballyconnel about eleven. In the afternoon I took a walk in the Bishop of Kilmore’s garden. The house is finely situated; has two fronts, and is fit for a nobleman. We then went into the churchyard, and saw the venerable tomb, a plain flat stone, inscribed, ‘*Depositum Gulielmi Bedel, quondam Episcopi Kilmorensis;*’ over whom even the rebel army sang, ‘*Requiescat in pace ultimus Anglorum.*’ ‘Let the last of the Englishmen rest in peace.’ At seven I preached to a large congregation. It blew a storm, but most of the congregation were covered by a kind of shed raised for the purpose; and not a few were greatly comforted.

“Tuesday, 29. One of my horses I was obliged to leave in Dublin, and afterwards another, having bought two to supply their places. The third soon got a swelling in his shoulder, so that we doubted whether we could go on. And a boy at Clones, riding (I suppose galloping) the fourth over stones, the horse fell, and nearly lamed himself. However, we went on softly to Aughalun, and found such a congregation as I had not seen before in the kingdom. The tent (that is, a covered pulpit) was placed at the foot of a green sloping mountain, on the side of which the huge multitude sat (as their manner is) row above row. While I was explaining, ‘God hath given unto us his Holy Spirit,’ he was, indeed, poured out in a wonderful manner. Tears of joy, and cries, were heard on every side; only so far suppressed as not to

drown my voice. I cannot but hope that many will have cause to bless God for that hour to all eternity.

“Thursday, 31. We went over mountains and dales to Ker-lish-Lodge, where we met with a hearty welcome, both from Alexander Boyle and his amiable wife, who are patterns to all the country. Mr. Boyle had spoken to Dr. Wilson, the rector of a neighboring town, concerning my preaching in the church, who wrote to the bishop, and received a letter in answer, giving a full and free consent. The Doctor desired me to breakfast with him. Meantime one of his parishioners, a warm seeder, took away the key of the church. So I preached in a neighboring orchard; I believe not in vain. The rector and his wife were in the front of the congregation. Afterward we took a view of Lord Abercorn’s place. The house has a lovely situation; and the front of it is as elegant as any I have seen either in Great Britain or Ireland. The grounds are delightful indeed, perhaps equal to any in the kingdom.

“About five in the evening I preached at Killrail. No house would contain the congregation; so I preached in the open air. The wind was piercingly cold, but the people regarded it not. Afterwards I administered the Lord’s Supper to about a hundred of them, and then slept in peace.

“Wednesday, June 6. I took leave of my dear friends at Londonderry, and drove to Newton-Limavady. I had no design to preach there. But, while we were at breakfast, the people were gathered so fast that I could not deny them. The house was soon filled from end to end. I explained to them the fellowship believers have with God. Thence I went on to Colerain, and preached at six (as I did two years ago) in the barrack-yard. The wind was high and sharp enough; but the people here are good old soldiers. Many attended at five in the morning, and a large congregation about six in the evening; most of whom, I believe, tasted the good word; for God was with us of a truth.

“Tuesday, 12. We came through a most beautiful country to Downpatrick, a much larger town than I imagined; I think not much inferior to Sligo. The evening was uncommonly mild and bright, there not being a cloud in the sky. The tall firs shaded us on every side, and the fruitful fields

were spread all around. The people were, I think, half as many more as were at Lisburn even on Sunday evening. On them I enforced those important words, 'Acquaint now thyself with him, and be at peace.'

"Wednesday, 13. Being informed we had only six-and-twenty miles to go, we did not set out till between six and seven. The country was uncommonly pleasant, running between two high ridges of mountains; but it was up hill and down all the way, so that we did not reach Rathfriland till nearly noon. Mr. Barber, the Presbyterian minister, (a princely personage, I believe six feet and a half high,) offering me his new, spacious preaching-house, the congregation quickly gathered together. I began, without delay, to open and enforce, 'Now God commandeth all men everywhere to repent.' I took chaise the instant I had done; but the road being still up hill and down, we were two hours going what they called six miles. I then quitted the chaise and rode forward. But even then, four miles, so called, took an hour and a half riding; so that I did not reach Dr. Lesley's, at Tanderagee, till half an hour past four. About six, I stood upon the steps at Mr. Godly's door, and preached on 'This is not your rest,' to a larger congregation, by a third, than even that at Downpatrick. I scarcely remember to have seen a larger, unless in London, Yorkshire, or Cornwall.

"Tuesday, 26. Dublin. We were agreeably surprised with the arrival of Dr. Coke, who came from Philadelphia in nine-and-twenty days, and gave us a pleasing account of the work of God in America.

"Thursday, 28th. I had a conversation with Mr. Howard; I think, one of the greatest men in Europe. Nothing but the mighty power of God can enable him to go through his difficult and dangerous employments. But what can hurt us, if God be on our side?

"Sunday, July 22. Manchester. Our service began at ten. Notwithstanding the severe cold, which has continued many days, the house was well filled; but my work was easy, as Dr. Coke assisted me. As many as could crowded in in the evening; but many were obliged to go away. Afterwards I spent a comfortable hour with the society.

"Friday, 27. We went on to Bolton. Here are eight hundred poor children taught in our Sunday-schools by about

eighty masters, who receive no pay but what they are to receive from their great Master. About a hundred of them, part boys and part girls, are taught to sing. And they sang so true, that, all singing together, they seemed to be but one voice. The house was thoroughly filled, while I explained and applied the first and great commandment. What is all morality or religion without this? A mere castle in the air. In the evening, many of the children still hovering around the house, I desired forty or fifty to come in and sing,

‘Vital spark of heavenly flame.’

Although some of them were silent, not being able to sing for tears, yet the harmony was such as I believe could not be equalled in the king’s chapel.

“Monday, August 6. Having taken the whole coach for Birmingham, we set out, expecting to be there, as usual, about five in the evening. But having six persons within, and eight without, the coach could not bear the burden, but broke down before three in the morning. Having patched it together as well as we could, we went on to Congleton, and got another. In an hour or two this broke also; and one of the horses was so thoroughly tired, that he could hardly set one foot before the other. After all these hindrances, we got to Birmingham just at seven. Finding a large congregation waiting, I stepped out of the coach into the house, and began preaching without delay. And such was the goodness of God, that I found no more weariness when I had done than if I had rested all the day.

“Here I took a tender leave of Mrs. Heath and her lovely daughters, about to embark with Mr. Heath for America, whom I hardly expect to see any more till we meet in Abraham’s bosom.

“Friday, 10. Southampton. At six I preached on Heb. iv. 14. In the afternoon I went with a gentleman (Mr. Taylor) to hear the famous musician that plays upon the glasses. By my appearing there (as I had foreseen) a heap of gentry attended in the evening. And I believe several of them, as well as Mr. T. himself, did not come in vain.

“Tuesday, 14. Sailing on with a fair wind, we fully expected to reach Guernsey in the afternoon; but the wind turning contrary, and blowing hard, we found that would be

impossible. We then judged it best to put in at the Isle of Alderney; but we were very near being shipwrecked in the bay. About eight I went down to a convenient spot on the beach, and began giving out a hymn. A woman and two little children joined us immediately. Before the hymn was ended we had a tolerable congregation, all of whom behaved well: part, indeed, continued at forty or fifty yards' distance; but they were all quiet and attentive.

“It happened, to speak in the vulgar phrase, that three or four who sailed with us from England, a gentleman, with his wife and sister, were near relations of the governor. He came to us this morning; and, when I went into the room, behaved with the utmost courtesy. This little circumstance may remove prejudice, and make a more open way for the gospel.

“Soon after we set sail; and after a very pleasant passage, through little islands on either hand, we came to the venerable castle, standing on a rock, about a quarter of a mile from Guernsey. The isle itself makes a beautiful appearance, spreading as a crescent to the right and left; about seven miles long and five broad, part high land and part low. The town itself is boldly situated, rising higher and higher from the water. The first thing I observed in it was very narrow streets and exceedingly high houses. But we quickly went on to Mr. De Jersey's, hardly a mile from the town. Here I found a most cordial welcome, both from the master of the house and all his family. I preached at seven, in a large room, to as deeply serious a congregation as I ever saw, on ‘Christ Jesus of God made unto us wisdom, righteousness, sanctification, and redemption.’

“Monday, 20. We took ship between three and four in the morning, in a very small, inconvenient sloop, and not a swift sailer, so that we were seven hours in sailing what is called seven leagues. About eleven we landed at St. Helier's, and went straight to Mr. Brackenbury's house. It stands very pleasantly near the end of the town, and has a large, convenient garden, with a lovely range of fruitful hills, which rise at a small distance from it. I preached in the evening to an exceedingly serious congregation, on Matt. iii. ult. And almost as many were present at five in the morning, whom I

exhorted to go on to perfection, which many of them, Mr. Clarke informs me, are earnestly endeavoring to do.

“ Thursday, 23. I rode to St. Mary’s, five or six miles from St. Helier’s, through shady, pleasant lanes. None at the house could speak English; but I had interpreters enow. In the evening our large room was thoroughly filled. I preached on, ‘By grace are ye saved, through faith.’ Mr. Brackenbury interpreted sentence by sentence, and God owned his word, though delivered in so awkward a manner; but especially in prayer: I prayed in English, and Mr. B. in French.

“ Saturday, 25. Having now leisure, I finished a sermon on ‘Discerning the Signs of the Times.’ This morning I had a particular conversation (as I had once or twice before) with Jeannie Bisson of this town, such a young woman as I have hardly seen elsewhere. She seems to be wholly devoted to God, and to have constant communion with him. She has a clear and strong understanding; and I cannot perceive the least tincture of enthusiasm. I am afraid she will not live long. I am amazed at the grace of God which is in her. I think she is far beyond Madam Guion in deep communion with God; and I doubt whether I have found her fellow in England. Precious as my time is, it would have been worth my while to come to Jersey, had it been only to see this prodigy of grace.

“ Monday, 27 I thought when I left Southampton to have been there again at this day; but God’s thoughts were not as my thoughts. Here we are shut up in Jersey, for how long we cannot tell. But it is all well; for thou, Lord, hast done it. It is my part to improve the time, as it is not likely I shall ever have another opportunity of visiting these islands.

“ Tuesday, 28. Being still detained by contrary winds, I preached at six in the evening to a larger congregation than ever, in the assembly-room. It conveniently contains five or six hundred people.

“ Wednesday, 29. I designed to have followed the blow in the morning; but I had quite lost my voice. However, it was restored in the evening, and I believe all in the assembly-room (more than the last evening) heard distinctly, while I explained and applied, ‘I saw the dead, small and great,

stand before God.' In the morning—Thursday, 30—I took a solemn leave of the society. We set out about nine, and reached St. Peter's in the afternoon. Good is the will of the Lord. I trust he has something more for us to do here also. After preaching to a larger congregation than was expected, on so short a notice, on 'God was in Christ, reconciling the world unto himself,' I returned to Mont-Plasir, to stay just as long as it should please God. I preached there in the morning, Friday, 31, to a congregation serious as death.

"Saturday, September 1. This day twelvemonth I was detained in Holland by contrary winds. All is well, so we are doing and suffering the will of our Lord. In the evening, the storm driving us into the house again, I strongly exhorted a very genteel audience (such as I have rarely seen in England) to 'ask for the old paths, and walk therein.'

"Sunday, 2. Being still pent up by the north-east wind, Dr. Coke preached at six in the morning, to a deeply affected congregation. I preached at eight, on Romans viii. 33. At one, Mr. Vivian, a local preacher, preached in French, the language of the island. At five, as the house would not contain half the congregation, I preached in a tolerably sheltered place, on the 'joy there is in heaven over one sinner that repenteth,' and both high and low seemed to hear it gladly. I then designed to meet the society, but could not. The people pressed so eagerly on every side that the house was filled presently; so that I could only give a general exhortation, 'to walk worthy of their profession.'

"I was in hopes of sailing in the morning, Monday, 3; but the storm so increased that it was judged impracticable. The congregation, however, in the evening, increased every day; and they appeared to be more and more affected. So that I believe we were not detained for nothing, but for the spiritual and eternal good of many.

"Tuesday, 4. The storm continued, so that we could not stir. I took a walk to-day through what is called the New Ground, where the gentry are accustomed to walk in the evening. Both the upper ground, which is as level as a bowling-green, and the lower, which is plaited with rows of trees, is wonderfully beautiful. In the evening I fully delivered my own soul, by showing what it is to 'build upon a rock.' But still we could not sail, the wind being quite con-

trary, as well as exceedingly high. It was the same on Wednesday. In the afternoon we drank tea at a friend's, who was mentioning a captain just come from France, that proposed to sail in the morning for Penzance, for which the wind would serve, though not for Southampton. In this we plainly saw the hand of God; so we agreed with him immediately.

“ Saturday, 8. Penzance. Dr. Coke preached at six to as many as the preaching-house would contain. At ten I was obliged to take the field, by the multitude of people that flocked together. I found a very uncommon liberty of speech among them, and cannot doubt but the work of God will flourish in this place. In the evening I preached at St. Ives, (but it being the market-day, so that I could not stand, as usual, in the market-place,) in a very convenient field at the end of the town, to a very numerous congregation—I need scarcely add, and very serious; for such are all the congregations in the county of Cornwall.

“ Sunday, 9. About nine I preached at the copper-works, three or four miles from St. Ives, to a large congregation, gathered from all parts, I believe, ‘with the demonstration of the Spirit.’ I then met the society in the preaching-house; which is unlike any other in England, both as to its form and materials. It is exactly round, and composed wholly of brazen slags, which, I suppose, will last as long as the earth. Between one and two I began in the market-place at Redruth, to the largest congregation I ever saw there. They not only filled all the windows, but sat on the tops of the houses. About five I began in the amphitheatre at Gwennap. I suppose we had a thousand more than ever were there before; but it was all one; my voice was strengthened accordingly, so that every one could hear distinctly.

“ Sunday, November 4. London. The congregation at the New chapel was far larger than usual; and the number of communicants was so great, that I was obliged to consecrate thrice.

“ Monday, 5. In my way to Dorking, I read Mr. Duff's Essay on Genius. It is beyond all comparison deeper and more judicious than Dr. G.'s essay on that subject. If the Doctor had seen it, which one can hardly doubt, it is a wonder he would publish his essay; yet I cannot approve of

his method. Why does he not first define his term, that we may know what he is talking about? I doubt, because his own idea of it was not clear. For genius is not imagination, any more than it is invention. If we mean by it a quality of the soul, it is, in its widest acceptation, an extraordinary capacity either for some particular art or science, or for all, for whatever may be undertaken. So Euclid had a genius for mathematics; Tully, for oratory; Aristotle and Lord Bacon had a universal genius, applicable to every thing.

“ Friday, 9. A friend offering to bear my expenses, I set out in the evening; and on Saturday, 10, dined at Nottingham. The preaching-house, one of the most elegant in England, was pretty well filled in the evening.

“ Sunday, 11. At ten, we had a lovely congregation; and a very numerous one in the afternoon; but I believe the house would hardly contain one half of those that came to it. I preached a charity sermon for the Infirmary, which was the design of my coming. This is not a county Infirmary; but is open to all England, yea, to all the world. And every thing about it is so neat, so convenient, and so well ordered, that I have seen none like it in the three kingdoms. Monday, 12. In the afternoon we took coach again; and on Tuesday returned to London.

“ Sunday, 25. I preached two charity sermons at West street, in behalf of our poor children; in which I endeavored to warn them, and all that have the care of them, against that English sin, ungodliness; that reproach of our nation, wherein we excel all the inhabitants of the earth.

“ Tuesday, December 4. I retired to Rainham, to prepare another edition of the New Testament for the press.

“ Sunday, 9. London. I went down at half an hour past five, but found no preacher in the chapel, though we had three or four in the house; so I preached myself. Afterwards, inquiring why none of my family attended the morning preaching, they said it was because they sat up too late. I resolved to put a stop to this; and therefore ordered that, 1. Every one under my roof should go to bed at nine; that, 2. Every one might attend the morning preaching. And so they have done ever since.

“ Monday, 10. I was desired to see the celebrated wax-work, at the Museum in Spring-Gardens. It exhibits most

of the crowned heads in Europe ; and shows their characters in their countenances. Sense and majesty appear in the King of Spain ; dulness and sottishness in the King of France ; infernal subtlety in the late King of Prussia ; (as well as in the skeleton Voltaire;) calmness and humanity in the Emperor, and King of Portugal ; exquisite stupidity in the Prince of Orange ; and amazing coarseness, with every thing that is unamiable, in the Czarina.

“ Sunday, 16. After preaching at Spitalfields, I hastened to St. John’s, Clerkenwell, and preached a charity sermon for the Finsbury Dispensary, as I would gladly countenance every institution of the kind.

“ Saturday, 22. I yielded to the importunity of a painter, and sat, an hour and a half in all, for my picture. I think it is the best that ever was taken. But what is the picture of a man above fourscore !”

These extracts are from the Journal of 1787, when Mr. Wesley was in his eighty-fifth year. The labors and journeys of almost every day are similarly noticed, exhibiting at once a singular instance of natural strength, sustained, doubtless, by the special blessing of God, and of an entire consecration of time to the service of mankind, of which no similar example is, probably, on record ; and which is rendered still more wonderful by the consideration that it had been continued for more than half a century, on the same scale of exertion, and almost without intermission. The vigor of his mind, at this age, is also as remarkable : the same power of acute observation as formerly is manifested ; the same taste for reading and criticism ; the same facility in literary composition. Nor is the buoyant cheerfulness of his spirit a less striking feature. Nothing of the old man of unrenewed nature appears ; no forebodings of evil ; no querulous comparisons of the present with the past : there is the same delight in the beautiful scenes of nature ; the same enjoyment of conversation, provided it had the two qualities of usefulness and brevity ; the same joy in the hopeful appearances of good ; and the same tact at turning the edge of little discomforts and disappointments by the power of an undisturbed equanimity. Above all, we see the man of one business, living only to serve God and his generation, “ instant in season and out of season,” seriously intent, not upon doing so much

duty, but upon saving souls, and preaching, conversing, and writing for this end alone. And yet this is the man whom we still sometimes see made the object of the sneers of infidel or semi-infidel philosophers; and whom book-makers, when they have turned the interesting points of his character and history into a marketable commodity, endeavor to dress up, in the garb of a fanatic, or a dreamer, by way of rendering their works more acceptable to frivolous readers; the man to whose labors few, even of the evangelical clergy of the national Church, have the heart or the courage to do justice; forgetting how much that improved state of piety which exists in the Establishment is owing to the indirect influence of his long life of labor, and his successful ministry; and that even very many of themselves have sprung from families where Methodism first lighted the lamp of religious knowledge, and produced a religious influence. It will, indeed, provoke a smile, to observe what effort often discovers itself in writers of this party, when referring to the religious state of the nation in the last and present century, to keep this apostolic man wholly out of sight, as though he had never existed; feeling, we suppose, that because he did not conform to the order of their Church, in all particulars, it would be a sin against their own orthodoxy even to name him as one of those great instruments in the hands of God, who, in mercy to these lands, were raised up to effect that vast moral and religious change, the benefits of which they themselves so richly enjoy. This may be attributed, not only to that exclusive spirit which marks so many of the clergy of this class, even beyond others, notwithstanding their piety and general excellence, but to the Calvinism which many of them have imbibed. The evangelical Arminianism of Wesley has been forgiven by the orthodox Dissenters; but, by a curious anomaly, not by the Calvinistic party of the Church. It is, probably, better understood by the former.*

* The following passage from a sermon lately preached in his diocese, by Bishop Copleston, may be quoted both as a better specimen of the spirit of a Churchman than that above referred to, and as, perhaps, the only instance in which any thing approaching to a due estimate of Mr. Wesley's character, and the value of his labors, has been suffered publicly to escape the lips of a prelate. It was dictated, evidently, by a candid and liberal feeling, though not without being

At the time to which the above extracts from his Journal refer, Mr. Wesley had, however, no reason to complain of any

influenced by some of those mistaken views which will be corrected at the close of this account of Mr. Wesley's life:

"And here, not only candor and equity, but a just sense of the constitution of Christ's Church, compels me to draw a marked line of distinction between those whose religious assemblies are supplementary, as it were, to our own Establishment, offering spiritual comfort and instruction to hundreds unable to find it elsewhere, and those organized communities which exclude from their society any that communicate in the blessed sacrament of the Lord's Supper with the national Church.

"Of the former I would not only think and speak mildly, but in many cases I would commend the piety and zeal which animates them, full of danger as it is to depart from the apostolic ordinance, even in matters of outward discipline and order. The author and founder of those societies (for he was careful himself to keep them from being formed into a sect) was a regularly ordained minister, a man orthodox in his belief, simple and disinterested in his own views, and adorned with the most amiable and distinguishing virtues of a true Christian. He found thousands of his countrymen, though nominally Christians, yet as ignorant of true Christianity as infidels and heathens; and, in too many instances, (it is useless to conceal or disguise the fact,) ignorant, either through the inattention of the government, in not providing for increased numbers, or through the carelessness and neglect of those whom the national Church had appointed to be their pastors.

"But the beginning of schism, like that of strife, is as when one letteth out water. The gentle stream of piety and benevolence in which this practice originated, irrigating only and refreshing some parched or barren land, soon became a swelling and rapid torrent, widening as it flowed on, and opening for itself a breach which it may yet require the care and prudence of ages to close. And even the pious author himself was not proof against that snare of Satan which, through the vanity and weakness of human nature, led him, in his latter years, to assume the authority of an apostle, and to establish a fraternity within the Church, to be called after his own name, and to remain a lasting monument of his activity and zeal. But over errors such as these let us cast a veil; and rather rejoice in reflecting on the many whom he reclaimed from sin and wickedness, and taught to seek for salvation through the merits of their Saviour.

"Of such, I repeat, wherever a like deficiency of religious means is found, we ought to speak not only with tenderness, but with brotherly love and esteem."

It seems pretty obvious that Bishop Copleston has taken his impressions from Southey's Life of the Founder of Methodism, although somewhat modified by better views of spiritual religion. The moral

want of respect, or of a due appreciation of his labors by the serious of all parties, although he regarded it not with im-

destitution of the country, and the negligence of the Church, are acknowledged, as well as the important effects produced by Mr. Wesley's labors, at least in their early stages; and yet, these results are spoken of as somewhat of a religious calamity! The beginning of "schism," as to Church order, is compared to the letting out of water; and a fearful "breach" out of the Established Church completes the picture. How little does this sensible and amiable bishop know of the facts of the case! as, for instance, 1. That the Methodist societies were, in great part, gathered not out of church-goers, but church-neglecters. 2. That the effect was, generally, for many years, to increase the attendance at church, and to lay the foundation, in a great number of places, especially in the more populous towns, of large church congregations, which have continued to this day. 3. That the still more extensive and ultimate result was, after persecution or silent contempt had been tried in vain, and when it was found that obstinate perseverance in neglect would not be any longer tolerated, that the Establishment was roused into an activity by which it has, doubtless, been greatly benefited, as far as respects its moral influence, the only influence of a Church which can be permanent or valuable. 4. That very few of the Methodists of the present day would, in all probability, have been, in any sense which Bishop Copleston would value, church-people; and so this supposed loss of ecclesiastical members affords but an imaginary ground for the regrets with which he seems to surround it. The intimation of Mr. Wesley's ambition is imitated from Southey. But of this, enough has been said in refutation. Bishop Copleston, indeed, regards it mildly as an infirmity, which he would charitably cover with Mr. Wesley's numerous and eminent virtues. That is kind; but Mr. Wesley himself would have taken a severer view of this "weakness," had he been conscious of the passion of ambition, in the sense in which it is here used. One might ask this respectable prelate to review the case, and say where Mr. Wesley, allowing him his conscientious conviction that he was bound to incessant activity in doing good to the souls of men, could have stopped? how he could have disposed of his societies, in the then existing state of the Church? and whether, if he had this "ambition" to be the head of a sect, his whole life did not lay restraints upon it, since, from nearly the very first outset of his itinerancy and success, it has been shown in this work, by extracts from the Minutes of his first Conferences, that he took views of ecclesiastical polity which then set him quite at liberty, had he chosen it, to form his societies into a regular Church, to put himself at their head, and to kindle up a spirit of hostility to the Establishment, and of warm partisanship in his own favor, throughout the land? A vicious ambition would have preferred this course. But it is not necessary to anticipate the remarks which will follow on these subjects

proper exultation, but passed through “honor,” as he had passed through “dishonor” in the former years of his life, as “seeing Him who is invisible.” This period of his life must have been to him, on a much higher account, one of rich reflection. In his Journal of 1785, March 24, he observes, “I was now considering how strangely the grain of mustard-seed, planted about fifty years ago, had grown up. It has spread through all Great Britain, and Ireland, the Isle of Wight, and the Isle of Man; then to America, through the whole continent, into Canada, the Leeward Islands, and Newfoundland. And the societies, in all these parts, walk by one rule, knowing that religion is in holy tempers, and striving to worship God, not in form only, but likewise in spirit and in truth.”

He must, indeed, have been insensible to the emotions of a generous nature, had he not felt an honest satisfaction, that he had lived down calumnies; and that where mobs formerly awaited him, he met with the kind and cheering attentions of the most respectable persons of all religious persuasions, in every part of the country. But, more than this, he could compare the dearth and barrenness of one age with the living verdure and fertility of another. Long-forgotten truths had been made familiar: a neglected population had been brought within the range of Christian instruction, and the constant preaching of the word of life by faithful men: religious societies had been raised up through the land, generally distinguished by piety and zeal: by the blessing of God upon the labors of Mr. Whitefield, and others of his first associates, the old Dissenting churches had been quickened into life, and new ones multiplied: the Established Church had been awakened from her lethargy; the number of faithful ministers in her parishes greatly multiplied: the influence of religion spread into the colonies, and the United States of America; and, above all, a vast multitude, the fruit of his own ministerial zeal and faithfulness, had, since the time in which he commenced his labors, departed into a better world. These thoughts must often have passed through his mind, and inspired his heart with devout thanksgivings, although no allusion is ever made to them in a boastful manner. For the past, he knew to whom the praise belonged; and the future he left to God, certain, at least, of meeting in

heaven a greater number of glorified spirits of whose salvation he had been, under God, the instrument, than any minister of modern ages. That "joyful hope" may explain an incident which occurred toward the close of his life at the City Road chapel, London. After prayers had been read one Sunday forenoon, he ascended the pulpit; where, instead of announcing the hymn immediately, he, to the great surprise of the congregation, stood silent, with his eyes closed, for the space of at least ten minutes, rapt in thought; and then, with a feeling which at once conveyed to all present the subject which had so absorbed his attention, gave out the hymn commencing with the lines,

"Come, let us join our friends above,
Who have obtained the prize."

It was also his constant practice to preach on All Saints' Day, which was with him a favorite festival, on communion with the saints in heaven: a practice probably arising out of the same delightful association of remembrances and hope.

On his attaining his eighty-fifth year, he makes the following reflections:

"I this day enter on my eighty-fifth year. And what cause have I to praise God, as for a thousand spiritual blessings, so for bodily blessings also! How little have I suffered yet by 'the rush of numerous years!' It is true, I am not so agile as I was in times past: I do not run or walk so fast as I did. My sight is a little decayed. My left eye is grown dim, and hardly serves me to read. I have daily some pain in the ball of my right eye, as also in my right temple, (occurred by a blow received some time since,) and in my right shoulder and arm, which I impute partly to a sprain, and partly to the rheumatism. I find likewise some decay in my memory, with regard to names and things lately passed; but not at all with regard to what I have read or heard, twenty, forty, or sixty years ago. Neither do I find any decay in my hearing, smell, taste, or appetite, (though I want but a third part of the food I once did,) nor do I feel any such thing as weariness, either in travelling or preaching. And I am not conscious of any decay in writing sermons; which I do as readily, and, I believe, as correctly, as ever.

"To what cause can I impute this, that I am as I am?

First, doubtless, to the power of God, fitting me for the work to, which I am called, as long as he pleases to continue me therein ; and next, subordinately to this, to the prayers of his children. May we not impute it, as inferior means, 1. To my constant exercise and change of air ? 2. To my never having lost a night's sleep, sick or well, at land or sea, since I was born ? 3. To my having sleep at command, so that, whenever I feel myself almost worn out, I call it, and it comes, day or night ? 4. To my having constantly, for above sixty years, risen at four in the morning ? 5. To my constant preaching at five in the morning, for above fifty years ? 6. To my having had so little pain in my life, and so little sorrow or anxious care ? Even now, though I find pain daily in my eye, temple, or arm, yet it is never violent, and seldom lasts many minutes at a time.

“ Whether or not this is sent to give me warning that I am shortly to quit this tabernacle, I do not know ; but, be it one way or the other, I have only to say,

‘ My remnant of days
I spend to his praise
Who died the whole world to redeem :
Be they many or few,
My days are his due,
And they all are devoted to him ! ’ ”

And, referring to some persons in the nation who thought themselves endowed with the gift of prophecy, he adds, “ If this is to be the last year of my life, according to some of these prophets, I hope it will be the best. I am not careful about it, but heartily receive the advice of the angel in Milton,

‘ How well is thine ; how long permit to heaven.’ ”

CHAPTER XIV

Death of Mr. Charles Wesley—His character—His hymns—Remarks—Mr. Montgomery's "Psalmist"—Anecdote of the Rev. Samuel Wesley, Sen.—Mr. Wesley's continued labors—Reflections on entering his eighty-eighth year—Last sickness—Death—Funeral—Epitaph—Sketches of his character by different writers.

THE brothers, whose affection no differences of opinion, and no conflicts of party, could diminish, were now to be separated by death. Of the last days of Mr. Charles Wesley, Dr. Whitehead gives the following account:

"Mr. Charles Wesley had a weak body, and a poor state of health, during the greatest part of his life. I believe he laid the foundation of both at Oxford by too close application to study, and abstinence from food. He rode much on horseback, which probably contributed to lengthen out life to a good old age. I visited him several times in his last sickness; and his body was indeed reduced to the most extreme state of weakness. He possessed that state of mind which he had been always pleased to see in others—unaffected humility, and holy resignation to the will of God. He had no transports of joy, but solid hope and unshaken confidence in Christ, which kept his mind in perfect peace. A few days before his death he composed the following lines. Having been silent and quiet for some time, he called Mrs. Wesley to him, and bid her write as he dictated:

'In age and feebleness extreme,
Who shall a sinful worm redeem?
Jesus, my only hope thou art,
Strength of my failing flesh and heart:
O could I catch a smile from thee,
And drop into eternity!'

“ He died March 29th, 1788, aged seventy-nine years and three months ; and was buried April 5th, in Marybone church-yard, at his own desire. The pall was supported by eight clergymen of the Church of England. On his tombstone are the following lines, written by himself, on the death of one of his friends : they could not be more aptly applied to any person than to Mr. Charles Wesley :

‘ With poverty of spirit blessed,
Rest, happy saint, in Jesus rest :
A sinner saved, through grace forgiven,
Redeemed from earth to reign in heaven !
Thy labors of unwearied love,
By thee forgot, are crowned above :
Crowned, through the mercy of thy Lord,
With a free, full, immense reward !’

“ Mr. Charles Wesley was of a warm and lively disposition, of great frankness and integrity, and generous and steady in his friendships. In conversation he was pleasing, instructive, and cheerful ; and his observations were often seasoned with wit and humor. His religion was genuine and unaffected. As a minister, he was familiarly acquainted with every part of divinity ; and his mind was furnished with an uncommon knowledge of the Scriptures. His discourses from the pulpit were not dry and systematic, but flowed from the present views and feelings of his own mind. He had a remarkable talent of expressing the most important truths with simplicity and energy ; and his discourses were sometimes truly apostolic, forcing conviction on the hearers, in spite of the most determined opposition. As a husband, a father, and a friend, his character was amiable. Mrs. Wesley brought him five children, of whom two sons and a daughter are still living.* The sons discovered so fine a taste for

* Miss Wesley, a lady of eminent talents, and great excellence, died September 19, 1828.

It would be improper to withhold, as I have them before me, in the unpublished letters with which I have been favored, some incidental remarks of the late Miss Wesley, on the character of her father :

“ Mr. Moore seems to think that my father preferred *rest to going about to do good*. He had a rising family, and considered it his duty to confine his labors to Bristol and London, where he labored most sedulously in ministerial offices ; and judged that it was incumbent

music, at an early period of life, that they excited general astonishment; and they are now justly admired, by the best judges, for their talents in that pleasing art. The Methodists are greatly indebted to Charles Wesley for his unwearied labors and great usefulness at the first formation of the societies, when every step was attended with difficulty and danger. And, being dead, he yet speaketh by his numerous and excellent hymns, written for the use of the societies, which still continue to be the means of daily edification and comfort to thousands.”*

For the spiritual advantages which the Methodists have derived from his inestimable hymns, which are in constant use in their congregations, as well as for his early labors, the memory of Mr. Charles Wesley indeed deserves to be had in their everlasting remembrance; and they are not insensible of the value of the gift. Their taste has been formed by this high standard; and, notwithstanding all the charges of illiteracy, and want of mental cultivation, which have been often brought against them, we may venture to say, there are few collections of psalms and hymns in use in any other congregations, that would, as a whole, be tolerated amongst them; so powerful

upon him to watch over the youth of his sons; especially in a profession which nature so strongly pointed out, but which was peculiarly dangerous. He always said his brother was formed to lead, and he to follow. No one ever more rejoiced in another's superiority, or was more willing to confess it. Mr. Moore's statement of his absence of mind in his younger days was probably correct, as he was born impetuous, and ardent, and sincere. But what a change must have taken place when we were born! For his exactness in his accounts, in his manuscripts, in his bureau, etc., equalled my uncle's. Not in his dress, indeed; for my mother said, if she did not watch over him, he might have put on an old for a new coat, and marched out. Such was his power of abstraction, that he could read and compose with his children in the room, and visitors talking around him. He was near forty when he married, and had eight children, of whom we were the youngest. So kind and amiable a character in domestic life can scarcely be imagined. The tenderness he showed in every weakness, and the sympathy in every pain, would fill sheets to describe. But I am not writing his eulogy; only I must add, with so warm a temper, he never was heard to speak an angry word to a servant, or known to strike a child in anger; and he knew no guile!” [Charles Wesley, D. D., chaplain to the queen, is his grandson.—T. O. S.]

* Whitehead's Life.

has been the effect produced by his superior compositions. The clear and decisive character of the religious experience which they describe; their force, and life, and earnestness; commended them, at the first, to the piety of the societies, and, through that, insensibly elevated the judgment of thousands, who otherwise might have relished, as strongly as others, the rudeness of the old version of the Psalms, the tameness of the new, and the tinsel metaphors and vapid sentimentalisms which disfigure numerous compositions of different authors, in most collections of hymns in use. It would seem, indeed, from the very small number of really good psalms and hymns, which are adapted to public worship and the use of religious societies, that this branch of sacred poetry has not been very successfully cultivated; and that the combination of genius, judgment, and taste, requisite to produce them, is very rarely found. Germany is said to be more abundant in good hymns than England; and some of the most excellent of the Wesleyan hymns are imitations of German hymns admirably versified. But in our language the number is small. Hymns, indeed, abounding in sweet thoughts, though often feebly expressed, and such as may be used profitably in the closet or the family circle, are not so rare. But the true sacred lyric, suited for public worship, and the select assemblies of the devout, is as scarce as it is valuable. From the rustic rhyming of Sternhold and Hopkins, to the psalms and hymns of Dr. Watts, the advance was indeed unspeakably great. A few, however, only of the latter, in comparison of the whole number, are unexceptionable throughout. When they are so, they leave nothing to be desired; but many of Dr. Watts's compositions begin well, often nobly, and then fall off into dulness and puerility; and not a few are utterly worthless, as being poor in thought, and still more so in expression. The piety and sweetness of Doddridge's hymns must be felt; but they are often verbose and languid, and withal faulty and affected in their metaphors. The Olney collection has many delightful hymns for private use; but they are far from being generally fit for the public services of religion, and are often in bad taste; not even excepting many of Cowper's. This may be spoken without irreverence; for the greatest poets have not proved the best hymn-makers. Milton made but one tolerable psalm; and

still more modern poets of note have not fully redeemed the credit of their class. The fact seems to be, that when the mind is opulent in sentiment and imagery, those qualities are usually infused into sacred song in too large proportions. Sentiment and genuine religious feeling are things quite distinct, and seldom harmonize; at least, though they may sometimes approach to the verge of each other, they will not amalgamate; and exuberance of metaphor is inconsistent with strong and absorbing devotion, and proves too artificial to express the natural language of the heart. The talent of correct and vigorous versification is, for these reasons, more likely to produce the true "spiritual song" than luxuriance of imagination and great creative genius, provided the requisite theological and devotional qualities be also present. A hymn suitable for social worship ought to be terse and vigorous; and it is improved when every verse closes with a sense so full and pointed, as frequently to make some approach to the character of the ancient epigram; or, as Mr. Montgomery has happily expressed it, "each stanza should be a poetical tune, played down to the last note." The meaning ought also to be so obvious as to be comprehended at once, that men may speak to God directly, without being distracted by investigating the real meaning of the words put into their lips. And when metaphor is efficiently employed, it must be generally such as the Scriptures have already sanctioned; for with their imagery we are all familiar, and it stands consecrated to the service of the sanctuary by inspired authority. Yet even this ought not to be adopted in an extended form, approaching to allegory; and is always more successful when rather lightly touched and suggested, than when dwelt upon with particularity. Cowper's fine hymn on Providence is greatly improved by omitting the stanza:

" His purposes will ripen fast,
Unfolding every hour:
The bud may have a bitter taste,
But sweet will be the flower."

This figure is not only not found in sacred inspired poetry, but it has too much prettiness to be the vehicle of a sublime thought; and the verse has moreover the fault of an absurd

antithesis, as well as a false rhyme. Many modern hymns are, indeed, as objectionable from the character of their imagery, as from the meagreness of their thoughts; and there are a few somewhat popular, which, leaving out or changing a few sacred terms, would chime agreeably enough to the most common sentimental subjects.

To Dr. Watts and to Mr. Charles Wesley the largest share of gratitude is due, in modern times, from the Churches of Christ, for that rich supply of "psalms and hymns and spiritual songs," in which the assemblies of the pious may make melody unto the Lord, in strains which "angels might often delight to hear." No others are to be named with these sweet singers of the spiritual Israel; and it is probable that through the medium of their verse, chiefly, will the devotions of our churches be poured forth till time shall be no more. No other poets ever attained such elevation as this. They honored God in their gifts, and God has thus honored them to be the mouth of his people to him, in their solemn assemblies, in their private devotions, and in the struggles of death itself.

It would be an unprofitable task to compare the merits of these two great psalmists. Each had excellences not found in the other. Watts, however, excels Mr. Charles Wesley only in the sweeter flow of his numbers, and in the feeling and sympathy of those of his hymns which are designed to administer comfort to the afflicted. In composition, he was, in all respects, decidedly his inferior—in good taste, classic elegance, uniformity, correct rhyming, and vigor. As to the theology of their respective hymns, leaving particular doctrines out of the question, the great truths of religious experience are also far more clearly and forcibly embodied by Mr. Charles Wesley than by Dr. Watts. Most justly does Mr. John Wesley say of the "Collection of Hymns for the use of the people called Methodists," of which only a few are his own, and almost all the rest from the pen of Mr. Charles Wesley—"In these hymns there is no doggerel, no botches, nothing put in to patch up the rhyme, no feeble expletives. Here is nothing turgid or bombastic on the one hand, or low and creeping on the other. Here are no cant expressions, no words without meaning. Here are (allow me to say) both the

purity, the strength, and the elegance of the English language; and, at the same time, the utmost simplicity and plainness, suited to every capacity.”*

* In this collection, besides a few hymns by Mr. John Wesley, there are seven from Dr. Watts. Several are translations by the Wesleys; one from the Spanish, “O God, my God, my all thou art,” etc.; one from the French, “Come, Saviour Jesus, from above;” and the others from the German hymns of the Lutheran and Moravian Churches. Several of these translated hymns Mr. Montgomery has inserted in his “*Psalmist*,” and marked “*Moravian*.” They appear, indeed, in the Moravian Hymn-book; but in departments there in which are also found the hymns of Dr. Watts and other English authors. The preface of the edition of 1754, the first authorized collection of the English Moravians, and which embodies their former unauthorized publications, acknowledges “the foregoing labors of Mr. Jacobi and the Rev. Mr. Wesley” in the translation of German hymns of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, besides extracts of English ones of the eighteenth, from “Watts, Stennett, Davis, Erskine, Wesley,” etc.; which acknowledgment was no doubt overlooked by Mr. Montgomery. The hymns translated by the Wesleys, and said by Mr. Montgomery in his collection to be “*Moravian*,” are, “Thou hidden love of God, whose height;” “Thee will I love, my strength, my tower;” “Shall I for fear of feeble man;” “O thou who camest from above;” “Now I have found the ground wherein;” “My soul before thee prostrate lies;” and, “Holy Lamb, who thee receive.” Now, all these were published by the Wesleys before the Moravian Hymn-book of 1754, in which the “foregoing labors of Mr. Wesley,” in translating from the German, are acknowledged; and, indeed, most of them appear in the very first hymn-books published by John and Charles Wesley, two of which bear date so early as 1739, fifteen years previous to the publication of the authorized Moravian collection. As translations, they are not, therefore, “*Moravian*;” and, when they are translated from “the German,” it does not follow that they all have a Moravian original, though some of them may; for the Moravian German book, like the English, as we learn from the preface to their English Hymn-book, “consists as well of hymns out of preceding church-collections of their neighbors, as of others composed by themselves.” The hymn, “High on his everlasting throne,” marked “*Moravian*” by Mr. Montgomery, and mentioned also in his preface, is a Moravian German hymn; but the translation is by Mr. Charles Wesley; whilst “Give to the winds thy fears,” also marked *Moravian*, is a German hymn of the Lutheran Church, and the translation is, I believe, Mr. C. Wesley’s. Of this hymn there is a version in the Moravian English Hymn-book; the last stanza of which, when placed beside Mr. C. Wesley’s, will show with what strength of internal evidence his translations distinguish themselves:

Few persons ever wrote so much poetry of the sacred and devotional kind as Mr. Charles Wesley. It amounts to forty-four distinct publications of different sizes, from the

WESLEY'S.

Thou seest our weakness, Lord,
Our hearts are known to thee;
O lift thou up the sinking hand,
Confirm the feeble knee!
Let us in life and death
Thy steadfast truth declare;
And publish with our latest breath
Thy love and guardian care.

MORAVIAN.

O Lord, thou seest our weakness,
Yet knowest what our hearts mean;
Against desponding slackness
Our feeble knees sustain.
Till, and beyond death's valley,
Let us thy truth declare;
Yea, then emphatically
Boast of thy guardian care.

Some other comparisons might be made between translations from German hymns by the Wesleys, and those from the same originals found in the Moravian Hymn-book, which would sufficiently show that the Moravians, then at least, had no translator into English verse at all comparable to them; and, indeed, they had sufficient taste generally to adopt their translations in preference. But this is no reason why they should lose the credit of their own admirable performances in this department. Respect to literary justice has drawn out this note to so great a length; and it was the more necessary to state the matter correctly, because Mr. Montgomery's "Psalmist" might in future mislead. The first editions of the Hymns and Sacred Poems by the Wesleys, namely, those of 1739, 1743, and 1745, in which most of the above hymns are found, with several others in the Moravian Hymn-book, are now become scarce, and in a few years may not be forthcoming to correct the error. For this reason it may also be noticed, that Mr. Montgomery has inserted in his collection several hymns by Charles Wesley as the composition of "authors unknown." These, too, are found in the early editions of the Wesley Hymns and Poems, and in some later ones; as, "Come let us who in Christ believe;" "Come, O thou all-victorious Lord;" "Fountain of being, Source of good;" "God of my life, whose gracious power;" "Jesus, my strength, my hope;" "Jesus, the name high over all;" "Leader of faithful souls, and guide;" "O that thou wouldest the heavens rent;" "Spirit of truth, come down;" "Thee, O my God and King;" "Thy ceaseless, unexhausted love;" "When quiet in my house I sit;" and a few others. "Ye virgin souls arise," another of Charles Wesley's hymns, is ascribed to Dr. Doddridge. There are two ways of accounting for Mr. Montgomery's want of information as to these hymns—that he was not in possession of the early editions of hymns published by John and Charles Wesley; and that some of the hymns in the hymn-book in use amongst us, which he has ascribed to authors unknown, are parts of longer hymns, and were selected by Mr. John Wesley from his brother's poetry, sometimes from the middle or end of a piece, so that the first lines would not be found in the old indexes when consulted. Mr. Charles Wesley's hymns have not been unfrequently claimed for others, without any design to be unjust.

duodecimo volume to the pamphlet of one or two sheets. Besides what is published, several thick quarto volumes of poetry in MS. remain, chiefly consisting of brief illustrations or paraphrases of the leading texts in the Gospels and Acts of the Apostles, and not inferior to his "Short Hymns on the

In the *Christian Observer*, a few years ago, that exquisite production of one of his happiest moments, "Jesus, lover of my soul," was assigned to Mrs. Madan, although published by Mr. Charles Wesley in the year 1743; and the translation from the French, "Come, Saviour Jesus, from above," is found in the *Poetical Works* of Dr. John Byrom, published in 1773, although it appears in the Wesley "Hymns and Poems" of 1739. The probability is, that a copy of it was found among Byrom's papers, and so the editor of his Poems concluded it to be his. In *Rippon's Selection* at least twenty-eight of the Wesley hymns are inserted as anonymous. This has happened in many other collections; and, besides, they have often been greatly mutilated. A correct list of the different editions of the Hymns and Sacred Poems published by the Wesleys will be found in the last volume of Wesley's Works, recently completed. The editions of 1739 are scarce; and it ought to be noticed, that there are two distinct works published under the same title of "Hymns and Sacred Poems," each bearing that date. The Hymn-book now in use was compiled by Mr. John Wesley out of the preceding hymn-books, of different sizes and editions, and from his brother's "Festival Hymns," "Scripture Hymns," etc. The whole underwent his severe criticism, and he abridged and corrected them with a taste and judgment which greatly increased their value. How many of the above-mentioned translations from the German were from the pen of John, and how many were by Charles, will never now probably be ascertained, since they appear chiefly in books published in their joint names. Some have, indeed, attributed the whole of the translations from the German to John, as supposing that Charles did not well understand German. But of this we have no decisive evidence; and even were it so, he might turn the ruder translations in the Moravian Hymn-book, which are generally very literal, into his own superior verse; or the sense of any hymn might be given by his brother. Certainly there is internal evidence in many of the translations from the German, published by the Wesleys, of Charles's manner. John's versions are generally more polished and elegant; Charles had more fire, and was more careless. Miss Wesley, indeed, in a note to page 340, vol. xiv., of Wesley's Works, is said by the editor to have been of opinion that the translated hymns, when from the German, were all from the pen of her uncle; but they had long been published before she was born, and she always spoke on the subject as a matter of opinion, and not as grounded on any explicit information which she had ever sought, or had ever received, from her father. [They are attributed to John in the Hymn-book of the M. E. Church, South.—T. O. S.]

chief passages of the Old and New Testaments," which have passed through several editions.* A few of his poems are playful, a few others are keenly satirical. He satirized his brother's ordinations, and the preachers; but, High-Churchman as he was, he was very unsparing in the use of his poetic whip upon the persecuting and irreligious clergy. Of this, some of his published, and several of his unpublished, paraphrases on passages of the Gospels, and the Acts of the Apostles, in which the persecuting deeds of the scribes and Pharisees are recorded, afford some caustic specimens;† and sufficiently indicate that he did not bear the contumely and opposition of his High-Church brethren with the equanimity and gentleness of his brother John. He also took a part in the Calvinistic controversy, by writing his "Hymns or Poems on God's universal love." But by far the greater part of his

[* An interesting relic, not known to Mr. Watson, has recently been brought to light: an autograph volume, containing a poetical version of nearly the whole book of Psalms, by Charles Wesley, presented by him to Lady Huntingdon. This, with other versions of Psalms, scattered through the poetical works of the Wesleys, has been recently published by the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, under the style of "The Wesleyan Psalter"—a most charming volume.—T. O. S.]

† As almost all the family were poets, so they were all characterized by a vein of satire. This they appear to have inherited from their father, whose wit was both ready and pungent. The following is an instance copied from the Gentleman's Magazine, for the year 1802:

"The authenticity of the following extempore grace by the Rev. Samuel Wesley, (father of the Rev. John,) formerly rector of Epworth, may be relied on. It is given on the authority of the late William Barnard, Esq., of Gainsborough, whose father, the preserver of John from the fire of 1707, was present at the time it was spoken, at Temple Belwood, after dinner. Mr. P., at whose house they dined, was a strange compound of avarice and oddity; many of his singularities are still remembered:

'Thanks for this feast, for 'tis no less
Than eating manna in the wilderness;
Here meagre Famine bears controlless sway,
And ever drives each fainting wretch away.
Yet here, (O how beyond a saint's belief!)
We've seen the glories of a chine of beef!
Here chimneys smoke, which never smoked before,
And we have dined where we shall dine no more'"

The design of this odd extemporaneous effusion, we are bound to believe, was not to indulge in a levity, but to convey a useful reproof

poetry was consecrated to promote the work of God in the heart. Never were its different branches, from the first awakening of the soul out of the sleep of sin, to its state of perfected holiness, with all its intermediate conflicts and exercises, more justly or scripturally expressed; and there is, perhaps, no uninspired book from which, as to "the deep things of God," so much is to be learned, as from his Hymn-book in use in the Methodist congregations. The funeral hymns in this collection have but little of the softness of sorrow—perhaps too little; but they are written in that fulness of faith which exclaims over the open tomb, "Thanks be to God, who giveth us the victory through our Lord Jesus Christ." The hymns on the last day are characterized also by the same unflinching faith, which, rejoicing in the smile of the Judge, defies the wild uproar of elements, and the general conflagration itself. In several of these, Mr. Charles Wesley has admirably Christianized the "just man" of Horace, dreadless amidst the ruins of a world :

*"Si fractus illabatur orbis
Impavidum ferient ruinae;"*

placing the same fine thought in various aspects, and illustrating it by different circumstances. His hymns of invitation are sweet and persuasive; and those on justification by faith, admirably illustrative of that important doctrine. Of the value set upon this Hymn-book by the Methodist congregations, this is a sufficient proof, that above sixty thousand copies are sold yearly in the United Kingdom alone.* The number in the United States of America must be considerably larger.†

* As the number of hymns in this book, adapted for mixed congregations and festival occasions, was not thought sufficient, a supplement is now added, containing a considerable number of hymns by Mr. Charles Wesley, and by other authors. Some of the best hymns he ever wrote are found in this smaller collection, chiefly on the Festivals.

† The sale has been very great since 1847, when the Hymn-book of the M. E. Church, South, was published. In the compilation of this volume, nearly all the old hymn-books of the Wesleys were laid under contribution, the original form of many hymns which had been mutilated was restored, and not a few that had been attributed to other writers were verified as Mr. Wesley's, and accredited accordingly.

With reference to his brother's poetry, a remark is incidentally and somewhat oddly introduced, by Mr. Wesley, in his Journal of 1790, January 28 :

"I retired to Peckham, and at leisure hours read part of a very pretty trifle, the Life of Mrs. Bellamy. Surely never did any, since John Dryden, study more

'To make vice pleasing, and damnation shine,'

than this lively and elegant writer. She has a fine imagination, a strong understanding, an easy style, improved by much reading ; a fine, benevolent temper, and every qualification that could consist with a total ignorance of God ; but God was not in all her thoughts. Abundance of anecdotes she inserts, which may be true or false. One of them concerning Mr. Garrick is curious. She says, 'When he was taking ship for England, a lady presented him with a parcel, which she desired him not to open till he was at sea. When he did, he found Wesley's Hymns, which he immediately threw overboard.' I cannot believe it. I think Mr. G. had more sense. He knew my brother well. And he knew him to be not only far superior in learning, but in poetry, to Mr. Thomson, and all his theatrical writers put together. None of them can equal him, either in strong nervous sense, or purity and elegance of language. The musical compositions of his sons are not more excellent than the poetical ones of their father."

The last end of the truly venerable John Wesley was now also approaching. He was on his regular pastoral visit to Ireland, when he entered his eighty-seventh year, on which he remarks in his Journal : "This day I enter on my eighty-seventh year. I now find I grow old. 1. My sight is decayed, so that I cannot read a small print, unless in a strong light. 2. My strength is decayed, so that I walk much slower than I did some years since. 3. My memory of names,

Some that were not published in his lifetime were also introduced into that collection. Since its appearance, the Northern Methodists have published a new hymn-book, in which, however, many of the Wesleyan hymns appear in an altered form. Other Methodist bodies in the United States have published hymn-books, constituted, for the most part, of Wesleyan hymns ; many of which, moreover, are found in the hymn-books of other denominations.—T. O. S.]

whether of persons or places, is decayed, till I stop a little to recollect them. What I should be afraid of is, if I took thought for the morrow, that my body should weigh down my mind, and create either stubbornness, by the decrease of my understanding, or peevishness, by the increase of bodily infirmities ; but thou shalt answer for me, O Lord my God !”

Nobly superior to these infirmities, we find him still acting under the impression, “ I must be about my Father’s business.” Although, in comparison of his former rapidity of movement, he crept rather than ran, it was still in the same ceaseless course of service. After holding the Irish Conference in Dublin, and the English Conference at Leeds, in August he returned to London ; from thence he set out to Bristol, and proceeded on his usual tour through the west of England, and Cornwall. Notwithstanding his regular visits to Cornwall, he appears, from some reason, never to have turned aside to Falmouth, since the time of his preaching there forty years before, when he met with so violent a reception. He now paid that place a visit, and remarks : “ The last time I was here, about forty years ago, I was taken prisoner by an immense mob, gaping and roaring like lions ; but how is the tide turned ! High and low now lined the streets from one end of the town to the other, out of stark love and kindness, gaping and staring as if the king were going by. In the evening I preached on the smooth top of the hill, at a small distance from the sea, to the largest congregation I have ever seen in Cornwall, except in or near Redruth ; and such a time I have not known before since I returned from Ireland. God moved wonderfully on the hearts of the people, who all seemed to know the day of their visitation.”

From Cornwall he returned by way of Bristol and Bath to London. In the early part of the next year, we find him again at Bristol ; from whence he proceeded, preaching at several of the intermediate towns, to Birmingham ; and from thence through Staffordshire to Madeley, where we find the following affecting entry in his Journal :

“ At nine I preached to a select congregation on the deep things of God ; and in the evening on, ‘ He is able to save unto the uttermost all them that come unto God through him.’ Friday, 26. I finished my sermon on the ‘ Wedding Garment,’ perhaps the last that I shall write. My eyes are now waxed

dim. My natural force is abated. However, while I can, I would fain do a little for God, before I drop into the dust."

The societies in Cheshire, Lancashire, and the north of England, once more, and for the last time, saw the man to whom, under God, they owed their religious existence. On his return southward, he passed through the East Riding of Yorkshire, to Hull; preaching in every place as on the brink of eternity. He also visited Epworth, and various parts of Lincolnshire; and, upon attaining his eighty-eighth year, has the following reflections:

"This day I enter into my eighty-eighth year. For above eighty-six years I found none of the infirmities of old age: my eyes did not wax dim, neither was my natural strength abated; but last August I found almost a sudden change: my eyes were so dim that no glasses would help me: my strength, likewise, now quite forsook me, and probably will not return in this world; but I feel no pain from head to foot; only, it seems, nature is exhausted, and, humanly speaking, will sink more and more, till

'The weary springs of life stand still at last.'

"This," says Dr. Whitehead, "at length was literally the case: the death of Mr. Wesley, like that of his brother Charles, being one of those rare instances in which nature, drooping under the load of years, sinks by a gentle decay. For several years preceding his death, this decay was, perhaps, more visible to others than to himself, particularly by a more frequent disposition to sleep during the day, by a growing defect in memory, a faculty he once possessed in a high degree of perfection, and by a general diminution of the vigor and agility he had so long enjoyed. His labors, however, suffered little interruption; and when the summons came, it found him, as he always wished it should, in the harness, still occupied in his Master's work!"

Still his Journal records his regular visitation of the principal places where societies existed, and exhibits the same variety and raciness of remark on men and books, and other subjects; although writing must, at that time, have become exceedingly difficult to him from the failure of his sight. This most interesting record of unparalleled labors "in the

gospel" was, for this reason, it is presumed, discontinued, and closes on Sunday, October 24th, 1790, when he states that he preached twice at Spitalfields church. He continued, however, during the autumn and winter, to visit various places till February, continually praying, "Lord, let me not live to be useless." The following account of his last days is taken from the memoir prefixed to the edition of his works by the Rev. Joseph Benson, and is there inserted as a proper close to his Journal :

"He preached, as usual, in different places in London and its vicinity, generally meeting the society after preaching in each place, and exhorting them to love as brethren, to fear God, and honor the king ; which he wished them to consider as his last advice. He then usually, if not invariably, concluded with giving out that verse :

‘O that, without a lingering groan,
I may the welcome word receive ;
My body with my charge lay down,
And cease at once to work and live.’

"He proceeded in this way till the usual time of his leaving London approached, when, with a view to take his accustomed journey through Ireland or Scotland, he sent his chaise and horses before him to Bristol, and took places for himself and his friend in the Bath coach. But his mind, with all its vigor, could no longer uphold his worn-out and sinking body. Its powers ceased, although by slow and almost imperceptible degrees, to perform their sundry offices, until, as he often expressed himself,

‘The weary wheels of life stood still at last.’

"Thursday, February 17, 1791, he preached at Lambeth ; but, on his return, seemed much indisposed, and said he had taken cold. The next day, however, he read and wrote as usual ; and in the evening preached at Chelsea, from, 'The King's business requireth haste,' although with some difficulty, having a high degree of fever upon him. Indeed, he was obliged to stop once or twice, informing the people that his cold so affected his voice as to prevent his speaking without those necessary pauses. On Saturday he still persevered in

his usual employments, though, to those about him, his complaints seemed evidently increasing. He dined at Islington ; and at dinner desired a friend to read to him four chapters out of the book of Job ; namely, from the fourth to the seventh, inclusive. On Sunday he rose early, according to custom ; but quite unfit for any of his usual Sabbath-day's exercises. At seven o'clock he was obliged to lie down, and slept between three and four hours. When he awoke, he said, 'I have not had such a comfortable sleep this fortnight past.' In the afternoon he lay down again, and slept an hour or two. Afterward, two of his own discourses on our Lord's Sermon on the Mount were read to him, and in the evening he came down to supper.

"Monday, 21st, he seemed much better ; and, though his friends tried to dissuade him from it, he would keep an engagement, made some time before, to dine at Twickenham. In his way thither, he called on Lady Mary Fitzgerald. The conversation was truly profitable, and well became a last visit. On Tuesday he went on with his usual work ; preached in the evening at the chapel in the City Road, and seemed much better than he had been for some days. On Wednesday he went to Leatherhead, and preached to a small company on, 'Seek ye the Lord while he may be found ; call ye upon him while he is near.' This proved to be his last sermon. Here ended the public labors of this great minister of Jesus Christ. On Thursday he paid a visit to Mr. Wolff's family at Balham ; where he was cheerful, and seemed nearly as well as usual, till Friday, about breakfast time, when he grew very heavy. About eleven o'clock he returned home, extremely ill. His friends were struck with the manner of his getting out of the carriage, and still more with his apparent weakness when he went up stairs and sat down in his chair. He now desired to be left alone, and not to be interrupted by any one, for half an hour. When that time was expired, some mulled wine was brought him, of which he drank a little. In a few minutes he threw it up, and said, 'I must lie down.' His friends were now alarmed, and Dr. Whitehead was immediately sent for. On his entering the room, he said, in a cheerful voice, 'Doctor, they are more afraid than hurt.' Most of this day he lay in bed, had a quick pulse, with a considerable degree of fever and stupor. And Saturday, 26th,

he continued in much the same state; taking very little, either of medicine or nourishment.

“Sunday morning he seemed much better, got up, and took a cup of tea. Sitting in his chair, he looked quite cheerful; and repeated the latter part of the verse, in his brother Charles’s Scripture Hymns, on, ‘Forsake me not when my strength faileth;’ namely:

‘Till glad I lay this body down,
Thy servant, Lord, attend;
And, O! my life of mercy crown
With a triumphant end.’

Soon after, in a most emphatical manner, he said, ‘Our friend Lazarus sleepeth.’ Exerting himself to converse with some friends, he was soon fatigued, and obliged to lie down. After lying quiet some time, he looked up, and said, ‘Speak to me; I cannot speak.’ On which, one of the company said, ‘Shall we pray with you, sir?’ He earnestly replied, ‘Yes.’ And while they prayed, his whole soul seemed engaged with God for an answer, and his hearty Amen showed that he perfectly understood what was said. About half an hour after, he said, ‘There is no need of more. When at Bristol my words were :

I the chief of sinners am;
But Jesus died for me.’*

* At the Bristol Conference, in 1783, Mr. Wesley was taken very ill: neither he nor his friends thought he could recover. From the nature of his complaint, he supposed a spasm would seize his stomach, and probably occasion sudden death. Under these views of his situation, he said to Mr. Bradford, “I have been reflecting on my past life; I have been wandering up and down, between fifty and sixty years, endeavoring, in my poor way, to do a little good to my fellow-creatures. And now it is probable that there are but a few steps between me and death; and what have I to trust to for salvation? I can see nothing which I have done or suffered that will bear looking at. I have no other plea than this:

‘I the chief of sinners am;
But Jesus died for me.’”

The sentiment here expressed, and his reference to it in his last sickness, plainly show how steadily he had persevered in the same views of the gospel.

“One said, ‘Is this the present language of your heart? And do you now feel as you did then?’ He replied, ‘Yes.’ When the same person repeated,

‘Bold I approach th’ eternal throne,
And claim the crown, through Christ, my own;’

and added, ‘Tis enough. He, our precious Immanuel, has purchased, has promised, all;’ he earnestly replied, ‘He is all! He is all!’ After this the fever was very high, and, at times, affected his recollection; but even then, though his head was subject to a temporary derangement, his heart seemed wholly engaged in his Master’s work. In the evening he got up again; and, while sitting in his chair, he said, ‘How necessary it is for every one to be on the right foundation!

I the chief of sinners am;
But Jesus died for me!’

“Monday, 28th, his weakness increased. He slept most of the day, and spoke but little; yet that little testified how much his whole heart was taken up in the care of the societies, the glory of God, and the promotion of the things pertaining to that kingdom to which he was hastening. Once he said, in a low but distinct manner, ‘There is no way into the holiest, but by the blood of Jesus.’ He afterwards inquired what the words were from which he had preached a little before at Hampstead. Being told they were these—‘Ye know the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, that though he was rich, yet for your sakes he became poor, that ye through his poverty might be rich;’ he replied, ‘That is the foundation, the only foundation. There is no other.’ This day Dr. Whitehead desired he might be asked if he would have any other physician called in to attend him; but this he absolutely refused. It is remarkable that he suffered very little pain, never complaining of any during his illness, but once of a pain in his left breast. This was a restless night. Tuesday morning he sang two verses of a hymn. Then, lying still, as if to recover strength, he called for pen and ink; but when they were brought, he could not write. A person said, ‘Let me write for you, sir. Tell me what you would say.’ He replied, ‘Nothing, but that God is with

'us.' In the forenoon he said, 'I will get up.' While they were preparing his clothes, he broke out in a manner which, considering his extreme weakness, astonished all present, in singing :

'I'll praise my Maker while I've breath ;
And when my voice is lost in death,
Praise shall employ my nobler powers :
My days of praise shall ne'er be past,
While life, and thought, and being last,
Or immortality endures !'

"Having got him into his chair, they observed him change for death. But he, regardless of his dying body, said, with a weak voice, 'Lord, thou givest strength to those that can speak, and to those who cannot. Speak, Lord, to all our hearts, and let them know that thou loosest tongues.' He then sang :

'To Father, Son, and Holy Ghost,
Who sweetly all agree,'—

Here his voice failed. After gasping for breath, he said, 'Now we have done all.' He was then laid in the bed, from which he rose no more. After resting a little, he called to those who were with him to 'pray and praise.' They kneeled down, and the room seemed to be filled with the Divine presence. A little after, he said, 'Let me be buried in nothing but what is woollen, and let my corpse be carried into the chapel.' Then, as if he had done with all below, he again begged they would pray and praise. Several friends that were in the house being called up, they all kneeled down again to prayer, at which time his fervor of spirit was manifest to every one present. 'But, in particular parts of the prayer, his whole soul seemed to be engaged in a manner which evidently showed how ardently he longed for the full accomplishment of their united desires. And when one of the preachers was praying in a very expressive manner, that if God were about to take away their father to his eternal rest, he would be pleased to continue and increase his blessing upon the doctrine and discipline which he had long made his servant the means of propagating and establishing in the world, such a degree of fervor accompanied his loud Amen, as was every way expressive of his soul's being engaged in

the answer of the petitions. On rising from their knees, he took hold of all their hands, and, with the utmost placidness, saluted them, and said, 'Farewell, farewell.'

"A little after, a person coming in, he strove to speak, but could not. Finding they could not understand him, he paused a little, and then, with all the remaining strength he had, cried out, 'The best of all is, God is with us;'] and soon after, lifting up his dying arm in token of victory, and raising his feeble voice with a holy triumph not to be expressed, he again repeated the heart-reviving words, 'The best of all is, God is with us.' Being told that his brother's widow was come, he said, 'He giveth his servants rest.' He thanked her, as she pressed his hand, and affectionately endeavored to kiss her. On his lips being wetted, he said, 'We thank thee, O Lord, for these and all thy mercies. Bless the Church and King; and grant us truth and peace, through Jesus Christ our Lord, for ever and ever!' At another time he said, 'He causeth his servants to lie down in peace.' Then, pausing a little, he cried, 'The clouds drop fatness!' and soon after, 'The Lord is with us, the God of Jacob is our refuge!' He then called those present to prayer; and, though he was greatly exhausted, he appeared still more fervent in spirit. These exertions were, however, too much for his feeble frame; and most of the night following, though he often attempted to repeat the psalm before mentioned, he could only utter,

'I'll praise—I'll praise!'

"On Wednesday morning, the closing scene drew near. Mr. Bradford, his faithful friend, prayed with him, and the last words he was heard to articulate were, 'Farewell!' A few minutes before ten, while several of his friends were kneeling around his bed, without a lingering groan, this man of God, this beloved pastor of thousands, entered into the joy of his Lord.

"He was in the eighty-eighth year of his age, had been sixty-five years in the ministry; and the preceding pages will be a lasting memorial of his uncommon zeal, diligence, and usefulness, in his Master's work, for more than half a century. His death was an admirable close to so laborious and useful a life.

"At the desire of many of his friends, his corpse was placed in the New chapel, and remained there the day before his interment. His face during that time had a heavenly smile upon it, and a beauty which was admired by all that saw it.

"March the 9th was the day appointed for his interment. The preachers then in London requested that Dr. Whitehead should deliver the funeral discourse; and the executors afterwards approved of the appointment. The intention was to carry the corpse into the chapel, and place it in a raised situation before the pulpit during the service. But the crowds which came to see the body while it lay in the coffin, both in the private house, and especially in the chapel, the day before his funeral, were so great, that his friends were apprehensive of a tumult, if they should adopt the plan first intended. It was therefore resolved, the evening before, to bury him between five and six in the morning. Though the time of notice to his friends was short, and the design itself was spoken of with great caution, yet a considerable number of persons attended at that early hour. The late Rev. Mr. Richardson, who now lies with him in the same vault, read the funeral service in a manner that made it peculiarly affecting. When he came to that part of it, 'Forasmuch as it hath pleased Almighty God to take unto himself the soul of our dear brother,' etc., he substituted, with the most tender emphasis, the epithet father, instead of brother, which had so powerful an effect on the congregation, that from silent tears they seemed universally to burst out into loud weeping.

INSCRIPTION ON HIS COFFIN.

JOHANNES· WESLEY, A. M.

Olim Soc. Coll. Lin. Oxon.

Ob. 2do. die Martii, 1791.

An. Æt. 88.*

"The discourse, by Dr. Whitehead, was delivered in the chapel, at the hour appointed in the forenoon, to an astonish-

* "John Wesley, Master of Arts, formerly Fellow of Lincoln College, Oxford, died on the second day of March, 1791, in the eighty-eighth year of his age."

ing multitude of people; among whom were many ministers of the gospel, both of the Establishment and Dissenters. The audience was still and solemn as night; and all seemed to carry away with them enlarged views of Mr. Wesley's character, and serious impressions of the importance of religion."

The following is the inscription on the marble tablet, erected to his memory, in the chapel, City Road:

Sacred to the Memory
OF THE REV. JOHN WESLEY, M. A.,
SOMETIME FELLOW OF LINCOLN COLLEGE, OXFORD;
A man in Learning and sincere Piety
Scarcely inferior to any;
In Zeal, Ministerial Labors, and extensive Usefulness,
Superior, perhaps, to all Men,
Since the days of ST. PAUL.
Regardless of Fatigue, personal Danger, and Disgrace,
He went out into the Highways and Hedges
Calling Sinners to Repentance,
And publishing the GOSPEL of Peace.
He was the Founder of the Methodist Societies,
And the chief Promoter and Patron
Of the Plan of Itinerant Preaching,
Which he extended through GREAT BRITAIN and IRELAND,
The WEST INDIES and AMERICA,
With unexampled Success.
He was born the 17th of June, 1703;
And died the 2d of March, 1791,
In sure and certain hope of Eternal Life,
Through the Atonement and Mediation of a Crucified
Saviour.
He was sixty-five Years in the Ministry,
And fifty-two an Itinerant Preacher:
He lived to see, in these KINGDOMS only,
About three hundred Itinerant,
And one thousand Local Preachers,
Raised up from the midst of his own People;
And eighty thousand Persons in the Societies under his care.

His Name will be ever had in grateful Remembrance
By all who rejoice in the universal Spread
Of the Gospel of CHRIST.
Soli Deo Gloria.

It would be superfluous, in closing this account of a man at once so extraordinary and so truly great, for me to attempt a delineation of his character, since this has been done so ably that nothing can easily be added, with good effect. I shall therefore insert Dr. Whitehead's own summary, with notices by others who were personally acquainted with him. Taken together, they transmit an interesting and instructive picture of the founder of Methodism to future ages.

Dr. Whitehead observes :

“ Some persons have affected to insinuate that Mr. Wesley was a man of slender capacity ; but certainly with great injustice. His apprehension was clear, his penetration quick, and his judgment discriminative and sound ; of which his controversial writings, and his celebrity in the stations he held at Oxford, when young, are sufficient proofs. In governing a large body of preachers and people, of various habits, interests, and principles, with astonishing calmness and regularity for many years, he showed a strong and capacious mind, that could comprehend and combine together a vast variety of circumstances, and direct their influence through the great body he governed. As a scholar, he certainly held a conspicuous rank. He was a critic in the Latin and Greek classics ; and was well acquainted with the Hebrew, and with several modern tongues. But the Greek was his favorite language ; in which his knowledge was extensive and accurate. At college, he had studied Euclid, Keill, Sir Isaac Newton's Optics, etc. ; but he never entered far into the more abstruse parts, or the higher branches, of the mathematics ; finding they would fascinate his mind, absorb his attention, and divert him from the pursuit of the more important objects of his own profession.

“ Natural history was a field in which he walked at every opportunity ; and contemplated, with infinite pleasure, the wisdom, the power, and the goodness of God, in the structure of natural bodies, and in the various instincts and habits of

the animal creation. But he was obliged to view these wonderful works of God in the labors and records of others; his various and continual employments of a higher nature not permitting him to make experiments and observations for himself.*

“As a writer, Mr. Wesley certainly possessed talents sufficient to procure him considerable reputation. But he did not write for fame: his object was chiefly to instruct and benefit that numerous class of people who have little learning, little money, and but little time to spare for reading. In all his writings he constantly kept these circumstances in view. Content with doing good, he used no trappings merely to please, or to gain applause. The distinguishing character of his style is brevity and perspicuity. He never lost sight of the rule which Horace gives:

‘*Est brevitate opus, ut currat sententia, neu se
Impedit verbis lassas onerantibus aures.*’

‘Concise your diction, let your sense be clear,
– Nor with a weight of words fatigue the ear.’

In all his writings his words are well chosen, pure, proper to his subject, and precise in their meaning. His sentences commonly have the attributes of clearness, unity, and strength; and whenever he took time, and gave the necessary attention to his subject, both his manner of treating it, and his style, show the hand of a master.†

“The following is a just character of Mr. Wesley as a preacher: ‘His attitude in the pulpit was graceful and easy; his action, calm and natural, yet pleasing and expressive; his voice, not loud, but clear and manly; his style, neat, simple, and perspicuous, and admirably adapted to the capacity of his hearers. His discourses, in point of composition, were extremely different on different occasions. When he gave

* He, however, employed much leisure time whilst at college in the study of anatomy and medicine.

† His treatise on Original Sin, his Appeals, and some of his Sermons, are instances of finished and careful composition; and are equally to be admired for clearness of method, and the force of many passages which are truly eloquent.

himself sufficient time for preparation, he succeeded; but when he did not, he frequently failed.' It was, indeed, manifest to his friends, for many years before he died, that his employments were too many, and that he preached too often, to appear with the same advantage at all times in the pulpit. His sermons were always short: he was seldom more than half an hour in delivering a discourse, sometimes not so long. His subjects were judiciously chosen; instructive and interesting to the audience, and well adapted to gain attention and warm the heart.

"The labors of Mr. Wesley in the work of the ministry, for fifty years together, were without precedent. During this period, he travelled about four thousand five hundred miles every year, one year with another, chiefly on horseback. It had been impossible for him to accomplish this almost incredible degree of exertion, without great punctuality and care in the management of his time. He had stated hours for every purpose; and his only relaxation was a change of employment. His rules were like the laws of the Medes and Persians, absolute and irrevocable. He had a peculiar pleasure in reading and study; and every literary man knows how apt this passion is to make him encroach on the time which ought to be employed in other duties. He had a high relish for conversation, especially with pious, learned, and sensible men; but whenever the hour came when he was to set out on a journey, he instantly quitted the company with which he might be engaged, without any apparent reluctance. For fifty-two years, or upwards, he generally delivered two, frequently three or four, sermons in a day. But calculating only two sermons a day, and allowing, as a writer of his Life has done, fifty annually for extraordinary occasions, the whole number of sermons he preached during this period will be forty thousand five hundred and sixty. To these must be added an infinite number of exhortations to the societies after preaching, and in other occasional meetings at which he assisted.

"In social life, Mr. Wesley was lively and conversational. He had the talent of making himself exceedingly agreeable in company; and, having been much accustomed to society, the rules of good-breeding were habitual to him. The abstraction of a scholar did not appear in his behavior; but

he was attentive and polite. He spoke a good deal where he saw it was expected, which was almost always the case wherever he visited. Having seen much of the world in his travels, and read more, his mind was stored with an infinite number of anecdotes and observations; and the manner in which he related them was no inconsiderable addition to the entertainment and instruction they afforded. It was impossible to be long in his company, either in public or private, without partaking of his placid cheerfulness; which was not abated by the infirmities of age, or the approach of death, but was as conspicuous at fourscore-and-seven as at one-and-twenty.

“A remarkable feature in Mr. Wesley’s character was his placability. Having an active, penetrating mind, his temper was naturally quick, and even tending to sharpness. The influence of religion, and the constant habit of patient thinking, had, in a great measure, corrected this disposition. In general he preserved an air of sedateness and tranquillity, which formed a striking contrast to the liveliness conspicuous in all his actions. Persecution, abuse, and injury, he bore from strangers, not only without anger, but without any apparent emotion; and what he said of himself was strictly true, that he had a great facility in ~~forgiving~~ injuries. Submission, on the part of the offender, presently disarmed his resentment, and he would treat him with great kindness and cordiality. No man was ever more free from jealousy or suspicion than Mr. Wesley, or laid himself more open to the impositions of others. Though his confidence was often abused, and circumstances sometimes took place which would have made almost any other man suspicious, yet he suspected no one; nor was it easy to convince him that any one had intentionally deceived him; and when facts had demonstrated that this was actually the case, he would allow no more than that it was so in that single instance. If the person acknowledged his fault, he believed him sincere, and would trust him again. If we view this temper of his mind in connection with the circumstance that his most private papers lay open to the inspection of those constantly about him, it will afford as strong a proof as can well be given of the integrity of his own mind; and that he was at the farthest distance from any intention to deceive or impose upon others.

“The temperance of Mr. Wesley was extraordinary. When

at college he carried this so far, that his friends thought him blamable. But he never imposed upon others the same degree of rigor he exercised upon himself. He only said, 'I must be the best judge of what is hurtful or beneficial to me.' Among other things, he was remarkable for moderation in sleep; and his notion of it cannot be better explained than in his own words. 'Healthy men,' says he, 'require above six hours' sleep; healthy women, a little above seven, in four-and-twenty. If any one desires to know exactly what quantity of sleep his own constitution requires, he may very easily make the experiment which I made about sixty years ago. I then waked every night about twelve or one, and lay awake for some time. I readily concluded that this arose from my being in bed longer than nature required. To be satisfied, I procured an alarum, which waked me the next morning at seven; (nearly an hour earlier than I rose the day before;) yet I lay awake again at night. The second morning I rose at six; but notwithstanding this, I lay awake the second night. The third morning I rose at five; but, nevertheless, I lay awake the third night. The fourth morning I rose at four, as, by the grace of God, I have done ever since; and I lay awake no more. And I do not now lie awake, taking the year round, a quarter of an hour together in a month. By the same experiment, rising earlier and earlier every morning, may any one find how much sleep he wants.'

"It must, however, be observed that, for many years before his death, Mr. Wesley slept more or less during the day; and his great readiness to fall asleep at any time when fatigued, was a considerable means of keeping up his strength, and enabling him to go through so much labor. He never could endure to sleep on a soft bed. Even in the latter part of life, when the infirmities of age pressed upon him, his whole conduct was at the greatest distance from softness or effeminacy.

"A writer of Mr. Wesley's Life, from whom some observations respecting his general character have already been taken, has further observed, Perhaps the most charitable man in England was Mr. Wesley. His liberality to the poor knew no bounds but an empty pocket. He gave away, not merely a certain part of his income, but all that he had. His own wants provided for, he devoted all the rest to the necessities

of others. He entered upon this good work at a very early period. We are told that, when he had thirty pounds a year, he lived on twenty-eight, and gave away forty shillings. The next year, receiving sixty pounds, he still lived on twenty-eight, and gave away two-and-thirty. The third year he received ninety pounds, and gave away sixty-two. The fourth year he received one hundred and twenty pounds; still he lived on twenty-eight, and gave to the poor ninety-two. In this ratio he proceeded during the rest of his life; and, in the course of fifty years, it has been supposed, he gave away between twenty and thirty thousand pounds;* a great part of which, most other men would have put out at interest, upon good security.

“In the distribution of his money, Mr. Wesley was as disinterested as he was charitable. He had no regard to family connections, nor even to the wants of the preachers who labored with him, in preference to strangers. He knew that these had some friends; and he thought that the poor destitute stranger might have none, and therefore had the first claim on his liberality. When a trifling legacy has been paid him, he has been known to dispose of it in some charitable way before he slept, that it might not remain his own property for one night. He often declared that his own hands should be his executors; and though he gained all he could by his publications, and saved all he could, not wasting so much as a sheet of paper, yet, by giving all he could, he was preserved from laying up treasures upon earth. He had said in print, that if he died worth more than ten pounds, independent of his books, and the arrears of his fellowship, which he then held, he would give the world leave to call him ‘a thief and a robber.’ This declaration, made in the integrity of his heart and the height of his zeal, laid him under some inconveniences afterwards, from circumstances which he could not at that time foresee. Yet in this, as all his friends expected, he literally kept his word, as far as human foresight could reach. His chaise and horses, his clothes, and a few trifles of that kind, were all, his books excepted, that he left at his death. Whatever might be the value of his books, this

* Money chiefly arising from the constant and large sale of his writings, and the works he abridged.

altered not the case, as they were placed in the hands of trustees, and the profits arising from the sale of them were to be applied to the use and benefit of the Conference for public purposes; reserving only a few legacies and a rent-charge of eighty-five pounds a year to be paid to his brother's widow, which was, in fact, a debt, in consideration for the copyright of his brother's hymns.

"Among the other excellences of Mr. Wesley, his moderation in controversy deserves to be noticed. Writers of controversy too often forget that their own character is intimately connected with the manner in which they treat others; and if they have no regard for their opponents, they ought to have some respect for themselves. When a writer becomes personal and abusive, it affords a fair presumption against his arguments, and tends to put his readers on their guard. Most of Mr. Wesley's opponents were of this description: their railing was much more violent than their reasons were cogent. Mr. Wesley kept his temper, and wrote like a Christian, a gentleman, and a scholar. He might have taken the words of the excellent Hooker, as a motto to his polemical tracts, 'To your railing I say nothing; for your reasons, take what follows.' He admired the temper in which Mr. Law wrote controversy; only in some instances Mr. Law shows a contempt for his opponent, which Mr. Wesley thought highly improper."

To these remarks of Dr. Whitehead may be added two or three sketches of Mr. Wesley's character, drawn up by different persons, and printed soon after his death. The first is anonymous:

"Now that Mr. John Wesley has finished his course upon earth, I may be allowed to estimate his character, and the loss the world has sustained by his death. Upon a fair account, it appears to be such as not only annihilates all the reproaches that have been cast upon him, but such as does honor to mankind, at the same time that it reproaches them. His natural and acquired abilities were both of the highest rank. His apprehension was lively and distinct; his learning extensive. His judgment, though not infallible, was, in most cases, excellent. His mind was steadfast and resolved. His elocution was ready and clear, graceful and easy, accurate and unaffected. As a writer, his style, though unstudied, and

flowing with natural ease, yet for accuracy and perspicuity was such as may vie with the best writers in the English language. Though his temper was naturally warm, his manners were gentle, simple, and uniform. Never was such happy talents better seconded by an unrelenting perseverance in those courses which his singular endowments, and his zealous love to the interests of mankind, marked out for him. His constitution was excellent; and never was a constitution less abused, less spared, or more excellently applied, in an exact subservience to the faculties of his mind. His labors and studies were wonderful. The latter were not confined to theology only, but extended to every subject that tended either to the improvement or the rational entertainment of the mind. If we consider his reading by itself, his writings and his other labors by themselves, any one of them will appear sufficient to have kept a person of ordinary application busy during his whole life. In short, the transactions of his life could never have been performed without the utmost exertion of two qualities, which depended not upon his capacity, but on the uniform steadfastness of his resolution: these were inflexible temperance, and unexampled economy of time. In these he was a pattern to the age he lived in; and an example to what a surprising extent a man may render himself useful in his generation, by temperance and punctuality. His friends and followers have no reason to be ashamed of the name of Methodist, which he has entailed upon them; as, for an uninterrupted course of years, he has given the world an instance of the possibility of living without wasting a single hour; and of the advantage of a regular distribution of time, in discharging the important duties and purposes of life. Few ages have more needed such a public testimony to the value of time; and perhaps none have had a more conspicuous example of the perfection to which the improvement of it may be carried.

“As a minister, his labors were unparalleled, and such as nothing could have supported him under but the warmest zeal for the doctrine he taught, and for the eternal interests of mankind. He studied to be gentle, yet vigilant and faithful towards all. He possessed himself in patience, and preserved himself unprovoked, nay, even unruffled, in the midst of persecution, reproach, and all manner of abuse both

of his person and name. But let his own works praise him. He now enjoys the fruits of his labors, and that praise which he sought, not of men, but of God.

“To finish the portrait. Examine the general tenor of his life, and it will be found self-evidently inconsistent with his being a slave to any one passion or pursuit that can fix a blemish on his character. Of what use were the accumulation of wealth to him, who, through his whole course, never allowed himself to taste the repose of indolence, or even of the common indulgence in the use of the necessaries of life? Free from the partiality of any party, the sketcher of this excellent character, with a friendly tear, pays it as a just tribute to the memory of so great and good a man, who, when alive, was his friend.”

Of Mr. Wesley, Mr. Alexander Knox says :

“Very lately, I had an opportunity, for some days together, of observing Mr. Wesley with attention. I endeavored to consider him, not so much with the eye of a friend, as with the impartiality of a philosopher; and I must declare, every hour I spent in his company afforded me fresh reasons for esteem and veneration. So fine an old man I never saw. The happiness of his mind beamed forth in his countenance. Every look showed how fully he enjoyed ‘the gay remembrance of a life well spent,’ and wherever he went, he diffused a portion of his own felicity. Easy and affable in his demeanor, he accommodated himself to every sort of company, and showed how happily the most finished courtesy may be blended with the most perfect piety. In his conversation, we might be at a loss whether to admire most his fine classical taste his extensive knowledge of men and things, or his overflowing goodness of heart. While the grave and serious were charmed with his wisdom, his sportive sallies of innocent mirth delighted even the young and thoughtless; and both saw, in his uninterrupted cheerfulness, the excellency of true religion. No cynical remarks on the levity of youth imbibed his discourse; no applausive retrospect to past times marked his present discontent. In him even old age appeared delightful, like an evening without a cloud; and it was impossible to observe him without wishing fervently, ‘May my latter end be like his!’

“But I find myself unequal to the task of delineating such

a character. What I have said may to some appear as panegyric; but there are numbers, and those of taste and discernment too, who can bear witness to the truth, though by no means to the perfectness, of the sketch I have attempted. With such I have been frequently in his company; and every one of them, I am persuaded, would subscribe to all I have said. For my own part, I never was so happy as while with him, and scarcely ever felt more poignant regret than at parting from him; for well I knew 'I ne'er should look upon his like again.'"

The following account of Mr. Wesley appeared soon after his death, in a very respectable publication; and was afterwards inserted in Woodfall's Diary, London, June 17th, 1791:

"His indefatigable zeal in the discharge of his duty has been long witnessed by the world; but, as mankind are not always inclined to put a generous construction on the exertions of singular talents, his motives were imputed to the love of popularity, ambition, and lucre. It now appears that he was actuated by a disinterested regard to the immortal interests of mankind. He labored, and studied, and preached, and wrote, to propagate what he believed to be the gospel of Christ. The intervals of these engagements were employed in governing and regulating the concerns of his numerous societies; assisting the necessities, solving the difficulties, and soothing the afflictions of his hearers. He observed so rigid a temperance, and allowed himself so little repose, that he seemed to be above the infirmities of nature, and to act independent of the earthly tenement he occupied. The recital of the occurrences of every day of his life would be the greatest encomium.

"Had he loved wealth, he might have accumulated it without bounds. Had he been fond of power, his influence would have been worth courting by any party: I do not say he was without ambition: he had that which Christianity need not blush at, and which virtue is proud to confess. I do not mean that which is gratified by splendor and large possessions; but that which commands the hearts and affections, the homage and gratitude of thousands. For him they felt sentiments of veneration, only inferior to those which they paid to Heaven; to him they looked as their father, their benefactor, their guide to glory and immortality; for him they fell pros-

trate before God, with prayers and tears, to spare his doom, and prolong his stay. Such a recompense as this is sufficient to repay the toils of the longest life. Short of this, greatness is contemptible impotence. Before this, lofty prelates bow, and princes hide their diminished heads.

“ His zeal was not a transient blaze, but a steady and constant flame. The ardor of his spirit was neither damped by difficulty, nor subdued by age. This was ascribed by himself to the power of Divine grace; by the world, to enthusiasm. Be it what it will, it is what philosophers must envy, and infidels respect; it is that which gives energy to the soul, and without which there can be no greatness or heroism.

“ Why should we condemn that in religion which we applaud in every other profession and pursuit? He had a vigor and elevation of mind, which nothing but the belief of the Divine favor and presence could inspire. This threw a lustre round his infirmities, changed his bed of sickness into a triumphal car, and made his exit resemble an apotheosis rather than a dissolution.

“ He was qualified to excel in every branch of literature. He was well versed in the learned tongues, in metaphysics, in oratory, in logic, in criticism, and every requisite of a Christian minister. His style was nervous, clear, and manly; his preaching was pathetic and persuasive; his Journals are artless and interesting; and his compositions and compilations to promote knowledge and piety were almost innumerable.

“ I do not say he was without faults, or above mistakes; but they were lost in the multitude of his excellences and virtues.

“ To gain the admiration of an ignorant and superstitious age, requires only a little artifice and address: to stand the test of these times, when all pretensions to sanctity are stigmatized as hypocrisy, is a proof of genuine piety and real usefulness. His great object was to revive the obsolete doctrines and extinguished spirit of the Church of England; and they who are its friends cannot be his enemies. Yet for this he was treated as a fanatic and impostor, and exposed to every species of slander and persecution. Even bishops and dignitaries entered the lists against him; but he never declined the combat, and generally proved victorious. appealed to the Homilies, the Articles, and the Scriptures,

vouchers for his doctrine; and they who could not decide upon the merits of the controversy, were witnesses of the effects of his labors; and they judged of the tree by its fruit. It is true, he did not succeed much in the higher walks of life; but that impeached his cause no more than it did that of the first planters of the gospel. However, if he had been capable of assuming vanity on that score, he might have ranked among his friends some persons of the first distinction, who would have done honor to any party. After surviving almost all his adversaries, and acquiring respect among those who were the most distant from his principles, he lived to see the plant he had reared, spreading its branches far and wide, and inviting not only these kingdoms, but the western world, to repose under its shade. No sect, since the first ages of Christianity, could boast a founder of such extensive talents and endowments. If he had been a candidate for literary fame, he might have succeeded to his utmost wishes; but he sought not the praise of man; he regarded learning only as the instrument of usefulness. The great purpose of his life was doing good. For this he relinquished all honor and preferment; to this he dedicated all his powers of body and mind; at all times and in all places, in season and out of season, by gentleness, by terror, by argument, by persuasion, by reason, by interest, by every motive and every inducement, he strove, with unwearied assiduity, to turn men from the error of their ways, and awaken them to virtue and religion. To the bed of sickness, or the couch of prosperity; to the prison, the hospital, the house of mourning, or the house of feasting; wherever there was a friend to serve, or a soul to save, he readily repaired, to administer assistance or advice, reproof or consolation. He thought no office too humiliating, no condescension too low, no undertaking too arduous, to reclaim the meanest of God's offspring. The souls of all men were equally precious in his sight, and the value of an immortal creature beyond all estimation. He penetrated the abodes of wretchedness and ignorance, to rescue the profligate from perdition; and he communicated the light of life to those who sat in darkness and the shadow of death. He changed the outcasts of society into useful members; civilized even savages, and filled those lips with prayer and praise that had been accustomed only to oaths and imprecations. But as the

strongest religious impressions are apt to become languid without discipline and practice, he divided his people into classes and bands, according to their attainments. He appointed frequent meetings for prayer and conversation, where they gave an account of their experience, their hopes and fears, their joys and troubles; by which means they were united to each other, and to their common profession. They became sentinels upon each other's conduct, and securities for each other's character. Thus the seeds he sowed sprang up and flourished, bearing the rich fruits of every grace and virtue. Thus he governed and preserved his numerous societies, watching their improvement with a paternal care, and encouraging them to be faithful to the end.

“But I will not attempt to draw his full character, nor to estimate the extent of his labors and services. They will be best known when he shall deliver up his commission into the hands of his great Master.”

The following is a description of Mr. Wesley's person:

“The figure of Mr. Wesley was remarkable. His stature was low; his habit of body, in every period of life, the reverse of corpulent, and expressive of strict temperance and continual exercise; and, notwithstanding his small size, his step was firm, and his appearance, till within a few years of his death, vigorous and muscular. His face, for an old man, was one of the finest we have seen. A clear, smooth forehead; an aquiline nose; an eye, the brightest and most piercing that can be conceived; and a freshness of complexion scarcely ever to be found at his years, and expressive of the most perfect health—conspired to render him a venerable and interesting figure. Few have seen him without being struck with his appearance; and many, who had been greatly prejudiced against him, have been known to change their opinion the moment they were introduced into his presence. In his countenance and demeanor, there was a cheerfulness mingled with gravity; a sprightliness, which was the natural result of an unusual flow of spirits, and yet was accompanied with every mark of the most serene tranquillity. His aspect, particularly in profile, had a strong character of acuteness and penetration.

“In dress, he was a pattern of neatness and simplicity.

A narrow plaited stock ; a coat, with a small upright collar ; no buckles at his knees ; no silk or velvet in any part of his apparel ; and a head as white as snow—gave an idea of something primitive and apostolic ; while an air of neatness and cleanliness was diffused over his whole person.”

CHAPTER XV

Mr. Wesley and the Church—Modern Methodism and the Church—Charges refuted—Mr. Wesley's writings—Extent of the Methodist societies at his death, and at the present time—Conclusion.

A FEW miscellaneous topics remain to be noticed. One of the chief reasons why full and willing justice has not been always done to the labors of Mr. Wesley, has doubtless arisen from the facts, that, whatever his views might be, he raised up a people who in his lifetime formed a religious body independent of the Church, whilst yet not nominally separated from it; and that since his death, although that separation does not affect all the members, yet the great mass of the societies, and all the preachers, are as completely separated from the Establishment as any body of professed Dissenters. That a strict Churchman should consider this as a great counterbalance to the good effected by Methodism, is very natural; and he has a right to his opinions, provided he holds them in charity. Still, however, this subject is so frequently dwelt upon under mistaken and imperfect views, that it demands a few additional remarks.

As far as Mr. Wesley's character is concerned, enough has been said to show the sincerity with which he disavowed all intention of separating from the Church, and of making his people separatists. This, certainly, notwithstanding the freedom of his opinions on Church government, cannot be charged upon him in the early period of his career; and although, in what we may call the second period, he saw so strong a tendency to separation that his fears were often excited, yet he may surely be allowed still to have proceeded straight forward, with perfect honesty of mind, in the same

course, with more of hope on this subject than of fear. Several eminent writers of the Church party have thought that even modern Methodism, though existing now in a form apparently less friendly to union, might still with advantage be attached to the Church; and they have seen but little difficulty in the project. Why, then, might not Mr. Wesley, even after his societies had acquired considerable maturity, still hope that those simple institutions for promoting piety, which he had commenced, might have been recognized by the Church, and that the spirit of religion, revived already to so great an extent, might still further so influence the members of the Church and its clergy, as to dispose them to view his societies with more cordiality? He took care, therefore, and all his principles and feelings favored the caution, that no obstacles should be placed in the way of the closest connection of his societies with the Establishment. Their services were very seldom held in the hours of her public service: the Methodists formed, in many parishes, the great body of her communicants: thousands of them died in her communion; and the preachers were not ordinarily permitted to administer either of the sacraments to the people among whom they labored. There can be no charge, therefore, against his sincerity at this period, any more than in the first. We may think his hopes to have been without any foundation; and so they proved; and the idea of uniting the modern Methodists to the Church is a very visionary one, but has doubtless been maintained by several Churchmen with great sincerity. Separation from the Church, at a later period of Mr. Wesley's life, was certainly anticipated. That must be allowed; but an enlightened Churchman ought to think that Mr. Wesley's conduct was still worthy of praise, not of censure; for when a partial separation was in reality foreseen as probable, it had no sanction from him, and he appeared determined so to employ his influence to his last breath, that if separation did ensue, it should assume the mildest form possible, and be deprived of all feelings of hostility. His example, the spirit of his writings, and his advices, all tended to this; and the fact is, that, though Methodism now stands in a different relation to the Establishment than in the days of Mr. Wesley, Dissent has never been formally professed by the body, and for obvious reasons The first is, that the separation of the

greater part of the society from the Church did not in any great degree result from the principles assumed by the professed Dissenters, and which are usually made prominent in their discussions on the subject of establishments; the second, that a considerable number of the Methodists actually continue in the communion of the Church of England to this day; and the third, that to leave that communion is not in any sense a condition of membership with us. All the services of the Church, and her sacraments, may be observed by any person in the Wesleyan societies who chooses it; and they are actually observed by many.*

It was owing to these circumstances that Methodism did not rush down, but gently glided, into a state of partial division from the Church; and this, by neither arousing party passions, nor exciting discussions on abstract points of Church polity, has left the general feeling of affection to all that is excellent in the Establishment unimpaired. No intemperate attacks upon it have been ever sanctioned; the attendance of the Methodists upon its services was never discouraged; and it is surely of some account that a vast mass of people throughout the country have been held in a state of friendly feeling towards a clergy who have, nevertheless, generally treated them with disdain and contumely, and many of whom have zealously employed themselves in nursing feelings of bigoted dislike to them among their friends and neighbors. Yet, after all, the prevalent sentiment of the Methodists, as a

[* The prevalence of "Puseyism," however, in late years, has so alienated the Wesleyans from the Establishment, that but few of them at present commune at her altars. Indeed, the tables have been turned—Episcopalians, to escape Puseyism, have been seeking the ordinances from Wesleyan ministers. The modification and development of the Church system of the British Methodists—thorough ministerial training, imposition of hands in ordination, the use of the liturgy, more systematic regard to catechumenical instruction—have left but little to be desired by the "Church party" in the Connection. These observations, of course, have no reference to the Methodist Episcopal Church in the United States, which, as far as the Methodists were concerned, according to Mr. Wesley's design, took the place of the Church of England in this country. Methodist "Churchmen," almost to a man, were satisfied with the Methodist Episcopal Church; and many Episcopalians, not Methodists, have received the Christian ordinances at her altars.—T. O. S.]

body, towards the Establishment has been that of friendship. It was so when the Church was in a lower religious state than it is at present; and its more recent religious improvement has not diminished the feeling. I may venture to say, that there is a warmer regard towards the Church among the body of the Methodists now, than there was in the days of Mr. Wesley; although there were then more Methodists than at present who professed to be of her communion. We have no respect at all to her exclusive claims of Divine right, or her three orders of ministers; and yet have no objection to her episcopacy, when scripturally understood, or her services. We smile at the claims she sometimes assumes to be the exclusive instructress of the people, in a country where the statute law has given them the right to be taught by whom they please, and as explicitly protects dissent as conformity; but we rejoice that she has great influence with the mass of the population, whenever that influence is used for the promotion of true religion and good morals. We wish her prosperity and perpetuity, as we wish all other Christian Churches; and the more so, as we recognize in her "the mother of us all," and can never contemplate without the deepest admiration her noble army of confessors and martyrs, and the illustrious train of her divines, whose writings have been, and continue to be, the light of Christendom. If Churchmen think this feeling of any importance, let them reciprocate it; and though the formal union of which some of them have spoken is visionary, a still stronger bond of friendship might be established; and each might thus become more formidable against the errors and evils of the times; for a people who have nearly half as many places of worship in the kingdom as there are parish churches, cannot be without influence.

Nor have the true causes which led to the separation of the Methodists from the Church been in general rightly stated. Some of the violent adherents of "the old plan," as it was called, among ourselves, have ignorantly, or in a party spirit, attributed this to the ambition and intrigues of the preachers; but the true causes were, that the clergy, generally, did not preach the doctrines of their own Church and of the Reformation; and that many of them did not adorn their profession by their lives. It may be added, that in no small number

of cases, the clergy were the persecutors and calumniators of the Wesleyan societies; that the sermons in the churches were often intemperate attacks upon their characters and opinions; and that the Methodists were frequently regarded as intruders at the table of the Lord, rather than as welcome communicants. These were the reasons why, long before Mr. Wesley's death, a great number of his societies were anxious to have the sacraments from the hands of their own preachers, under whose ministry they were instructed and edified, in whose characters they had confidence, and with respect to whom they knew, that if any one disgraced his profession, he would not be suffered long to exercise it.

Such were the true causes which led to the partial separation of the Methodist societies from the communion of the Church, after the death of Mr. Wesley; and this is an answer to the objection, repeated a thousand times, that we have departed from Mr. Wesley's principles. The fact is, that though full relief to the consciences of the societies in general was refused by Mr. Wesley's authority, yet he himself was obliged to allow a relaxation from his own rule in London, and some other principal towns, by giving the Lord's Supper himself, or obtaining pious clergymen to administer it in his chapels. After his death it was out of the power of the Conference, had they not felt the force of the reasons urged upon them, to prevent the administration of the sacraments to the people by their own preachers. Yet, in the controversy which this subject excited, speculative principles had little part. The question stood on plain practical grounds: Shall the societies be obliged, from their conscientious scruples, to neglect an ordinance of God? Or shall we drive them to the Dissenters, whose peculiar doctrines they do not believe? or shall we, under certain regulations, accede to their wishes? So far from Mr. Wesley's principles and views having lost their influence with the Conference, the sacraments were forced upon none, and recommended to none. The old principles were held as fast as higher duties would allow. Many, indeed, of the people, and some of the preachers, opposed even these concessions; but the plan which was adopted to meet cases of conscientious scruple, and yet to avoid encouraging a departure from the primitive system, leaving every individual to act in this respect as he was persuaded in his own

mind, and receive the Lord's Supper at church or at ~~chapel~~, was at length, by both parties in England, cordially aquiesced in, as warranted equally by principle and by prudence. As surely the Church would have gained nothing by a different measure; for the dissenters would have been compelled to join other communions. Had the Church been provided early with an evangelical and holy ministry, that separation would not have taken place; for the controversy between the Church and the Dissenters was little known, and still less regarded, by the majority of the Methodist societies at that time; and the case is not greatly altered at the present day. The clergy had lost their hold upon the people generally, through neglect; and that revival of the spirit of truth and holiness, which we are now so happy to witness among them, came too late to prevent the results just stated.

And what should we do now, if we were disposed to revert to the state of things in Mr. Wesley's time? It is true we should more rarely meet with immoral clergymen; and so that part of the case would be relieved as a matter of conscience. But would the Methodist societies meet with friendly clergymen; with men who would bear with so many communicants, in addition to those who now attend ~~their~~ churches? And if they were brought to attend the services of their parish churches, would they be disposed long to hear those of the clergy who never preach the doctrines of the Articles of their own Church? or those who follow some great names of the present day, and neologize as far as ~~any~~ cency permits? or those of the evangelical party, whose discourses are strongly impregnated with Calvinism? or those who place their speculations on the prophecies among the means of grace and salvation? Our people would neither hear such clergymen themselves, nor could they conscientiously train up their families to listen to what they believe great error; and so if we were to go back, as we have been exhorted, to Mr. Wesley's first plan, the majority of our people would, as then, neither attend church nor sacrament, and the same process would have to be repeated again, with probably less peaceful results.

"But 'great evil' has resulted to the Church from Methodism." This has been often said, certainly never substantiated; and this defence of the hostile feeling of many Churchmen

toward Mr. Wesley and his societies stands upon no solid ground. On the contrary, it seems not at all difficult to make it plainly appear that great good has resulted to the Church, as well as to the nation. When this question is under consideration by Churchmen, they look at the mere fact that a great body of people have been raised up, as they say, out of the Church, within a century past, excelling in number almost, if not entirely, the whole of the old bodies of Dissenters; and they assume that if the Wesleys and Mr. Whitefield had never appeared, the Church would have been in as improved a state as now, with none but the old Dissenters to contend with. There is great fallacy in both these views, which merits to be pointed out.

When the Messrs. Wesley, Mr. Whitefield, and their early coadjutors entered upon their itinerant career, it is a matter of fact and history, that no general plans for the illumination of the nation were either in operation, or in the contemplation of any one. Nothing had this bearing. There were no persons associated in such institutions of any kind, making this a common object. The pious labors of a few zealous clergymen, (and few they were,) and of the ministers of other denominations, were confined to their own parishes and congregations. There were no means of general application in existence, to remove the ignorance and correct the vices which were almost universal. The measures taken by the founders of Methodism to correct existing evils were on a large scale. They acted in concert: they conceived noble designs. They visited the large towns: they labored in the populous mining, manufacturing, and commercial districts: they preached in places of public resort: they formed religious societies, and inspired them with zeal for the instruction and salvation of their neighbors: they employed men of zeal, character, and competent acquaintance with practical and experimental religion, to assist them in this work as it widened before them; and they gave it their vigilant superintendence. The benefits they were the means of producing were not confined to individuals; they influenced whole neighborhoods. Religious knowledge was spread, and religious influence exerted. The manners of the rude were civilized; barbarous sports and pastimes fell greatly into dis-

use; and a higher standard of morals was erected, of itself of no small importance to the reformation of manners.

It is a matter of history that, besides those means which were afforded by their personal labors, and by the auxiliaries they brought forward to their assistance, in order to revive and extend the spirit of religion in the nation, for a great number of years no other means of extensive application were employed to promote this end. The effects which were thus produced began, however, after a considerable time had elapsed, to operate collaterally as well as directly. Many of the clergy were aroused, and the doctrines of the Articles and the Homilies began to be heard more distinctly and more frequently in their pulpits. Holy and zealous men in different denominations began to labor for the public instruction and reformation. The institution of Sunday-schools, though devised* by a Churchman, was, at first, but slowly encouraged. The Methodists and Dissenters were carrying those schools to a great extent when the members of the Church followed some from a fear, laudable enough, lest the body of the poor should be alienated from the Establishment; others, as perceiving in the institution the means of conveying instruction and religious influence to those who most needed them. The circulation of the Scriptures by Bible Societies followed; but still that was an effect of the new order of principles and feelings which had been introduced into the nation. These principles of zeal for the moral improvement of society further led, at a later period, to general measures for the education of the poor, by the two great national Education Societies, which promise so much benefit to the country. All these efforts for enlightening and moralizing the people may be traced to several intermediate causes; but it is only justice to the memory of such men as the Wesleys and Whitefield, men so often flippantly branded as enthusiasts, to state that they all primarily sprang from that spirit which, under God, they were the means of exciting in a slumbering Church, and in a dark and neglected land. This is a point not to be denied; for long before any of those efforts for

[* Perhaps it would be better to say revived and modified, for Sunday-schools existed long before the time of Robert Raikes.—T. O. S.]

public instruction and reformation which could be considered national were called forth, those aspersed men were pursuing their gigantic labors among the profligate population of London, and of the principal towns of the kingdom; among the miners of Cornwall, the colliers of Kingswood and Newcastle, and the manufacturers of Yorkshire and Lancashire; whilst the preachers they employed were every year spreading themselves into dark semi-barbarous villages in the most secluded parts of the kingdom; enduring bitter privations, and encountering, almost daily, the insults of rude mobs, that they might convey to them the knowledge of religion.

Now, in order to judge of these efforts, and to ascertain what "evil" has resulted to the Church of England from Mr Wesley's measures, it is but fair to consider what the state of the country and of the Church must in all human probability have been, had he and his associates never appeared, or confined themselves to the obscurity of Epworth and similar parishes. It is not denied that other means and agents might have been raised up by God to effect the purposes of his mercy; but it is denied that any such were raised up, for this is matter of fact. No agency has appeared in the Church, or out of it, tending to the general instruction and evangelizing of the nation, and operating on a large scale, which is not much subsequent in its origin to the exertions of the Messrs. Wesley and Whitefield; and which may not be traced to the spirit which they excited, and often into the very bosoms of those who derived their first light and influence, either directly or indirectly, from them. What was, and not what might have been, can only be made the ground of argument.

But for their labors, therefore, and the labors of those persons in the Church, among the Dissenters, and their own people, whom they imbued with the same spirit, that state of things in the Church of England, and in the country at large, which has been already described, must have continued, at least for many years, for any thing which appears to the contrary; for no substitute for their exertions was supplied by any party. They took the place of none who were exerting themselves; they opposed no obstacle to the operation of any plan of usefulness, had it been in preparation. If they therefore had not appeared, and kindled that flame of religious feeling which ultimately spread into many denominations of

Christians, and thus gave birth to that variety of effort which now diffuses itself through the land, it is a very erroneous conclusion to suppose that a later period would have found the nation and the Church at all improved. The probability, almost amounting to certainty, is, that both would have been found still more deteriorated, and in a state which would have presented obstacles much more formidable to their recovery. For all who have given attention to such subjects must know, that a number of those demoralizing causes were then coming into operation, which, with all the counteractions since supplied by the Church, and the different religious sects, by schools, and by Bibles, have produced very injurious effects upon the morals and principles of the nation; that the tide of an unprecedented commercial prosperity began then to flow into the country, and continued, for a long succession of years, to render the means of sensual indulgence more ample, and to corrupt more deeply all ranks of society; that, in consequence of the independence thus given to the lower orders in many of the most populous districts, the moral control and influence of the higher became gradually weaker; that the agitation of political subjects, during the American quarrel and the French Revolution, with the part which even the operative classes were able to take in such discussions by means of an extended education, produced, as will always be the case among the half-informed, a strong tendency to republicanism,* a restless desire of political change on every pinching of the times, and its constant concomitant, an aversion to the national Establishment, partly as the result of ill-digested theories, and partly because this feeling was encouraged by the negligent habits of many of the clergy, and the absence of that influence which they might have acquired in their parishes by careful pastoral attentions. To all this is to be added the diffusion of infidel principles, both of foreign and home growth, which, from the studies of the learned, descended into the shop of the mechanic, and, embodied in

[* The author wrote as a loyal Englishman: he deprecated a revolution in England, particularly in view of the red-republicanism which many sought to import from the other side of the channel, though he highly appreciated the republican institutions of the United States.—T. O. S.]

cheap and popular works, found their way into every part of the empire. To counteract agencies and principles so active and so pernicious, it is granted that no means have yet been applied of complete adequacy. This is the reason why their effects are so rife in the present day, and that we are now in the midst of a state of things which no considerate man can contemplate without some anxiety. These circumstances, so devastating to morals and good principles, could only have been fully neutralized by the ardent exertions of every clergyman in his parish, of every Dissenting minister in his congregation, of every Methodist preacher in his circuit, of every private Christian in his own circle, or in the place which useful and pious institutions of various kinds would have assigned him; and even then the special blessing of God would have been necessary to give effect to the whole. But had no correctives been applied, what had been the present state of the nation and of the Church? The labors of the founders of Methodism were, from the beginning, directly counteractive of the evils just mentioned; and those have little reason to stigmatize them who deplore such evils most, and yet have done least for their correction and restraint. Wherever these men went, they planted the principles of religion in the minds of the multitudes who heard them; they acted on the offensive against immorality, infidelity, and error; the societies they raised were employed in doing good to all; the persons they associated with them in the work of national reformation were always engaged in diffusing piety; and though great multitudes were beyond their reach, they spread themselves into every part of the land, turning the attention of men to religious concerns, calming their passions, guarding them against the strifes of the world, enjoining the scriptural principles of "obedience to magistrates," and a sober, temperate, peaceable, and benevolent conduct. The direct effect of their exertions was great; and it increased in energy and extent as the demoralizing causes before mentioned acquired also greater activity; and when their indirect influence began to appear more fully in the national Church, and in other religious bodies, remedies more commensurate with the evils existing in the country began to be applied. I shall not affect to say what would have been the state of the Church of England under the uncontrolled operation of all the causes

of moral deterioration and civil strife to which I have adverted; or what hold that Church would have had upon the people at this day, if the spirit of religion had not been revived in the country, and if, when ancient prejudices were destroyed or weakened by the general spread of information among men, no new bond between it and the nation at large had been created. But if, as I am happy to believe, the national Church has much more influence and much more respect now than formerly; and if its influence and the respect due to it are increasing with the increase of its evangelical clergy, all this is owing to the existence of a stronger spirit of piety; and in producing that, the first great instruments were the men whose labors have been mentioned in the preceding pages. Not only has the spirit which they excited improved the religious state of the Church, but it has disposed the great body of religious people, not of the Church, to admire and respect those numerous members of the Establishment, both clergymen and laics, whose eminent piety, talents, and usefulness, have done more to abate the prejudices arising from different views of Church government, than a thousand treatises could have effected, however eloquently written or ably argued.

It may also be asked, Who are the persons whom the Methodists have alienated from the Church? In this, too, the Church writers have labored under great mistakes. They have "alienated" those, for the most part, who never were, in any substantial sense, and never would have been, of the Church. Very few of her pious members have at any time been separated from her communion by a connection with us; and many who became serious through the Methodist ministry, continued attendants on her services, and observers of her sacraments. This was the case during the life of Mr. Wesley, and in many instances is so still; and when an actual separation of a few persons has occurred, it has been much more than compensated by a return of others from us to the Church, especially of opulent persons, or their children, in consequence of that superior influence which an established Church must always exert upon people of that class. For the rest, they have been brought chiefly from the ranks of the ignorant and the careless; persons who had little knowledge, and no experience of the power of religion; negligent of reli-

gious worship of every kind, and many of whom, but for the agency of Methodism, would have swelled the ranks of those who are equally disaffected to Church and state. If such persons are not now Churchmen, they are influenced by no feelings hostile to the institutions of their country.

Such considerations may tend to convey more sober views on a subject often taken up in heat: that they will quite disarm the feeling against which they are levelled, is more than can be hoped for, considering the effects of party spirit, and the many forms of virtue which it simulates. However, it is nothing new for the Methodists to endure reproach, and to be subject to misrepresentations. Perhaps something of an exclusive spirit may have grown up amongst us in consequence; but, if so, it has this palliation, that we are quite as expansive as the circumstances in which we have ever been placed could lead any reasonable man to anticipate. It might almost be said of us, "Lo, the people shall dwell alone." The High-Churchman has persecuted us, because we are separatists; the high Dissenter has often looked upon us with hostility, because we would not see that an establishment necessarily, and *in se*, involved a sin against the supremacy of Christ; the rigid Calvinist has disliked us, because we hold the redemption of all men; the Pelagianized Arminian, because we contend for salvation by grace; the Antinomian, because we insist upon the perpetual obligation of the moral law; the moralist, because we exalt faith; the disaffected, because we hold that loyalty and religion are inseparable; the political tory, because he cannot think that separatists from the Church can be loyal to the throne; the philosopher, because he deems us fanatics; whilst semi-infidel liberals generally exclude us from all share in their liberality, except it be in their liberality of abuse. In the mean time, we have occasionally been favored with a smile, though somewhat of a condescending one, from the lofty Churchman; and often with a fraternal embrace from pious and liberal Dissenters. And if we act upon the principles left us by our great founder, we shall make a meek and lowly temper an essential part of our religion; and, after his example, move onward in the path of doing good, through "honor and dishonor, through evil report and good report," remembering that one fundamental

principle of Wesleyan Methodism is ANTI-SECTARIANISM AND A CATHOLIC SPIRIT.

To return, however, to Mr. Wesley. Among the censures which have been frequently directed against him, are his alleged love of power, and his credulity. The first is a vice, the second but a weakness; and they stand, therefore, upon different grounds.

As to the love of power, it may be granted that, like many minds who seem born to direct, he desired to acquire influence; and, when he attained it, he employed his one talent so as to make it gain more talents. If he had loved power for its own sake, or to minister to selfish purposes, or to injure others, this would have been a great blemish; but he sacrificed no principle of his own, and no interest or right of others, for its gratification. He gained power, as all great and good men gain it, by the very greatness and goodness with which they are endowed, and of which others are always more sensible than themselves. It devolved upon him without any contrivance; and when he knew he possessed it, no instance is on record of his having abused it. This is surely virtue, not vice, and virtue of the highest order. The only proof attempted to be given that he loved power, is, that he never devolved his authority over the societies upon others; but this is capable of an easy explanation. He could not have shared his power among many, without drawing up a formal constitution of Church government for his societies, which would have amounted to a formal separation from the Church; and it would have been an insane action, had he devolved it upon one, and placed himself, and the work he had effected, under the management of any individual to whom his societies could not stand in the same filial relation as to himself. He, however, exercised his influence by aid of the counsel of others; and allowed the free discussion of all prudential matters in the Conference. Had he been armed with legal power to inflict pains and penalties, he ought to have distrusted himself, as every wise and good man would do, and to have voluntarily put himself beyond the reach of temptation to abuse what mere man, without check, can seldom use aright. This I grant; but the control to which he was subject was, that the union of his societies with him was

perfectly voluntary; so that over them he could have no influence at all but what was founded upon character, and public spirit, and fatherly affection. The power which he exercised has descended to the Conference of preachers; and, as in his case, this has been often very absurdly complained of, as though it were parallel to the power of civil government, or to that of an established Church, supported by statutes and the civil arm. But this power, like his, is moral influence only, founded upon the pastoral character, and can exist only upon the basis of the confidence inspired by the fact of its generally just and salutary exercise among a people who neither are nor can be under any compulsion.

On the charge of credulity, it may be observed, that Mr. Wesley lived in an age in which he thought men in danger of believing too little, rather than too much; and his belief in apparitions is at least no proof of a credulousness peculiar to himself. With respect to the "strange accounts" which he inserted in his Magazine—and strange indeed some of them were—it has been falsely assumed that he himself believed them entirely. This is not true. He frequently remarks, that he gives no opinion, or that "he knows not what to make of the account," or that "he leaves every one to form his own judgment concerning it." He met with those relations in reading, or received them from persons deemed by him credible; and he put them on record, as facts reported to have happened. Now, as to an unbeliever, one sees not what sound objection he can make to that being recorded which has commanded the faith of others; for, as a part of the history of human opinions, such accounts are curious, and have their use. It neither followed that the editor of the work believed every account, nor that his readers should consider it true because it was printed. It was for them to judge of the evidence on which the relation stood. Many of these accounts, however, Mr. Wesley did credit, because he thought that they stood on credible testimony; and he published them for that very purpose for which he believed they were permitted to occur—to confirm the faith of men in an invisible state, and in the immortality of the soul. These were his motives for inserting such articles in his Magazine; and to the censure which has been passed upon him on this account, may be opposed the words of the learned Dr. Henry

More, in his letter to Glanville, the author of "*Sadducismus Triumphatus*:" "Wherefore, let the small philosophic Sir Toplings of this present age deride as much as they will, those that lay out their pains in committing to writing certain well-attested stories of apparitions do real service to true religion and sound philosophy; and they most effectually contribute to the confounding of infidelity and atheism, even in the judgment of the atheists themselves, who are as much afraid of the truth of these stories as an ape is of a whip, and therefore force themselves with might and main to disbelieve them, by reason of the dreadful consequence of them, as to themselves." It is sensibly observed by Jortin, in his remarks on the diabolical possessions in the age of our Lord, that "one reason for which Divine Providence should suffer evil spirits to exert their malignant powers at that time might be, to give a check to Sadducism among the Jews, and atheism among the Gentiles; and to remove, in some measure, these two great impediments to the reception of the gospel." For moral uses, supernatural visitations may have been allowed in subsequent ages; and he who believes in them only spreads their moral the farther by giving them publicity. Before such a person can be fairly censured, the ground of his faith ought to be disproved; for he only acts consistently with that faith. This task would, however, prove somewhat difficult.

Mr. Wesley was a voluminous writer; and as he was one of the great instruments in reviving the spirit of religion in these lands, so he led the way in those praiseworthy attempts which have been made to diffuse useful information of every kind, and to smooth the path of knowledge to the middle and lower ranks of society. Besides books on religious subjects, he published many small and cheap treatises on various branches of science; plain and excellent grammars of the dead languages; expurgated editions of the classic authors; histories, civil and ecclesiastical; and numerous abridgments of important works.*

* Mr. Wesley's principal writings are, his translation of the New Testament, with Explanatory Notes, quarto; his Journals, six volumes, duodecimo; his Sermons, nine volumes, duodecimo; his Appeal to Men of Reason and Religion; his Defence of the Doctrine of Original Sin, in answer to Dr. Taylor; his answers to Mr. Church, and

It is his especial praise, that he took an early part in denouncing the iniquities of the African slave-trade, and in arousing the conscience of the nation on the subject. In Bristol, at that time a dark den of slave-traders, he courageously preached openly against it, defying the rage of the slave-merchants and the mob; and his spirited and ably-reasoned tract on slavery continues to be admired and quoted to the present time. It may be added, that one of the last letters he ever wrote was to Mr. Wilberforce, exhorting him to perseverance in a work of which he was one of the leading instruments—the effecting the abolition of the traffic in the nerves and blood of man.

At the time of Mr. Wesley's death, the number of members in connection with him in Europe, America, and the West India islands, was 80,000. At the last Conference, 1831, the numbers returned were, in Great Britain, 249,119; in Ireland, 22,470; in the Foreign Missions, 42,743; total, 314,332, exclusive of more than half a million of persons in the societies in the States of America. As to the field of labor at home, the number of circuits in the United Kingdom was, at the time of his death, 115. At present they are 408. The number of Mission Stations was 8 in the West Indies, and 8 in British America. At present there are 156. The number of preachers left by him was 312. It is now 992, in the United Kingdom; and 187 in the Foreign Missions. In the United States of America the number of preachers is 2010; and in the Canadian Church, 61.*

Bishops Lavington and Warburton; and his *Predestination Calmly Considered*; besides many smaller tracts on various important subjects. His Works were published by himself in thirty-two volumes, duodecimo, in the year 1771. An edition of them in fourteen large octavo volumes has just been completed; with his work on the New Testament in two volumes of the same size. In addition to his original compositions, Mr. Wesley published upwards of a hundred and twenty different works, mostly abridged from other authors; among which are grammars in five different languages; the *Christian Library*, in fifty duodecimo volumes; thirteen volumes of the *Arminian Magazine*; a *History of England*, and a general *Ecclesiastical History*, in four volumes each; a *Compendium of Natural Philosophy*, in five volumes; and an *Exposition of the Old Testament*, in three quarto volumes.

[* The Southern Methodist Almanac for 1857 reports for the pre-

Such have been the results of the labors of this great and good man. Whether they are still to diffuse a hallowing influence through the country, and convey the blessings of Christianity to heathen lands with the same rapidity and with the same vigor, will, under the Divine blessing, depend upon those who have received from him the trust of a system of religious agency, to be employed with the same singleness of heart, the same benevolent zeal for the spiritual benefit of mankind, and the same dependence upon the Holy Spirit. I know not that it bears upon it any marks of decay, although it may require to be accommodated in a few particulars to the new circumstances with which it is surrounded. The doctrinal views which Mr. Wesley held were, probably, never better understood, or more accurately stated, in the discourses of the preachers; and the moral discipline of the body, in all its essential parts, was never more cordially approved by the people generally, or enforced with greater faithfulness by their pastors. Very numerous are the converts who are every year won from the world, brought under religious influence, and placed in the enjoyment of means and ordinances favorable to their growth in religious knowledge and holy habits;

ceding year in the British Conference, 452 circuits, 1182 travelling preachers, 263,834 full members and 17,813 probationary members: Irish Conference, 74 circuits, 128 travelling preachers, 18,749 members: French Conference, 12 circuits, 81 travelling preachers, 1178 members: Canada Conference, 192 circuits, 316 travelling preachers, 37,885 members: East British American Conference, 70 circuits, 88 travelling preachers, 18,186 members: Australian Conference, 116 travelling preachers, 19,897 full and 1958 probationary members: Foreign Missions, exclusive of those in the Foreign Conferences, 187 circuits, 200 travelling preachers, 64,999 full and 2868 probationary members, making a total of 2061 travelling preachers and 442,322 communicants in the British Wesleyan Church and its Associated Bodies. Its Missionary revenue for 1855 amounted to £119,122 4s. 9d. From the same authority it appears that other branches of British Wesleyan Methodism number 168,372 members and a corresponding number of ministers, travelling and local. The Methodist Churches in the United States number more than a million and a half members, and nearly 20,000 ministers, travelling and local. See page 217. It thus appears that there are now over two million of communicants in the various Methodist Churches, and perhaps ten millions of people who receive from them religious instruction. What a cause for gratitude! and what a fearful responsibility!—T. O. S.]

and many are constantly passing into eternity, of whose “good hope through grace” the testimony is in the highest degree satisfactory. If Methodism continue in vigor and purity ^{to} future ages, it will still be associated with the name of its founder, and encircle his memory with increasing lustre; and if it should fall into the formality and decays which have proved the lot of many other religious bodies, he will not lose his reward. Still a glorious harvest of saved souls is laid up in the heavenly garner, which will be his “rejoicing in the day of the Lord;” whilst the indirect influence of his labors upon the other religious bodies and institutions of the country will justly entitle him to be considered as one of the most honored instruments of reviving and extending the influence of religion that, since the time of the apostles, have been raised up by the providence of God.

END OF THE LIFE OF MR. WESLEY

OBSERVATIONS
ON
SOUTHEY'S LIFE OF WESLEY
BEING
A DEFENCE OF THE CHARACTER, LABORS, AND OPINIONS
OF
THE FOUNDER OF METHODISM,
AGAINST THE MISREPRESENTATIONS OF THAT PUBLICATION.

Nec semper feriet quodcumque minabitur arcus.—HORAT.

A NEW EDITION, WITH NOTES,
BY THOMAS O. SUMMERS

NASHVILLE, TENN.:
SOUTHERN METHODIST PUBLISHING HOUSE.
1880.

Contents.

	PAGE
INTRODUCTORY NOTE.....	v
INTRODUCTION	7
SECTION I.	
MR. SOUTHEY'S THEOLOGICAL QUALIFICATIONS.....	13
SECTION II.	
MR. SOUTHEY'S FALSE PHILOSOPHY	24
SECTION III.	
ENTHUSIASM.....	38
SECTION IV	
ASSURANCE.....	45
SECTION V	
SUDDEN CONVERSIONS	64
SECTION VI.	
ENTHUSIASTIC EXTRAVAGANCES.....	77
SECTION VII.	
SEPARATION FROM THE CHURCH.....	101
SECTION VIII.	
MISCELLANEOUS STRICTURES AND CORRECTIONS.....	127
SECTION IX.	
MISREPRESENTATIONS OF MR. WESLEY'S CHARACTER CORRECTED .	151
APPENDIX.....	171
	(iii)

Introductory Note.

MR. WATSON'S valuable critique on Southey's Life of Wesley has passed through a number of editions in England and America, but it has by no means obtained the circulation to which it is entitled by its acknowledged merits. It is not, perhaps, generally known that while it exposes the false philosophy of the poet-biographer of Wesley, and refutes his erroneous statements in a most masterly and triumphant manner, it furnishes an antidote to almost every thing worth noticing in the numerous misrepresentations of "Wesley and Methodism," learned and ignorant, philosophical and vulgar, from Bishops Lavington and Warburton down to Dr. Pusey and Isaac Taylor—not to mention their humble imitators, on both sides of the Atlantic, who have labored in the same vocation, though in a much lower grade, and with a much greater disregard of the claims of truth and righteousness. It is admitted that bigots and slanderers of the latter type are not likely to be affected by a calm appeal to reason and facts; but then it may well be asked whether *they* can be reached by any methods. We suppose they cannot—that is, to any advantage. It is not for them that the present work is republished: it is for candid persons who may wish to be in circumstances to judge for themselves concerning a question

(v)

confessedly of no trivial importance. We are not greatly concerned what they read, or how much they read, in opposition to Methodists and Methodism, provided they will look into the system itself, survey the lives of those who have been devoted to its propagation, and give the defenders of both a candid hearing. Truth and virtue shine the brighter from the hard rubbing they receive—of this, the history of Methodism furnishes illustrations the most striking and satisfactory.

It is understood that Southey acknowledged the force of Mr. Watson's reasoning in the "Observations," and modified his views in relation to Mr. Wesley. It is said that he was about to issue an amended edition of his Life of Wesley, about the time the affliction came upon him which terminated his literary labors; but that the modifications of the work were suppressed by his son, a bigoted Churchman, on whom the responsibility of its publication was devolved.

As there are various matters of considerable importance to the right understanding of Mr. Wesley's character and career, lying outside of Mr. Watson's plan in his admirable biography, and forming the staple materials of the present work, it is suggested that the one ought to be read in immediate connection with the other. Indeed, in the last London edition, from which our reprint is taken, both works are paged and bound as one volume. A few notes have been added in the present edition, for reasons which will be sufficiently obvious to the reader.

THOMAS O. SUMMERS.

NASHVILLE, TENN., Nov. 15, 1856.

Introduction.

THE Wesleyan Methodists have, in most cases, been assailed by a violence so blind and illiberal, that the writers who have chosen to be thus distinguished have sufficiently answered themselves. A few, and only a few, defences of the conduct and opinions of Mr. Wesley and his followers have therefore been published. The time of those best qualified for such services has been better employed in works of active piety and benevolence. They have held on their way “through evil report and good report,” thinking it enough that, by the writings of their founder, and other subsequent publications, all candid persons might acquaint themselves with their views of Christianity; and that a people spread throughout the land presented points of observation sufficiently numerous to enable the unprejudiced to form an accurate estimate of their character and influence.

Mr. Southey’s Life of the venerable founder of Methodism presents itself under another aspect. It is not a hasty production, and it betrays no want of temper. The facts and incidents which make up the life and history of the remarkable man of whom he has somewhat strangely become the biographer, have been collected with diligence; and the narrative is creditable to his literary character. He has the higher praise of considerable candor—candor exercised on subjects which presented temptations to more frequent sarcasm and censure, had he aimed at gratifying the prejudices and feelings of a great number of his readers; and he has ventured to say more in praise of the character and public usefulness of Mr. Wesley, than will be found in most publications of the kind,

not emanating from persons connected with the Wesleyan society. Notwithstanding this general candor, and, as I believe, intended impartiality, there are still great objections to the book. The Wesley of Mr. Southey is not, in several of its most important characteristics, Mr. Wesley himself; and the picture of Methodism which he has drawn is not just, either in tone or composition. The impression made by the whole is indeed equally as unfavorable to Christianity itself, as to the views of that particular society through which some of its vital principles are assaulted; and it is as Christians, quite as much as a religious body, that the Methodists ought to be dissatisfied with it. By them panegyric was not wished: there is more of justice and fairness than was hoped, considering the quarter from which the work was to emanate; and what is defective and perverted may be charitably imputed, less to the intention of the writer, than to his total want of qualifications for the undertaking. The Life of Wesley was not a subject for the pen of Southey; and for want of theological qualifications, and the illuminations which a spiritual mind imparts, both Christianity and some of its brightest ornaments have received but partial justice at his hands.

Had the biographer been either less or more acquainted with theological subjects, his work would have borne a character more decided: it would have been better or worse; and, in either form, more acceptable to all parties. It would have done more good, or less mischief. As it is, it has a singularly hybridous character. It is marked with inconsistencies, and propositions which neutralize each other as to any good effect, and yet retain activity enough to do injury. Whatever Mr. Wesley's views may have been, true religion itself, if the Church of England has rightly exhibited it in her formularies, and in the writings of her greatest divines, is very incautiously and generally resolved into enthusiasm, and other natural causes; and every excitement of the feelings which may appear new and irregular to a cold and torpid formality has a ready designation in the equally undefined term fanaticism. There are, it is true, occasional admissions on these subjects, which indicate respect and veneration for what is sacred; but they seem often to be used only as a convenient medium through which to convey impressions of a contrary kind with greater force. That this was not always intended, will be cheerfully admit-

ted; but if any thing, more than experience has already furnished, were necessary to show the mischievousness of writing on subjects of religion without steady and well-digested principles, it would be supplied by this publication. On all such topics Mr. Southey is extremely flippant and assuming, without any qualification to support his pretensions. Educated, as it is reported, in the Socinian school, and afterwards allured farther from the truth by the glare of a still more delusive philosophy, he has corrected many of his former errors, and is now professedly an orthodox member of the Church of England. I am happy to see him in that fold. It would be illiberal to remember the aberrations of his youth, and not to allow him the praise of having for several years employed his talents well and usefully. His is, evidently, an amiable and elevated, as well as a highly cultivated, mind; but his views are yet too dim, and his theological attainments far too scanty, to give him a title to all that authority which he claims on many of those vital and solemn subjects which he decides with so censurable a dogmatism.

It is much to be regretted that no general principles appear to have been laid down by Mr. Southey, to guide him in his estimate of Mr. Wesley's conduct and character. He is constantly vacillating between the philosopher and the Christian; but, unhappily, the tendency to philosophize most frequently predominates. The natural causes of every movement of the soul, and of every singularity in the conduct, of Mr. Wesley and his followers, are eagerly sought, and abundantly elaborated. Devotional ardor is resolved into constitutional temperament; religious joys and depressions, into buoyancy of the spirits, and the influence of disease; Mr. Wesley's selection of the means of usefulness, into the blind impulse of surrounding circumstances; his active zeal, into ambition; the great effects of his preaching, into his eloquence, and the opportune occurrence of a new contagious disease; his enterprise, into a consciousness of his own powers; and his want of clerical regularity, into his natural unsubmissiveness of mind. Some of these points shall be examined in the sequel; but this mode of determining such questions savors too much of the school from which we trust Mr. Southey is on many great points happily rescued; and it implies too great a concession to the infidel and superficial philosophy of the day, of

the evil tendency of which, when otherwise applied, he has a deep conviction. He has resorted to weapons which may as easily be wielded against Christianity as against Methodism ; and against every distinguished character in the annals of the Church of Christ, as against Mr. Wesley.

Is Mr. Southey a believer in Christianity ? If so, waiving for the present a minuter consideration of the following points, he must believe in the providential designation of distinguished characters to produce great and beneficial effects upon society ; he must believe in the influence of the Holy Spirit upon the minds of men, exciting them to their duty, and assisting them in it ; he must believe that to renew a corrupt heart, and to give real effect to the Christian ministry, is the work of God, though carried on by human agents. He is not a Christian if he believes not these doctrines ; he is not a Churchman ; his Christianity is a name, and his profession of attachment to the national Church a mere matter of political convenience. But if, in reality, he admits such truths, they were suffered to be often absent from his mind, or to be frequently confused with the lingering traces of his former erratic sentiments, when he applied himself to determine the questions which presented themselves for discussion in the course of his researches into Methodism.

Another cause of the wavering and erring judgment which he forms of Mr. Wesley, though far less blamable, is, that when he assumes something of the character of a Christian in the view of a case, it is not so much of a Christian generally, as of a bigoted advocate of the order and discipline of the Church of England. The religious character and motives of Mr. Wesley are in question ; but surely the order and rule of any Church, however excellent, are not the standard by which either of these can be determined. That standard is to be found in the principles of our common Christianity. The order of a Church may have been violated by an irregularity which it does not allow. The fault may have been, that Mr. Wesley's zeal was too expansive, or that the rule which his zeal violated was too contracted ; but these are distinct considerations, and are not surely to influence the judgment which is to be pronounced upon his general character and motives. Mr. Wesley's Christianity must be tried by other laws, and can only be determined by the Bible itself. Mo-

dern times cannot exhibit a character in which all the great and all the graceful virtues of our religion were more fully embodied, and, through a long life, more vividly realized, than in the founder of Methodism. They have not presented a more laborious or a more successful minister of Christ. On what principle, then, is he ceaselessly charged with ambition, and the love of power, as the leading, though sometimes the unconscious, motives of his actions? Why does Mr. Southey delight to rake into the corruption of our general nature, to stain the lustre and dissipate the fragrance of the eminent virtues of this distinguished man, as though those virtues must necessarily have struck root into that corruption as their soil, and have drawn from it a sickly exuberance, and a deleterious and earthly odor? Where virtues so eminent were accredited by evidence so palpable, why has Mr. Southey, in so many instances, suffered himself to be seduced by a paltry philosophy which resolves all virtue into selfishness, or more properly into vice itself; and in others, determined motives by a rule drawn from party predilections, to the neglect of those more favorable decisions which the general Christian rule would have supplied? Mr. Southey may say that the faults charged are infirmities, from which the best of men are not exempt. But ambition, taken in the generally-received sense, as Mr. Southey uses the term, is not an infirmity. It is a vice, utterly incompatible with the spirit and temper of a real Christian; and if he did not intend very greatly to lower Mr. Wesley's character by the charge, as indeed it seems but fair to acknowledge, this only proves that Mr. Southey has very low and inadequate notions of real Christianity itself. He either trifles with Mr. Wesley's character, or with the character of scriptural religion.

Southey's Life of Wesley is not a mere narrative of the incidents which occurred in the career of that individual himself, and of the rise, progress, and opinions of the religious body of which he was the founder. The author passes judgment on every thing as it occurs; and, not unfrequently, so marshals his facts, by an artful selection, as to give the greater plausibility to his censures. For adopting a course of free remark he is not to be censured; but he that judges another must be content to be judged himself. The opinions of biographers and historians, who are supposed to be calm

and unprejudiced observers of persons and events, respecting which sufficient time has elapsed to allow a judgment to be formed unbiased by partial impressions, often form the most instructive part of their writings. We read works of this kind, not merely for the facts they contain, but for the sake of the reflections of those who profess to have studied their subject; and willingly put ourselves under the guidance of a superior mind for the discovery of those lessons which Providence designed to teach mankind by the occasional introduction of great and singular characters, and the permission of important events, upon the stage of our world. Unless, however, we have taken the resolution of submitting our judgments implicitly to every writer who undertakes to think for the public, we naturally inquire into the competency of an author for so high an office; and to this test Mr. Southey must be subjected.

The question, however, is not whether he had habits sufficiently diligent to collect the facts necessary for fairly exhibiting the character of Mr. Wesley and of Methodism; nor whether he had the ability to work them into clear and spirited narrative. Neither will be denied; but these are minor considerations. He has not contented himself with narrative: he has added "reflections to his tale;" and both as a theologian, and an advocate of the national Church, he has assumed the critic and the censor. His qualifications under these characters must, therefore, be considered.

O B S E R V A T I O N S
ON
SOUTHEY'S LIFE OF WESLEY.

S E C T I O N I.

MR. SOUTHEY'S THEOLOGICAL QUALIFICATIONS.

THE leading points on which Mr. Southey, as the biographer of Mr. Wesley, was called to express a judgment were, his religious character, his doctrines, his labors as a minister, and their results. All these evidently involve theological principles, and with them Mr. Southey's mind is but slenderly furnished. Of this, the account he has given of Mr. Wesley's conversion is a pregnant example.

It would be difficult to fix upon a more interesting and instructive moral spectacle than that which is presented by the progress of the mind of the founder of Methodism, through all its deep and serious agitations, doubts, difficulties, hopes, and fears, from his earliest religious awakenings, to the moment when he found that steadfast peace which never afterwards forsook him, but gave serenity to his countenance, and cheerfulness to his heart, to the last moment of a prolonged life. Even in Mr. Southey's caricatured representation, and in despite of the frequent recurrence of flippant and fatuous observations, it has an awe which frowns down ridicule, or kindles indignation at such an intrusion on scenes so hallowed. The heart is not to be envied, whatever affecta-

tion of philosophy it may put on, which can suffer itself to be so far misled by those minor circumstances of the case which, by forgetting times and circumstances, may appear somewhat singular and extravagant, as to overlook those great considerations which force themselves upon all but the lightest minds, when the history of a mind so impressed and influenced is candidly and honestly laid open. His were inward conflicts, which many besides have felt, but which are seldom brought forth from the recesses of the bosoms they have so variously agitated. Yet they are not cases of merely individual concern. We all have errors to be dissipated, a natural corruption to be overcome, a peace to make with God, a relation to an eternal world to render sure or hopeful. The careless may smile at accounts of conversion; but the serious mind which, in the wilderness of its thoughts, eagerly looks out for a guiding hand and a directive star, cannot be uninterested in such examples. Others are seen, in the early stages of religious experience, toiling in the same bewildered paths as ourselves; and the process of their deliverance points out that desired track which may lead us also into the light and peace for which we seek. To the rule of the Holy Scriptures such accounts of individual conversion are to be carefully subordinated; but they are often instructive and invaluable comments upon them, because they are the realizations of its moral theory.

Mr. Wesley has made the full disclosure; and it is the only true key to his theological system, and to his public conduct. His conversion is given in sufficient detail by Mr. Southey, though evidently above his comprehension. Impressed in his youth with a religious concern, he resorted to books and to men for an answer to a question which, in spite of trifling, will, at some time or other, intrude itself upon every human heart: "What shall I do to be saved?" Happy if it were treated as seriously by all! He needed nothing, and yet was not happy. He had no quarrel with the world, and yet the world could not satisfy him. He stood in awe of God, convinced that he was living in a state of guilt and danger. He was afraid of death, because he had no lively hope of happiness beyond it. He redoubled his attention to the services of the Church; he read the Scriptures and the Fathers; he adopted the fasts and mortifications

of former times; he resorted to every book of credit on practical and spiritual religion. In the eagerness and honesty of his inquiries, he walked many miles on foot to converse with a man reputed eminently religious; he abounded in works of zeal and charity; yet, after all, he obtained no solid peace. Whilst others thought him righteous overmuch, he was daily discovering new defects in his duties, and becoming better acquainted with his heart; he felt even an increased fear of death; and he was not delivered from the dominion of inward corruptions, though his life was unblamable. He had early resorted to the Calvinistic divines; and though in some of their writings he might have found those very views of faith which afterwards administered to his deliverance and comfort, they were mixed up with a system at which he revolted, and afterwards strenuously opposed, though on other and better grounds than he at that time assumed. This revulsion of mind threw him more fully under the influence of the writings of Taylor, Kempis, and Law, which, however excellent, afforded him little help in the point most concerning to him, his justification before God; for, though admirably adapted to mature and perfect religion in the heart and life, they are greatly defective in those views of faith, and the atonement on which it rests as its proper object, which alone can give peace to a penitent and troubled spirit. The mystic writers were next resorted to; but these only increased his "perplexities and entanglements." His sincere zeal led him to Georgia. On his passage he met with some pious Moravians, and, impressed by their simplicity and devotedness, he maintained an affectionate intercourse with them all the time he remained in America; and from their conversation, different views of himself, and of the means by which man is justified before his God, broke in upon his mind. A mind so sincere in its search for truth, though long exposed to trial, could not be forsaken. The result of that overruling providence which led him to make acquaintance with these excellent men, shall be given in his own words:

"It is now two years and almost four months since I left my native country, in order to teach the Georgian Indians the nature of Christianity. But what have I learnt myself meantime? Why—what I the least of all suspected—that

I, who went to America to convert others, was never myself converted to God. 'I am not mad,' though I thus speak; but 'I speak the words of truth and soberness;' if haply some of those who still dream may awake, and see that as I am, so are they. Are they read in philosophy? So was I. In ancient or modern tongues? So was I also. Are they versed in the science of divinity? I, too, have studied it many years. Can they talk fluently upon spiritual things? The very same could I do. Are they plenteous in alms? Behold, I gave 'all my goods to feed the poor.' Do they give of their labor, as well as their substance? I have labored more abundantly. Are they willing to suffer for their brethren? I have thrown up my friends, reputation, ease, country. I have put my life in my hand, wandering into strange lands; I have given my body to be devoured by the deep, parched up with heat, consumed by toil and weariness, or whatsoever God shall please to bring upon me. But does all this (be it more or less, it matters not) make me acceptable to God? Does all I ever did or can know, say, give, do, or suffer, justify me in his sight? If the oracles of God are true, if we are still to abide by 'the law and testimony,' all these things, though when ennobled by faith in Christ they are holy, and just, and good, yet without, are dung and dross. Thus, then, have I learned, in the ends of the earth, that my whole heart is altogether corrupt and abominable, and, consequently, my whole life; that my own works, my own sufferings, my own righteousness, are so far from reconciling me to an offended God, so far from making an atonement for the least of those sins which are more in number than the hairs of my head, that the most specious of them need an atonement themselves; that, having the sentence of death in my heart, and nothing in or of myself to plead, I have no hope but that of being justified freely 'through the redemption that is in Jesus'—but that if I seek I shall find Christ, and be found in him. If it be said that I have faith, (for many such things have I heard from many miserable comforters,) I answer, So have the devils a sort of faith; but still they are strangers to the covenant of promise. The faith I want is a sure trust and confidence in God, that through the merits of Christ my sins are forgiven, and I reconciled to the favor of God. I want that faith

which no one can have without knowing that he hath it, though many imagine they have it who have it not; for whosoever hath it is 'freed from sin; the whole body of sin is destroyed' in him; he is freed from fear, 'having peace with God through Christ, and rejoicing in hope of the glory of God.' And he is freed from doubt, having the love of God shed abroad in his heart, through the Holy Ghost which is given unto him, which 'Spirit itself beareth witness with his spirit, that he is a child of God.'

This faith he sought and found, with its fruits, dominion over sin, and peace, and joy; and from that moment, till he ended his career of shame and glory, he preached it to others with the confidence of one who had "the witness in himself," and with that fulness of sympathy for all who wandered in paths of darkness and distress, which was inspired by the recollection of his own former anxieties.

This account, with the circumstances connected with it, occupies many pages in Mr. Southey's narrative; and the clear and ample manner in which it is presented, may possibly lead many persons to a much better conclusion than he himself, judging from his interspersed remarks, appears to have drawn from it. I have introduced it here, because it will enable the reader to judge of Mr. Southey's views of religion. The following theological points are included in this account of Mr. Wesley's conversion: 1. That the human nature is wholly corrupt, and its practice sinful, until an entire moral change is wrought in the heart by the power of God. The conviction of this truth was the ground of Mr. Wesley's inward disquiet, and the reason of his earnest prayers and efforts. 2. That the sins of men expose them to the wrath of God, though there be no marked irregularity in their conduct; and that the Divine wrath can only be escaped by forgiveness. This was the ground of his apprehensions and fears of death, as being conscious of sin and unassured of pardon. 3. That no works of righteousness performed, or of mortification endured, are grounds of dependence for pardon, because they are not reasons on which we can urge that act of grace before God. They are fruits meet for repentance; the necessary results of penitence, sincerity, and of that faith which, admitting the truth of the threatenings of the Divine law, alarms the conscience, and connects the

apprehension of punishment with sin ; but they are nothing more. It was by depending on these acts, as the means of reconciliation with God, without a direct and exclusive exercise of trust in the Divine atonement made for the sins of men, which produced so much effort on his part, and so little success in obtaining support for his agitated mind. 4. That such a trust, exercised by one who, having the sentence of condemnation in his conscience, and having nothing in or from himself to plead, and placing all his hope in "being justified freely by the grace of God, through the redemption that is in Jesus," is the faith which is imputed to him for righteousness ; and upon its exercise he receives the forgiveness of sins, and an assurance of God's favor, through the Holy Spirit, and is then at peace with God and with himself.

It is thus that Mr. Wesley's personal experience connects itself with several great points of theological doctrine ; but Mr. Southey has never inquired whether they are true or false. If he thinks them true, the manner in which he has treated Mr. Wesley's early history is unworthy of a serious and religious man ; if false, then the coloring which he has thrown over this part of Mr. Wesley's life is in character. It has in it all the guile, though not the usual grossness, of infidelity. The truth appears to be, that Mr. Southey gave himself no concern to ascertain whether these principles were true or false. For Christianity he is now an advocate, and for the Church of England, too ; but under either character he ought to have known that the doctrines which Mr. Wesley's conversion implies are the doctrines of both. The first point respects the corruption of human nature ; and he will perhaps ask here, as in another part of his work, "where Wesley obtained his notions on the subject." The answer is, that as a Christian he obtained them from Him who said, "They that are in the flesh," they in whom a regenerating change has not taken place, "cannot please God;" and, as a better instructed Churchman than Mr. Southey, from the article which declares, "that man of his own nature is inclined to evil, and that continually." Mr. Wesley found himself under guilt, and had alarms as to his state after death. All this may be resolved into an "ascetic disposition" and "nervous affection ;" but it is surely a momentous inquiry, which every man ought to make, whether, whilst unregenerated and un-

pardoned, he has any just hope of a future felicity. If Mr. Southey will study the excellent doctrine of the Church of which he now professes to be a member, he will not find the subject treated in so light a manner. He will be taught not only "to acknowledge," but to "bewail, his manifold sins," and that because they have "most justly provoked the Divine wrath and indignation" against him. He may think the doctrine of justification by faith fanatical, yet it was not until Mr. Wesley's acquaintance with the Moravians that he came fully to understand the views taken of this subject by the very Church of which he was a clergyman; and his mind was never so fully imbued with the letter and spirit of the article in which she has so truly interpreted St. Paul, as when he learned from Peter Böhler, almost in the words of the article itself, that "we are justified by faith only," and that this is a most "wholesome doctrine." As to the doctrine of assurance, on which Mr. Southey has bestowed so many philosophic solutions, I shall offer a few remarks in another place; but for the change in Mr. Wesley's feelings after he became acquainted with the "doctrine of justification by faith only," and was enabled to exercise that trust in the merits of Christ "whereof cometh salvation," he might have found a better reason, had he either consulted St. Paul, who says, "We joy in God, by whom we have received the reconciliation," or his own Church, which has emphatically declared that doctrine not only to be very wholesome, but also "very full of comfort."

All the great principles implied in the account of Mr. Wesley's inquiries and impressions, until his conversion from a nominal or defective to a real Christianity, are then to be found, not only in the Scriptures, but in the formularies of that Church which, as Mr. Southey believes, rightly interprets their meaning. It may be added, that they are also found in the writings of the most distinguished divines of every orthodox Church, and in every age. Let us then examine how he has treated this interesting and eventful period of Mr. Wesley's life.

The whole of those religious feelings to which we have adverted, whether of sorrow or of joy, fear or confidence, are resolved into constitutional habit, and enthusiasm. In entering upon the subject, he observes, "He," Mr. Wesley,

“applied himself to theological studies; his devotional feelings, thus fostered, soon acquired the predominance in a frame of mind like his.” The meaning of which is, that there was in Mr. Wesley’s mind a constitutional adaptation to strong and singular devotional habits; and that as circumstances were wanting to bring the principle into action, the study of theology occurred as the exciting cause. But if this passage should be thought in itself equivocal, the import of it is sufficiently explained in other parts of the work, which abounds in an offensive, and more than semi-infidel, manner of thinking and speaking on these sacred subjects.

“Voltaire,” we are told, “labored in the cause of immorality and unbelief;” Wesley, in that of “religious enthusiasm.” “Law is a powerful writer; it is said that few books have ever made so many religious enthusiasts as his ‘Christian Perfection,’ and his ‘Serious Call.’” On Mr. Wesley’s way from America to England, with a firmer conviction of his sinfulness and guilt than when he left his native country, and now taught that by faith alone he could obtain remission of sins, he was oppressed with the fear of death, and made the following observations on the state of his mind, which Mr. Southey has quoted from his Journal :

“Let us observe hereon : 1. That not one of those hours ought to pass out of my remembrance till I attain another manner of spirit, a spirit equally willing to glorify God by life or by death. 2. That whoever is uneasy on any account, (bodily pain alone excepted,) carries in himself his own conviction that he is so far an unbeliever. Is he uneasy at the apprehension of death? Then he believeth not that ‘to die is gain.’ At any of the events of life? Then he hath not a firm belief that ‘all things work together for’ his ‘good.’ And if he bring the matter more close, he will always find, besides the general want of faith, every particular uneasiness is evidently owing to the want of some particular temper.”

This is a good subject for Mr. Southey’s philosophy. He thinks there was no reason for these fears, and that Mr. Wesley’s feelings might have been accounted for by referring to “the state of his pulse or stomach.” But it does not appear that his health was at all disordered; and if it had been, the solution can only prove satisfactory to those who either neglect to take the doctrines of Scripture into their consider-

ation, or wilfully reject them. Is it surprising that a person on a sea-voyage should be impressed with his liability to danger? And is it not most natural, if any belief in God, and his own relations to an eternal world, exist in his mind, and if he is any thing more than a trifler in the concerns of his salvation, that he should seriously examine his degree of preparation for an event which no wise man will treat with indifference? If the force of Mr. Wesley's reasoning on the fear of death, in the passage just quoted, has escaped Mr. Southey, it is because he has not so carefully studied the New Testament as literature of another kind. He would otherwise have learned, that one of the great ends of the coming of Christ was, "to deliver them who had been all their lifetime subject to bondage through the fear of death;" and that an oppressive and servile apprehension of our last hour is utterly inconsistent with a true and lively faith in Him who is "the resurrection and the life."

Mr. Wesley, however, had discovered the possibility of this great deliverance; and for such a faith as might bring to his mind the comforting persuasion of the favor of God, at all times, and in all circumstances, he most earnestly and constantly prayed. What he sought, he found. But Mr. Southey's dexterity never fails him; and he can as easily detect the fallacy of Mr. Wesley's joys as of his sorrows, of his faith as of his fear. The account is cited from his Journal:

"I felt I did trust in Christ alone for salvation; and an assurance was given me that he had taken away all *my* sins, even *mine*, and saved me from the law of sin and death. But it was not long before the enemy suggested, 'This cannot be faith,' " etc. Here Mr. Southey comes in: "How many a thought arising from instinctive logic, which is grounded on common sense, has been fathered upon the personified principle of evil!" and thus gives sufficient indication of his religious system. We are not only told that this change from doubt to confidence, and from disquiet to peace, was, in the whole process, a delusion, carried on in opposition to common sense; which, however, would occasionally revolt, and throw in its counter plea of "instinctive logic;" but the *ci-devant* Socinian is suffered to come forth here without a veil. Mr. Wesley referred his subsequent visitation of doubt "to the enemy;" but there is no such thing; what we call his temp-

tations arise from the instinctive logic of common sense ; and the tempter, with whom our Lord conflicted forty days,—and the “god of this world,” whose agency is said by the apostle to have been so constantly employed to counteract the gospel, —and “the devil whom we are to resist, that he may fly from us,”—and our “adversary the devil,” to whose wiles we are exhorted to oppose a constant sobriety and vigilance, is, by a true Socinian interpretation, resolved into a personification—“the personified principle of evil !”

But Mr. Southey also meets the case with logic, though I cannot call it the “instinctive logic of common sense.” He would prove, that on Mr. Wesley’s own showing, his doctrine of assurance cannot be sustained. Mr. Wesley doubted, was assured, and doubted again. “Here,” says Mr. Southey, triumphantly, “was a plain contradiction in terms ; an assurance which had not assured him.” A true logic would have reminded him, that contraries may at different times be predicated of the same thing without a contradiction. Mr. Wesley does not say that he was assured, and not assured, at the same time ; and as certainly as assurance may succeed to doubt, so may doubt follow assurance. But Mr. Southey has not been just to the case. Mr. Wesley does not affirm that he was unassured at any period after this. There may be visitations of doubtful suggestion, which do not destroy the habit of assurance ; and this is what he in substance says, and no more. The rest is perversion, not logic. Even in the quotation which follows, Mr. Southey might have discovered this : “Now,” says Mr. Wesley, “I was always conqueror.” Nor were those agitations of mind of long continuance. Mr. Wesley’s Journal from this time presents the undisturbed picture of a mind calmly confiding in God, and animated and solaced with the fulness of faith and hope. The bendings of the tree under the wind, whilst the stem is yet tender, are surely no proof that it is uprooted : in its agitations it grasps the soil more, and owes to them its future firmness.

From this manner of dealing with Mr. Wesley’s early religious history, Mr. Southey’s unfitness to judge of his whole character, and of the work he was appointed by Providence to perform, may be estimated ; and one cannot but regret that a writer who presents himself often under very amiable views as to temper and candor, and who is so respect-

able in literary ability, should be destitute of that knowledge, and of those principles, which alone could qualify him to write on subjects with respect to which his views will be greatly altered if ever he is made to understand that "the kingdom of God is not in word" only, "but in power." He will surely then comprehend that there is in the religion of the New Testament more than a sublime doctrine, an ethical purity, and a theological system; that it is intended to effect something deeper, more permanent and holy, than the excitement of a poetic sentimentalism; that it makes provision for the pardon of human guilt, and the restoration of conscious friendship between man and his Maker; that it makes the promise of the Holy Spirit to all who sincerely ask his influence; and that, under his agency, the heart is comforted and renewed, in order to the production of fruits of obedient righteousness. Mr. Southey ought, also, to have reflected that Mr. Wesley's conversion to what he, on the best grounds, believed to be a vital and efficient Christianity, was not an individual case, peculiar to himself. Take away the mere circumstances, and it is substantially the same process through which all have gone, whether the learned or unlearned good of every Church, and of every age. Had Mr. Southey been better acquainted with the writings of the best divines, and with religious biography, he would have known this. All the observations he has bestowed upon the conversion of Mr. Wesley lie, therefore, against conversion itself, for of the sincerity of Mr. Wesley he has no doubt; and the true question is, whether there is any such thing in fact as a process of moral recovery and renewal, carried on in the hearts of obedient men, by the agency of the Spirit of God, his word and his ordinances. Mr. Southey has not, I am persuaded, paused to consider the tremendous consequences of that negative which he has more than suggested. If he had, he would much rather have incurred the charge of enthusiasm himself, than been the means of misleading one immortal being on a point so fearfully momentous. He would not then have given his sanction to those wretched deceits in which the human heart is too prone to intrench itself, in order to resist the claims of Heaven; nor would he have associated himself with infidels, in the attempt to cast ridicule upon subjects which affect the vital principles of the religion of the Bible.

S E C T I O N I I .

F A L S E P H I L O S O P H Y .

IT may serve more fully to counteract the mischievous effects of Mr. Southey's book, to point out other instances in which he has betrayed equal incaution, and indulged in unworthy sarcasms, at the expense of those great principles upon which religion is founded. I should call several passages insidious attacks upon the Christian faith, did I not conceive them to be the result of some blinding system of partial unbelief, which he has hastily taken up in exchange for former errors, and given to it the name of Christianity. A solemn examination of his religious opinions is an exercise for which I heartily wish him leisure, or a determination to make it. This necessary, and all-important act to himself, may be the more confidently urged upon him, because his views on many religious subjects are opposed not merely to what is peculiar in the tenets held by Mr. Wesley, but to opinions which are held in common by almost every Church in Christendom.

In his introductory chapter, Mr. Southey indulges a sneer at all religious sects for supposing their leaders raised up by a special providence. This might have escaped notice, from the gentle manner in which it is expressed, were not his incredulity on this subject corroborated by the spirit of the whole book, in which there is a total absence of any admission of the agency of Providence in the appearance, labors, and the effects produced in the world by eminent men, though, when soberly applied, that doctrine affords a key to many particulars in their lives not otherwise easily explained. In many passages, also, other causes are resorted to, in order to account for such effects, as though for the express purpose of excluding the interposition of the Governor of the world in the affairs of men. The doctrine of providence may be ill

applied ; and its special favors and designation may be claimed for men very ill entitled to that distinction. One may be a powerful agent of evil, permitted in the course of judicial visitation : another may be raised up to enlighten and benefit mankind. The result settles this point, without weakening that general principle of providential government on which even a false application rests. It can scarcely be now a matter of doubt whether Loyola or Luther was the agent designated by Providence for good. Providence must be allowed in both cases ; but in one there was permission of evil, in the other the appointment of means to benefit and bless mankind. There is a philosophy which, though not professedly infidel, excludes Almighty God, as much as possible without betraying itself, from the material universe, and substitutes in his place some sounding but unmeaning phrase, as "nature," or "the laws of nature." It is, however, a worse error when the same habit of thinking is applied to cases which fall under the moral government of God. The design of the Holy Scriptures is to bring the Almighty near to us : the object of this wretched philosophy is to hide him from our sight by surrounding us with innumerable second causes, and ascribing to them an efficiency which, in themselves, they do not possess. The Scriptures and this philosophy cannot both be true ; and he who marks a providential design and interposition in almost every event, and carries truth into error by excess, thinks more nobly, and much more in the spirit of the sacred writings, than he who regards nature and the moral system as vast machines full of self-moving powers, and places the great Author at the head, as an idle spectator, who never interposes but when some great disorder is likely to happen, or when, having occurred, it is to be so rectified that all may again go on, self-animated and self-impelled.

The quantum of positive infidelity in all such views is not small ; and though some professed Christians have given them their sanction, they have been culpably negligent of the doctrines of their own faith, or traitors to it. Yet even on their own principle of introducing a Divine agency only on great occasions, their sneers at the supposed providential designation of such men as Wesley and Whitefield may be met without dismay. He who acknowledges a providential agency in the overthrow and elevation of human thrones ; in wars which

abstract a few leagues of land from one power to add to the territories of another; in the invention of arts, which advance civilized life; and the diffusion of commerce, which gives the strength and intelligence of matured nations to those which are but in the infancy of the social state, and yet denies it in the lives and actions of men to whom the reformation of corruptions in religion, and the revival of its true spirit, are, as instruments, owing; in the case of those who have established and matured the Bible societies and the missionary societies of the day; and in that of many modern missionaries, who are planting the imperishable principles of truth and godliness in pagan countries, and laying there the wide and deep foundations of future order, happiness, and salvation—suffers his judgment to be influenced by very false measures of what is great and what is little. He is like the peasant, whose dull attention is indeed raised to God when the storm of winter howls around his hut, and the thunder-cloud darts its bolt upon the neighboring tree, but sees him not in the showers of spring, and in that diffusive life which is taken up by every root, ascends every fibre, and on every stem forms, by a process at once the most beautiful and wonderful in nature, the fruit upon which millions are to subsist. Separate from their connection with the grand scheme of human recovery, (a point of view in which such reasoners do not consider them,) the revolutions of states and kingdoms do not present occasions for Divine interposition so great as they affect; and in comparison of the effects produced by the Wesleys, the Whitefields, the Eliots, and Brainerds, the Cokes and the Careys, the Buchanans and the Martyns, they are as the idle play or the mischievous pastime of children. By these men, whose names the world will not deign to register in its calendar, and to whom its historians will not devote one of their pages, have those great and peaceful revolutions been commenced, which will not end till “the earth shall be filled with the knowledge of the glory of the Lord.” If, then, we are to acknowledge the interposition of Providence in great affairs only, it is impossible to exclude it when such men come forth to purify and bless our world.

But for all this, also, Mr. Southey has a ready solution, without referring to Providence at all: “In all stirring seasons, when any great changes are to be operated either in the

sphere of human knowledge or of human actions, agents enough are ready to appear; and those men who become for posterity the great landmarks of their age, receive their bias from the times in which they live, and the circumstances in which they are placed, before they themselves give the directing impulse." Here is another attempt to philosophize; but it is superficial and unsatisfactory. The object of it is to bar the notion that Divine Providence from time to time raises up and qualifies men to produce great effects upon society; and to explain the whole of their agency by effects mechanically produced upon them by the operation of circumstances "in stirring seasons." If this were the whole truth of the case, it would not serve Mr. Southey's argument. For as all men are not made great and active in these "stirring seasons," those who become eminent must have something peculiar in their moral and intellectual constitution, to receive the impression of "circumstances," and to catch the spirit of the "times" in which they live; and their constitutional adaptation to these ends as certainly indicates the agency of Providence, as if they were endowed with the qualities requisite to produce great effects previously to the existence of the circumstances to which so much efficiency is ascribed, and independent of them. But the argument is built upon an entirely false assumption, both as to Mr. Whitefield, with reference to whom it is used, and Mr. Wesley. The time in which they commenced their labors was no "stirring season," in a religious sense. Mr. Southey himself has otherwise depicted it. They did not find religious energy; but, under God, they created it. They were not awakened to action because other men were stirring: they themselves awoke first, and then aroused a slumbering world. In like manner it was not the "stirring season" of Christian zeal for the salvation of Pagans which kindled the love and tendered the sympathies of the founders of modern missions. The Christians of our country did not make them the friends of heathen lands; but the generous flame was excited among the friends of religion at home by their appeals, and roused to increased vigor by their labors and triumphs. The friends of the negro slave, and the indignant opposers of the oppressions of Africa, owe not to the circumstances in which they were placed those high-toned feelings of justice, mercy, and national honor,

which called forth their long-frustrated efforts. It was no "stirring season" of compassion for Africa, when they commenced that career of humanity which has given immortality to the names of a Wilberforce, a Clarkson, and others. They brought, as to this great subject, the moral feeling of the country up to its proper standard; and did not follow, but led the way. I deny not, indeed, a reaction of circumstances, when they have been created, upon the minds of such agents; but this, so far from weakening the argument as to providence, only confirms it. It proves its agency, both in persons and circumstances; and such cases resemble those reciprocal adaptations in the material world, which so greatly confirm our belief in the existence of a supreme Creator, by enlarging, and rendering unequivocal, the proofs of contrivance and design.

Mr. Southey has views of the Christian ministry as singularly defective, whether considered as a Christian or a Churchman, as are his opinions on the subject of providence. It would seem, from these volumes, that he is not a believer in the direct influence of the Holy Spirit on the heart of man; though, of course, he prays for it whenever he attends his church, and, I hope, without any softening mental periphrasis. It would have read singularly, had he given us, upon his own principles, a paraphrase on that being "moved by the Holy Ghost," which every clergyman professes. It would, of course, have excluded all stirring of the affections in zeal for the glory of Christ, and compassion to the perishing souls of men; all deep convictions of duty, and inward impulses to a work which, though involving a fearful responsibility, make the party feel that "a necessity is laid upon him" to undertake it. This was the "enthusiasm" of Wesley and Whitefield; but he forgets that it is the enthusiasm which is embodied and glows in the Ordination Service of the Church of England, one of the most solemn, impressive, and holy forms by which ministers were ever dedicated to the service of the gospel. Equally does he exclude Divine agency in the success of the ministry, as in the call to it; and the effects produced by the preaching of the founders of Methodism find, of course, an ample explanation in his ready and never-failing philosophy. He allows no sanctification of the vessel for the Master's use; and no interposition of the Master's hand to fashion it to his own design, and then to apply it, so

fashioned, as the instrument of his own purpose. With him the instrument is all: it is self-motive, and self-efficient. This would be consistent enough, if Mr. Southey considered religion an opinion, and a ceremonial. I do him the justice to allow that there are passages in his work which embody higher conceptions of its nature. He admits that, by the preaching of Mr. Wesley, "drunkards were reclaimed, sinners were converted, the penitents who came in despair were sent away with the full assurance of joy: the dead sleep of indifference was broken; and oftentimes his eloquence reached the hard brute heart, and, opening it, like the rock of Horeb, made way for the living spring of piety which had been pent within." I will not make "a man an offender for a word," nor stop now to show that eloquence was not adequate to produce these effects, and that "the spring of piety" pent in the hard brute heart is rather a poetic imagining than a truth of experience. It is enough that Mr. Southey allows in this passage a change in the hearts of men, produced by the preaching of a zealous and holy minister of Christ—a change, as he elsewhere expresses it, "in the habits and moral nature of the proselytes." But, in all this, Divine agency is not allowed: Mr. Southey has his array of causes under command; and at the given signal they fail not to place themselves at the head of every remarkable result of this kind, and to assert an exclusive claim to its origination. But he shall be heard. Speaking of Mr. Whitefield, and the impression made by his preaching, he says:

“The man who produced this extraordinary effect had many natural advantages. He was something above the middle stature, well proportioned, though at that time slender, and remarkable for a native gracefulness of manners. His complexion was very fair, his features regular, his eyes small and lively, of a dark-blue color. In recovering from the measles he had contracted a squint with one of them; but this peculiarity rather rendered the expression of his countenance more rememberable, than in any degree lessened the effect of its uncommon sweetness. His voice excelled both in melody and compass; and its fine modulations were happily accompanied by that grace of action which he possessed in an eminent degree, and which has been said to be the chief requisite of an orator. An ignorant man described his eloquence

oddly, but strikingly, when he said that Mr. Whitefield preached like a lion. So strange a comparison conveyed no unapt notion of the force, and vehemence, and passion of that oratory which awed the hearers, and made them tremble, like Felix before the apostle. For, believing himself to be the messenger of God, commissioned to call sinners to repentance, he spoke as one conscious of his high credentials, with authority and power; yet in all his discourses there was a fervent and melting charity, an earnestness of persuasion, an outpouring of redundant love, partaking the virtue of that faith from which it flowed, inasmuch as it seemed to enter the heart which it pierced, and to heal it as with balm."

Of Mr. Wesley he remarks, after quoting a pointed passage from one of his sermons: "This was the emphatic manner in which Mr. Wesley used to address his hearers, knowing, as he did, that there would always be some among them to whom it would be precisely suited." Many observations of similar import might be quoted, but it is unnecessary. These are sufficient to show that Mr. Southey is inclined to ascribe the successes of faithful ministers to any thing but to a superhuman cause. The passage respecting Mr. Whitefield is truly eloquent, but that is its only praise. The extraordinary effect was produced by "*the man.*" To accomplish it he had many "natural advantages" of person and voice. He had also mental energy; for, "believing himself to be the messenger of God," or, as he elsewhere says, "being, as he believed, under the influence of the Divine presence, he spoke with authority and power." This then was the secret of the success which followed Mr. Whitefield's preaching. For that of Mr. Wesley, it had the advantage of pulpit finesse: he spoke pointedly, "knowing it would suit somebody." But his artifice did not stop here: "he knew how to produce effect." He preached, for instance, on his father's tombstone in the churchyard of Epworth. Mr. Southey has the reason: he did so, "knowing he should derive a deeper passion from the ground on which he stood, like the Greek tragedian, who, when he performed Electra, brought into the theatre the urn containing the ashes of his own child." Mr. Wesley's habits of field-preaching threw him into places where the scenery was greatly varied. Mr. Southey's poetic susceptibility here comes to the aid of his philosophy; and

he discovers that many of these localities had attributes of the sublime or beautiful. Mr. Wesley himself was a man of taste, and was susceptible of the impressions of natural scenery; these impressions he often records in a very interesting manner in his *Journal*; and Mr. Southey is thus enabled to discover that he chose his stations with reference to their "effect." "The situations in which he preached sometimes contributed to the impression; and he himself perceived that natural influences operated upon the multitude, like the pomp and circumstances of Romish worship." Mr. Southey is rather pushed for efficient causes in this part of his work to account for the results produced by Mr. Wesley's preaching; and he therefore resorts to "the deep shade of the sycamore trees, which surround the farm-houses in Cumberland," and "the twilight, and the calm of the evening, as the means of heightening the impression." The natural amphitheatre at Gwennap: the projecting rock at St. Ives, with the murmur of the neighboring surge; and the spacious sweep of land under the ruins of the castle and the old city-wall of Exeter, also come in to his aid; and these poetic causalities are completed by the opportune perching of a bird, on one occasion, upon one of the boughs of the old sycamores, "singing without intermission from the beginning of the service to the end," assisting the preacher, of course, in turning men from darkness to light, from the power of Satan unto God! We are not informed how similar effects were produced when no rocks reared their frowning heads, and when the sea was too far off to mix its murmurs with the preacher's voice: when no ruined castle nodded over the scene, and when the birds were so provokingly timid as to hasten away to an undisturbed solitude. He forgets, too, that the peasants of Cumberland were much accustomed to sycamore trees about their farm-houses: that the fishermen of St. Ives were daily in sight of rocks; and that the people of Exeter had too often seen the nodding castle and the ruined city-wall, to be much impressed by them. To the preacher these scenes were new, to his hearers they were familiar; so that if we suppose the preacher excited by them, we still want a cause for the production of a corresponding feeling in the multitudes which hung upon his lips. But had they been as new to them, the impression would have been comparatively weak. It is not

upon uncultivated minds that such scenes strongly operate. Theirs is chiefly the “brute unconscious gaze;” for taste is awakened by culture; and the hearers of Mr. Wesley on these occasions, at least such of them generally as received “the impression” in all its fulness, neither had the advantage of general education, nor had the corps of lake poets then sprung into existence to crowd every country library with their reveries, and thus to prepare the public mind to throw itself into ecstasies and “rapt” at the sight of “a daffodil,” or the “warbling of a bird.”

I do not ask whether this reasoning upon the causes of the impression made by the preaching of the founders of Methodism accords with the principles of revealed religion; but is it philosophy? If one of the main branches of that science is to assign the true reason of things, and to trace out the causes of effects; and if that be a false or a superficial philosophy which assigns to any effect a cause absolutely inadequate, or which will but very partially explain it, then has Mr. Southey’s philosophy failed him; and he has afforded another proof that as, on many subjects, religion is indeed the only philosophy, he who refuses to take its principles into his estimate of things, becomes thereby not the wiser, but the more mistaken, man.

We have seen the causes assigned by Mr. Southey for the effects in question; let the effects also be more fully examined, in order to a just comparison between the one and the other. Those effects he acknowledges “in most instances to have been a change operated in the practical habits, and in the moral nature, of the proselytes.” But he thus admits every thing necessary to his own refutation. This is the change expressed in the theological term “conversion,” and in the Scriptures, by the phrase “being born again.” A conviction of the necessity of such a conversion in order to salvation is the source of those penitential and anxious feelings which characterize the commencement of a religious course. The sense of danger whilst this change remains unaccomplished, and the hope of pardon and renewal, call forth, in all sincere persons, strong desires and earnest prayers; here, then, commences that new religious habit which never fails to excite the ridicule of the world. Such persons from that moment become, in Mr. Southey’s estimation, “enthusi-

asts and fanatics ;" language certainly of a very singular kind to be used by a writer who acknowledges that a moral change was actually wrought in them, and that it was "a direct and real benefit." As he admits also that such a change was produced, it follows that a new order of feelings must, in the nature of the case, be created. That which was an object of desire now comes into conscious possession, and anxiety ceases ; the ground of former fears, the conviction that no such change had been wrought, and yet that it was necessary to salvation, is taken away, and the peace and satisfaction which arise from a state of safety flow into the heart. Mr. Southey, however, will not, or cannot, follow out his own admissions ; and this inward peace and joy are with him the sure indications of a fanatical state of mind. But a "change in the habits and moral nature" implies even much more ; and much more must be granted by Mr. Southey, since he has granted so much. By Christian doctrines and principles I try his opinions ; because if he is not a believer in Christianity, he ought in fairness to have made that avowal ; and if he knows any thing of the Christianity he now professes, as it is found in the Scriptures, and expounded by all orthodox Churches, he knows that "a change in the moral nature" of man, as effected by the doctrine and influence of the gospel, includes the sanctification of the affections ; dominion not only over sin, but also over excessive worldly cares and attachments ; a devotional habit ; a cheerful and active benevolence ; a steady zeal for the honor of God, and for the extension of religion ; and, if no subsequent remissness take place, a constant improvement in the habits of holiness, and a growing preparation for the high and unmixed felicities of another state, where all the "pure in heart"—all in whom this "change in the moral nature has been operated"—"shall see God." Here are the effects—effects so lofty in themselves and in their consequences, that no change of any other kind, and however effected, can bear the least comparison with this ; a change which restores a fallen creature to the image and enjoyment of God on earth, and leads him through "the valley of the shadow of death," without dread, into an eternal rest. To this result, as far as human eye can pierce—as far as sincerity, and faith, and well-grounded hope, can be tried and manifested in seasons of sickness, and in the last

pangs of dissolution—the preaching of the Wesleys, and of Whitefield, conducted thousands. The question then is, whether the cause of such effects is a human or a Divine agency. The Scriptures ascribe the change to the Holy Spirit—“born of the Spirit;” the “renewing of the Holy Ghost.” Agreeably to this, we pray in the liturgy that the thoughts of our hearts may be cleansed by the inspiration of the Holy Spirit; and acknowledge that Almighty God alone can order the unruly wills and affections of men. Mr. Southey, however, gives a very different suffrage. The unruly wills and affections of men in the cases in question were ordered, not by Almighty God, but by Whitefield’s tuneful voice and energetic manner; by Wesley’s insinuating address, and pulpit art, and landscape preaching. By such agencies alone vice was controlled; men were made new creatures; the sting of death was extracted; the poor were made content; the sufferer in long and painful sickness was calmed, and soothed, and gladdened; and heaven dawned upon eyes darkening in death, and closing without regret upon the scenes of earthly hope and felicity. O poor and pitiful philosophy! If it were in the power of any *man* to effect this, then ought he, in all reason, to become a god to the rest of his species. If these results are sincerely believed to have been produced by Wesley and Whitefield, without that “Divine presence” under which they “believed” themselves to preach and act, then is Mr. Southey’s ridicule of the superstitious veneration felt for them by their followers very ill-placed and inconsistent. Instead of being reverenced as instruments, they ought to have been adored as divinities. If Mr. Southey be right, there are saints in the calendar of the Romish Church to whom that Church is highly culpable in offering its adoration, not because it pays them too much honor, but too little: it ought to exchange its inferior adoration of apostles and confessors and early missionaries, into that which is ultimate and absolute.

But it is not difficult to discover the reason of all this error. There is ordinarily an adaptation in the instruments by which the Divine Being works in the accomplishment of his benevolent purposes. Those eminent individuals who, in their day, have produced great effects upon mankind have had great qualities. This adaptation both of persons and

circumstances to the work they were intended to effect, forms a part of that chain of second causes, of which the professors of that shallow philosophy which, in modern times, has often put itself forward with so confident a pretension, avail themselves, to exclude all consideration and acknowledgment of the hand of God. The discovery that such second causes exist, was not so difficult that they have any great reason to compliment their own sagacity upon it; but it is from thence concluded, that the primary and exclusive cause has been detected. There are, however, two classes of intermediate causes through which Divine power operates. In the first, there is no adaptation to produce the effect, in any stage of the process; as when the dead have been raised by the voice of a man, and when clay was applied by our Lord to cure a case of blindness. In the second there is a fitness to produce the incipient stages of the result; as when the eloquence and earnestness of a preacher rouse attention to the doctrines which he delivers. But the ultimate effect, the completion of the process, the successful issue, as much transcends the visible cause in the one case, as when conversion, or, as Mr. Southey chooses to say, "a change in the moral nature," follows the ministry of such a preacher, as in the other. It is this circumstance which distinguishes the ordinary operations of God from miracles; which, to answer any end, must be of rare occurrence, and take place under circumstances which shall make their author immediately visible to the most unthinking. But though the interposition of an apparently adapted cause between the Divine power and the result is that which takes the wise in "their own craftiness;" and is, in just punishment, made the snare for all who come to such subjects with minds predisposed to exclude Divine agency as far as possible, and with a most culpable reluctance to acknowledge the hand of God in his own works, the deductions of such men, notwithstanding the pretence of learning and investigation with which they are surrounded, are gross and limited. They are as irreligious and absurd as if it were asserted that the season of spring owes its verdure solely to the increased temperature of the atmosphere; and that this cause is so manifestly efficient, that it would be fanatical to advert to the agency of Him who leads the circling seasons, and works by the tepid moisture of spring, and the heats of

summer, to produce and to mature the fruits of the earth. Thus Gibbon was led astray in writing his celebrated chapter on the spread of Christianity in the first ages. By a very similar habit of thinking, Mr. Southey has got rid of the agency of God in the success of the ministry of the founders of Methodism, and, by consequence, in that of every minister of Christ who has ever "converted a sinner from the error of his ways." In the same way, could Mr. Southey dispose of the miraculous attestations to St. Paul's mission, might he account, most philosophically too, for the rest of his history. That greatest of mere men had also qualifications adapted for his work, and they had their causal operation. Mr. Southey could have described them most eloquently; and in presenting his readers with the *rationale* of his success, there would have been as much room for the display of his philosophy as in the case of Wesley and Whitefield. He could have told us of the "stirring temper," "the fiery heart," the "ambition," the "enthusiasm" of St. Paul; of the "aspiring presages" of what he was able to effect; of "the powers of which he was conscious." He could have given us the reason of his preferring to visit cities rather than villages, because the inhabitants of the country are "less susceptible," and "the effect could only be kept up in populous places, where men are powerfully acted upon by sympathy, whether for evil or good." He could have told us also why Paul stood on Mars' Hill at Athens—it would be "to produce a deeper passion;" and the reason, too, why he led the disciples down to the seaside to pray with them, because "he himself perceived that natural influences operated upon the multitude." I know not whether there were rocks like those of St. Ives on the Tyrian shore, but rocks of some kind were there; and there was the sea, and probably there might be at the time a hoarse murmuring of its waves. If St. Paul were placed in the circumstances of an ordinary minister, and the awe of inspiration and miraculous powers did not surround him, so much does Mr. Southey affect a philosophizing habit, that I should not be surprised at his attempting an investigation of his ministry on these principles. How much might he have said on the learning and zeal of Paul, and the eloquence of Apollos, as the causes of their success! and yet the Holy Spirit, speaking by the for-

mer, has determined the question, both in their case, and, *a fortiori*, in that of inferior ministers: “Paul may plant and Apollos water, but God giveth the increase.” Both had eminent ministerial qualifications, and to these were added the endowment of miraculous powers; but neither the one nor the other was the efficient cause of the conversion of men by their ministry: “God giveth the increase.”

These instances of Mr. Southey’s false or essentially defective views on religious subjects might be greatly enlarged, but topics of greater weight call for notice. If any should say that it is too much to expect that the Poet Laureate should be a divine, the answer is, that, without a common initiation at least in the principles of religion, the Poet Laureate ought not to have uttered his *dicta* on the points referred to. It is surely not too much to expect that a professed member of the Church of England should understand his Catechism and the Book of Common Prayer.

S E C T I O N I I I .

ENTHUSIASM.

CHARGES of enthusiasm, as may be supposed, make a very conspicuous figure in Mr. Southey's book. The term has this peculiarity, that in every thing else but religion it is laudatory or innocent; in that only, does it convey contempt and imply censure. Mr. Southey has very liberally applied it, and so indiscriminately as entirely to confound the conceptions of his readers, if he did not mean to represent all ardor, all earnestness in religion as enthusiastic, as well as those excesses of the imagination and affections which are truly so. In this, as in other instances, he has no steady standard of judging. Either his mind never conceived such a rule, or he had not "the heart" faithfully to apply it. I shall supply the defect, and try the questions, as they may arise, by those principles which by Christians generally are held sacred. Mr. Southey cannot complain of this; for if indeed he be recovered to the belief of the truth, he must not play fast and loose with it. He must not now look toward his Christian associates, and then toward his old anti-Christian ones: "No man can serve two masters."

A few cases which he resolves into enthusiasm may be properly adduced, as an antidote to the mischief with which some of his remarks are charged. His account of Mr. Whitefield might furnish many; but one shall suffice. "He was now in a state of high enthusiasm." The proof is as follows; "Uncommon manifestations, he says, were granted him from above. Early in the morning, at noon-day, evening, and midnight, nay, all the day long, did the Redeemer visit and refresh his heart. Could the trees of the wood speak, they would tell what sweet communion he and his Christian

brethren had, under their shade, enjoyed with God. ‘Sometimes, as I have been walking, my soul would make such sallies, that I thought it would go out of the body. At other times I would be so overcome with a sense of the infinite majesty of God, that I would be constrained to throw myself prostrate on the ground, and offer my soul as a blank to write on it what he pleased.’” Whitefield’s manner of expression is not always to be praised; but it oftener offends a good taste than in this passage. Here are, it is true, strong emotions; but when we are told that all is high enthusiasm, “the ungrounded fancies of a man’s brain,” as Locke describes enthusiasm to be, we must hesitate. Let the sentiments in this quotation be stripped of a dress of words, which to men of Mr. Southey’s habits may appear novel and strange, what have we in this passage but the communion of an ardently devout man with his Maker; an awful and overwhelming reverence of the majesty of God; and the profound submission of a mind which, recognizing his absolute rights and authority, loses all its self-will, and presents itself in the spirit of entire sacrifice, to be disposed of as he may determine? It is a poor and superficial way of thinking, that, because Whitefield’s mind was naturally ardent, all this emotion is to be resolved into natural passion. Devotional feelings may receive strength and intensity from the natural habit; but what is it which moves the natural powers, and gives them this pious direction? They were not always thus impelled and directed; and in attributing this effect to enthusiasm, religion itself, by which alone the varied powers of the mind are sanctified and urged to those great ends for which man in his state of trial ought to live, is impugned. This communion with God, conscious and vital; this prostrate awe of God; this entire submission of soul to him, is the enthusiasm of the Scriptures, and the enthusiasm, too, of the most eminent devotional writers of all ages, and of the Church of England herself. Mr. Southey commends Bishop Taylor’s “Holy Living and Dying” in high terms. Let him hear, then, what this “splendid work,” as he calls it, recommends: “Let this actual thought often return, that God is omnipresent; filling every place. This thought, by being frequent, will make an habitual dread and reverence towards God, and fear in all thy actions.” “In your retirement make frequent colloquies, or

short discourses between God and thy own soul. Every act of complaint or thanksgiving, every act of rejoicing or mourning, every petition and every return of the heart in these intercourses, is a going to God, and appearing in his presence." "He walks as in the presence of God that converses with him in frequent prayer, and frequent communion, that runs to him in all his necessities, that asks counsel of him in all his doubtings, that opens all his wants to him, that weeps before him for all his sins," etc. The good bishop was certainly in this instance, on Mr. Southey's principles, as much an enthusiast as Mr. Whitefield: he enjoins the same habit of communion with God; the same awful, yet delightful, sense of the Divine presence; nor does he conceive that these "intercourses with God," of which he speaks almost in the terms of Mr. Whitefield, can be held without producing strong emotions.

Mr. Southey is a poet, and a good one too; but it is to be feared that his admiration of Bishop Taylor is rather excited by the beauties of his works, than the divinity which they contain; that he has rather been dazzled by the coruscations of his fancy, as when he represents the "summer burned with the kisses of the sun," and speaks of the "rose newly springing from the clefts of its hood, fair as the morning, and full with the dew of heaven, as a lamb's fleece," etc., than instructed by the steady light of devotion and holiness, which he everywhere holds up to direct the conduct of his readers.

But Mr. Wesley, too, was "an enthusiast," as well as Mr. Whitefield; for every strong emotion of his heart which he himself refers to Divine influence, Mr. Southey can very satisfactorily explain by a much better reason. He quotes the following passages from his writings:

"I distinctly remember, that even in my childhood, and when I was at school, I have often said, 'They say the life of a schoolboy is the happiest in the world; but I am sure I am not happy; for I am not content, and so cannot be happy.' When I had lived a few years longer, being in the vigor of youth, a stranger to pain and sickness, and particularly to lowness of spirits, (which I do not remember to have felt one quarter of an hour ever since I was born,) having plenty of all things, in the midst of sensible and amiable friends, who loved me, and I loved them, and being in the way of life

which of all others suited my inclinations, still I was not happy. I wondered why I was not, and could not imagine what the reason was. Upon the coolest reflection, there was not one week which I would have thought it worth while to have lived over again, taking it with every inward and outward sensation, without any variation at all. The reason certainly was, that I did not know God, the source of present as well as eternal happiness."

This was Mr. Wesley's solution; but Mr. Southey has a better, and convicts him of enthusiasm: "Another reason was, that powers like his produce an inward restlessness, and a perpetual uneasy sense of discontent, till they find or force their way into action; and his restless spirit now found its proper sphere." Mr. Southey's reason is, however, so far defective, that it explains the case only as to Mr. Wesley, and not as to men in general; all of whom are conscious of a similar dissatisfaction, though they have no powers like his, no ambition like that ascribed to him by his biographer, to find or force their way into action. So thought Bishop Taylor, who, with Mr. Southey, is an authority: "Men's joys are troublesome, wavering, and full of trepidation, not only from their inconstant nature, but from their weak foundation; they arise from vanity; they dwell upon ice, and they converse with the wind; they proceed by inadvertency, and end in vanity and forgetfulness. So that, as Livius Drusus said of himself, he never had any play-days, or days of quiet, when he was a boy; for he was restless and unquiet. The same may every man observe to be true of himself: he is always restless and uneasy; he dwells upon the waters, and leans upon thorns, and lays his head upon a sharp stone." This is one of those universal facts in the moral history of mankind which the "wisdom of the world" cannot explain, though it has been recognized by all its moralists, and investigated by all its sages. To merely human philosophy it will ever be a mystery, why man is the most unsatisfied and disquieted sentient being in the creation; and why so often, in the conscious vanity of his mind, he envies the superior satisfaction of the inferior animals.

"Deep in rich pasture, will thy flocks complain?"

This mystery Christianity explains: it is the mercy of God

that the creature is not suffered to satisfy the soul; and earth is made uneasy to man, that he may learn to rest on heaven. Mr. Wesley's solution of his case, which indeed has nothing in it peculiar to himself, is certainly in the spirit of our religion, and it is one by which Mr. Southey may profit. He may also have felt in his younger years the same restlessness and dissatisfaction; and if his philosophy should now determine that these were the "aspiring presages" of future eminence; that there was a sort of instinctive tendency, even in the days of his youth, to the Laureateship of England; and that "powers like his" always produce an inward restlessness "till they find or force their way into action," it may have a good issue, if he seriously consider, whether some other and a higher power has not had its agency in these feelings, prompting him, as it prompts all, to a commerce of a superior kind than he has held with the powers of song, anxious to convey to his spirit a richer satisfaction than a world which has not been very unfavorable to him can bestow. Mr. Southey may start at the thought of becoming an enthusiast; but he may be assured that, in such a conclusion, he will make acquaintance, not only with the wise and good of every age, but with those of his own Church—a numerous band, with whose names and writings I heartily wish him more familiar.

The charges of enthusiasm which our author fixes upon certain irregularities which appeared in the early part of Methodism, and which now occasionally appear, shall be presently examined. With respect to these occurrences, a rule less severe is to be applied. There are considerations connected with them with which Mr. Southey can scarcely be supposed familiar; and considerable allowance may and ought to be made for his opinions, though even here he has not always argued so fairly as his own principles, defective as they are, required. But no such concession is to be made when he resolves into enthusiasm all those hallowed feelings of zeal for God, and tender compassion for men, which appeared so conspicuous in the great instruments of the revival of religion in this country in the last century. If Mr. Southey must be heard, then I know not what man, in any Church, distinguished by more than ordinary ardor of religious sentiment, and for great and persevering efforts in doing good, can

escape this charge. Every virtue which shines in the conduct of those who are devoted to their Saviour and his religion is darkened by the same shadow; and every holy feeling which glows in their hearts must be considered as deriving its warmth rather from the artificial fermentation of earthly principles, than from the fire of the altar. "Enthusiasm" leads the Wesleys and Whitefield into Georgia; "enthusiasm" prompts them to their excessive labors at home; all those who, in the Church or out of it, employed themselves in a work which even Mr. Southey allows effected "great good, both directly and indirectly," are enthusiasts. The early coadjutors of the Wesleys, who went into the darkest and most barbarous parts of this country, to carry forth the light of religion, and exposed themselves to labors and sufferings in their calling, are, for this very reason, aspersed with the same reproach. The excellent Gilbert, who set the first example of bestowing religious care upon their negro slaves to the planters in the West Indies, was a man "enthusiastic by constitution;" though this great, and, at that time, hazardous endeavor, for which every man of humanity ought to pronounce his name with reverence, is the only overt act on which Mr. Southey can found the charge. When Mr. Pawson declined going to America, the reason Mr. Southey assigns is, that the "fire of his enthusiasm was spent;" as though to impress it upon his readers, that none but enthusiastic men can be expected to undertake the conversion of foreign countries, and to insinuate by this innuendo, that the noble army of modern missionaries is composed only of visionaries and fanatics. If this self-denial; these unwearied and disinterested labors; this readiness to suffer; this lofty daring of the reproach of worldly men; and the principles upon which the whole was founded, lively and solemn views of eternal things, and of the perishable state of sinful men; a weeping sympathy for all distress; jealousy for the honor of Christ; and a conscientious carefulness to fill up life usefully, and to employ and improve the talents committed to them as those who must give account, be enthusiasm, I ask, Where, and what, is religion? Let Mr. Southey give us his own description of it, and enable us to detect the counterfeit. This I suspect would bring out a singular explanation of his views. The penitence of his system must never weep, nor its joys illuminate the countenance, and

fill the tongue with praise. Its zeal must be restrained within the bounds of a carefully-measured activity; for more than common energy would indicate the presence of the enthusiastic principle. His religious man must carefully observe established maxims, for to disregard them would be spiritual pride; he must not make himself conspicuous, for that would be ostentation; he must be careful not to go about in quest of doing good, for that would be religious knight-errantry; he must abstain from the indulgence of all great purposes of usefulness, for that would be ambition; he must be specially careful not to put himself to hazard, for that would be an indecent "longing for persecution." He must be as careful of his words, also, as of his conduct. He must never pointedly speak of eternal punishment, though a preacher, for he might possibly alarm the ignorant, and throw them into "convulsions;" nor of the doctrine of justification by faith only, "though wholesome and very full of comfort," for that would indicate an approach to "the delirious stage of fanaticism;" nor must he enjoin a too frequent attendance on religious ordinances, for that would be to "apply stimulants to the fever of religious excitement." For such a religionist the world is not likely to be much the wiser or better on account of his having lived in it; and he would certainly run no hazard of being taken for an "enthusiast," though he should not, like Mr. Southey, step forth from his seclusion, contemptuously to write that reproachful epithet upon the tombs of men who, having "served their generation, according to the will of God, are fallen asleep."

SECTION IV

ASSURANCE.

MR. SOUTHEY'S more specific charges of enthusiasm are founded on the doctrine of assurance, as taught by Mr. Wesley; and on certain irregularities in persons strongly affected under his preaching in the early periods of his ministry.

As to the first, the precise sense in which that doctrine was taught by the founder of Methodism is fairly stated. It was not the assurance of eternal salvation as held by Calvinistic divines; but the assurance given by the Holy Spirit to penitent and believing persons, that they are "*now* accepted of God, pardoned, and adopted into God's family." It was a doctrine, therefore, on the ground of which no relaxation of religious effort could be pleaded, and no unwatchfulness of spirit or irregularity of life allowed; for all were taught, that only by the lively exercise of the same humble and obedient faith in the merits and intercession of Christ, this assured state of mind could be maintained. This was Mr. Wesley's view of the subject, and it was urged by him as a motive, influential as our desire of inward peace, to vigilance and obedience. With Mr. Southey, this doctrine is, nevertheless, enthusiastic; it is the offspring of a disordered imagination. So he determines; and as for the Scriptures, he does not give himself the trouble to refer the question to their decision. They may support or refute the opinion; but he has another mode of disposing of theological questions. Still he must be reminded that in discussing religious doctrines he must be brought to first principles, and that by them his decisions must be tried before they can be allowed to have any force. He considers the assurance taught by Mr. Wesley as "an

enthusiastic confidence, excessive as the outrageous self-condemnation by which it was to be preceded, and in which it was to have its root ;" a passage from which it is evident that he has but very inadequate views of the guilt and danger of men in their unregenerate state, and of the degree of "self-condemnation" implied in the Scripture doctrine of repentance. Into these points I shall not enter ; but lest those who are not disposed to give up as lightly as himself the very principles on which the Christian system is founded, and by which alone it was rendered necessary—the natural corruption and the actual guilt and danger of every human being, without exception—should be misled as to the doctrine of assurance by charges of enthusiasm, it shall be briefly examined.

If, then, it is the doctrine of the inspired records, and of all orthodox Churches, that man is by nature prone to evil, and that in practice he violates that law under which, as a creature, he is placed, and is thereby exposed to punishment ; if also it is there stated, that an act of grace and pardon is promised on the conditions of repentance towards God, and faith in our Lord Jesus Christ ; if that repentance implies consideration of our ways, a sense of the displeasure of Almighty God, contrition of heart, and consequently trouble and grief of mind, mixed, however, with a hope inspired by the promise of forgiveness, and which leads to earnest supplication for the actual pardon of sin so promised, it will follow from these premises, either, 1, That forgiveness is not to be expected till after the termination of our course of probation, that is, in another life ; and that, therefore, this trouble and apprehension of mind can only be assuaged by the hope we may have of a favorable final decision on our case ; or, 2, That sin is, in the present life, forgiven as often as it is thus repented of, and as often as we exercise the required and specific acts of trust in the merits of our Saviour ; but that this forgiveness of our sins is not in any way made known unto us, so that we are left, as to our feelings, in precisely the same state as if sin were not forgiven till after death, namely, in grief and trouble of mind, relieved only by hope ; or, 3, The scriptural view is, that when sin is forgiven by the mercy of God through Christ, we are, by some means, assured of it, and peace and satisfaction of mind take the place of anxiety and fear.

The first of these conclusions is sufficiently disproved by the authority of Scripture, which exhibits justification as a blessing attainable in this life, and represents it as actually experienced by true believers. "Therefore, being justified by faith." "There is now no condemnation to them who are in Christ Jesus." "Whosoever believeth is justified from all things," etc. The quotations might be multiplied, but these are decisive. The notion that though an act of forgiveness may take place, yet that we are unable by any means to ascertain a fact so important to us, is also irreconcilable with many scriptures in which the writers of the New Testament speak of an experience, not confined personally to themselves, or to those Christians who are endowed with spiritual gifts, but common to all Christians—to which such a notion cannot be reconciled. "Being justified by faith, we have peace with God." "We joy in God, through Christ, by whom we have received the reconciliation." "We were reconciled to God by the death of his Son." "Ye have not received the spirit of bondage again to fear, but the Spirit of adoption, by which we cry, Abba, Father." To these may be added innumerable passages which express the comfort, the confidence, and the joy of Christians; their "friendship" with God; their "access" to him; their entire union and delightful intercourse with him; and their absolute confidence in the success of their prayers. All such passages are perfectly consistent with deep humility and self-diffidence; but they are irreconcilable with a state of hostility between the parties, and with an unascertained and only hoped-for restoration of friendship and favor. So likewise the services of the national Church, which with propriety, as being designed not for the use of true Christians only, but of mixed congregations, abound in acts of confession, and the expressions of fear and spiritual grief, yet exhibit this confidence and peace, as objects of earnest desire and hopeful anticipation, and as blessings attainable in the present life. We pray to be made the children of God by adoption and grace; to be "relieved from the fear of punishment by the comfort of thy grace;" not to be "left comfortless, but that God, the King of glory, would send to us the Holy Ghost to comfort us;" and that by the same Spirit having a right judgment in all things, "we may evermore rejoice in his holy comfort." In the prayer directed to be used for

persons troubled in mind or in conscience, we have also the following impressive petitions: "Break not the bruised reed, nor quench the smoking flax. Shut not up thy tender mercies in displeasure, but make him to hear of joy and gladness, that the bones which thou hast broken may rejoice. Deliver him from the fear of the enemy, and lift up the light of thy countenance upon him, and give him peace." Now, unless it be contended that by these petitions we are directed to seek what we can never find, and always to follow that which we can never overtake, the publick liturgy, in the spirit of the New Testament, assumes the forgiveness of sins, and the relief of the sorrows of the penitent state to be attainable,* with those consequent comforts and joys which can only arise from some assurance of mind, by whatever means and in whatever degree obtained, that we have a personal interest in the general promise, and that we are reconciled to God by the death of his Son. For since the general promise is made to many who will never be benefited by it, it cannot of itself be the ground of a settled religious peace of mind; and as it is a promise of blessings to be individually experienced, unless I can have personal experience of them, it holds up to hope what can never come into fruition.†

* "The third part of repentance is faith, whereby we do apprehend and take hold upon the promises of God, touching the free pardon and forgiveness of our sins; which promises are sealed up unto us, with the death and blood-shedding of his Son Jesus Christ. For what should it avail and profit us to be sorry for our sins, to lament and bewail that we have offended our most bounteous and merciful Father, or to confess and acknowledge our offences and trespasses, though it be done never so earnestly, unless we do steadfastly believe, and be fully persuaded, that God, for his Son Jesus Christ's sake, will forgive us all our sins; and put them out of remembrance and from his sight? Therefore they that teach repentance without a lively faith in our Saviour Jesus Christ, do teach none other but Judas's repentance," etc.

"It is evident and plain, then, that although we be never so earnestly sorry for our sins, acknowledge and confess them, yet all these things shall be but means to bring us to utter desperation, except we do steadily believe that God our Heavenly Father will, for his Son Jesus Christ's sake, pardon and forgive us our offences and trespasses."—*Homily on Repentance*.

† "Faith is not merely a speculative but a practical acknowledgment of Jesus as the Christ—an effort and motion of the mind towards

An assurance, therefore, that the sins which are felt to "be a burden intolerable" are forgiven, and that the ground of that apprehension of future punishment which causes the penitent to "bewail his manifold sins," is taken away by restoration to the favor of the offended God, must be allowed, or nothing would be more incongruous and impossible than the comforts, the peace, the rejoicing of spirit, which, both in the Scriptures, and in the services of almost all Churches, are attributed to believers. If, indeed, self-condemnation, and the apprehension of danger, as Mr. Southey seems to think, have no foundation but in the imagination, the case is totally altered. Where there is no danger, deliverance is visionary, and the joy it inspires is raving, and not reason. But if a real danger exists; if by various means men are brought under a serious concern to escape it; if it cannot be avoided but by an act of grace on the part of Almighty God, we must have some

God; when the sinner, convinced of sin, accepts with thankfulness the proffered terms of pardon, and, in humble confidence applying individually to himself the benefit of the general atonement, in the elevated language of a venerable Father of the Church, drinks of the stream which flows from the Redeemer's side. The effect is, that in a little he is filled with that perfect love of God which casteth out fear—he cleaves to God with the entire affection of the soul. And from this active, lively faith, overcoming the world, subduing carnal self, all those good works do necessarily spring, which God hath before ordained that we should walk in them."—*Bishop Horsley's Sermons*.

"The purchase, therefore, was paid at once, yet must be *severally reckoned* to every soul whom it shall benefit. If we have not an hand to take what Christ's hand doth either hold or offer, what is sufficient in him cannot be effectual to us. The spiritual hand, whereby we apprehend the sweet offer of our Saviour, is faith; which, in short, is no other than an affiance in the Mediator. Receive peace, and be happy. Believe, and thou hast received. Thus it is that we have an interest in all that God hath promised, or Christ hath performed. Thus have we from God both forgiveness and love, the ground of all, whether peace or glory."—*Bishop Hall's Heaven upon Earth*.

"It is the property of saving faith, that it hath a force to appropriate, and make Christ our own. Without this, a general remote belief would have been cold comfort. 'He loved me, and gave himself for me,' saith St. Paul. What saith St. Chrysostom? 'Did Christ die only for St. Paul? No; non excludit, sed appropriat:' he excludes not others; but he will secure himself."—*Bishop Brownrigg's Sermon on Easter Day*.

assurance of the performance of that act in our own case, or the guilty gloom will abide upon us. The more sincere and earnest a person is in the affair of his salvation, the more miserable he must become if there be no possibility of his being assured that the wrath of God no longer abideth upon him ; and then the ways of wisdom will be no longer “ways of pleasantness, and her paths paths of peace.” The doctrine of assurance, therefore, does not stand alone, and is not to be judged of as an isolated doctrine ; and for this reason it was quite consistent in Mr. Southey to fix the stigma of enthusiasm upon the doctrines of human corruption, guilt, and danger, as those in which assurance “has its root.” With them the doctrine of assurance must stand or fall. Forgiveness implies a previous danger ; and if we have no means of knowing when that danger is escaped, we may ask for peace and comfort, but assuredly we do not perform a reasonable service. Such petitions themselves imply the doctrine.

Few divines, therefore, have ever denied the possibility of our becoming assured of the favor of God in a sufficient degree to give substantial comfort to the mind. Their differences have rather respected the means by which the contrite become assured of that change in their relation to Almighty God whom they have offended, which in Scripture is expressed by the term justification. The question has been, (where the notion of an assurance of eternal salvation has not been under discussion, and with this Mr. Wesley’s opinions have no connection,) By what means is the assurance of Divine favor conveyed to the mind ? Some have concluded that we obtain it by inference, others by the direct testimony of the Holy Spirit to the mind. The latter was the opinion of Mr. Wesley ; but it was not so held as to reject the corroborating evidence of inference. His words are, “It is hard to find terms in the language of men to explain the deep things of God. But perhaps one might say, (desiring any one who is taught of God to soften or strengthen the expression,) the testimony of the Spirit is an inward impression on the soul, whereby the Spirit of God witnesses to my spirit that I am a child of God, that Christ hath loved me, and given himself for me, that I, even I am reconciled to God.” This is Mr. Wesley’s statement of the doctrine ; from which it will appear that, in

his view, the assurance spoken of above as the only source of religious peace and joy, and without which such affections cannot be produced by religion, is conveyed to the mind immediately by the Spirit of God. Before, however, our "rational" religionists, headed by Mr. Southey, open the full cry of enthusiasm upon this venerable man, it is right to remind them that he never failed to connect this doctrine with another, which, on the authority of St. Paul, he calls the witness of our own spirit, "the consciousness of having received, ^{In} and by the Spirit of adoption, the tempers mentioned in the word of God as belonging to his adopted children—a consciousness that we are inwardly conformed, by the Spirit of God, to the image of his Son, and that we walk before him in justice, mercy, and truth, doing the things which are pleasing in his sight." The manner in which he here connects the testimony of the Spirit of God, and the testimony of our own spirit, the direct and the inferential testimony that we are in the favor of God, and which he never put asunder, though he assigned them distinct offices, cannot be overlooked, if justice be done to his opinions; and Mr. Southey, if he understood the subject, is most unfair in not stating it. In order to prevent presumption, Mr. Wesley reminds his readers that this direct testimony is subsequent to true repentance, and accompanied by a moral change so vast, that no man can mistake it who examines himself by the Scriptures; and, on the other hand, to guard against delusion, he asks, "How am I assured that I do not mistake the voice of the Spirit? Even by the testimony of my own spirit, 'by the answer of a good conscience towards God:' hereby you shall know that you are in no delusion, that you have not deceived your own soul. The immediate fruits of the Spirit ruling in the heart are love, joy, peace; bowels of mercies, humbleness of mind, meekness, gentleness, long-suffering. And the outward fruits are, the doing good to all men, and a uniform obedience to all the commands of God." This is Mr. Wesley's doctrine, as stated by himself; and from these extracts it will appear that Mr. Southey has only taken that part of it which might appear to give the best support to his charge of enthusiasm, and has left out all those qualifications and guards under which this tenet was taught by the founder of Methodism. I ask, then, for proofs of the enthusiasm of

the doctrine, as thus stated. An enthusiastic doctrine is unsupported by the sacred records; but the authority of Scripture is here manifest. "The Spirit itself beareth witness with our spirit that we are the children of God." The witnesses are the Spirit of God and our own spirit; and the fact to which testimony is given is, that "we are the children of God." "And because ye are sons, God hath sent forth the Spirit of his Son into your hearts, crying, Abba, Father!" Other passages of similar import occur in the New Testament; and to them might be added all those texts which speak of the inward intercourse of the Spirit of God with believers, of his dwelling in them, and abiding with them the source of comfort and peace, and which, therefore, imply the doctrine. Mr. Southey will allege that other interpretations may be given. He, for instance, would furnish a different sense of the passages just cited; but are we enthusiasts, because we do not admit Mr. Southey's interpretations? This is not surely the rule by which he distributes opprobrious epithets. Other interpretations may be given; but until we are convinced that Mr. Wesley and other divines have not given the most natural sense of the above passages, and one which is best supported by the spirit and letter of other parts of the sacred volume, the aspersion of enthusiasm will not certainly induce us to abandon our opinion—such passages, as it appears to us, cannot be interpreted but as teaching the doctrine of assurance, conveyed immediately to the mind of true believers by the Holy Spirit, without allowing such principles of construction as would render the sense of Scripture uncertain, and unsettle the evidence of some of the most important doctrines of our religion.

But Mr. Wesley was not alone in this opinion; and Mr. Southey might have hesitated to brand him as an enthusiast for teaching this doctrine, had he known that divines of the greatest eminence have held it, and precisely in the way it was taught by him. In his entire unacquaintance with theological knowledge, he appears to think that the opinion was an invention of the founder of Methodism. But numerous quotations might be made from divines of the highest character in the national Church, to prove that it was no novelty. A few extracts, however, must suffice; but they shall be

selected from different periods, to show that this truth has not been without its testimony in the Church of England, from the time of the Reformation itself.

“In the 88th Psalm is contained the prayer of one who, although he felt in himself that he had not only man, but also God, angry towards him, yet he by prayer humbly resorted unto God, as the only port of consolation; and, in the midst of his desperate state of trouble, put the hope of his salvation in Him whom he felt his enemy. Howbeit, no man of himself can do this, but the Spirit of God that striketh man’s heart with fear, prayeth for the man stricken and feared, with unspeakable groanings. And when you feel yourself and know any other oppressed after such sort, be glad; for after that God hath made you know what you be of yourself, he will doubtless show you comfort, and declare unto you what you be in Christ his only Son; and use prayer often; for that is the means whereby God will be sought unto for his gifts.” Again: “The patient man sees life hid under these miseries and adversities of this world, as light under foul clays, and in the meantime he hath the testimony of a good conscience, and believeth God’s promises to be his consolation in the world to come, which is more worth to him than all the world is worth besides; and blessed is that man ‘in whom God’s Spirit bear eth record that he is the son of God,’ Rom. viii., whatsoever troubles he suffers in this troublesome world.”—BISHOP HOOVER. See *Fox’s Acts and Monuments*.

“It is the proper effect of the blood of Christ to cleanse our consciences from dead works to serve the living God; which if we find it doth, Christ is come to us as he is to come; and the Spirit is come, and puts his *teste* (witness). And if we have his *teste*, we may go our way in peace: we have kept a right feast to him, and to the memory of his coming. Even so come, Lord Jesus, and come, O blessed Spirit, and bear witness to our spirit that Christ’s water, and his blood, we have our part in both; both in the fountain opened for sin and uncleanness, and in the blood of the New Testament, the legacy whereof is everlasting life in thy kingdom of glory; whither Christ that paid the purchase, and the Spirit that giveth the *seisin*, vouchsafe to bring us all.”—BISHOP ANDREWS. *Sermon of the Sending of the Holy Ghost.*

O B S E R V A T I O N S O N

I the Father loved the world, that he gave his only-Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life. So God the Son loved the world of his elect, that he gave unto them the Holy Spirit of promise; whereby they are sealed unto the day of redemption; whereby, according to the riches of his glory, they are strengthened with might in the inner man; by the virtue whereof, shed abroad in their hearts, they are enabled to cry, Abba, Father. O gifts! either of which is more worth than many worlds; yet through thy goodness, O Lord, both of them are mine. How rich is my soul, through thy Divine munificence! how overlaid with mercies! how safe in thine almighty tuition! how happy in thy blessed promise! Now, therefore, I dare, in the might of my God, bid defiance to all the gates of hell. Do your worst: God is mine, and I am his. I am above your malice in the right of him, whose I am. It is true I am weak, but he is omnipotent; I am sinful, but he is infinite holiness; that power, that holiness, in his gracious application, are mine!"—BISHOP HALL's *Meditations on the Love of Christ*.

"The Spirit which God hath given us to assure us that we are the sons of God, to enable us to call upon him as our Father."—HOOKER'S *Sermon of Certainty of Faith*.

"Unto you, because ye are sons, God hath sent forth the Spirit of his Son into your hearts, to the end ye might know that Christ hath built you upon a rock immovable, that he hath registered your names in the book of life."—HOOKER'S *Sermon on Jude*.

"From adoption flows all Christians' joy; for the Spirit of adoption is first a witness, Rom. viii. 16. Second, a seal, Eph. iv. 30. Third, the pledge and earnest of our inheritance, Eph. i. 14; setting a holy security on the soul, whereby it rejoiceth, even in affliction, in hope of glory."—ARCHBISHOP USHER'S *Sum and Substance of Christian Religion*.

"This is one great office of the Holy Ghost, to ratify and seal up to us the forgiveness of our sins. 'In whom after ye believed, ye were sealed with that Holy Spirit of promise,'" etc.—BISHOP BROWNRIGG'S *Sermon on Whitsunday*.

"Let us be perfect, and of one mind; and the God of peace will be with us, and give us that peace and comfort within which the iniquity of men may chance to deny us

without. If to be secure of heaven and the glories of it; if to anticipate our final sentence, and to know we shall be justified and saved, when we come to die, a felicity greater than which no Christian can desire now, or hope hereafter; this we shall not fail of, if we follow the apostle's direction, Let us be perfect, be of one mind, etc. To this blessed assurance, also, the Spirit of God shall bear witness with our spirits that we are the sons of God."—ARCHBISHOP WAKE'S *Sermons*, vol. i., p. 125.

"It is the office of the Holy Ghost to assure us of the adoption of sons, to create in us a sense of the paternal love of God toward us, to give us an earnest of our everlasting inheritance. 'The love of God is shed abroad in our hearts by the Holy Ghost, which is given unto us.' 'For as many as are led by the Spirit of God are the sons of God.' And 'because we are sons, God hath sent forth the Spirit of his Son into our hearts, crying, Abba, Father.' 'For we have not received the spirit of bondage again to fear; but we have received the Spirit of adoption, whereby we cry, Abba, Father. The Spirit itself beareth witness with our spirit that we are the children of God.' As, therefore, we are born again by the Spirit, and receive from him our regeneration, so we are also assured by the same Spirit of our adoption; and because, being sons, we are also heirs, 'heirs of God, and joint-heirs with Christ,' by the same Spirit we have the pledge, or rather the earnest, of our inheritance. 'For He which establisheth us in Christ, and hath anointed us, is God, who hath also sealed us, and hath given us the earnest of his Spirit in our hearts; so that we are sealed with that Holy Spirit of promise, which is the earnest of our inheritance, until the redemption of the purchased possession.'"—BISHOP PEARSON *On the Creed*.

"This is that *πνεῦμα νιόθεσιας*, that Spirit of adoption, which constituteth us the sons of God, qualifying us so to be by dispositions resembling God, and filial affections towards him; certifying us that we are so, and causing us, by a free instinct, to cry, 'Abba, Father;' running into his bosom of love, and flying under the wings of his mercy in all our needs and distresses; whence 'as many as are led by the Spirit, they' (saith Paul) 'are the sons of God,' and 'the Spirit itself beareth witness with our spirits that we are the children

of God.''"—DR. ISAAC BARROW's *Sermon on the Gift of the Holy Ghost.**

The same views on this subject are also clearly assumed in several of the Homilies, and in some passages are very unequivocally expressed.† This was also the view of Luther;‡ and it is found in Calvin, and most of the old divines

* Mr. Southey delights in old Chroniclers; but perhaps the following passage from Holinshed's Chronicles may have escaped him, and he may not have suspected that the old gentleman was as much an "enthusiast" in his views on this subject as Mr. Wesley: "It behooveth the godly to repose their hope in that grace which is freely granted through Jesus Christ, and to flee unto the mercies of God which are offered us in, with, and by his Son, to the end that we may at last find the *testimony of his Spirit working with ours*, that we are his chosen children, whereby cometh peace of conscience to such as believe." Vol. i., p. 45.

† "All these fathers, martyrs, and other holy men, whom St. Paul spake of, had their faith surely fixed in God, when all the world was against them. They did not only know God to be the Lord, Maker, and Governor of all men in the world, but also they had a *special confidence and trust* that he was and would be their *God, their Comforter, Aider, Helper, Maintainer, and Defender*. This is the Christian faith, which these holy men had, and we also ought to have. And although they were not named Christian men, yet was it a Christian faith that they had; for they looked for all benefits of God the Father, through the merits of his Son Jesus Christ, as we now do. This difference is between them and us; for they looked when Christ should come, and we be in the time when he is come. Therefore, saith Augustin, the time is altered and changed, but not the faith. For we have both one faith in Christ. *The same Holy Ghost also that we have, had they*, saith St. Paul. For as the Holy Ghost doth teach us to trust in God, *and to call upon him as our Father*, so did he teach them to say, as it is written, *Thou, Lord, art our Father and Redeemer; and thy name is without beginning and everlasting*. God gave them grace then to be his children, as he doth us now. But now, by the coming of our Saviour Christ, we have received more abundantly the *Spirit of God in our hearts, whereby we may conceive a greater faith, and a surer trust, than many of them had.*"—*Homily on Faith*.

‡ "He" (Martin Luther) "was strengthened yet more by the discourse of an old Augustin monk, concerning the certainty we may have that our sins are forgiven. This he inferred from that article of our creed, 'I believe in the forgiveness of sins:' strongly insisting that these very words implied not barely a belief that some men's sins are forgiven, but that each man is personally to believe for himself, 'God, through Christ, has forgiven my sins.' God likewise gave him much comfort in his temptations by that saying of St. Bernard,

of his school.* It is true that, with the latter, it is carried to an extent which those who do not admit their system have disputed as presumptuous, and unsupported by Scripture ; but their view of it, as an assurance also of eternal salvation, is a peculiarity which does not essentially affect the doctrine itself. They would have held it, though differently, had they not believed in Calvin's theory ; for assurance of the Divine

'It is necessary to believe, first of all, that you cannot have forgiveness but by the mercy of God ; and next, to believe that, through his mercy, *thy* sins are forgiven *thee*.' This is the witness which the Holy Spirit bears in thy heart, '*Thy* sins are forgiven *thee*.' And thus it is that, according to the apostle, a man is justified freely through faith.'—J. D. HERMSCHMID's *Life of Martin Luther*.

* The *precedence* of the direct witness of the Spirit of God to the indirect witness of our own, and the dependence of the latter upon the former, are very clearly stated by three divines of great authority ; to whom I refer the rather, because many of their followers of the present day have become very obscure in their statements of this branch of Christian experience :

"St. Paul means that the Spirit of God gives such a testimony to us, that, he being our guide and teacher, our spirit concludes our adoption of God to be certain. For our own mind, of itself, independent of the preceding testimony of the Spirit, [*nisi præcunte Spiritūs testimonio,*] could not produce this persuasion in us. For whilst the Spirit witnesses that we are the sons of God, he at the same time inspires this confidence into our minds, that we are bold to call God our Father."—CALVIN on *Romans* viii. 16.

"Romans viii. 16. 'The Spirit itself beareth witness with our spirits that we are the sons of God.' The witness which our own spirits do give unto our adoption is the *work and effect* of the Holy Spirit in us ; if it were not, it would be false, and not confirmed by the testimony of the Spirit himself, who is the Spirit of truth. 'And none knoweth the things of God but the Spirit of God.' 1 Cor. ii. 11. If he declare not our sonship in us and to us, we cannot know it. How doth he then bear witness to our spirits ? What is the distinct testimony ? It must be some such act of his as evidenceth itself to be from him, *immediately*, unto them that are concerned in it, that is, those unto whom it is given."—DR. OWEN *On the Spirit*, sect. 9.

"The Spirit of adoption doth not only excite us to call upon God as our Father, but it doth ascertain and assure us, as *before*, that we are his children. And this it doth not by an outward voice, as God the Father to Jesus Christ, nor by an angel, as to Daniel and the Virgin Mary, but by an inward and secret suggestion, whereby he raiseth our hearts to this persuasion, that God is our Father, and we are his children. *This is not the testimony of the graces and operations of the Spirit, but of the Spirit itself.*"—POOLE on *Romans* viii. 16.

favor by the Spirit of adoption is not essentially connected with that system, as its advocates themselves will allow. And that it was held by the most eminent divines of that school, we may therefore conclude to have resulted, less from their peculiar opinions, than the clear evidence of the Scriptures that such an attested assurance is the privilege of believers.

Mr. Southey will perceive, by the above quotations, that Mr. Wesley is not the only divine to be charged with the enthusiasm of teaching and believing that comfort is given to the penitent mind, "tied and bound with the chain of sin," and apprehensive of the Divine displeasure, by a direct attestation of pardon by the Spirit of God; and if, in many instances, language equally explicit has not been used, nor the idea as fully brought out, by many modern divines of eminence, yet is the doctrine so clearly implied in their writings, and so substantially expressed, as to prove that none who have true views of the Scriptures, of Protestantism, and of experimental and practical religion, can go many steps without allowing it. I do not here speak of those theologians who consider Christianity only as a superior system of ethics; nor of those who regard the death of Christ as making up the balance of human merit before God; nor of those who view Christianity as a mitigated law, requiring, in order to salvation, a low and imperfect obedience. Wherever these theologists are to be found, they are too opposed to the principles on which every Protestant Church has been founded, to be regarded as authorities. To them, as to Mr. Southey, the point in question must appear extravagant and enthusiastic. But where the doctrines of human guilt and danger, of repentance, the necessity of pardon, justification by faith, and the influences of the Holy Ghost, are held—as stated, for instance, in the formularies of the Church of England, and the writings of her founders—there assurance must be held also, and an assurance communicated to the mind of the penitent by the Holy Spirit. The fear lest they should lead persons to trust too much to impressions, and sometimes perhaps an extreme apprehension of being thought enthusiastic themselves, have induced many excellent preachers and writers to state the doctrine in a mitigated manner: to teach that religious confidence and comfort, as to our personal acceptance with God, are to be obtained by comparing the moral changes

supposed to have taken place in the mind with those characteristics of the children of God which are given in the Scriptures; and that, when the correspondence is exact, we are warranted in considering ourselves in a state of filial relationship to God. But even in this view, if the inquiry be fairly conducted, the doctrine of the direct witness of the Spirit is inevitably involved. None of the divines of this respectable class conceive that a mere reformation of the external conduct is a sufficient ground for the inference that we are justified, and adopted into the family of God: they all contend for a change of heart, concomitant with justification, including a renewed temper and hallowed affections—love, and confidence, and peace, and a filial spirit. They all state that this change, in all its parts, and throughout its whole process, with all its consolations and joys, is effected by the direct agency of the Holy Spirit. Between this view, if it were more fully and accurately represented on the principles of the New Testament, and the opinion charged so boldly with the most presumptuous fanaticism by Mr. Southey, there is no essential difference. Mr. Wesley's tenet is substantially included in it, and the apparent discrepancy arises from the principles assumed not being fairly followed out by those who adopt them. They make assurance to rest upon the argument, that because certain changes have been effected in the hearts of believers, they are pardoned and adopted. But these changes are not outward only: they affect the heart: they produce holy affections: they include the production of peace, of trust, of joy, of hope: they are effected by the Spirit of God; and the whole forms the ground of the assurance that we are the children of God. This may be further illustrated. The question at issue is, "Am I a child of God?" The Scriptures declare, that "as many as are led by the Spirit of God are the sons of God." I inquire, then, whether I have the Spirit of God; and, in order to determine this, I examine whether I have "the fruits of the Spirit." Now "the fruits of the Spirit are love, joy, peace, gentleness, goodness, meekness, faith, temperance;" and having sufficient evidence of the existence of these fruits, I conclude I have the Spirit of God, and am therefore a pardoned and accepted child of God. This is the statement. But among these enumerated fruits we find affections, as well as principles and

morals. We have "love, joy, and peace," as well as "gentleness, goodness, meekness, faith, and temperance." Now, if it be said, on one side, that no one has a right to conclude that "he is so led by the Spirit of God," as safely to infer that he is a child of God, who has only the affections of "peace and joy" to ground his confidence upon, the same thing may for as good a reason be affirmed, if he have "meekness, and temperance," without "love, and peace, and joy :" the love, and the peace, and the joy being as much fruits of the Spirit as the moral qualities also enumerated. But this love, peace, and joy are the fruits of the Spirit's agency; and they are the fruits of his agency as the Comforter, the Spirit of adoption, and from that alone can they spring. This view of the manner in which assurance is obtained varies then from Mr. Wesley's doctrine only in being ambiguous. The love, peace, and joy of the Spirit answer to his doctrine of the direct witness; and he argues, that they can only be the results of that pardon of which we are by them assured; and the meekness, gentleness, faith, and temperance, are his corroborative proofs that our filial confidence, and "joy in the Holy Ghost," are not delusions. So near must all those come together on this point, who believe in the religion of the heart, and the agency of the Holy Spirit; who admit that the change which Christianity effects in all who truly receive it gives peace to the conscience, and inspires love and filial confidence toward God, as well as implants all the principles of a spiritual temper and a holy life. If any who profess to have such views of religion do not thus approximate on this doctrine, it is to be feared that they ground their favorable inferences as to personal justification upon too narrow a basis: that they leave out many of those premises from which alone the conclusion can be fairly drawn; and it may be well for them seriously to consider whether they are "led by the Spirit of God," so as to have any authority to conclude that they are the "sons of God," when they know him not as the Spirit of God "crying, Abba, Father:" as a Comforter, abiding with them, and mingling with moral qualities that peace and joy which he alone can inspire, and "shedding abroad that love of God in the heart," which only can arise from a perception of his being "reconciled to us by the death of his Son." If, however, it should be asked, why, if the

view of the doctrine of assurance given above substantially implies that of Mr. Wesley, he did not teach it in this somewhat less exceptionable form, I answer:

1. That he had the sanction of the greatest divines of the Reformation, and of the Church of England, for the doctrine of the assurance of pardon and adoption generally.

2. That he might plead the authority of the greater number of them for the communication of that assurance to the mind by the direct agency of the Holy Spirit.

3. That his mind was too discriminating not to perceive that, in the scheme of assurance by inference from moral changes only, there was a total neglect of the offices explicitly ascribed to the Holy Spirit in the New Testament, and which on this scheme are unnecessary; viz., that of bearing witness with the spirits of believers that they are the children of God; that of the Spirit of adoption, by which they call God Father in that special sense in which it is correlative to that sonship which we obtain only by a justifying faith in Christ; and that of a Comforter, promised to the disciples to abide with them "for ever," that their "joy might be full."

4. That in the scheme of inferential assurance, when stated, as above, in a way most accordant with the work of the Holy Spirit on the mind, the doctrine of his direct agency in producing love, and peace, and joy—feelings which could not exist in the degree spoken of in the gospel as attainable by believers, without a previous or concomitant assurance of the Divine favor—is implied; and he felt, therefore, that it ought to be fully avowed and taught, both as a less ambiguous method of stating the doctrine, and because the sense of several important passages of Scripture is more fully expressed by it, and all the offices ascribed in them to the Holy Ghost are acknowledged.

5. That his own experience, and the experience of thousands within his own knowledge, had given confirmation to his interpretation of the doctrine of inspiration on this subject. They had mourned as penitents; they had sought for forgiveness, through the merits of the Divine atonement; the burden of their sins had been removed; they had "love, and peace, and joy;" they were able to repose with filial confidence upon God. Nor was this a transient emotion; it was "the permanent sunshine of the breast;" it was not

affected by outward troubles of life; it was unshaken in sickness, and unquenched in death. All this, with Mr. Southey, is enthusiasm; but it was so connected with a holy life, and a Christian temper, and with works of charity and piety; it was so uniform in those who experienced it, and so eminently connected with "gentleness, goodness, meekness, faith, and temperance;" and, in a word, looked so much like a better principle, and assimilated so nearly with what is described in the word of God as the work of the Spirit, and as "pure and undefiled religion," that Mr. Wesley was as little disposed to succumb to the opinions of the philosophists and formalists of his day, who impugned it as an enthusiastic excitement, as we, in the present, to bow to the equally important authority of Mr. Southey. The doctrine is grounded certainly on no forced, no fanciful interpretation of Scripture; and it holds up, as of possible attainment, one of the richest and most important comforts of the human mind. It leaves no doubt as to a question which, whilst problematical, must, if we are in earnest in seeking our salvation, be fatal to our peace—whether we are now accepted of God; it supposes an intercourse between God and the minds of good men in the full and genuine spirit of the Christian religion, which is eminently called the "ministration of the Spirit;" and it is accompanied, as taught by Mr. Wesley, though the contrary is by Mr. Southey so often ignorantly supposed, with nothing inimical to sober, practical piety. That, like the doctrine of justification by faith alone, it is capable of perversion, under the mask of religion, is very true. Many have perverted both the one and the other. Faith with some has been made a discharge from duty; and with respect to the direct witness of the Spirit, fancy has no doubt been taken, in some instances, for reality. But this could never legitimately follow from the holy preaching of Mr. Wesley. His view of the doctrine is so opposed to license and real enthusiasm, to pride and self-sufficiency, that it can only be made to encourage them by so manifest a perversion that it has never occurred except among those most ignorant of his writings. He never encouraged any to expect this grace but the truly penitent; to whom he prescribed "fruits meet for repentance." He believed that justification was always accompanied by conversion of the heart; and as constantly taught that this comfort, this

assurance, could remain the portion only of the humble and spiritual, and was uniformly and exclusively connected with a faith, sanctifying and obedient. He believed that the fruits of the Spirit were love, joy, peace, as well as gentleness, goodness, meekness, and faith; but he also taught that all who were not living under the constant influence of the latter, would fatally deceive themselves by any pretensions to the former.

SECTION V

SUDDEN CONVERSIONS.

MR. SOUTHEY fancies that his notions on the subject of assurance are confirmed by circumstances. He is confounded, and even shocked, with the records in Mr. Wesley's Journals of instantaneous impressions made upon many persons under his preaching, and that of his coadjutors, and with the sudden transition of others into a state of peace and assurance. The inference, therefore, is, that delusion and animal excitement supplied the place of genuine conversion.

This also is a subject on which Mr. Southey is very ill qualified to judge. If his views of religion, as far as they can be collected from his "Life of Wesley," be correct, then his conclusion is just; but if he be essentially erroneous, (and what has already been adduced affords strong presumption of it,) what he refers to fancy may have been a sober reality, to which his philosophy may have blinded himself, without in the least altering the facts of the case as to others.

All philosophy which opposes itself to the truth is, sooner or later, found to be spurious; and Mr. Southey's will not long bear that test to which it must be subjected. It is at least not Christian philosophy. The facts before him are, that not a few persons, but many thousands in different parts of the kingdom, were, by the preaching of Mr. Wesley and others, *suddenly* brought under a religious concern; that they were affected with sorrow for their sinful lives; that on being instructed in the Christian doctrine, that Almighty God "pardonest and absolveth all them that truly repent, and unfeignedly believe his holy gospel," they were brought, often

suddenly, into a state of comfort and joy; that the course of their tempers and lives became changed; that they lived and died* in perfect contrast with their former habits and character, "adorning the doctrine of God their Saviour in all things." These were the alleged facts for which Mr. Southey had to account; and had he conducted his inquiry in the spirit of a true philosopher, instead of writing from his seclusion on the lakes, with nothing but the books furnished by his publisher about him, he would first have ascertained the truth of the facts themselves. He would have inquired whether, though in some instances the impressions might be evanescent, the great majority of persons so influenced underwent a permanent moral change of spirit and conduct. To such an inquiry he might have received a satisfactory answer; as satisfactory as the good report of the nearest observers of the lives of the persons in question, in every place of their residence; evidence as strong as can be obtained when the characters of men are in question, and which, if resisted in this case, may be resisted in that of every man, of every profession of religion, whose reformation, and subsequent good conduct and Christian demeanor, are also mere matters of observation and testimony. In the instances under consideration, those effects were produced for which religion was given to man, and Christianity itself sent down from heaven. The commission of St. Paul was thus to reform and to convert men, by the preaching of the gospel; and when the same effects followed the preaching of the same doctrines, by men endowed, as even Mr. Southey will sometimes allow, with much of the apostle's spirit, what principle does he

* Mr. Southey has a few slurs at what we call, with many other Christians, the "*experiences*" of pious people, comprising an account of their conversion, their life, and the manner of their death. As to recording the manner in which good men die, we refer him to the venerable Hooker: "The death of the saints of God is precious in his sight; and shall it seem superfluous, at such times as these are, to hear in what manner they have ended their lives? The care of the living, both to live and die well, must be increased, when they know their departure shall not be folded up in silence. Again: When they hear how mercifully God hath dealt with others in the hour of their last need, beside the praise they give to God, is not their hope much confirmed against the day of their dissolution?"—*Sermon on the Remedy against Sorrow and Fear.*

assume when he refuses to attribute them to the same causes —the force of Divine truth, and God's blessing upon it? When the effects are the same, as far as human eye can discern; as complete, as permanent; when the process through which they were evolved has no essential difference, what is the philosophy which assigns a different cause, but a wretched and pitiful prejudice which vanity and affectation have attempted to dignify with that appellation? If religious enthusiasm could produce such results, then is there as much reason to assign this as the cause of conversion, not only in the apostolic age, but in all Churches which have possessed a faithful, warning, and earnest ministry; for wherever such a ministry has existed, it was instituted for the purpose of effecting such conversions, and it has always been more or less successful. If, on the other hand, we are warranted by the Scriptures to expect the conversion of careless, worldly, and immoral men from the error of their ways, by the faithful exhibition of the warning and inviting truths of the gospel in the ministry of holy men, then the successes of Mr. Wesley accord with the principle, the spirit, and intentions of Christianity, and by every Christian philosopher must be resolved into its influence. If his success was much greater than that of ordinary ministers, he was in "labors more abundant;" if it was more extensive, he filled a wider range of action; if it was effected among a class of people usually most distinguished for irregularity of conduct, and barbarism of manners, the reason was that he sought them out, and carried into their streets, and places of resort, an instruction which they had never been disposed to seek for themselves.

But the mere circumstance of the sudden conversion of some of Mr. Wesley's hearers, is, with Mr. Southey, fatal to any other conclusion than that the excitement produced was fanatical. The justice of this conclusion shall also be examined.

Paley, who will not be suspected of enthusiasm, has the following observations on conversion:

"At this day we have not Jews and Gentiles to preach to; but persons in as really an unconverted state as any Jew or Gentile could be in our Saviour's time. They are no more Christians, as to any actual benefit of Christianity to their souls, than the most hardened Jew, or the most profligate

Gentile, was in the age of the gospel. As to any difference in the two cases, the difference is all against them. These must be converted before they can be saved. The course of their thoughts must be changed, the very principle upon which they act must be changed. Considerations which never, or hardly ever, entered into their minds, must deeply and perpetually engage them. Views and motives which did not influence them at all, either as checks from doing evil, or as inducements to do good, must become the views and motives which they regularly consult, and by which they are guided; that is to say, there must be a revolution of principle: the visible conduct will follow the change; but there must be a revolution within."

This "revolution within," this "change in the principle of action," must take place at some specific time. It may be slow in reaching that point where it gives the new and complete turn to the will, the affections, and the habits. This is not denied. Mr. Wesley and the Methodists never taught that all true conversions were instantaneous, though they believed many of them to be so; but how will Mr. Southey prove that all sudden conversions are fictitious and imaginary? To influence the will, and move the affections to serious and spiritual objects, the truths of religion must be presented to the mind, for nothing beside has ever been known to produce those effects. But to some persons these truths may come in the slow process of elementary instruction, and serious advice from childhood; to others they may be presented, in all their great features, at once; or they may be suddenly revived in their minds; and to such they will have the additional interest which arises from novelty, their habits of life having taken them out of the way of regular instruction, and their religious education having either been neglected, or its impressions obliterated by the long practice of vice. In such cases, what reason can Mr. Southey, even in the character of a philosopher, give, why the display of the stirring and solemn truths of the gospel, unfolded by a living preacher with earnestness, perspicuity, and pathos, should not produce strong and sudden effects, and why the impressions thus made should not be deep and lasting? A true philosophy might have informed him that minds are differently constituted; that some men are slow to judge and to

feel, and that what they hear rarely produces any great immediate effect. The impression is made by subsequent reflection; for, like the ruminating animals, they do not feed for immediate digestion, but reserve that to a second process. In others the intellectual powers are more active, and the affections more yielding. Minds of this class are easily won to an opinion, or course of action, in the common affairs of life; and there exists no reason why this peculiarity of mental disposition should not influence religious experience, though a superhuman Agent must necessarily be supposed carrying on his designs, and exerting his influence, along with and by our constitutional qualities. It would be as manifestly absurd to deny that true conversion may follow a sudden impression upon yielding minds, and to affirm that it must be confined to persons of slow and hesitating intellects, as that a decisive course of action, of any kind, cannot follow when the motives to it are urged upon a susceptible spirit, and the force of them is immediately admitted. Determination of the will, and perseverance in effort, are essential to rational and proper conduct of any kind. But with whatever variety the Creator has formed the human spirit, it is not to be supposed that it has, in any case, a constitution which renders decisive choice and perseverance impracticable. These effects do not always result from slow and reluctant operations of mind; they are not inconsistent with susceptibility. Each disposition has its disadvantages, and each its excellence. The cautious need energy; the ardent, watchfulness and support; but every thing rich in sentiment, firm in choice, and constant in action, may exist in each class of character. To suppose the contrary would be a reflection on our Maker, who uses variety as the means of exhibiting his wisdom, but never sacrifices to it his own great and beneficent purposes, and the moral capabilities of his creatures. From those sudden yieldings of the mind to impressions of a religious kind which are so frequently the objects of Mr. Southey's scoffs, what then can be reasonably concluded? Mr. Southey may not believe in the necessity of Divine influence in order to conversion; but if he thinks conversion from sin to holiness possible, by any means, and that nothing more is necessary to effect it than the declaration of the doctrines and sanctions of religion, even then, had he considered the variety of our mental constitution,

his philosophy would have been quite as respectable had he allowed that the decisive turn might have been given to the will suddenly, and that such an effect is not only a very possible, but a very natural, circumstance. The converts in question were not above the necessity of further instruction : they had, it may be granted, much to learn ; and their very susceptibility exposed them to the danger of unsteadfastness ; but it is enough for the argument, if views of the truth and solemnity of religion were communicated in sufficient force to influence a right choice, and to produce a new order of affections ; that the determination was sufficiently decided to lead them to renounce evil, and to frequent, with seriousness, those ordinances of religion which would administer to them further light and renewed strength. But we do not think, with Mr. Southey, that conversion is a natural process, though carried on through and by our natural powers. We are better instructed, I hope, in the Scriptures, and in the doctrine of all true Churches ; though, if we allowed the correctness of Mr. Southey's view of this great change, it is sufficiently manifest that no good reason lies against the notion that conversion may be effected much more rapidly in some minds than in others ; and that suddenness and slowness are mere circumstances, quite unconnected with the essence of the question. We believe the testimony of Scripture, that the Spirit is not only given to the disciples of Christ, after they assume that character, but in order to their becoming his disciples ; that, according to the words of our Lord, he is sent "to convince the world of sin," to the end that they may believe in Christ ; and that whenever the gospel is faithfully and fully proclaimed by the ministers of Christ, it is "the power of God unto salvation to every one that believeth," and is made so by the accompanying influence of the Holy Ghost. If, for this belief, we are charged with fanaticism, we are in too much good company to be put out of countenance ; but if this doctrine be allowed, it will be difficult to prove Mr. Wesley a fanatic for his belief in the reality of sudden conversions. Who shall prescribe a mode to Divine operation ? Who, if he believes in such an influence accompanying the truth, shall presume to say, that when inspired truth is proposed, the attention of the careless shall be roused by a gradual and slow process only ? that the heart shall not be

brought into a state of right feeling as to eternal concerns, but by a reiteration of means which we think most adapted to produce that effect? or, that no influence on the mind is genuine and Divine, if it operate not in a prescribed manner? that the Holy Spirit shall not avail himself of the variety which exists in the mental constitutions of men, to effect his purposes of mercy by different methods? and that the operations of grace shall not present, as well as those of nature, that beauteous variety which so much illustrates the glory of Him "who worketh all in all?" And who shall say that even the peculiarities of men's natures shall not, in many instances, be even set aside in the course of a Divine and secret operation, touching the springs of action, and opening the sources of feeling; giving an intensity of action to the one, and a flow to the other, which shall more eminently mark His finger in a work which his own glory, and the humility proper to man, require should be known and acknowledged as the work of God alone? Assuredly there is nothing in the reason of the case to fix the manner of producing such effects to one rule, and nothing in Scripture. Instances of sudden conversion occur in the New Testament in sufficient number to warrant us to conclude that this may be often the mode adopted by Divine wisdom, and especially in a slumbering age, to arouse attention to long-despised and neglected truths. The conversions at the day of Pentecost were sudden, and, for any thing that appears to the contrary, they were real; for the persons so influenced were thought worthy to be "added to the Church." Nor was it by the miracle of tongues that the effect was produced. If miracles could have converted them, they had witnessed greater than even that glorious day exhibited. The dead had been raised up in their sight; the earth had quaked beneath their feet; the sun had hid himself, and made an untimely night; the graves had given up their dead; and Christ himself had arisen from a tomb sealed and watched. It was not by the impression of the miracles of tongues alone, but by that supervenient gracious influence which operated with the demonstrative sermon of Peter, after the miracle had excited the attention of his hearers, that they were "pricked in their hearts," and cried, "Men and brethren, what shall we do?"

The only true rule of judging of professed conversion is, its

fruits. The mode ~~may~~ vary, from circumstances of which we are not the judges, nor can be, until we know more, both of the mystic powers of mind, and of that intercourse which Almighty God, in his goodness, condescends to hold with it. Our author is certainly not a better judge of these matters than others; and the reality of the conversion of thousands by the honored ministry of Mr. Wesley stands on evidence too decisive to be shaken by the objections he takes to the mode; and it would be still unshaken, were those objections more powerful than he has been able to make them. By the effects we are content that the conversions produced under the preaching of the founders of Methodism should be judged.*

* Of similar effects produced in New England, in the year 1734, of which Mr. Southey probably never heard, or he would not have spoken of Methodism producing a "new disease," President Edwards thus speaks:

"The extraordinary influence that has lately appeared on the minds of the people in this land, causing in them an uncommon concern about the things of religion, is undoubtedly, in general, from the Spirit of God. There are but two things that need to be known in order to such a work's being judged of, namely, facts and rules. The rules of the word of God we have laid before us; and as to facts, there are but two ways that we can come at them, so as to be in a capacity to compare them with the rules: either by our own observation, or by information from others that have had opportunity to observe.

"As to this work that has lately been carried on in the land, there are so many things concerning it that are notorious, as, unless the Apostle John was out in his rules, are sufficient to determine it to be in general the work of God. It is notorious that the Spirit that is at work takes off persons' minds from the vanities of the world, engages them in a deep concern about eternal happiness, puts them upon earnestly seeking their salvation, and convinces them of the dreadfulness of sin, and of their own guilty and miserable state by nature. It is notorious that it awakens men's consciences, and makes them sensible of the dreadfulness of God's anger, and causes in them a great desire, and earnest care and endeavor, to obtain his favor. It is notorious that it puts them upon a more diligent improvement of the means of grace which God has appointed. It is also notorious, that, in general, it works in persons a greater regard to the word of God, and desire of reading it. And it is notoriously manifest, that the Spirit in general operates as a Spirit of truth, making persons more sensible of what is really true, in those things that concern their eternal salvation; as, that they must die, and that life is very short and uncertain; that there is a great and just God, whom they are accountable to, and that they stand in great need of a Saviour. It is

To Mr. Southey, however, it seems eminently strange and absurd, not only that inconsiderate persons should suddenly become serious under the preaching and advices of Mr. Wesley and his coadjutors, but that those who were thus impressed should often profess to have obtained the forgiveness of sin, and to have been brought, in some cases instantaneously, into a state of peace and joy of spirit, so as to be able to assign the time of their conversion. His observations on these facts can create no surprise. The wonder, indeed, is, from his almost total unacquaintance with the Scriptures and with theological writings, and from the very superficial attention he has paid to religious subjects, that he has allowed so much good to have arisen from what to him appeared, and could not but appear, to be "the high fever of enthusiasm." He is therefore less to be censured for the unfavorable sentences he pronounces upon those who made profession of this experience, (a term which, of course, he ridicules,) than for writing on a subject for which he was every way so ill furnished.

It was remarked, in the observations on the doctrine of assurance, that, before that subject could be discussed with such writers as our author, several important first principles must be taken into account. The same remark applies to the communication of assurance instantaneously to the mind of a true penitent. I shall, however, assume that the doctrine of assurance, generally considered, has been already proved from the Scriptures; and if assurance of the favor and forgiveness of the Being we have offended be attainable, through the merits and intercession of our Saviour, and by the instrumentality of repentance and an humble trust, it must follow, in the first place, that forgiveness itself is an instantaneous

furthermore notorious, that this Spirit makes persons more sensible of the value of that Jesus that was crucified, and their need of him; and that it puts them upon earnestly seeking an interest in him. It cannot be but these things should be apparent to people in general through the land; for these things are not done in a corner. The work that has been wrought has not been confined to a few towns, in some remote parts of the land, but has been carried on in many places, and in the principal and most populous and public places in it. And it has now been continued for a considerable time; so that there has been a great deal of opportunity to observe the manner of the work."—*Distinguishing Marks of a Work of God.*

act. Whatever may be said of the gradual or instantaneous manner in which a perception of that act is conveyed to the mind, the act of grace admits of no degrees. It is in itself, and must be from its nature, instantaneous and complete. There is in Almighty God a kind and benignant disposition to all mankind; but as actual forgiveness, and with it adoption, and the conferring of a title to eternal life, are suspended upon conditions, the performance of those conditions, of which none but God himself can be the Judge, is necessary to pardon. In the moment they are performed, the act of grace takes place, and necessarily it can be but the act of a moment—one single volition, so to speak, of the mind of God. Now, whether our inward perception of this change in our relations to a Being whom we have offended, but who is now reconciled to us through the merit of his Son, be instantaneous too, and answers to the act of forgiveness in the mind of God, is the second step of the inquiry; and, allowing us the former premises, the answer must be, in all ordinary cases, in the affirmative. It is surely unreasonable to suppose that, when an act of forgiveness has taken place, the mind should be left in its former doubts and darkness: that it should remain oppressed with fear, when the ground of apprehension is, in fact, taken away; or that those intercourses between God and the mind in acts of devotion, the existence of which all orthodox divines have held, should not assume a different character, and become filial on one part, and paternal on the other, and therefore be supporting and consolatory. The Scriptures abound in similar representations. To all true believers the Almighty is represented as the “God of peace and consolation;” as “a Father;” as “dwelling in them, and walking in them.” Nay, there is a marked distinction between the language of grace and favor used in respect to penitents and to believers. The declarations as to the former are highly consolatory; but they constantly refer to some future good designed for them by the God before whom they humble themselves, for the encouragement of their seeking prayers, and their efforts of trust. “To that man will I look,” (a Hebraism for showing favor,) “saith the Lord, who is poor, and of a contrite spirit.” The “weary and heavy laden” are invited to Christ, that he may “give rest to their souls.” The apostles exhorted men to repent and be baptized, (*εἰς*,) in

order to the remission of sins. But to all who, in the Christian sense, are believers, or who have the faith by which we are justified, the language is much higher: "We have peace with God." "We joy in God, through Christ; by whom we have received the atonement." They are exhorted to "rejoice in the Lord always." "The spirit of bondage" is exchanged for "the Spirit of adoption." They are "Christ's." They are "children, heirs of God, and joint-heirs with Christ." They "rejoice in hope of the glory of God." They are "always confident, knowing that, whilst at home in the body, they are absent from the Lord; but that, when absent from the body, they shall be present with the Lord."

This state of confidence, joy, and hope, then, is not only attainable by true Christians, but it forms an entire contrast with their feelings in the early stage of their religious experience, when, as the Church of England expresses it, they "are tied and bound with the chain of their sins," and are beseeching "the pitifulness of the Divine mercy to unloose them." Now, between these states of religious depression and peace, there is a vast distance. And though the rapidity with which the mind may pass from one to the other is a subject which we cannot reduce to any law, or pretend to bring within any rule, without betraying either ignorance or presumption, there must still be a point, whether reached gradually, or by the sudden influence of encouraging truths presented to the mind, under the grace of the Divine Spirit, exciting its trust, where doubt gives place to confidence, and agitation is tranquillized by the power of reposing entirely on the promises of God. And this holds equally good, whether the theory of assurance be, that it is obtained indirectly by inference from the Scriptures, or by immediate communication from the Spirit of God, corroborated by those fruits and characters which in the Scriptures are said to accompany his presence as the Spirit of adoption, and the Comforter. The mode is not essential to the argument, though an important question in itself. In either case the assurance, which is complete and satisfactory, however obtained, stands opposed to the previous state of doubt; and the transition from the one to the other, whatever may be the degree of approach to assurance—however alleviated the previous doubts may have been by hope, on one side of this point of rest and con-

fidence, and however the subsequent faith may advance in strength on the other—can be only the work of a moment; a fact of which our consciousness in other respects may well enough assure us. The transition will be more marked in some cases than in others: that depends upon the state of the mind immediately previous to its becoming assured of the Divine favor, as that again depends both upon natural susceptibility, and, with all deference to Mr. Southey, upon the modes of Divine operation. The rising of the sun is more exactly marked at the equator than near the poles, because there is less twilight. A poignant distress of mind, a feeling such as that which the liturgy describes under the term “miserable sinners,” may remain until the moment the mind is enabled to apprehend and appropriate to itself the consolatory promises of the gospel; and in that case, as the change is more strikingly distinguished, it is a natural result that the time should be often ascertained; that it should be deeply written in the tablet of a grateful memory, and be recurred to with humble and adoring admiration of the love and condescension of God. Paley has a passage in his sermons immediately following the extract before given, which, though on conversion generally, is applicable here:

“A change so entire, so deep, and important as this, I do allow to be conversion; and no one, who is in the situation above described, can be saved without undergoing it; and he must, necessarily, both be sensible of it at the same time, and remember it all his life afterwards. It is too momentous an event ever to be forgotten. A man might as easily forget his escape from a shipwreck. Whether it was sudden, or whether it was gradual, if it was effected, (and the fruits will prove that,) it was a true conversion; and every such person may justly both believe and say to himself, that he was converted at a particular assignable time. It may not be necessary to speak of his conversion; but he will always think of it with unbounded thankfulness to the Giver of all grace, the Author of all mercies, spiritual as well as temporal.”

Both on the subject of assurance, and its communication to the mind, Mr. Southey has judged as though these doctrines were isolated from the common faith, and almost peculiar to Mr. Wesley; and from this his incautious charges of fanaticism have proceeded. If the Scriptures connect

grief of mind with repentance; and pardon, filial intercourse with God, and peace, with faith; the doctrine of assurance inevitably follows; but if repentance be a mere form of contrite words, and faith a simple opinion, and devotion a sentimental ceremony, then are we, and all who hold the doctrine, fanatical and visionary. Let Mr. Southey choose between the horns of the dilemma. In one case he must recall or very much modify his aspersions of Mr. Wesley and his followers; in the other he must renounce his profession of Christianity, and return to Socinianism or infidelity.

SECTION VI.

ENTHUSIASTIC EXTRAVAGANCES.

MR. SOUTHEY gives special prominence in his work to what he calls "the extravagances" of Methodism. The "outcries," and other irregularities, which occurred in consequence of the preaching of Mr. Wesley and his fellow-laborers, are carefully collected, and on every occasion presented to the reader, together with such extracts from Mr. Wesley's Journals, from letters, and other publications, as may best serve the purpose of exhibiting a frightful or ridiculous picture. The occurrences thus selected as illustrations of the enthusiasm of the founders of Methodism and their followers, could not but arrest the attention of Mr. Southey; and it will, without hesitancy, be allowed that a biography of Mr. Wesley would have been incomplete without due notice of them. They were circumstances which Mr. Wesley himself felt no wish to suppress, and are fair subjects of remark by a writer of his Life. That they are brought forward, is not, therefore, matter of complaint; but the manner in which they are exhibited, and the use made of them, lie open to animadversion. Whether these alleged "extravagances" were wholly to be condemned, or admit of explanation and excuse, are questions I lay aside for the present. Let them be considered as ridiculous and as hypocritical as Mr. Southey pleases, this shall not now be disputed with him. The objection is, that they are not stated fairly and liberally.

In the first place, they are made so prominent, that the impression upon the reader not acquainted with the entire history of Mr. Wesley is, that, in its early stages at least,

wherever he and his preachers went, scenes of confusion and disorder occurred among their hearers; and that outcries, bodily convulsions, raptures, and ecstasies, uniformly marked the introduction and progress of Methodism. This error has arisen partly from the "Life of Wesley" having been compiled only from books. When these extraordinary circumstances occurred, they were of course marked; and because they were extraordinary they found a place in Mr. Wesley's Journals. The recurrence of them, there, has led Mr. Southey to suppose that they were much more general and frequent than is the fact; but had he even more carefully perused the Journals, he would have seen Mr. Wesley prosecuting his labors through a vast extent of country, raising up societies, and scattering the seeds of truth and piety, without any of these extraordinary circumstances occurring. Strong impressions were undoubtedly made on the minds of his hearers; great numbers of them were brought under a religious concern, deep, powerful, and permanent; and in almost every place the preaching of the doctrines of atonement and pardon dried up the tears of the contrite, and turned their sorrows into joy. These effects were general; but Mr. Southey has misled his readers—unintentionally, I grant, because he was misled himself—by representing the irregularities on which he dwells with so much emphasis as very frequent, and almost universal. Powerful awakenings of the dormant mind constantly followed a ministry so singularly owned of God; but they were not always accompanied by those circumstances which Mr. Southey deems extravagant, and which, in some cases, were so in reality. He has been attracted to that stream of religious influence which Mr. Wesley was the instrument of conveying into every part of the nation, only where, by accidental occurrences, it whirled in eddies, and was chafed among the shallows; but he has refused to follow it when, in deep and noiseless flow, it spread along its course the beauty and the fruit of moral vegetation.

It is another mistake, and not unaccompanied with illiberal remark, that Mr. Wesley was eager to record and publish accounts of the extraordinary effects produced by his preaching "as proofs of his power." Mr. Wesley was no boaster. He was often obliged to speak of himself and of that work

which he had been the instrument of effecting, because his character and motives were the constant subjects of the intemperate abuse of his enemies. He was under the necessity of bringing forward the effects produced by that extended system of religious agency of which he was the founder and the head, to show that the work so effected was of God, a revival of true religion in the land. He could not hide it, that he and his first coadjutors had been the great instruments in that work ; and to attempt it would have been mere affectation. But few men who have done so much, have been so free from boasting and vanity : no successful minister of Christ ever more humbly laid the trophies won from the world by his efforts at the feet of Him by whom the victory was achieved. Mr. Southey, indeed, like the rest of his school, considers it spiritual pride and boasting for any to speak of themselves, even as "instruments" in the hand of God, however humble the spirit in which this may be conceived ; and it is a conclusion natural enough to men who are not conscious of having ever been employed under a Divine direction in the accomplishment of any great and beneficial purpose, and to whom prayer for success in such an endeavor would appear gross enthusiasm. This is the fault of the school in which Mr. Southey has been trained. I dispute not now with it ; but if Mr. Wesley erred in this, he erred with St. Paul, and with every minister of Christ distinguished for his success in the conversion of men, and the revival of the spirit of true religion. All true Christians know that a belief in their own instrumentality as agents of the Divine mercy to men is perfectly consistent with humility of mind ; and with them it will be a sufficient answer to the aspersion that Mr. Wesley was anxious to record singular successes, and answers to his prayers, in order to "proclaim his power," that this is contradicted both by his writings, and the spirit in which he lived and died. He recorded them, in every case where he believed the effect to be genuine, not to proclaim his own power, but "the power of God."

Nor does it follow, as Mr. Southey seems to have understood it, that every instance of strong impression produced under his preaching was considered by Mr. Wesley as genuine ; or that, by recording such circumstances in his Journal or Magazine, he gave an opinion in their favor. He believed

some of these effects to be the results of natural sympathy ; others to be imitations effected by Mr. Southey's " personified principle of evil," to bring into disrepute the work of the Spirit of God upon the hearts of men, of the reality of which he was firmly persuaded, and on grounds perhaps as strong as those on which Mr. Southey accounts it enthusiasm ; for he brought to the examination of the question, beyond all doubt, quite as good a store of logic and of learning. Some deceptions he not only admitted, but publicly stated ; not indeed to establish the sweeping conclusion that all was unreal, because a part, a very small part, was visionary ; or that all was of Satan, because all was not of God. Mr. Wesley was wisely tender, even in cases to which Mr. Southey and other superficial thinkers on religious subjects would have showed no moderation ; and sufficient reasons might be given to justify his conduct, though, in some instances, his charity was carried to excess. He had seen strong and singular effects produced upon many of his hearers ; he had also seen great good, amounting to an entire moral change, consequent upon them. It was his intense desire to see this latter result produced which made him regard the former of much less consequence than they appear to Mr. Southey. He lost the circumstances in the essence of the case : Mr. Southey would have measured the essence by the circumstances. Had Mr. Southey been a clergyman at that period, in vain would a disconsolate spirit have come to him for spiritual advice, except the phrase in which it was sought had been quite " rational," and the deportment of the inquirer very measured. The mention of the " burden of sin," though found in his liturgy, would have called up all his suspicions of fanaticism ; a sob from a broken heart would have seriously disturbed his philosophic composure ; and any expression of mental agony in the positions of the body, or aspect of the countenance, would probably have put him to flight. Mr. Wesley had other views. He had seen real good consequent upon these circumstances ; but he never believed that good to flow from them as its cause. He went higher than that. Those emotions might be the collateral or the secondary effects of the same cause, or they might result from a different one. In every case he hoped for good, and therefore sought it : one great secret of his success. He did not stay to contend with circumstances, even when they

were not agreeable to him ; he applied himself directly to the heart. He instructed the ignorant ; pointed the sorrowful to the only source of comfort ; explained the scriptural method of salvation ; and gradually drew off the mind from what was visionary, and, in truth, extravagant, (and both occasionally did occur,) to the sober realities of religion taught in his own sound doctrine, and enforced by his practical discipline. This was the way in which Mr. Wesley treated all cases of extraordinary emotion ; and he judged better than a thousand sciolists when he concluded that, in ignorant and inexperienced persons, much good principle may be mixed with fancy and oblique feeling. He acted too in the right spirit of a Christian minister ; he had “ compassion on them that were ignorant,” as well as “ out of the way.” From a frigid philosophy and a callous formality such persons would have derived nothing ; their errors had remained with them, and their latent virtues perished under the load. Many a spirit, in danger both from ignorance and its own peculiar constitution, was saved by his confiding charity, which “ thought no evil ;” and if in some cases there were deceptions, and in others an insuperable obstinacy, they neither impugn the sobriety of his judgment, where perhaps he himself appears most enthusiastic, nor can they dim the lustre of that benignity of mind which insured to every inquirer patient attention and sympathizing counsel, forbearance with their weaknesses, and respect for their assumed sincerity.

Another false impression which is conveyed by the biographer's remarks on “ the extravagances of the Methodists” is, that great importance was attached by Mr. Wesley to those emotions, and bodily affections, which occasionally occurred ; and that the most visionary persons, and those who pretended ecstasies, dreams, etc., were, at least in the early part of his ministry, the objects of his special respect, as eminently holy and favored persons. This is so far from the fact, that it is difficult to meet with a divine whose views of religion are more practical and defined. He did not deny that occasionally “ God,” even now, “ speaketh in a dream, in a vision of the night,” and that he may thus “ open the ears of men to instruction, and command them to depart from iniquity ;” that, in point of fact, many indisputable cases of this kind have occurred in modern times ; and in this belief he agreed

with many of the wisest and the best of men. He has recorded some cases of what may be called ecstasy, generally without an opinion of his own, leaving every one to form his own judgment from the recorded cases. He unquestionably believed in special effusions of the influence of the Holy Spirit upon congregations and individuals, producing powerful emotions of mind, expressed in some instances by bodily affections ; and he has furnished some facts on which Mr. Southey has exercised his philosophy probably with a success more satisfactory to himself than convincing to his readers. But that any thing extraordinary, either of bodily or mental affection, was by him at any time of his life, of itself, deemed so important as to be regarded as a mark of superior piety, is a most unfounded assumption. Those of his Sermons and his Notes on the New Testament which contain the doctrines which he deemed essential, and the rules by which every member of his societies was required to be governed, are sufficiently in refutation of this notion. In them no reference is made to any thing visionary as a part, however small, of true religion ; except all spiritual religion changing the heart, and sanctifying the affections, be indeed thought visionary. The rule of admission into his societies was of the most practical nature, "a desire to flee from the wrath to come," the sincerity of which was to be determined by corresponding fruits in the conduct ; and on this condition only, further explained by detailed regulations, all of them simple and practical, were his members to remain in connection with him. These rules remain in force to this day, and are the standing evidence that, from the first formation of the Methodist societies, neither a speculative nor a visionary scheme of religion was the basis of their union. Had Mr. Wesley placed religion, in the least, in those circumstances which make so conspicuous a figure in Mr. Southey's pages, he would have given to his societies a very different standard of doctrine in his Sermons ; and their rules would have borne an equivocal and mystic character. Mr. Southey, who has qualified himself for some of his poems by the legendary lore of the Romish Church, is fond of tracing comparisons between the extravagances of the Catholic saints and the Methodists. All is not evil in the Papal Church, though all is more or less corrupted. The greatest religious errors usually rest upon some truth ;

and the greater the error, the more important often is the truth on which it leans for authority. It does not, however, logically follow, that they who hold a truth in common with those who hold it erroneously, and in a corrupted and extravagant form, must also hold it erroneously and extravagantly; and yet this is an absurdity into which Mr. Southey often falls when he is taught by a book, refuted long ago, to compare "the enthusiasm of Methodists and Papists." This is the usual effect of analogical reasoning, when the things compared are but half understood. The Romanists have in all ages had their devotees, and ecstasies, and rapturists; as such, they were held up to peculiar respect and veneration; and the temptation to imitation and deception, therefore, was strong in proportion to the estimation in which such fervors were held, and the consideration to which they entitled the subjects of them. Had any considerable number of persons making such pretensions ever appeared among the Methodists, the parallel between us and the Catholics would not even then have been just; for visionaries have no eminence of consideration among us, nor ever had. But the number has been few, and seldom have they remained long; the rule of judgment as to our members having from the beginning been "faith, which worketh by love." Even those whose conversion has been accompanied with circumstances somewhat extraordinary receive no peculiar respect, and are entitled to no office, on that account. A steady, fervent, habitual, and practical piety, is now, as in the days of Mr. Wesley, the only standard by which the professions of our members are estimated.

These observations may be sufficient to show that the manner in which Mr. Southey has stated what he calls the "extravagances" of the Methodists is unfair, and calculated to make a false impression; the use he makes of these circumstances comes next in order—they were decided indications of enthusiasm.

In some cases they were; and had this been the inference, Mr. Southey's opinion would have remained unmolested. But into this conclusion, not only are several extraordinary cases of irregularity and exuberant feeling pressed, but all those instances in which Mr. Wesley's congregations were powerfully affected under his sermons, and where individuals

were brought into strong distress of spirit on account of their sinfulness, and afterwards attained an inward peace, in the full persuasion that through the merits of Christ they were forgiven. Mr. Southey would have been much better entitled to the praise of a fair and candid reasoner, on the principles of Scripture, had he exercised as to these facts some discrimination. He would then have said, "Here are effects which, whatever may be thought of the manner in which they were produced, are clearly proved by their fruits to be genuine; here are others which may be called hopeful; others suspicious; others deceptive." Any difference of opinion which remained as to particular cases, or the proportion to be assigned to each class, might then have been easily settled. Mr. Wesley did not believe that all who were affected by his ministry were converted; nor all that presented an equally hopeful character; but he knew, by their subsequent conduct, that great numbers were enlightened and sanctified by the truth of the gospel; and among them many in whom those strong emotions had been excited which our author considers so fatally indicative of "the high fever of enthusiasm." Many good effects are indeed acknowledged by the author; and yet good, bad, and doubtful are resolved into enthusiasm as their common and philosophic cause. Thus has he gone much beyond all his predecessors in puzzling and confounding a term, before sufficiently equivocal, by allowing it to have effected, in many instances, a moral and a "permanent benefit," and in assigning to enthusiasm the office which has been usually attributed exclusively to true religion. How he will settle this point, both with his Christian and his skeptical friends, cannot well be conjectured. The former may well suspect that he considers all religion as a modification of enthusiasm; the other will probably object that his Christianity, small as is its degree, has somewhat darkened the light of his philosophy; and that he has conceded what will prove religious enthusiasm to be a better and a more efficient principle than a true philosopher ought to have allowed.

The effects produced by the ministry of the Wesleys, Mr. Whitefield, and others, in different parts of the country, are stated also as though they presented cases entirely new and peculiar. Here is another error. For though the effects were so extraordinary that many thousands in the course of a

few years, and of those too who had lived in the greatest unconcern as to spiritual things, and were most ignorant and depraved in their habits, were recovered from their vices, and the moral appearance of whole neighborhoods changed, yet the effects were neither new in themselves, nor even in those circumstances which Mr. Southey thinks most singular and exceptionable. He was too little acquainted with ecclesiastical history to correct himself on this point; or he has given his attention only to the politics of the professed Church of Christ in different ages—the story rather of its worldly contests, than of its contests with the world; or he would have known that great and rapid effects of this kind, as well as in nominal triumphs, were produced in the first ages of Christianity; and that not without “outcries,” and strong corporal as well as mental emotions, nay, and extravagances too, and, by perversion, condemnable heresies, and a rank and real enthusiasm. Would he from this argue against Christianity itself; or asperse the labors and characters of those holy men who planted its genuine root in Asia, Africa, and Europe; and say that because, through the corrupt nature of men, evil often accompanies good, one is to be confounded with the other, and that they were the authors of the evil because they were the instruments of the good? Had Mr. Southey known the best part of the history of Christianity, he would have recollect ed that, upon the decline of true piety in that prominent part of the Church of Christ which occupies, unfortunately for the instruction of mankind, the most conspicuous place in its annals, there were not wanting holy and zealous ministers to carry out the tidings of salvation to the barbarous ancestors of European nations, and that strong and effectual impressions were made by their faithful and powerful preaching upon the savage multitudes who surrounded them, accompanied with many effects similar to those which attended the preaching of the Wesleys and Whitefield; for all who went on these sacred missions were not enthusiasts, nor were all the conversions effected by them a mere exchange of superstitions. He would have known that similar effects accompanied the preaching of many eminent men at the Reformation; and that many of the Puritan ministers had similar successes in large districts in our own country. I may differ from the politics of some of these divines, as may Mr.

Southey; but I envy not the mind which can forget, in this consideration, their elevated piety, their vast theological acquirements, their laborious occupancy of time, and that “fruitful preaching,” to use the expressive phrase of the day, which filled their parishes with a light and truth which, had not the violence of political parties on both sides served by different processes to extinguish it, would probably have left the founders of Methodism a much narrower sphere of action. He would also have known that, in Scotland, similar effects had been produced by the ministry of faithful men, attended by very similar circumstances; and also among the grave Presbyterians of New England, previous to the rise of Methodism; and he would have been informed that, though on a smaller scale, the same results have followed the ministry of modern missionaries of different religious societies in different parts of the world. The circumstances on which Mr. Southey dwells, though extraordinary, were not, therefore, as he represents them, either new or peculiar to Methodism; and his method of accounting for them must take a wider range to meet all the cases to which we have adverted. It may be laid down as a principle established by fact, that, whenever a zealous and faithful ministry is raised up, after a long spiritual dearth, the early effects of that ministry are not only powerful, but often attended with extraordinary circumstances; nor are such extraordinary circumstances necessarily extravagances because they are not common.

There is a doctrine of Scripture of which Mr. Southey has probably never heard, but it may, perhaps, better explain these phenomena than the absurdities which he adopts; and though, of course, I must be numbered with enthusiasts in his estimation, it will not cost me much anxiety to venture to offer it as a much better solution than any which his work contains. If there be a truth in holy writ explicit and decided, it is this, that the success of the ministry of the gospel, in the conversion of men, is the fruit of Divine influence; and if there be a well-ascertained fact in ecclesiastical story, it is that no great and indisputable results of this kind have been produced except by men who have acknowledged this truth, and have gone forth in humble dependence upon that promised coöperation contained in the words, “And, lo, I am with you alway, even to the end of the world.” This fact,

equally striking and notorious, is a strong confirmation that the sense of the sacred oracles on this point was not mistaken by them. The testimony of the word of God is, that, as to ministerial success, "God giveth the increase;" the testimony of experience is, that no success in producing true conversion has ever taken place in any Church, but when this coöperation of God has been acknowledged and sought by the agents employed in it.

The doctrine of Divine influence, as necessary to the conversion of men, being thus grounded on the evidence of Scripture, and further confirmed by fact, it may follow, and that in perfect conformity with revelation, that such influences may be dispensed in different degrees at different periods. That they were more eminently given at the first establishment of Christianity than at some future periods, is certain; and not only in extraordinary gifts, (for though those might awaken attention and silence unbelief, we have the evidence of Scripture history to prove that miracles cannot of themselves convert men from vice,) but in sanctifying influence, without which the heart is never brought to yield its choice and affections to the authority and will of God. That in various subsequent periods there have been special dispensations of favor to nations, with reference to the improvement of their moral state, is clear from a fact which cannot be denied, that eminently holy and gifted men have been raised up at such periods for the benefit of the countries and the age in which they appeared, from whose exertions those countries have derived the highest moral advantages. For reasons before given, we do not refer the appearance of such men to chance, nor the formation of their characters to the circumstances and spirit of "stirring times." We leave these conclusions to the godless philosophy of Mr. Southey; and recognize in the appearance of such instruments, the merciful designs and special grace of Him "who worketh all and in all." But the argument is, that if such men have really been the agents in "turning many to righteousness;" and that the principles of our religion forbid us to conclude that this can be done by any gifts or qualities in the agents, however lofty; then, according to the Scripture doctrine, they were "workers together with God," and the age in which they labored was distinguished by larger effusions of the Holy Spirit upon the

minds of men. Why this should occur at one time more eminently than at another, we pretend not to say; but even this notion, so enthusiastic probably to Mr. Southey, is still in the spirit of Scripture, which declares that "the wind bloweth where it listeth;" and that the Holy Spirit, like the atmosphere, is subject to laws not ascertainable by man. And if this effusion of his influence argue especial, though undeserved, favor to particular nations and ages, this is not more difficult to account for than that, at some periods and places, men of eminent usefulness should be sent into the world, when they do not appear in others; which, being a mere matter of fact, leaves no room for cavil. This view, likewise, accords with what the Scriptures teach us to expect as to the future. To the glorious views unfolded by the sure word of prophecy, Mr. Southey, it may be feared, has but seldom turned, and but superficially considered. But serious Christians have been animated in their efforts for the extension of religion, by believing them to be connected with that wonderful administration of the affairs of this world by the Redeemer God, which is to issue in the abolition of crimes, and the restoration of the whole earth to righteousness. For the full accomplishment of this sublime consummation of the Divine counsels, agents of great efficiency and qualifications, they believe, will from time to time appear; but their hope does not rest on them, but on Him only who has explicitly promised to "pour out his Spirit upon all flesh," at once to give efficiency to instruments in themselves feeble, however gifted, and "to order the unruly wills and passions of men," that they may be subdued and sanctified by the truth. If such effusions of Divine influence are looked for, and on such principles, as the means of spreading the power of Christianity generally, we may surely believe it quite accordant both with the spirit and letter of Scripture, that the same influence should often be exerted to preserve and to revive religion; and that if nations, already Christian, are to be the instruments of extending Christianity, not in name only, but in its spirit and sanctity, into all the earth, they should be prepared for this high designation by the special exercise of the same agency, turning them from what is merely formal in religion, to its realities, and making them examples to others of the purifying grace of the gospel of God our Saviour. Let it then be supposed (no

great presumption, indeed) that Christians have quite as good a foundation for these opinions as Mr. Southey can boast for that paltry philosophy by which he would explain the effects produced by the preaching of holy and zealous ministers in different ages; and we may conclude that such effects, as far as they were genuine, were the results of Divine influence; and when numerous and rapid, of a Divine influence specially and eminently exerted, giving more than ordinary assistance to the minds of men in their religious concerns, and rendering the obstinate more inexcusable by louder and more explicit calls. Of the extraordinary circumstances which have usually accompanied such visitations, it may be said, that if some should be resolved into purely natural causes, some into real enthusiasm, and, with Mr. Southey's leave, others into satanic imitation, a sufficient number will remain, which can only be explained by considering them as the effects of a strong impression made upon the consciences and affections of men by an influence ascertained to be Divine, though, usually, exerted through human instrumentality, by its unquestionable effects upon the heart and life. Nor is it either irrational or unscriptural to suppose that times of great national darkness and depravity—the case certainly of this country at the outset of Mr. Wesley and his colleagues in their glorious career—should require a strong remedy; and that the attention of a sleeping world should be roused by circumstances which could not fail to be noticed by the most unthinking. We do not attach primary importance to secondary circumstances; but they are not to be wholly disregarded. The Lord was not in the wind, nor in the earthquake, nor in the fire, but in the “still small voice;” yet that “still small voice” might not have been heard, except by minds roused from their inattention by the shaking of the earth, and the resounding of the storm.

If, however, no special and peculiar exertion of Divine influence on the minds of many of Mr. Wesley's hearers be supposed—if we only assume that the ordinary influence which, as we have seen, must accompany the labors of every minister of Christ to render them successful in saving men, was exerted in this case—the strong emotions often produced by the preaching of the founder of Methodism might be accounted for on principles very different from those adopted

by Mr. Southey. The multitudes to whom he preached were generally grossly ignorant of the gospel. He poured upon their minds a flood of light. His discourses were plain, pointed, earnest, and affectionate. The feeling produced was deep, piercing, and in numberless cases genuine—such as we have no right, if we believe the Bible, to attribute to any other cause than that inward operation of God with his truth which alone can render human means effectual. Many of those on whom such impressions were made retired in silence, and nurtured them by reflection. The stricken deer hastened into solitude, there to bleed, unobserved by all but God. This was the case with the majority; for those visible and strong emotions which Mr. Southey has made so prominent in his work, were the occasional and not the constant results. At some seasons effects were produced which, on Christian principles, I hesitate not to say, can only be accounted for on the assumption that the influence was both Divine and special. At others the impression was great; but yet we need suppose nothing more than the ordinary blessing of God which accompanies “the word of his grace,” when delivered in the fulness of faith and love, in order to account for it. But beside those who were silently pierced, and whose minds were sufficiently strong to command their emotions, there were many often present belonging to a class not accustomed to put such restraints upon themselves. To a powerful feeling they offered but a slight resistance, and it became visible. To some people then, as now, this would appear extravagant; but on what principle can the genuineness of the impression be questioned? Only if no subsequent fruit appeared; for if a true conversion followed, then, if there be truth in religion itself, the “finger of God” must be acknowledged.

This is the philosophy which we apply to the matter at issue: let me now turn to that of Mr. Southey. His great principle of solution is, the occurrence of “a new disease,” which disposed its subjects to religious impressions, and was withal infectious—a disease which had its commencement and its crisis; but as to its termination, whether in cure or mortality, he is silent. The commencement was “an extravagant opinion, as to human corruption, throwing the patient into distress, and sometimes horror;” the crisis was the profession of having obtained forgiveness of sins through the merits of

Christ. But what followed upon this crisis? Mr. Southey is very cautious not to describe the future effects, as being probably aware that, were he to proceed to the consequent holy lives and peaceful deaths of many of the patients, the "new disease" would have too much the appearance of "saving health," to support his theory. I shall not exhaust the patience of the reader by attempting an exposure of this folly, which only affords another instance to prove how much faith it requires to constitute an unbeliever. But the absurdity, great as it is, is important, first, as it shows that the case had become too hard for the solvents which Mr. Southey at first applied to it—the eloquence of Mr. Whitefield, the address and landscape-preaching of Mr. Wesley; and, secondly, that his researches into the history of Methodism presented to him facts so extraordinary, that he felt that no ordinary cause could satisfactorily account for them. How difficult is it for minds inflated by a conceited science to acknowledge God! Here is a case extraordinary indeed; but still extraordinary only in extent, not in principle—a case of the conversion of many thousands of persons from the "error of their ways," and which Mr. Southey acknowledges to have been a "change operated in their moral habits and principles;" and yet he gravely looks his readers in the face, as though confident of receiving the full meed of praise for the philosophic discovery, and refers the whole to the occurrence of a new bodily disease.*

Further comment upon this would be trifling; but before I leave the subject of enthusiasm, I will inform Mr. Southey that we believe, as truly as he himself, that there is a real enthusiasm in religion, though we may not agree with him in the application of the term. We do not, indeed, think quite

* Mr. Southey's solution of difficult and extraordinary cases, on which we should be content to avoid giving an opinion either way, is sometimes even more curious. In mentioning a singular effect produced on the Rev. W. Grimshaw at the time of his conversion, which appeared to him to proceed from flashes of light, our philosopher discovers that Mr. G. had his face at the time towards a pewter shelf; and then, in the true spirit of discovery, represents the effect to have been *galvanic!* Pity but this blunt, honest clergyman had been as expert as Mr. Southey in tracing effects to their true causes. Galvanism might then have been discovered, and Grimshaw have robbed Galvani and Italy of the honor.

so well of enthusiasm as to believe, with him, that it can originate moral good to individuals, and much less that it is able to change the moral aspect of a nation; and, on the other hand, we cannot concede that the “sighing of a contrite heart” is an enthusiastic indication, nor yet the confidence, and joy, and hope of a believer. Nor do we think him an enthusiast who is ardent in his devotions, exact and even scrupulous in his conduct, and tenderly concerned for the salvation of his neighbor. But we should think him an enthusiast who professes to be governed by any other rule of action than the word of God, soberly interpreted; and he would find no countenance among us. We should also rank him with enthusiasts who, under notions of self-sufficiency and high spirituality, should consider himself independent of the reading of the Scriptures, the instructions of the ministry, and the public and private means of grace, for spiritual aid and counsel; and such a person could not obtain admission into our societies, the rules of which would *in limine* oppose his introduction. Nor should we treat in a more lenient manner those who, under an impression of their own high religious attainments, should fancy themselves authorized to censure and speak evil of others; for we judge that true “charity is not puffed up,” and that where humility and meekness are wanting, there are no evidences of real piety. We should further think him so enthusiastic as to be utterly unfit for communion with a religious society, who, under pretence of any impressions on his own mind, should neglect or violate any of the social or domestic duties; because we regard the moral precepts of the gospel as of equal authority with its promises, and teach that “faith without works is dead” and unsaving. And, lastly, we should place that man in the same rank who attaches greater importance to any religious feeling, or any extraordinary circumstance of his conversion, as an indication of his spiritual state, than to the unequivocal rule of conformity in spirit, temper, and conduct to the gospel. When instances of this kind have occurred—and occur they will in all religious societies among the uninstructed and the ardent—the individuals have uniformly among us been taught a very different doctrine; and finding nothing valued by us but what is practical; that no inward feeling is allowed to be genuine, but that which expresses itself

by "gentleness, goodness, meekness, faith, temperance;" they have either been cured of their follies, if truly sincere, though mistaken, or have at length grown weary of the discipline enforced by views so opposite to their own, and have withdrawn from us. Nor must Mr. Southey attribute this to any recent advances of the body in sobriety and decorum, at which he is kind enough to hint; for we do not accept the compliment. We were thus instructed from the beginning; and nowhere can we find such views more clearly stated, or more strongly enforced, than in the writings of Mr. Wesley. In illustration of this I subjoin, in the note below, a passage from his sermon "On Enthusiasm."*

* "There are innumerable kinds of enthusiasm. Those which are most common, and for that reason most dangerous, I shall endeavor to reduce under a few general heads, that they may more easily be understood and avoided.

"The first sort of enthusiasm which I shall mention, is that of those who imagine they have the *grace* which they have not. Thus some imagine, when it is not so, that they have *redemption* through Christ, 'even the forgiveness of sins.' These are usually such as 'have no root in themselves,' no deep repentance, or thorough conviction. 'Therefore, they receive the word with joy.' And 'because they have no deepness of earth,' no deep work in their heart, therefore the seed 'immediately springs up.' There is immediately a superficial change, which, together with that light joy, striking in with the pride of their unbroken heart, and with their inordinate self-love, easily persuades them they have already 'tasted the good word of God, and the powers of the world to come.'

"A second sort of enthusiasm is that of those who imagine they have such *gifts* from God as they have not. Thus some have imagined themselves to be endued with a power of working miracles, of healing the sick by a word or a touch, of restoring sight to the blind; yea, even of raising the dead, a notorious instance of which is still alive in our own history. Others have undertaken to prophesy, to foretell things to come, and that with the utmost certainty and exactness. But a little time usually convinces these enthusiasts. When plain facts run counter to their predictions, experience performs what reason could not, and sinks them down into their senses.

"To the same class belong those who, in preaching or prayer, imagine themselves to be so influenced by the Spirit of God, as in fact they are not. I am sensible, indeed, that without him we can do nothing, more especially in our public ministry; that all our preaching is utterly vain, unless it be attended with his power; and all our prayer, unless his Spirit therein help our infirmities. I know, if we do not both preach and pray by the Spirit, it is all but

From what has been said on this subject, it will appear, that Mr. Southey has no explicit conception of religious enthusiasm. By no writer has the term been used so vaguely;

lost labor; seeing the help that is done upon earth, he doeth it himself, who worketh all in all. But this does not affect the case before us. Though there is a real influence of the Spirit of God, there is also an imaginary one; and many there are who mistake the one for the other. Many suppose themselves to be under that influence, when they are not, when it is far from them. And many others suppose they are more under that influence than they really are. Of this number, I fear, are all who imagine that God dictates the very words they speak; and that, consequently, it is impossible they should speak any thing amiss, either as to the matter or manner of it. It is well known how many enthusiasts, of this sort also, have appeared during the present century; some of whom speak in a far more authoritative manner than either St. Paul or any of the apostles.

"The same sort of enthusiasm, though in a lower degree, is frequently found in men of private character. They may likewise imagine themselves to be influenced or directed by the Spirit, when they are not. I allow that 'if any man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of his;' and that if we either think, speak, or act aright, it is through the assistance of that blessed Spirit. But how many impute to him, or expect things from him, without any rational or scriptural ground! Such are they who imagine they either do or shall receive *particular directions* from God, not merely in things of importance, but in things of no moment, in the most trifling circumstances of life. Whereas in these cases God has given us our reason for a guide; though never excluding the secret assistance of his Spirit.

"To this kind of enthusiasm they are peculiarly exposed who expect to be directed of God, either in spiritual things or in common life, in what is justly called an *extraordinary* manner. I mean, by visions or dreams, by strong impressions or impulses on the mind. I do not deny that God has, of old times, manifested his will in this manner; or that he can do so now. Nay, I believe he does, in some very rare instances. But how frequently do men mistake therein! How are they misled by pride and a warm imagination, to ascribe such impulses or impressions, dreams or visions, to God, as are utterly unworthy of him! Now this is all pure enthusiasm, as wide of religion as it is of truth and soberness.

"Perhaps some may ask, 'Ought we not then to inquire what is the will of God in all things? And ought not his will to be the rule of our practice?' Unquestionably it ought. But how is a sober Christian to make this inquiry? to know what is the will of God? Not by waiting for supernatural dreams. Not by expecting God to reveal it in visions. Not by looking for any *particular impressions* or sudden impulses on his mind. No; but by consulting the oracles of God.

and yet, without any attempt to fix its meaning in his own mind, he has charged it, sometimes in a mitigated, but often in the vulgar sense, upon Mr. Wesley and his followers. If,

'To the law and to the testimony.' This is the general method of knowing what is 'the holy and acceptable will of God.'

"But how shall I know what is the will of God, in such and such a particular case? The thing proposed is, in itself, of an indifferent nature, and so left undetermined in Scripture.' I answer, the Scripture itself gives you a general rule, applicable to all particular cases. 'The will of God is our sanctification.' It is his will that we should be inwardly and outwardly holy; that we should be good, and do good in every kind, and in the highest degree whereof we are capable. This is as clear as the shining of the sun. In order, therefore, to know what is the will of God in a particular case, we have only to apply this general rule.

"A third very common sort of enthusiasm (if it does not coincide with the former) is that of those who think to attain the end without using the means, by the immediate power of God. If, indeed, those means were providentially withheld, they would not fall under this charge. God can, and sometimes does, in cases of this nature, exert his own immediate power. But they who expect this when they have those means, and will not use them, are proper enthusiasts. Such are they who expect to understand the Holy Scriptures without reading them, and meditating thereon; yea, without using all such helps as are in their power, and may probably conduce to that end. Such are they who designedly speak in the public assembly without any premeditation. I say designedly; because there may be such circumstances as, at some times, make it unavoidable. But whoever despises that great means of speaking profitably, is so far an enthusiast.

"Against every sort of this it behooves us to guard, with the utmost diligence: considering the dreadful effects it has so often produced, and which, indeed, naturally result from it. Its immediate offspring is pride; it continually increases this source from whence it flows, and hereby it alienates us, more and more, from the favor and life of God. It dries up the very springs of faith and love, of righteousness and true holiness; seeing all these flow from grace. But 'God resisteth the proud, and giveth grace only to the humble.'

"Together with pride there will naturally arise an unadvisable and unconvincible spirit. So that into whatever error or fault the enthusiast falls, there is small hope of his recovery. For reason will have little weight with him (as has been frequently and justly observed) who imagines he is led by a higher guide, by the immediate wisdom of God. And as he grows in pride, so he must grow in unadvisableness and stubbornness also. He must be less and less capable of being convinced, less susceptible of persuasion; more and

indeed, he thinks it enthusiastic in us to hold that religion has its seat in the heart, and that when there it must awaken emotion, we neither deny the charge, nor are ashamed of it. We believe that no man can repent without sorrow, believe without joy, nor pray in the true spirit of devotion without obtaining inward support and consolation. We think that such "a peace as the world cannot give" is attainable by good men; that there is a real communion of the souls of good men with God; that sanctification is the work of the Holy Ghost in the heart, carried on by the diligent use of Divinely authorized means; that it becomes persons in a state of probation to be serious and watchful; and that they who are approaching an eternal world ought to be solemnly, though not gloomily, impressed by that circumstance. All this we acknowledge; but we can perceive nothing here which makes a substantial difference between us and all true Christians in every age of the Church; nothing, but what all the Churches of Christ throughout the world have, in one mode or other, recognized as parts of their own faith. But if Mr. Southey supposes that we attach an undue importance to impressions, the answer I have already given: He knows us not, he knows little of the writings of Mr. Wes-

more attached to his own judgment and his own will, till he be altogether fixed and immovable."

The above extracts will, I think, sufficiently show that Mr. Wesley was not an encourager of real enthusiasm. There is a passage in the same sermon which I commend to Mr. Southey's consideration, for his personal edification:

"If enthusiasm be a term, though so frequently used, yet so rarely understood, take you care not to talk of you know not what; not to use the word till you understand it. As in all other points, so likewise in this, learn to think before you speak. First, know the meaning of this hard word; and then use it, if need require.

"But if so few, even among men of education and learning, much more among the common sort of men, understand this dark, ambiguous word, or have any fixed notion of what it means, then, secondly, beware of judging or calling any man an enthusiast upon common report. This is by no means a sufficient ground for giving any name of reproach to any man; least of all is it a sufficient ground for so black a term of reproach as this. The more evil it contains, the more cautious you should be how you apply it to any one; to bring so heavy an accusation without proof, being neither consistent with justice nor mercy."

ley. In them the religion of the heart is inculcated; but it is never placed in feelings for which no scriptural account can be given as to their origin, or which are unconnected with the Christian temper and practice as their end. There is nothing imaginative in their style; nothing calculated to move the passions through the fancy; nothing gorgeous; nothing mystic. They are addressed to the conscience, not to the imagination; and they inculcate a spiritual religion only as it consists in holiness, and connects itself with every thing "honest, lovely, and of good report," in life. This was the character of Mr. Wesley's *viva voce* sermons, and it is that of his written ones. This was the method of preaching he enjoined upon his coadjutors; and upon that model has the ministry among the Methodists been generally formed. It is not denied that there have been exceptions: that instances of a real enthusiasm have occurred in some weak-minded persons; that some among us have occasionally given too great an encouragement to noise and clamor in their religious meetings, and laid too much stress upon the excitement of the passions; that wrong views have thus been sometimes communicated to persons in the early stages of their religious experience; and that a real work of God has in some cases been injured, on the one hand, by too great a severity to the circumstances accompanying it, and, on the other, by too great an indulgence of them. It costs us nothing to make this concession. No one who has paid adequate attention to religious subjects can be ignorant that, in the experience of a religious society, as well as in that of a religious individual, a warfare with evils of various kinds is often called forth by human liability to evil. All great virtues run by the side of great infirmities; and the avoiding of one extreme tends, in all cases, to the other. In Churches, prudence and caution are apt to degenerate into coldness and formality; fervid piety and zeal, into exuberance of feeling and pride; and it has been so in all ages. It is a sufficient answer to Mr. Southey's insinuations as to ourselves, that the "golden mean" has been much seldomer departed from by us than he was either aware of or willing to admit; and that, as a religious body, we have never countenanced such aberrations from it as, in accordance with the principles of the word of God consistently and soberly interpreted, might be justly

deemed enthusiastic. They have been of infrequent occurrence, and confined to a few individuals, whilst all the authorized sentiments of the body, and its public acts, have been opposed to them. The contrary impression made by Mr. Southey's book we willingly attribute to his ignorance of our views and conduct, and to a theological system exceedingly crude, in some instances false, in others greatly defective. Had Mr. Southey better studied religion and its history, he would have better qualified himself to give the history of Methodism and its founder.*

* To confirm what I have stated above, that the extraordinary effects produced in the early periods of Methodism by the ministry of Messrs. Wesley, Mr. Whitefield, and others, and which, indeed, occasionally occur to this day, were not what Mr. Southey and others represent them—entire *novelties*; that the “disease,” as our author will have it, under the influence of which sudden and strong religious emotions were produced, was not so *new* as he supposes it; that, upon what the best of men and the most competent divines have considered to be, and therefore termed, special effusions of Divine influence upon particular places at different times, many have been suddenly brought under the power of religion, and that whole neighborhoods have thus rapidly changed their character and moral aspect, I shall give several instances. It will be seen that they bear a strong resemblance to the work effected by the ministry of the founders of Methodism. The visitations, too, were often sudden; they occurred after long spiritual dearth; the emotions produced were strong, and often very visible; and the regular order of religious assemblies was also occasionally interrupted. Mr. Southey will perceive that his manner of solving the phenomena, by assuming the appearance of a *new and specific disease*, has no claim to originality; that the philosophers, both of Scotland and of New England, made the discovery long before he was born, or even Methodism known; and that he has formed his theory with but a very partial acquaintance with the facts for which it is to account. It will not fail to strike the serious and considerate, in comparing these accounts with the narrative of what Mr. Southey has called the “extravagances” of the Methodists, that, in all the instances adduced, the effects followed the ministry of men of eminent piety, and the preaching of the great doctrines of the Reformation; that the affections excited arose from the same principles and considerations; that the sorrow was sorrow for sin; that the joy was the joy of reconciliation with God; and that in the whole, whatever instruments were employed, the recognized agent was the Spirit of God; that immorality was renounced, neglected ordinances frequented, and that the majority in every case gave evidence in future life of the reality of the moral change effected in them. Some extravagances and some irregularities are adverted to in those accounts,

which, like those occasionally occurring in Methodism, were partly avoidable, and partly unavoidable. For noise and disorder, I am far from being an advocate; but in none of these cases does their occasional occurrence prove that an extraordinary work in the hearts of men was not then carrying on by the Spirit of God. By the exercise of a firm discipline, then most of all to be exerted, they are to be, as far as possible, repressed—for the power of the work does not lie in them; and yet discipline, though firm, ought to be discriminating, for the sake of that real blessing with which, at such seasons, God is crowning the administration of his truth. It is a subject of little importance how the sophists of the world, or merely nominal Christians, may regard these accounts. Under any form in which it can be presented to them, the work of the Holy Spirit in the heart will be an object of their hostility and contempt. But to those who believe that all means used for the conversion of men depend entirely for their efficacy upon Divine influences; who think themselves warranted from Scripture to conclude that these influences are sometimes vouchsafed in extraordinary degrees of efficacy; and that such effusions will distinguish the latter day, and be the great means of hastening its glories, these notices of "the days of the right hand of the Most High," "days of his power," "days of the Son of Man," are at once supporting, encouraging, and instructive. In these doctrines, and in these facts, real Christians, of all professions, are deeply interested. Ought they not earnestly to pray for that influence from God, in which they all trust, more abundantly to accompany the word of his grace, and especially in times of daring wickedness and infidelity like the present, when so many agents are at work for evil, without assuming to prescribe in what manner their prayers shall be answered? It is granted, that in all such seasons of powerful operation, enthusiasm, real enthusiasm, has, in a few cases, sprung up. So it was in New England, during that extraordinary work of which President Edwards published a narrative. How did that great divine (for so he was, whatever may be thought of his theological metaphysics, or his Calvinistic tenets) act? He scrutinized the cases, and wrote his able book on "The Religious Affections," not to discourage what he knew, and had proved from its result, to be the work of God, but to guard and cherish it. Enthusiasm springs from excess, and not defect; and where there is most of active and ardent piety, it will most frequently be produced in individuals of weak understanding, and sanguine feelings, not to mention the imitative intrusions of hypocrisy; and this has been the case in all the *best* periods of the Church of Christ. The worst effect of this has been to put ministers *too much* upon their guard against enthusiasm; so that both the genuine work of God, and the sovereignty of the Holy Spirit in his operations, have often been undervalued. My humble opinion is, however enthusiastic I may be deemed, that in this country we have little to fear from enthusiasm. Our dangers lurk elsewhere. Enthusiasm is chiefly dangerous when the Scriptures and religious truth are but little known, and religious discipline in Churches but

imperfectly organized. In this country, where the Bible is so extensively circulated, evangelical principles so generally implanted in the minds of men, and the apparatus of a regular and numerous ministry in all religious communities provided, every thing is under control; and what the cause of religion appears most to want, is the "power from on high." Why should not all who love Zion and their country with the best kind of patriotic attachment, pray for this, and wait the answer to their prayers—"until the Spirit be poured from on high, and the wilderness become a fruitful field?" "If," says Dr. Gillies, a most respectable Scotch divine, from whose "Historical Collections" most of the accounts I have adverted to will be taken, "before these late gracious visitations, prayer for such blessings was so much neglected, that in some respects it may be said the Lord was found of people who sought him not, and did wonderful things which they looked not for, may it not be hoped, if we seek his face, and that with all our heart, we shall find that we seek not his face in vain, and that he never was, and never will be, 'a barren wilderness, nor a land of darkness,' to them that look for him?"

As the extracts I have referred to would swell this note to too great a length, they are inserted in an *Appendix*.

SECTION VII.

SEPARATION FROM THE CHURCH.

MR. WESLEY's clerical irregularity, and the tendency of his system to separation from the Church of England, are subjects frequently adverted to by Mr. Southey. On these points the biographer has, however, discovered much more fairness than is usual with the assailants of Methodism. Full justice, indeed, is not done to Mr. Wesley's motives; for they were not fully within Mr. Southey's comprehension; and remarks occasionally occur which might well have been spared; but our author has more than once made concessions which greatly narrow the ground of debate.

It has been usual for writers of Mr. Southey's class to represent Mr. Wesley as having early formed the project of making himself the head of a sect; and to consider every part of his conduct as regulated by a preconceived plan of ultimate separation from the Church. Were this established, it would be difficult to reconcile many of his proceedings with strict sincerity, and his character must consequently have suffered. This opinion is, however, entirely unsupported; and so strong, indeed, is the contrary evidence, that those who have most violently assaulted Mr. Wesley on this ground must have been wholly unacquainted with his history, or too bigoted to read it with impartiality. Mr. Southey, indeed, in his Sketch of the Life and Character of Mr. Wesley, in "The Correspondent" for 1817, appears hastily to have given sanction to this opinion; but, whether from the instruction he derived from a review of that article in the Methodist Magazine, or that his researches have since been more careful, he now yields to the truth of the case, and

admits, that though the measures Mr. Wesley adopted tended to a separation from the Church, they were taken by him “in good faith;” that they arose out of “the circumstances in which he was placed, one step bringing on another;” and that, in the outset of his career, he had no intention of placing himself in opposition to the Church of England. This concession renders it unnecessary to go into a defence of the sincerity of Mr. Wesley’s attachment to the Church; and I shall, therefore, say nothing on this subject, except that that sincerity was sufficiently put to the test. In the Church he met with little but hostility, and even persecution, through a great part of his life; yet no resentment, which it might be natural sometimes to feel, shook his attachment to her institutions, or abated the earnestness of his prayers for her welfare.

But though Mr. Southey allows that the measures of Mr. Wesley were taken “in good faith,” he contends that he could not but foresee that their inevitable tendency was to separation. In order to meet this question, it is necessary to consider Mr. Wesley’s views and circumstances in three marked periods of his public life.

The first period is the commencement of his itinerant ministry at home. Mr. Southey is right in representing it as Mr. Wesley’s object to revive the spirit of religion in the Church of England. To this he thought himself called, at least by circumstances; for this he commenced and continued his labors; and his ultimate success is a stronger presumption than any Mr. Southey can bring against it, that he did not mistake his call. We may be thought enthusiastic; but judging from the results pendent upon that determination, we choose rather to explain his not accepting his father’s living at Epworth by a providential interposition, than to adopt the solution of his biographer, who, if Divine interference be omitted, is never at a loss for a reason to supply its place. Mr. Wesley on that occasion was neither indifferent to the opinions of his friends, nor to the “interest of his mother and sisters;” but in no great step does he appear ever to have acted without a clear conviction of duty; and, if Providence designed him to fill a larger sphere than the parish of Epworth, such a conviction in this case was not likely to be permitted. If there be any truth in Providential interposition,

it is to be looked for precisely in those circumstances from which Mr. Southey seems most anxious to exclude it—the circumstances which form the turning-points of our future designation in life.

How far Mr. Wesley's early attempts to do good beyond the sphere of a parish, and to revive scriptural doctrines and the spirit of piety in the Church of which he was a member and a clergyman, deserve to be referred to "ambition," "a restless spirit, which had not yet found its proper sphere," a mind not easily brought into subordination to the control of ecclesiastical discipline, and other similar motives which Mr. Southey either considers as the primary principles under which Mr. Wesley acted, or which he resorts to, in order to qualify and deteriorate those higher motives, the existence of which he cannot deny, is a subject which must be determined by considerations which, I fear, can have but little weight with our author. They are not, however, on that account to be kept back.

To judge of Mr. Wesley's conduct, we must consider the state of the Church of England, and of the nation, when his public life commenced. That Church was not in its present state of light and zealous activity. It had not then a ministry so well instructed, nor an equal number of faithful and truly evangelical clergy. Any standard taken from the present state of the Church, or of the country, to determine the merits of the conduct of a clergyman who should now commence a career as clerically irregular as that of Mr. Wesley would be obviously erroneous, if applied to him. Mr. Southey's ninth chapter has supplied too much information on the state of religion at that period, to render it necessary to go to other authorities, though that were an easy task. He traces the decay of piety in the Church from the time of the Restoration, with a bold but just pencil. He quotes from "the excellent Leighton, who spoke of the Church as a fair carcass without a spirit: in doctrine, in worship, and in the main part of its government, he thought it the best constituted in the world, but one of the most corrupt in its administration;" and from Burnet, who observes, "that in his time the clergy had less authority, and were under more contempt, than those of any Church in Europe; for they were much the most remiss in their labors, and the least se-

vere in their lives." Mr. Southey refers, also, to the importation of "a fashion for the speculative impiety of France;" of "a shallow philosophy of home growth;" of "the schools of dissent becoming schools of unbelief;" of the neglect of religious education among the higher classes; of the greater part of the nation being "totally uneducated;" of their being "Christians but in name—for the most part in a state of heathen, or worse than heathen, ignorance." This was the state in which the Wesleys and their coadjutors found the Church and the nation. The British Critic, in its review of Mr. Southey's work, thinks the picture too dark, but is greatly perplexed in its attempt to throw in lighter shades. Dark as Mr. Southey's picture is, it is far from being overcharged. The great evil, from which the rest flowed, was the almost total extinction of the doctrines of the Reformation in the pulpit, and in the opinions of the clergy and laity; so that when they were preached by the Wesleys and Whitefield, not only on the authority of the Scriptures, but on that of the formularies of the Church itself, they were regarded as absurd and dangerous novelties. The clergy were generally grossly ignorant of theology; and though there were splendid exceptions, yet many of those who had made theology their study were notoriously inclined to heterodoxy on the fundamental doctrines of the Christian faith. There was also among them a little of ultra Calvinism, and much of frigid, unevangelical Arminianism.* Natural religion was the great subject of study, when divinity was studied at all, and it was made the test and standard of revealed truth. The doctrine of the *opus operatum* of the Papists as to sacraments, which has been lately revived, and is now too much sanctioned in the Church, was the faith of the divines of the older school; and a refined system of ethics, unconnected with Christian motives, and disjoined from the vital principles of religion in the heart, the favorite theory of the modern. The body of the clergy neither knew nor cared about systems of any kind. In a great number of instances they were im-

* Arminianism so called, but in reality Pelagianism, and Semi-Pelagianism, embodied with some equally unsound theological inventions. It is unjust to the memory of Arminius to call such a system by his name. See his Works, which, for the benefit of the English public, have been recently translated by Mr. Nichols.

moral, often grossly so. The populace in large towns were ignorant and profligate: the inhabitants of villages added to ignorance and profligacy brutish and barbarous manners. A more striking instance of the rapid deterioration of religious light and influence in a country scarcely occurs, than in our own, from the Restoration till the rise of Methodism. It affected not only the Church, but the Dissenting sects in no ordinary degree. The Presbyterians had commenced their course through Arianism down to Socinianism; and those who held the doctrines of Calvin had, in too many instances, by a course of hothouse planting, luxuriated them into the fatal and disgusting errors of Antinomianism. There were some cheering exceptions; but this was the general state of religion and morals in the country, when the Wesleys, Whitefield, and a few kindred spirits came forth, ready to sacrifice ease, reputation, and even life itself, to produce a reformation.

These eminent men had studied the doctrines of the Reformation, and, after long and painful conflicts of spirit with the errors of the day, were convinced of their truth. But they saw in them, not merely a theory to be believed, but to be experienced and taught. They saw and felt the evil of their own corrupt nature: they sought and obtained justification through faith in Christ, as taught in the doctrines of their Church: they received a new nature by the influence of the Holy Spirit, "cleansing the thoughts of their hearts by his inspiration, that they might perfectly love him, and worthily magnify his holy name;" and then, under a solemn sense of their responsibility as persons in holy orders, and in the generous, sympathizing spirit of the grace they had themselves received, they went forth to call men to repentance, and to "convert them from the error of their ways." This is a feeling which it may be feared Mr. Southey cannot appreciate; but the uniform sentiment which springs up in every heart which itself has obtained mercy, is a sacred, an unbounded desire to "make all men know what is the fellowship of the mystery." Now I ask whether, if we suppose nothing more in the case than the common and ordinary feeling of a minister of the gospel, himself truly converted to the knowledge, faith, and experience of the truth, there was any thing in their refusing to be confined to one parish when a Church so

fallen, and a nation so dark, lay before their eyes, which is not much more charitably and reasonably interpreted by a wise and holy choice between a narrow and an extended field of usefulness, and especially when the latter presented certain shame and difficulty, than by a gratuitous assumption either of their “ambition,” or of their “enthusiasm,” in the sense in which Mr. Southey so generally uses these terms? But if we go farther, and contend that these excellent men had a providential designation to this work, there is nothing certainly in any received principles of religion among true Christians to discountenance the opinion. Almighty God, who “in wrath remembers mercy,” and often grants, both to unworthy individuals and to nations, unmerited visitations of his grace, might have this kind design in view in raising up these extraordinary men at that period. Their gifts, and the very circumstances of their conversion, might be specially arranged to qualify them for the office to which he had appointed them: the events of their lives might be secretly controlled with reference to this object; and the “restless stirring” of their spirits might have a much higher origin than that assigned by Mr. Southey. Certain it is, that he who considers the moral good effected by them as instruments, and recognizes not a Divine agency, is not in a state of mind readily to acknowledge it in any thing; or, under the influence of a purblind philosophy, he greatly errs in his estimate of things great and little, when he sees Providence in the petty revolutions of things merely earthly, and confesses it not in moral revolutions, which in their effects reach to distant climes and ages, and connect themselves with the interests of men in eternity itself.

But to the point in question: at this period Mr. Wesley could have no conviction that the tendency of his measures was to a separation from the Church. Not conceiving himself bound by his ordination to undertake the cure of a particular parish, (a view of the case in which he was confirmed by the bishop from whom he received orders,) he “went forth everywhere preaching the word;” in churches, when he had access to them; and when they were closed, “into the highways and hedges,” to “compel them to come,” not into a sect of his own, but into the Church of which he was a member. The revival of religion in the Church was the object con-

stantly before him; and for this purpose he coöperated with as many clergymen as he met with, of a zeal similar to his own. With them he considered himself a co-worker in a common cause, that of the Church; and for a common object, to enlighten and reform the nation. Some of these clergymen continued to labor in friendly union with him for a considerable time, and a separation from the Church was neither by him nor by them intended or suspected as the result. On the contrary, this coöperation of the truly evangelical clergy of the day was established on the principle of affection for the Church, and zeal for the promotion of the best interests of the country; and it continued till those differences which the subject of predestination plentifully supplied, broke out into open controversy.*

* This difference of opinion might be called an unhappy one, as it separated good men from each other, whose efforts, had they continued united, might have produced a more powerful effect upon the state of religion in the Church of England. The controversy which arose, fierce, and furious, and scurrilous as it was, on the part of many of the opponents of Mr. Wesley and Mr. Fletcher, was not, however, without an important collateral effect. The calm and Christian spirit of these two eminent men, after they were dragged into the controversy, joined with the great ability with which every point of it was discussed in their writings, could not but produce a strong impression. The doctrines of justification by faith, assurance of pardon, regeneration, and Divine influence, which had been considered by many as necessarily connected with the Calvinistic scheme, were now seen in harmony with the doctrines of God's universal love, the unrestricted extent of Christ's death, and the freeness of Divine grace. Men were no longer compelled into a choice between two extremes, Calvinism or Pelagianism, into which last error most of our English divines had fallen, in opposing the doctrine of the decrees. The ground on which many of the Reformers, and not a few of the Arminian divines, had stood, was thus regained; and the great and vital truths of the gospel were offered to men, unaccompanied with the gloomy and bewildering metaphysics of theologians, who had even, with respect to Calvin's theory, "out-heroded Herod" himself. Of the arguments on each side, Mr. Southey, who has gone at some length into the controversy, is much too little acquainted with the subject to be a competent judge. The Christian and gentlemanly *manner* in which the controversy was conducted by Messrs. Wesley and Fletcher, he has recorded with fairness. To the acrid temper and gross productions of Toplady and others, and of the writers in the *Gospel Magazine*, (so called,) he has but dealt a just measure of animadversion. It is, however, highly satisfactory to observe, that this bitterness of contention has long

In these early measures of Mr. Wesley, there was nothing which had in itself any necessary tendency to a separation from the Church; and at this period, at least, that event could not be "foreseen."

The second period to which reference may be made in order to judge how far, or at what time, Mr. Wesley "fore-saw" that he was promoting separation, is, when he admitted the coöperation of preachers appointed by himself. The case is here stronger in favor of Mr. Southey's position; but it does not appear that even Mr. Wesley anticipated separation as the necessary consequence. In the early stages of his career, he was content to leave the good done by his ministry to the care of the clergyman of the parish in which the per-

since abated. The spirit and language of the wretched work I have just mentioned would not be tolerated in this day, even by those generally who are decided in their belief of the Calvinistic theory. It is also but justice to say of many of the Calvinists of that period, that their Magazine became so intemperate that they refused it support; and that among the proximate causes of its being given up was, if I have been rightly informed, the mingled buffoonery and acerbity with which Mr. Wesley was assailed in it, and particularly the insertion of the wretched doggerel verses, entitled, "The Serpent and the Fox," which Mr. Southey has given in the appendix to his second volume, "Hob in the Well," "Pope John," etc. Calvinism itself has since that period been held under various and chastened modifications. Baxter laid the foundation of what he conceived to be a middle scheme, which has been largely resorted to; the excellent Dr. Williams, of Rotherham, provided a new vest of metaphysics for the old doctrine, which, though it rather muffles up the features more closely, than displays the form to any new advantage, has recommended it to the admiration of many; others have been content to believe both systems true, though irreconcilable by human intelligence; whilst the greater number of evangelical ministers have taken the wise course of attaching less importance than their predecessors to mere peculiarities, and have chosen to dwell almost exclusively upon the leading and indisputable doctrines of their faith. The Antinomian doctrine, from various causes—and among these may be reckoned the labors and writings of Messrs. Wesley and Fletcher—has evidently received a strong, and, I hope, an effectual check in this country; and in this all good men will rejoice. The recent appearance of this error in the Church of England has proved but a temporary evil. The religious public are now too well furnished with better principles for Antinomianism to make an extensive impression upon them; and it makes no converts from the world. Its only province is to corrupt and waste within the Church of Christ.

sons who received it resided. Mr. Southey has given the reason why he formed societies, and appointed persons to instruct them "in the ways of God." "If his converts were left to themselves, they speedily relapsed into their former habits." This was true in many cases. Mr. Southey thinks the whole effect produced upon them enthusiastic, and that, "on this account, artificial means of keeping up the excitement" were necessary. An enlightened Christian would say, that careful instruction, and religious fellowship, are the means appointed by Him who knows us best to cherish impressions which, however genuine, for want of such care might die away; and that mutual prayer, conversation, and reading the word of God, are enjoined upon Christians in the Holy Scriptures, as necessary means of spiritual improvement, in addition to the ordinances of public worship. The clergy in general made no such provision for the religiously disposed people of their parishes; and hence, asks Mr. Wesley, "What was to be done in a case of so extreme necessity? No clergyman would assist at all. The expedient that remained was to find some one among themselves, who was upright in heart, and of sound judgment in the things of God, and to desire him to meet the rest as often as he could, in order to confirm them as he was able in the ways of God, either by reading to them, or by prayer, or by exhortation." Now surely any mind, rightly influenced, would consider assemblies of people for such purposes, in so many parishes in the kingdom where nothing of the kind before existed, and where these very persons, but a little time before, were spending their leisure in idleness or in vice, as a most gratifying occurrence, both for the benefit of the individuals themselves, and the effect of their example upon others. It would, indeed, have been more satisfactory if a pious clergyman had put himself at the head of these meetings, afforded the people his counsel, and restrained any irregularities or errors which might arise; and had clergymen so qualified and disposed been found, the Church would have reaped the full benefit of Mr. Wesley's labors, and no separation, in any form, would have ensued. Unhappily, they did not exist; and Mr. Wesley submitted to the irregularity, to avoid the greater evil of suffering those who had been brought under religious influence to fall away for want of care and instruction. That superin-

tendency which the clergy were not disposed to give, he supplicd as much as possible by his occasional visits ; and it was more regularly afforded after the employment of his own preachers, by their regular visits, under his direction. In these measures there was no intention of a separation from the Church ; this Mr. Southey allows ; nor was it, even at that time, foreseen as a consequence. A necessary consequence it certainly was not. Mr. Southey thinks that the apparatus of Methodism, when more fully organized than at the period now referred to, might have been attached to the Church with advantage ; and that its ecclesiastical constitution is defective in not having some institution answering to the preaching orders of the Church of Rome. Into this question I shall not enter ; but Mr. Wesley certainly had a similar view ; nor was he without hope that those simple iustitutions for promoting piety, which he had commenced, nighgt have been recognized. He hoped that the spirit of religion, produced already to so great an extent, might still further influence the members of the Church and its clergy, and dispose them to view his societies with more cordiality. He took care, therefore—and all his principles and feelings favored the caution—that no obstacles should be placed in the way of the closest connection of his societies with the Establishment. None of their services were held in the hours of her public service ; the Methodists formed in many parishes the great body of her communicants ; thousands of them died in her communion ; and the preachers, though separated to the work by solemn prayer and a mode of ordination, though without imposition of hands, were not permitted to administer either of the sacraments to the people among whom they labored.

Separation was not foreseen by Mr. Wesley till a later period ; and then, without doubt, it was very naturally anticipated. Any hope he might have entertained of a recognition of his plans, as appendages to the constitution of the Church, must at length have vanished. He continued to meet with hostility and opposition from many of the clergy ; and Methodism was the favorite subject of their attacks ; and disliked less perhaps on its own account, than for those religious principles of the Reformation on which it was founded. Perhaps the hope of preserving his societies generally in connection with the Church was indulged by Mr. Wesley much longer

than the reason of the case would warrant, from his own ardent feelings as a Churchman; but when a partial separation was in reality foreseen as probable, it had no sanction from him, and he appeared determined so to employ his influence to his last breath, that if separation did ensue, it should assume the mildest form possible, and be deprived of all feelings of hostility. His example, the spirit of his writings, and his advices, all tended to this; and the fact is, that though Methodism now stands in a different relation to the Establishment than in the days of Mr. Wesley, dissent has never been formally professed by the body, and for obvious reasons. The first is, that a separation of a part of the society from the Church has not arisen from the principles assumed by the professed Dissenters, and usually made so prominent in their discussions on the subject of Establishments; the second, that a considerable number of our members actually continue in the communion of the Church of England to this day; and the third, that to leave that communion is not, in any sense, a condition of membership with us. All the services of the Church and her sacraments may be observed by any person in our societies who chooses it, and by many are so.*

The great causes which have led to separation as far as it has gone have not been understood by Mr. Southey. It is perfectly imaginary to suppose that a disposition to this was produced by the Nonjurors connecting themselves with the Methodists when they disappeared from public notice; for

[* The prevalence of "Puseyism," however, in late years, has so alienated the Wesleyans from the Establishment, that but few of them at present commune at her altars. Indeed, the tables have been turned: Episcopalians, to escape Puseyism, have been seeking the ordinances from Wesleyan ministers. The modification and development of the Church system of the British Methodists—thorough ministerial training, imposition of hands in ordination, the use of the liturgy, more systematic regard to catechumenical instruction—have left but little to be desired by the "Church party" in the Connection. These observations, of course, have no reference to the Methodist Episcopal Church in the United States, which, as far as the Methodists were concerned, according to Mr. Wesley's design, took the place of the Church of England in this country. Methodist "Churchmen," almost to a man, were satisfied with the Methodist Episcopal Church; and many Episcopalians, not Methodists, have received the Christian ordinances at her altars.—T. O. S.]

perhaps not twenty of them ever became members. It is also gratuitously assumed that many Dissenters espoused Methodism, from whom a “leaven of ill-will to the Church” has been derived. Not so many persons of this description ever became Methodists as to produce much effect upon the opinions of the body at large. Nor was the cause “the natural tendency of Mr. Wesley’s measures,” considered simply. Of themselves those measures did not produce separation: that resulted from circumstances which, of course, Mr. Southey would not be disposed to bring into view, if he knew them; but which were, in fact, the operating causes in chief. These were—that the clergy, generally, did not preach the doctrines of their own Church and the Reformation; and that many of them did not adorn their profession in their lives.*

* That a great and most gratifying alteration has taken place within a few years, both in the doctrine and lives of the national clergy, is certain; and by none is this circumstance more gladly hailed than by the Methodists. The statement of the facts mentioned above was necessary to explain the reasons which led to a departure from Mr. Wesley’s original plan; but it is not made in a spirit of hostility to the Church of England, in so many respects to be venerated, and for whose growing prosperity and perpetuity the wishes of none can be more sincere than my own. I would not forget that she is “the mother of us all;” and I can never contemplate without the deepest admiration her noble army of confessors and martyrs, and the illustrious train of her divines, whose writings have been and continue to be the light of Christendom. Bigotry in forms of Church government has a peculiar absurdity. Different opinions as to many *doctrines* may certainly plead the authority of the letter of Scripture with a much better grace than it can be urged when used to support the details of Church order, points which the Holy Spirit has left so much at large as to furnish us only with principles and not with forms. All beside the appointment of faithful men to minister the word and sacraments, and to bear rule in the Church, so as to drive away errors and vices, is matter of pure inference. A bigot for Independency or Presbyterianism, and a bigot for Diocesan Episcopacy and apostolical succession, stand upon nearly the same ground. There is little difference between the spirit of Laud, and that which *burns* in the unhallowed writings of Robinson of Cambridge, and in a late History of Dissenters. The “meekness and gentleness of Christ” is as far removed from the one as the other; and persecution in one form or other must ever result from the want of charity, when that which “*letteth*” is taken out of the way. I would as soon trust my liberty with the most rigid Episcopalian as with a bitter sectary,

The first operated in this way, that as the pulpit ministered little to the edification of those whose religious views had undergone so great a change, attendance at church, which Mr. Wesley so much inculcated, was, even in his day, much neglected. The second became a matter of conscience. From the hands of a man who gave no proofs of his spirituality, and often demonstrations, too clear, of worldly conformity and lax morals, many could not receive the sacrament. How far this conscientious principle ought to be carried, is a question which cannot be settled; for conscience is a variable rule, dependent wholly upon the perception of our duty by the judgment. The fact, however, was, that many of the Methodists neglected that sacred ordinance, rather than receive it from men whose ministry was to them wholly unprofitable, and whose characters, as they conceived, disqualified them for the services of the altar. Add to this, that in no small number of cases the clergy were the haughty persecutors and calumniators of the Wesleyan societies; that their sermons were often intemperate attacks upon their characters and opinions; and that the Methodists were frequently regarded as intruders at the table of the Lord, rather than welcome communicants. These were the reasons why, long before Mr. Wesley's death, a great number of his societies were anxious to have the sacrament from the hands

and I should not feel the more confidence in him for having "liberty" and "the rights of conscience" continually in his lips. The array of so many wise and holy men on different sides of the questions of ecclesiastical polity, whilst they were under a discussion to which nothing can now be added, ought by this time to have neutralized all parties. In the different circumstances of Churches, much may be said for most of the various forms of government they have assumed; for the fact seems to be, that we have often mistaken what is a mere matter of prudential regulation, for Divine prescription. Of what is prudent, men judge variously; and the different circumstances of Churches will often render a different constitution either necessary or more fitting. As to the various modes of Church discipline among us in this country, "Whate'er is best administered is best," may be the true rule. The spirit of true religion in Churches is the principal thing: and, as that prevails, it will regulate and sanctify every form, and, without altering it essentially, may render it "good to the use of edifying." So long as we are at liberty to adopt that which best comports, with our own serious views, there seems little reason for controversy, and none certainly for contention

of their own preachers, under whose ministry they were instructed and edified, in whose characters they had confidence, and with respect to whom they knew, that if any disgraced their profession, they would not be suffered to exercise it.

Such were the true causes which led to the partial separation of the Methodist societies from the communion of the Church, after the death of Mr. Wesley; and this is an answer to the thousand times repeated objection, that we have departed from Mr. Wesley's principles. The fact is, that though relief to the consciences of the societies in general, by granting them the sacrament, was restrained by Mr. Wesley's great and deserved authority, yet he himself was obliged to allow a relaxation from his own rule in London, and some other principal towns, by giving the sacrament himself, or obtaining pious clergymen to administer it, in the chapels. After his death it was out of the power of Conference, had they not felt the force of the reasons urged upon them, to prevent the administration of the sacrament to the people by their own preachers. Yet, in the controversy which this subject excited, the speculative principles of dissent had little part. The question stood on plain practical grounds: Shall the societies be obliged, from their conscientious scruples, to neglect an ordinance of God? or shall we drive them to the Dissenters, whose doctrines they do not believe? or shall we, under certain regulations, accede to their wishes? So far from Mr. Wesley's principles and views having lost their influence with the Conference, the sacrament was forced upon none, recommended to none. The old principles were held as fast as higher duties would allow. And to this day, the administration of the sacrament in any chapel is not to be assumed as a matter of course, but must be obtained by petition to the Conference, who are to hear the case, and judge of the circumstances. Many, indeed, of the people, and some of the preachers, opposed these concessions; but the plan which was adopted to meet a case of conscientious scruple, and yet to avoid encouraging a departure from the primitive plan, leaving every individual to act in this respect as he was persuaded in his own mind, and receive the sacrament at church or at meeting, has at length by both parties in England been cordially acquiesced in, as warranted equally by principle and by prudence. Assuredly the Church

would have gained nothing by a different measure; for the dissidents would have been compelled to join the professed Dissenters. Such is the nature of our present separation from the Church. Had the Church been provided generally with an evangelical and a holy ministry, that separation would not have taken place; for the controversy between the Church and the Dissenters was little known, and still less regarded, by the majority of the Methodist societies at that time; and the case is not greatly altered at the present day. The clergy had lost their hold upon the people generally, through neglect, and that revival of the spirit of truth and holiness, which we are now so happy to witness among them, came too late to prevent the results. I have just stated.*

* It is a question somewhat difficult to be settled, whether it would not have been a prudent measure, if Mr. Wesley, when he at length saw that a change in the relation of his societies to the Church of England must take place after his death, had prepared for that event, by some such moderate alterations as the Conference afterwards found it necessary to adopt. I shall not presume to offer an opinion on this point; but certainly nothing can more strongly refute the notion that he was anxious to form a *sect*, than that he preferred to leave the Connection to the hazard of the conflicts of different parties, which he could not but anticipate, than to form any plan of worship and discipline for that part of his people whom he might expect to assume the character of a regular Church, and a distinct religious society. He probably thought that this would give sanction to separation, and might carry it beyond the real necessity of the case. The sincerity of his intention in thus leaving such matters unsettled at his death, could not be questioned; and it was probably overruled for good. The struggle of different views was temporary; the plan of pacification, as to the sacraments, and service in the Church hours, adopted by the Conference, being generally and cordially acquiesced in. In forming this plan, distinguished as it is by great prudence and temper, the Conference appears in one or two points to have erred. A part of the societies assumed from that time the form and substantive character of a regular religious body. Two things were therefore manifestly wanting. The first, a regular plan of catechizing, which, as long as Methodism was no more than an appendage to the national Establishment, was presumed to be performed by the clergyman, as a preparation for confirmation. This has constantly been enjoined upon the heads of families; but it ought to have been connected with the public discipline of that part of the society which, in fact, had separated. The second was an enlargement of the order of Sunday worship. That the Sunday forenoon especially should be marked by the most solemn and lengthened acts of Divine service,

On the subject of the alienation of the people from the Church, by means of Methodism, much has been said and written very vaguely. Mr. Southey views this as foremost

equally accords with the practice of all regular Churches, with the respect due to the day, and with every hallowed feeling of the mind, at that time most free from bodily weariness, and prepared by its vigor to enter most efficiently into the sacred services of the house of God. When the service practiced by the Methodists on the Sunday morning before church-time, and which, for that reason, was necessarily a very brief one, came to be the regular Sunday forenoon service of the body, it was greatly defective. This was the light in which Mr. Wesley viewed it. "Some may say, our own service is public worship. Yes, in a *sense*; but not such as supersedes the Church service. We never designed it should. It presupposes public prayer, like the sermons at the university. If it were designed to be instead of the Church service, it would be essentially defective; for it seldom has the four grand parts of public prayer, *deprecation, petition, intercession, and thanksgiving.*" The Plan of Pacification secured the reading of the Scriptures, by making it a condition of opening any chapel in church-time, that the lessons for the day should be read. It recommended, too, and that "strongly," in preference to the mere reading of the lessons, the use of the liturgy. But the fault lay in not making the latter the sole and peremptory rule—a measure which then would have been gladly accepted, as a condition of opening the chapels, and by this time it would have become the established custom of the body. In many chapels our morning service is conducted in this manner, and in most of our foreign stations. It has been more recently introduced into others at home; and the public opinion among us in favor of the use of the liturgy is so much increasing, that the probability is, that in a few years it will become the general mode of our forenoon service in all the large chapels. That improvement is indeed greatly to be desired; for the liturgy *secures* the reading of a large portion of the Scriptures; it *secures*, also, what Mr. Wesley has properly called "the four grand parts of public worship;" it makes the service of God's house appear more like our true *business* on the Lord's day; and besides the aid it affords to the most devout and spiritual, a great body of evangelical truth is by constant use laid up in the minds of children and ignorant people, who, when at length they begin to pray under a religious concern, are already furnished with suitable, sanctifying, solemn, and impressive petitions. Persons well acquainted with the liturgy are certainly in a state of important preparation for the labors of the preacher; and their piety often takes a richer and more sober character from that circumstance. A settled ministry may supply this kind of preparation by more of personal instruction than a changing system like ours will allow; and to us, therefore, every means of embodying truth in the minds of those who attend our ministry is more than ordinarily necessary. It is

among "the evils" which Mr. Wesley effected; and a brief consideration of this point may therefore not be out of place. On the contrary, I think it not difficult to show that the Establishment of our country has been materially benefited by Methodism.

Rightly to determine this, it is necessary to go back to the period in which the labors of Mr. Wesley commenced. We have seen the picture drawn by Mr. Southey himself of the Church, the clergy, and the people; a picture which he was under no temptation to overcharge. When the Messrs. Wesley, Mr. Whitefield, and their early coadjutors entered upon their itinerant career, it is a matter of fact and of history that no general plans for the illumination of the nation were either in operation, or in the contemplation of any one. Nothing had this bearing. There were no persons associated in institutions of any kind, making this a common object. The pious labors of a few zealous clergymen, (and few they were,) and ministers of other denominations, were confined to their own parishes and congregations.

There were no means of general application in existence, beyond the ill-attended services of the Church, (which in themselves had but a very partial operation, because not generally seconded by the efforts of the pulpit and the private example and pastoral care of the clergy,) to remove the ignorance and correct the vices which Mr. Southey acknowledges to have been almost universal. The measures taken by those eminent men, to whom a better state of things is chiefly owing, were applied to existing evils on a large scale. They acted in concert; they conceived noble designs. They visited the large towns; they took populous mining, manufacturing, and commercial districts in their way; they preached

rather singular, and it shows the inconsistencies into which the human mind often falls, that, in places where the use of the liturgy in our chapels has been opposed, the opposition has chiefly arisen from persons most friendly to the Establishment. This has not indeed been exclusively the case; for in other places the objectors have been so *sagacious* as to discover that the forms of devotion in which Methodism was nursed are *innovations*; and they are become *spiritual* in so high a degree above the founder of Methodism himself, that what he through life continually observed and enforced appears to them but a barren formality.

in places of public resort ; they formed religious societies, and inspired them with zeal for the instruction and salvation of their neighbors. They employed men of zeal, character, and competent acquaintance with practical and experimental religion, to assist them in this work as it widened before them ; and they gave it their vigilant superintendence. The benefits they were the means of producing did not remain with the individuals. They were introduced by those individuals into families : they influenced whole neighborhoods. Religious knowledge was spread, and religious influence exerted. The manners of the rude were civilized ; barbarous sports and pastimes fell greatly into disuse ; and a higher standard of morals was erected, of itself of no small importance to the reformation of manners. All this is substantially allowed by Mr. Southey.

It is equally a matter of fact and of history, that, besides those means which their personal labors, and the auxiliaries they brought forward to their assistance, afforded to revive and extend the spirit of religion in the nation, for a great number of years no other means of extensive application were employed to promote this end. The effects which were thus produced began, however, after a considerable time had elapsed, to operate collaterally, as well as directly. Many of the clergy were aroused : the doctrines of the Articles and Homilies began to be heard more distinctly and more frequently in their pulpits. Holy and zealous men in different denominations began to labor for the public instruction and reformation. The great institution of Sunday-schools, though devised* by a Churchman, was but slowly encouraged. The Methodists and Dissenters were carrying those schools to a great extent when the members of the Church followed : some from a fear, laudable enough, lest the body of the poor should be alienated from the Establishment ; others, as perceiving in the institution the means of conveying instruction and religious influence to those who most needed them. The circulation of the Scriptures by Bible societies followed ; but still was an effect of that new order of principles and feelings which had been introduced into the nation. Those principles

[* Perhaps it would be better to say revived and modified, for Sunday-schools existed long before the time of Robert Raikes —T. O. S.]

of zeal for the moral improvement of society further led, at a later period, to general measures for the education of the poor by the two great national education societies, which promise so much benefit to the country. All these measures for enlightening and moralizing the people may be traced to several intermediate causes ; but it is only justice to the memory of such men as the Wesleys and Whitefield—men whom Mr. Southey has flippantly branded as enthusiasts—to state, that they all primarily sprang from that spirit which, under God, they were the means of exciting in a slumbering Church, and a dark and neglected land. This is a point not to be denied ; for long before any of those efforts for public instruction and reformation which could be considered national were called forth, these aspersed men were pursuing their gigantic labors among the profligate population of London, and the principal towns of the kingdom ; among the miners of Cornwall, the colliers of Kingswood and Newcastle, and the manufacturers of Yorkshire and Lancashire ; whilst the preachers they employed were every year spreading themselves into dark and semi-barbarous villages in the most secluded parts of the kingdom ; enduring bitter privations, and encountering, almost daily, the insults of rude mobs, that they might convey to them the knowledge of religion.

Now, in order to judge of these efforts, and to ascertain what “evil” has resulted to the Church of England from Mr. Wesley’s measures, it is but fair to consider what the state of the country and of the Church must, in all human probability, have been, had he and his associates never appeared, or confined themselves to the obscurity of Epworth and similar parishes. It is not denied that other means and agents might have been raised up by God to effect the purposes of his mercy ; but it is denied that any such were raised up ; for this is matter of fact. No agency has appeared in the Church, or out of it, tending to the general instruction and evangelizing of the nation, and operating on a large scale, but what is much subsequent in its origin to the exertions of the Messrs. Wesley and Whitefield ; and which may not be traced to the spirit which they excited, and often into the very bosoms of those who derived their first light and influence, either directly or indirectly, from them. What was, and not what might have been, can only be made the ground of argument.

But for their labors, therefore, and the labors of those persons in the Church, among the Dissenters, and their own people, whom they imbued with the same spirit, that state of things in the Church of England, and in the country, which is pictured by Mr. Southey in his ninth chapter, must have continued, at least for many years, for any thing which appears to the contrary; for no substitute for their exertions was supplied by any party. They took the place of nothing which could be nationally beneficial; they opposed no obstacle to the operation of any plan of usefulness, had it been in preparation. If they, therefore, had not appeared, and kindled that flame of religious feeling which ultimately spread into many denominations of Christians, and there gave birth to that variety of effort which now diffuses itself through the land, Mr. Southey comes to a very erroneous conclusion, if he supposes that a spirit of piety and zeal, excited at a much later period, would have found the nation and the Church at all improved. The probability, almost amounting to certainty, is, that both would have been found still more deteriorated, and in a state which would have presented obstacles much more formidable to their recovery. Mr. Southey has applied too much of his attention to such subjects not to know that a number of those demoralizing causes were then coming into operation, which, with all the counteractions since supplied by the Church, and the different religious sects, by schools, and by Bibles, have produced very injurious effects upon the morals and principles of the nation; that the tide of an unprecedented commercial prosperity began then to flow into the country, and continued for a long succession of years to render the means of sensual indulgence more ample, and to corrupt more deeply all ranks of society; that in consequence of the independence thus given to the lower orders in many of the most populous districts, the moral control and influence of the higher became gradually weaker; that the agitation of political subjects, during the American quarrel, and the French revolution,* with the part which even the operative

[* The author wrote as a loyal Englishman: he deprecated a revolution in England, particularly in view of the red-republicanism which many sought to import from the other side of the channel, though he highly appreciated the republican institutions of the United States.—T. O. S.]

classes were able to take in such discussions by means of an extended education,* produced, as will always be the case

* The effects above referred to education, generally, have been by some placed to the account of those efforts to promote the instruction of the poor which have sprung from the zeal of religious societies. They forget that the growing wages of mechanics and manufacturers, in the periods referred to, supplied the means of mere education to their children, without at all connecting it with the principles of religion. Education would, therefore, have been sufficiently extended to produce mischievous effects, and that without any counteraction, had those religious bodies never instituted Sunday and other schools. We neither have it now, nor have ever had it, in our power to choose whether knowledge shall be diffused among the laboring classes, or not. This is too frequently lost sight of, and a whole train of ingenious reasoning has been vitiated, in consequence of so obvious a fact not having been adverted to. Some have discussed the subject as though the education of the lower classes was entirely dependent upon provisions of government, and the efforts of the charitable. On the contrary, in consequence of the progress of commerce in this country, and the improvement which it has made in the condition of the poor generally, immense numbers who still remain in the laboring classes have been taught the arts of reading and writing at the expense of their parents. Had there been no charitable schools for the education of the poor, a sufficient number of persons would have been mixed with them in every shop and factory, and in the daily avocations of life, and the places of vicious resort, who, themselves being able to read pernicious publications, both as to religion and politics, would have conveyed their poison as widely as it could be transmitted by that general education which most enlightened persons have advocated, but from which some have feared the perversion of the principles of the populace. The infection would not, it is true, have had so many channels for its conveyance; but it would have found that mass of ignorance and vice to which it had access, much better prepared to receive it; and it would have spread without any control, either from awakened intelligence, or from just opinions early deposited in the mind.

Those who urge the crimes of society, and the late perturbed state of the populace in many parts of the country, as presumptions, at least, that the education of the poor has not produced the effects hoped for, seem also to assume that the friends of universal education have promised the public more than has been realized. There may have been strong and incautious things said on the subject, both in sermons, and in speeches, and in the reports of school-societies, by minds of sanguine temperament; but surely they never meant that we should overlook the counteractions which the corrupt nature of man, and the seductions offered by vice to a feebly-resisting nature, bring into operation against all institutions which aim at the moral improvement of mankind. Higher institutions than those of educa-

among the half-informed, a strong tendency to republicanism, a restless desire of political change on every pinching

tion are subject to the same kind of opposition; and, with all the general benefit they produce, have their disappointments and reverses. The circulation of the Scriptures, and the exercise of the Christian ministry, may be given as instances in point; and the same objections, drawn from partial failures, might be as reasonably turned against them. The Bible does not sanctify all who read it: the most faithful pulpit, hung round as it may be with trophies of moral victories, cannot boast universal conquest over the ignorance and vices of those who habitually surround it. Even the most careful education of a family, by the wisest, the most tender, and the most competent parents, will often be found unable to accomplish its designs; but who concludes any thing against parental care on this account? The operation of the great institutions for the education of the poor—the National Schools, those of the British and Foreign School Society, the Sunday-schools of the kingdom, and other similar educational charities—are not to be judged by too severe a rule. Let it be considered that the moral effect of the school upon the child is often counteracted by the example of parents; and that the immoral habits, and too often the total irreligion and profanity at home, fall upon children, thus circumstanced, with an influence derived from the double source of natural authority, and the predisposition to evil in their own hearts.

We are, however, willing to allow, that if those who seem disposed to question the benefit of general education ask, as the proof of its salutary effect, more than is reasonable, the friends of education have given some reason for so high a demand, by an occasional extravagance of sentiment on their part; and we make this concession the more willingly, because the inordinate opinions to which we allude have led, in some cases, to errors in the conduct of charity schools, which must be remedied, or a greater disappointment will ensue.

Many of the zealous advocates of education seem to have conceived of instruction as a kind of moral magic, which was at once to charm society into industry, sobriety, and virtue, rather than as a discipline, diligently implanting good principles, and maintaining a firm but patient struggle with the evil tendencies of the human mind. The worst part of this folly has been the too frequent separation of religion from education, and the confining of this hope of magical effect to the mere arts of reading, writing, and arithmetic. To construct the moral man, it has been too often thought sufficient to give energy to the intellectual man; and thus those means which Divine Providence has put within our power, to use for our own improvement, and for the improvement of each other, and from which alone moral influence can flow, have been neglected, or, being regarded only as secondary, have been very partially or feebly applied. The efforts made for several years past to instruct the poor have done much good; but they have done good only as they have been connected with religion. The history of man, at home and abroad, in modern and in ancient

of the times, and its constant concomitant, an aversion to the national Establishment, partly as the result of ill-digested theories, partly as controlling the favorite notions of the disaffected, and partly because this feeling was encouraged by the negligent habits of many of the clergy, and the absence of that influence they might have acquired in their parishes by careful pastoral attentions. To all this is to be added the diffusion of infidel principles, both of foreign and home growth, which, from the studies of the learned, descended into the shop of the mechanic, and, embodied in cheap and popular works, found their way into every part of the empire. To counteract agencies and principles so active and so pernicious, it is granted that no means have yet been applied of complete adequacy. This is the reason why their effects are so rife in the present day, and that we are now in the midst of a state of things which no considerate man can contemplate without some anxiety. These circumstances, so devastating to morals and

times, sufficiently proves that it is not in the nature of mere human science to produce moral regulation and restraint. For this reason, also, the education spread among the people of this country would have been more efficient, had it been more studiously connected with religion ; had the principles of Christianity been more carefully implanted in the memory ; had a regular attendance on the public worship of Almighty God been more punctually observed and more rigidly enjoined ; had the due place been given in every charity school to the word of God ; and had the full tone of the youthful mind, so to speak, been wound up to a consenting sympathy with the supreme importance of spiritual and eternal things. The hoped-for effect will often fail ; but it ought to be the concern of all who are engaged in the education of the poor, that it should not fail for want of the exact and serious application of all the means of moral improvement in our power.

The whole question is reduced to this : not whether knowledge shall circulate among the poor, (for that cannot be prevented,) but whether it shall be communicated by the charitable and influential ranks of society, accompanied by those principles of religion which alone can afford the hope of rendering it a moral corrective. We may suffer a vast number of the lower orders to remain illiterate ; but they will not be excluded on that account from the opinions of the day. They will learn them from those of their own class who have got their learning independently of charities ; and, should these opinions be pernicious, it is easy to see how much more injuriously they must generally operate upon the unprepared, than upon the guarded, mind. The Christian public has it in its power to confer the most substantial benefit upon the country, by a general system of education, connected with religion.

no new bond between it and the nation at large had been created. But if, as I am happy to believe, the national Church has much more moral influence, and much more respect, now than formerly; and that its influence and the respect due to it are increasing with the increase of its clergy, this is all owing to the existence of a stronger spirit of piety; and in producing that, the first great instruments were the men stigmatized as "enthusiasts," by the author of the Life of Wesley. Not only has the spirit which they excited improved the religious state of the Church, but it has disposed the great body of religious people, not of the Church, to admire and respect those numerous members of the Establishment, both clergymen and laics, whose eminent piety, talents, and usefulness have done more to abate the prejudices arising from different views of Church government, than a thousand treatises could have effected, however eloquently written or ably argued.

But who are the persons whom the Methodists have alienated from the Church? Here, too, Mr. Southey and others have labored under great mistakes. They have "alienated" those, for the most part, who never were, in any substantial sense, and never would have been, of the Church. Very few of her serious members have at any time been separated from her communion by a connection with us; for they have usually continued attendants on her services, and observers of her sacraments. This was the case during the life of Mr. Wesley, and continues to be so in many instances now; and when an actual separation of a few such persons has occurred, it has been usually compensated by a return of others from us to the Church, especially of opulent persons, or their children, in consequence of that superior influence which an established Church must always exert upon people of that class. For the rest, they have been brought chiefly from the ranks of the ignorant and the careless; persons who had little knowledge, and no experience, of the power of religion; negligent of religious services of every kind, and many of whom, but for the agency of Methodism, would have swelled the ranks of those who are equally disaffected to Church and state. If such persons are not now Churchmen, they are influenced by no feelings hostile to the institutions of their country. In another respect, also, Methodism answers an important pur-

pose. It forms a middle body between the Establishment and the Dissenters, and affords the means of religious assistance to many who fully approve of the ecclesiastical polity neither of the one nor the other. Mr. Southey, with all his exceptions to Methodism, thinks that an advantage would be gained by formally attaching us in some way to the Church. This is no proof of his acquaintance with the subject on which he writes. The time in which such a recognition of Methodism was most practicable has long since passed away. Perhaps it would never have answered any important end; but certainly it is now neither possible nor desirable. There is a large class of people whom the Church cannot reach, which fall within our range; and the Church has its own sphere, into which we cannot, and are not at all anxious to intrude.

SECTION VIII.

MISCELLANEOUS STRICTURES AND CORRECTIONS.

To several miscellaneous instances of unfair and unsupported statement, and of illiberal construction, I shall lastly direct the attention of the reader.

Mr. Southey has twice referred to a passage in the Minutes of the third Conference, for a purpose which cannot but be deemed disingenuous. The passage is, “Did we not at the beginning purposely throw them into convictions, into strong sorrow and fear, etc.? Answer: We did, and so we should do still.” Here Mr. Southey seems to think that he has a full confirmation of his manner of accounting for the strong effects produced upon many persons by Mr. Wesley and his preachers. The idea he would convey is, that, in order to produce such effects, art and finesse were resorted to, and of course that nothing more than a transient impression was made upon the feelings. The passage, it is true, is carelessly worded; a very frequent fault in the early Minutes. But if Mr. Southey had been candid enough in this instance to suffer this solitary phrase to have been explained by its context, he would have seen that Mr. Wesley meant no more than is plainly expressed in the question and answer immediately preceding: “Do we sufficiently labor, when men begin to be convinced of sin, to take away all they lean upon? Should we not endeavor, with all our might, to overturn their false foundations? Answer: This was at first one of our principal points, and it ought to be so still.” Mr. Wesley did not certainly mean that any art should be used to affright people — that even in stating the most solemn truths of the Scriptures a rhetorical finesse, which his good taste always disgusted, should be em-

ployed to influence the imagination. Even the passage Mr. Southey has quoted, from one of Mr. Wesley's sermons, in proof that he himself used language calculated to produce this effect, refutes his representation. It is a plain, unrhetorical annunciation of the doctrine of Scripture on the point before him, which might be paralleled with a thousand passages from the most eminent divines. It is earnest, and faithful, and pointed, as became a man who believed the truths he taught, and was, doubtless, "purposely" intended, in the best sense, to alarm and stir up the careless and self-righteous; and, in the same innocent sense, every minister, worthy of the name, "purposely" endeavors to produce effect upon his hearers. Mr. Southey's insinuation, however, lies with little weight against Mr. Wesley. Nothing could surpass the simplicity of his preaching; nothing was more distant from his manner than the arts of the declaimer. Had the charge been brought against Mr. Whitefield, though not true, it would have had much more plausibility. His manner was vehement, and his address unequalled; though in him I believe the talent was sanctified and overruled by a higher power. No two preachers of eminence were ever more unlike than Mr. Wesley and Mr. Whitefield. Mr. Hampson, in his Life of Mr. Wesley,* has happily caught the distinguishing characteristics:

"Never was the contrast greater between public characters than between Mr. Wesley and Mr. Whitefield. The former, when he was himself, had an easy fluency of expression, and an address and manner particularly chaste and natural. He was often logical and convincing, and sometimes descriptive; but he never soared into sublimity, or descended into the pathetic. His style was the calm, equal flow of a placid stream, gliding gently within its banks, without the least ruffle or agitation upon its surface. The eloquence of Mr. Whitefield was of another kind. It was more various; and yet, with all its variety, in him it was strictly natural. He was a preacher who alternately thundered and lightened upon his audience; now filling them with terror, and now touching

* This work has supplied Mr. Southey with some of his best and some of his worst remarks. It was written under strong prejudices, and some degree of resentment, which the respectable author lived to surmount.

and affecting them with all the softer and more pleasing emotions. He knew how to inspire them with whatever passion he was desirous to call forth; and the smiles or tears of his hearers were equally at his command. Though not so accurate a speaker as Mr. Wesley, he was more popular. He had a louder and more musical voice; his tones were more varied; his action much more diversified, and his whole address in public was that of a master in all the arts of popularity."

An aged and respectable clergyman lately observed with respect to Mr. Wesley's preaching, that it always reminded him of the lines of Denham—

"Though deep, yet clear; though gentle, yet not dull;
Strong without rage; without o'erflowing, full."

It is to be feared that Mr. Southey, in reality, takes exceptions to the doctrine taught by Mr. Wesley—the real liability of unconverted men to future punishment; and that he would have greatly preferred the ministry of those who

"Never mention *hell* to ears polite."

But a much heavier charge lies against him as to two quotations, one from the sermons of Mr. Wesley, the other from a work by Mr. Fletcher; the former of which he has garbled, and given to the latter a false application. I regret to make this charge, because the general fairness of Mr. Southey's book, whatever may be thought of his opinions, deserves commendation. It is clear, however, that as Mr. Southey gets towards the end of his work, and contemplates Mr. Wesley as the head of a religious body, which has in part separated from the Church, his prejudices become more powerful; and having, from a bitter Dissenter, become an ardent Churchman, he probably thinks it right to prove the sincerity of his conversion by his zeal. In closing his volumes he appears, therefore, much more disposed to detract both from the character of Mr. Wesley, and of Methodism, than in the body of the work. The quotations in question are understood by him as proving that the moral good produced by the labors of Mr. Wesley was in fact but very small; and this impression so well suited his purpose, that either he did not give himself time to read the extracted passages in their connection, or he has wilfully and dishonorably perverted the

meaning of their authors. The first passage is from one of Mr. Wesley's sermons, and is thus quoted by Mr. Southey :

“There were times when Wesley perceived and acknowledged how little reformation had been effected in the majority of his followers. ‘Might I not have expected,’ said he, ‘a general increase of faith and love, of righteousness and true holiness; yea, and of the fruits of the Spirit, love, joy, peace, long-suffering, meekness, gentleness, fidelity, goodness, temperance? Truly, when I saw what God had done among his people between forty and fifty years ago, when I saw them warm in their first love, magnifying the Lord, and rejoicing in God their Saviour, I could expect nothing less than that all these would have lived like angels here below; that they would have walked continually as seeing Him who is invisible, having constant communion with the Father and the Son, living in eternity, and walking in eternity. I looked to see a chosen generation, a royal priesthood, a holy nation, a peculiar people; in the whole tenor of their conversation “showing forth His praise who had called them into his marvellous light.” But, instead of this, it brought forth error in ten thousand shapes. It brought forth enthusiasm, imaginary inspiration, ascribing to the all-wise God all the wild, absurd, self-inconsistent dreams of a heated imagination. It brought forth pride. It brought forth prejudice, evil surmising, censoriousness, judging and condemning one another; all totally subversive of that brotherly love which is the very badge of the Christian profession, without which whosoever liveth is counted dead before God. It brought forth anger, hatred, malice, revenge, and every evil word and work; all direful fruits, not of the Holy Spirit, but of the bottomless pit. It brought forth such base grovelling affections, such deep earthly-mindedness, as that of the poor heathens, which occasioned the lamentation of their own poet over them : *O curvae in terras animæ et cœlestium inanes!*”

Now, if this passage were to be taken as Mr. Southey has insidiously put it, it would not have been out of his province, as the biographer of Mr. Wesley, and the historian of Methodism, to have compared this representation with those numerous passages in Mr. Wesley's writings in which a very different representation is made of the success of his labors, in order to ascertain a fact which was surely important to the

inquiry he had voluntarily undertaken, and to determine the precise quantum of good produced by Methodism. But not only was it Mr. Southey's duty to settle the average of these very opposite statements; but to reconcile the passage in which he affects to find Methodism condemned by Mr. Wesley, with those numerous and liberal admissions as to the real and extensive good produced by it, which he himself has made in various parts of his most inconsistent volumes. Nay, I must think, that if Mr. Southey had not been conscious that he was taking an unwarrantable liberty with the quotations in question, he would have felt himself bound to examine these apparent contradictions at some length, instead of hastily leaving them, supported by a few confirmatory dogmatical assertions of his own, to produce the impression which he designed. But the dishonesty of our author must here be exposed. The passage which he has given as one continuous extract from Mr. Wesley, is made up of two; and those clauses are left out which would have explained its real meaning. Nor is it true, as Mr. Southey states, that it was written by Mr. Wesley to show "how little real reformation had been effected in the great body of his followers." Instead of this, the first part of the quotation says nothing of the degree of "real reformation" wrought among his followers, but speaks of what had been done in the nation, in comparison of what he, not unreasonably, expected from the commencement of so extraordinary a work of God. To prevent the passage from being so understood, Mr. Southey dexterously slipped out a sentence between two parts of the quotation. Mr. Wesley, after asking, "Might I not have expected a general increase of faith and love, of righteousness?" etc., adds, "Was it not reasonable to expect that these fruits would have overspread his whole Church?" This is left out. Now, the term Church he never applied to his societies, but to the Church of England; and here he clearly means by it, all throughout the land who professed to be of her communion. "Instead of this," Mr. Wesley observes, "the vineyard brought forth wild grapes, it brought forth error in a thousand shapes," and many persons, instead of following the doctrines taught by him, followed these errors; but they were not surely, as Mr. Southey would represent, Mr. Wesley's "followers," when they followed opinions and teachers which had no sanction from him.

Nor does he only refer to errors which arose from the perversions of the doctrines of Methodism, but to errors which arose from a heated and virulent opposition made to them, both in the Church and out of it. By the zealous propagation of truth, the advocates of error were made more active, and in many cases more successful—the constant result in every age. “It brought forth enthusiasm,” etc. But not in the great body of Mr. Wesley’s “followers,” as our author would have it understood. This could not be his meaning; for on the contrary he affirms that, generally, “the work in his societies was rational as well as scriptural, as pure from enthusiasm as from superstition. It is true, the opposite has been continually affirmed; but to affirm is one thing, to prove is another.” Mr. Wesley referred to the case of George Bell, and a few others in London, who were opposed and put away almost as soon as their errors appeared, and whose real enthusiasm was injurious, not only to the few infected by it, but operated largely for a time to counteract the influence of true religion in the land, by confirming the prejudices which all worldly men indulge against it, and who never fail to fix upon such circumstances to bring it into disrepute. “It brought forth prejudice, evil surmising, censoriousness, judging and condemning one another, all totally subversive of that brotherly love which is the very badge of the Christian profession,” etc. Nor does this apply, as Mr. Southey represents it, to “the great body of his followers.” On the contrary, all know who are acquainted with the history of Mr. Wesley’s societies, that, till his death, no body of Christians equal in number, and for so long a period, were ever more, and few so much, distinguished for the absence of strifes and contention, and for a lively affection towards each other. Mr. Southey was either not aware, or intentionally did not advert to the fact, that Mr. Wesley did not consider his societies as a sect, and as such separated from the body of religious people in the nation; and hence in this, and other parts of his writings, he addresses the religious public, and not his own “followers” exclusively. The work of which he speaks in these quotations, he knew, was begun and carried on, not merely by himself, his brother, and those who continued to think with him, but by Mr. Whitefield and others who adopted the theory of Calvin; and with them he wished, as far as possible, to

coöperate, as well as with all, of every name, "who loved the Lord Jesus in sincerity," in spreading the influence of religion. When, therefore, he speaks of those circumstances which had arisen to obstruct the spread of that work which once promised very rapidly to leaven the whole nation, his observations have a wider range than Mr. Southey assigns to them. The prejudice, censoriousness, and subversion of brotherly love, of which he complains in the quotation just given, may be supposed to be the results of that controversy which had been stirred up on the subject of predestination, and in which Mr. Southey has shown that candor and brotherly affection had little place. The spirit thus excited, unquestionably separated those who, had they continued united, would have produced a much more powerful and extensive effect upon society. In this respect the controversy was injurious to the cause of religion. It chiefly engaged the attention of those who were laboring for the moral benefit of the nation, and who alone had that truth in possession by which any effectual impression could be made; and it corroded the tempers of many of them, as well as destroyed their coöperation. Of the moral state of his "followers" Mr. Wesley is not speaking.

An equally unworthy artifice is made use of by Mr. Southey in the latter part of the quotation, which he urges as a further proof that little moral effect was produced among the "followers" of Mr. Wesley. Here also the passage is mutilated, and all is carefully left out which was necessary to its being understood aright. "The vineyard," Mr. Wesley observes, "brought forth wild grapes, such base, grovelling affections, such deep earthly-mindedness, as that of the poor heathens, which occasioned the lamentation of their own poet, *O curvae in terras animæ et cœlestium inanes!* O souls, bowed down to earth, and void of God!" But of whom is this affirmed? Mr. Southey says, of "the great body of Mr. Wesley's followers;" and yet under his eye, in the same paragraph, these censures are restricted to the rich, to persons "increased in goods," and consequently were not spoken of the body, who, as Mr. Southey knows, were sufficiently poor. But then, perhaps, these few rich persons were Mr. Wesley's "followers?" Mr. Southey cannot even thus be exculpated; for almost in the same breath Mr. Wesley declares that they despised communion with his society. He doubtless referred

to a few persons who, when low in their circumstances, had given some hope of their future piety and usefulness; but becoming rich, they had imbibed the spirit of the world, and, so far from being the great body of his followers, were not his followers at all.

The unfairness of these quotations from Mr. Wesley's "Sermon on God's Vineyard," can only be equalled by another from the works of Mr. Fletcher, which immediately follows. "Mr. Fletcher," he observes, "confirms this unfavorable representation, and indicates one of its causes. There were members of society, he said, who spoke in the most glorious manner of Christ, and of their interest in his complete salvation, and yet were indulging the most unchristian tempers, and living in the greatest immoralities." But Mr. Fletcher in this quotation does not refer to the members of the Methodist societies in general: he speaks not of the great body of Mr. Wesley's "followers," as Mr. Southey wishes to have it understood. On the contrary, he refers to a few who adopted the Antinomian creed, and who uniformly became the virulent opposers of Mr. Wesley and his "followers;" and he points out the practical evils of the Antinomian heresy in general—an error which never infected more than a few individuals in the Methodist societies, which from their commencement were well instructed in this controversy, and were the steady, and often ardent, opponents of Calvinism in all its forms. The phrases which Mr. Fletcher uses in these extracts sufficiently prove this. "Christ's complete salvation," "finished salvation," etc., are expressions which never obtained among us: they mark the Shibboleth of persons of very opposite views to those which, from the first, were taught by Mr. Wesley. On the ground of these misrepresentations, Mr. Southey concludes that Mr. Wesley's system "tended to produce more of the appearance than of the reality of religion." But how does he know this? He has no intimate or personal acquaintance with the case; and the only authority on which he grounds the inference lies in the quotations which have just been examined, and which he has either greatly mistaken, or designedly mutilated and perverted.

As Mr. Southey was not able to comprehend the motives which led the founders of Methodism and their associates to

engage in a work of so much labor and peril as to spread evangelical truth throughout the land, because they resulted from principles and feelings of an order very different from those by which he himself appears to have been influenced, it was not to be expected that he should do full justice to their merits as sufferers in the cause of righteousness. But considering them merely as well-intentioned enthusiasts, it would have done no discredit to his heart, had he shown himself more sensible of that heroic bravery, mingled with meekness, patience, and tenderness to their persecutors, which they exemplified. Their labors in the dark, and then but half-civilized, parts of our country were not unaccompanied with suffering and danger: the opposition raised by bigotry and brutality was neither partial nor infrequent. That light and influence, which ever since have been contending with the ignorance and immorality of the land, were in almost every place met with the resistance which springs from uncharitable and blind prejudices, and which zeal for the forms of religion always supplies in proportion as its spirit departs. Methodism, in almost every place, at its first introduction, had its confessors; in some places, its martyrs. All had to endure contumely, and to become a byword, and a proverb of reproach to their neighbors: great numbers suffered the loss of substance, many the spoiling of their goods, many personal ill-treatment, destructive to future health, and in some cases inducing a premature death. The preachers especially were often cruelly assaulted, and put in hazard of their lives. They, however, persevered, and laid the foundation of a better state of things; and though Mr. Southey has seen nothing to admire or commend, either in their zeal or their patience, that will not affect the reward they have on high. The utter want of feeling with which Mr. Southey advertises to these circumstances is among the most striking characters of his book; and it adds another fact to prove that though the sentimentalism of poets and novelists may run in a tide sufficiently copious through their books, and amidst the creations of their fancy, yet it is often either factitious like their subjects, or is so drained off by the demands of fiction, that what, in real life, demands sympathy, is left, like an African desert, unmoistened by a spring, and uncheered by a shower. Mr. Southey's semi-infidelity, in the case before us, has greatly

tended to counteract those better feelings of which his nature may be capable, even when he is not writing verse; and, as the true principle from which those who exposed themselves to reproach and persecution for the moral amelioration of their country acted was either unknown to him, or was not to be acknowledged in his work, he finds even in their sufferings subjects for reproach and contempt, rather than for admiration.

Mr. Whitefield, he tells us, had “a great longing to be persecuted;” though the very quotation from his letter, on which he grounds the aspersion, shows nothing more than a noble defiance of suffering, if it should occur in the course of what he esteemed his duty. Similar sarcasms have been cast by infidels upon all, in every age, who have suffered for the sake of Christ; and, like those of Mr. Southey, they were intended to darken the lustre of a heroism springing from love to the Saviour and the souls of men, by referring their conduct to spiritual pride, and a desire to render themselves conspicuous. Of John Nelson, one of Mr. Wesley’s first lay coadjutors, who endured no ordinary share of oppression and suffering, as unsought, unprovoked, and unmerited, as the most modest and humble demeanor on his part could render it, he truly says, that “he had as high a spirit, and as brave a heart, as ever Englishman was blessed with;” yet even the narration of his wrongs, so scandalous to the magistracy of the day, but sustained by him in the full spirit of Christian constancy, is not dismissed without a sneer at this honest and suffering man himself. “To prison, therefore, Nelson was taken, to his heart’s content.” And so, because he chose a prison rather than violate his conscience, and endured imprisonments and other injuries with the unbending feeling of a high and noble mind, corrected and controlled by “the meekness and gentleness of Christ,” imprisonment was his desire, and the distinction which he is supposed to have derived from it, his motive! Before a criticism so flippant and callous, no character, however sacred and revered, could stand. Mr. Southey might apply it with equal success to the persecutions of the apostles and the first Christians themselves; to the confessors in the reign of Mary; and the whole noble army of martyrs.

The real danger to which these excellent men were exposed

is, however, artfully concealed. Whitefield's fears, or rather hopes, of persecution, he informs us, "were suited to the days of Queen Mary, Bishop Gardiner, and Bishop Bonner: they were ridiculous or disgusting in the time of George II., Archbishop Potter, and Bishop Gibson." This is said, because Mr. Whitefield thought that he might probably be called to "resist unto blood;" and our author would have it supposed that all this was "safe boasting," in the reign of George II., and whilst the English Church had its Archbishop Potter, and its Bishop Gibson. No, nor yet in the early part of the reign of George III., and with other bishops in the Church as excellent as Potter and Gibson, was the anticipation groundless. The real danger was, in fact, so great, from the brutality of the populace, the ignorance and supineness of the magistrates, and the mob-exciting activity of the clergy, one of whom was usually the instigator of every tumult, that every man who went forth on the errand of mercy in that day took his life in his hand, and needed the spirit of a martyr, though not in danger of suffering a martyr's death by regular civil or ecclesiastical process. Mr. Southey has himself furnished in part the confutation of his own suggestion, that little danger was to be apprehended, by the brief statements he has given of the hairbreadth escapes of the Wesleys, and of Mr. Whitefield, and of the sufferings of John Nelson. But a volume might be filled with accounts of outrages committed from that day to our own, in different places, (for they now occasionally occur in obscure and unenlightened parts of the country,) upon the persons of the Methodists, for the sole fault of visiting neglected places, and preaching the gospel of salvation to those who, if Christianity be true, are in a state of spiritual darkness and danger. To be pelted with stones, dragged through ponds, beaten with bludgeons, rolled in mud, and to suffer other modes of ill-treatment, was the anticipation of all the first Methodist preachers when they entered upon their work; and this was also the lot of many of the people. Some lives were lost, and many shortened; the most singular escapes are upon record; and if the tragedy was not deeper, that was owing at length to the explicit declarations of his Majesty, George II., on the subject of toleration, and the upright conduct of the judges in their circuits, and in the higher courts, when an appeal was made

to the laws in some of the most atrocious cases. Assuredly, the country magistrates in general, and the clergy, were entitled to little share of the praise. Much of this is acknowledged by Mr. Southey; but he attempts to throw a part of the blame upon the preachers themselves. "Their doctrines of perfection and assurance" were, he thinks, among the causes of their persecution; and "their zeal was not tempered with discretion." With discretion, in our author's view of it, their zeal was not tempered. Such discretion would neither have put them in the way of persecution, nor brought it upon them; but they were not, in any sober sense, indiscreet; and as for doctrines, the mobs and their excitors were then just as discriminating as mobs have ever been from the beginning of the world. They were usually stirred up by the clergy, and other persons of some influence in the neighborhood, almost as ignorant as the ruffians they employed to assault the preachers and their peaceable congregations; and the description of the mob at Ephesus, in the Acts of the Apostles, was as well suited to them as if they had been the original, and not the copy: "Some cried one thing, and some another; for the assembly was confused, and the more part knew not wherefore they were come together." They generally, however, agreed to pull down the preacher, and to abuse both him and his hearers, men, women, and even children.

Mr. Southey's observations on our band-meetings and watch-nights cannot be passed over. His censure of this part of our discipline is not quite so gross as may be found in some other publications,* but it proceeds from the same

* Mr. Polwhele has pretty largely dwelt upon these institutions, and charged us with gross and shameful immoralities in their observance, in his new edition of the "Enthusiasm of Methodists and Papists Considered, by Bishop Lavington; with Notes, Introduction, and Appendix," equal in bulk to the bishop's work, which he has edited for the illumination of the year 1820. This *gentleman* has recovered, it should seem, the effect of the detection of the falsehoods contained in his "Anecdotes of Methodism," published a few years ago; and again stalks forth, under pretence of zeal for the Church, with falsehoods as gross, and with a countenance as unabashed. Like the ram in Daniel's vision, he pushes "westward, northward, and southward," in almost every direction, against Bible societies, the education of the poor, the evangelical clergy in the Church,

ignorance of our institutions which has misled others; and on the subject of bands and watch-nights, he has given some

and, above all, against Methodism, whether Arminian or Calvinistic. Mr. Polwhele has at length secured himself, I should conceive, against all reply; for his violence and grossness have rendered reply unnecessary; and no writer, I suppose, will be found so insensible of what he owes to himself as to stoop to him. His Introduction, Notes, Appendix, etc., are in many parts, in fact, too loose and obscene, and often too nearly approach blasphemy itself, to be read by any of the decent part of society; except obliged to it by some public duty, though they are written by the Vicar of Manaccan and St. Anthony! Such a farrago of bigotry, blasphemy, buffoonery, indecency, and falsehood, has not for a long time disgraced the press, and affronted the common sense and feelings of all who profess the least respect to religion in the Church or out of it. As a politician, Henry the Eighth is the great object of his admiration; as a Churchman, Archbishop Laud. He is an enemy to much preaching, even in churches; for "it was a remarkable saying, founded on the reason of things, that *a preaching church cannot stand.*" To the evangelical clergy he bears almost as great an enmity as to the sectaries. "Our own gospel preachers are really greater enemies to the Church than the most malign opposers of her." They are contemptuously called "*Gospellers;*" and as to those colleges, at Oxford and Cambridge, "that pay particular attention to the education of gospel ministers," he advises the heads of the universities "to watch over them," and "check the slightest tendency in their youth to evangelical irregularities." As to unevangelical irregularities he gives them no advice or caution, as being, I suppose, in his estimate, of little danger to the Church. For those of the clergy who are zealously endeavoring the conversion of the Jews, he has the appellation "*Judaizing Gospellers;*" and for those who are promoting the conversion of Pagans, "*Gentile Gospellers;*" and they seem equally offensive to him. Bible societies come in also for a large share of his animadversion. He anticipates the highest degree of moral and civil danger from the circulation of the Scriptures amongst the poor; and it is more than hinted, that by that means they are rendered "*ripe for every evil work.*" The collection of Bible subscriptions among the *poor* is therefore "*a nefarious business;*" and the female agency employed by the Bible Society is "*degraded into an engine of fanaticism.*" "*It is scarcely more degraded when we see it an instrument of rebellion.*" Hunt's female reformers, and the female collectors of Bible associations, are therefore placed by him upon nearly the same level! These female collectors "*go from house to house, leading captive silly women;*" and, penetrating the recesses of domestic repose, "*they every week raise a commotion, or excite jealousy, under one roof or another.*" They extort "*family secrets*" from servants; and he *knows* servants who, to get Bible-money, he *believes* would not scruple to sell their

sanction to the wicked misrepresentations of writers even less disposed than himself to state the truth.

masters' goods. To Bell's schools he is scarcely charitable; and as for those of Lancaster, he has not "the slightest doubt that their grand object is to puritanize and revolutionize the country." After all these fulminations against evils in the Church, we cannot expect him to be over-gentle in his treatment of the evils out of it. Dissenters and Methodists are spectres which everywhere cross his path. He is greatly dissatisfied with the Toleration Act, and recommends some very important *improvements* in it. With the Methodists he thinks, like Jonah, he does well to be angry, and can give reasons more numerous and weighty for their suppression, than the moody prophet for the destruction of the Ninevites; and if what he affirms were but true, as we could not have a more severe judge, we should not certainly deserve to have one less so. His authorities for his statements are chiefly two, and both are *equally* good. There is, first, his honorable self, convicted of bearing false witness against his neighbors a few years ago, by Mr. Samuel Drew and others, who replied to his "Anecdotes of Methodism." The second authority is the author of a work on Methodism, very well known as to *character*; an author by profession, when former professions failed, or became *unsafe*; and who has lately sunk from a writer of octavos into the more rapid manufacturer of the flying artillery of blasphemy and sedition, as writer in chief to the celebrated parodist publisher of Ludgate Hill, and is reputed to be the author of the political "House that Jack built," the "Dainty Dish to set before a King," the "Matrimonial Ladder," etc. Such is the authority which Mr. Polwhele, a principal writer in the Anti-Jacobin Review, the man who is agonized at the dangers which threaten Church and state, fraternizes with, and holds up to confidence. "But misery gives a man strange bedfellows;" and the misery to which Methodism has reduced the "Vicar of Manacan," has made him very careless in the choice of his companions. The most singular circumstance is, that our great Anti-Jacobin reviewer has resorted to Mr. Hone's journeyman parodist and "dainty-dish" provider, for a character of the learning and piety of the clergy of the Church of England, which he exhibits with no small triumph. Even the most rigid sectarian would scarcely humble the Church to this.

But whilst the blind violence of Mr. Polwhele's attacks upon religion, and religious characters in the Church and out of it, will excite pity, the filthy verses, entitled "The Saint's Progress," in the appendix to his edition of Lavington, must mingle pity with indignation. These are the means by which such *high* Churchmen as Mr. Polwhele make a *low* Church; and low it is in the county of Cornwall; so low, that even if a sectarian would not rejoice in the elevation of its character and influence in a county so populous, he might be justly suspected of loving his own party more than the common Chris-

Our band-meetings are small companies of serious persons, of the same sex, and in the same condition of life, whether married or single, who meet occasionally to converse with each other on their religious state, and to engage in mutual prayer. They are grounded upon the injunction of St. James, "Confess your faults one to another, and pray one for another, that ye may be healed." Whatever objection may be made to these meetings, as a formal part of discipline, (though with us they are only recommended, not enjoined,*) the principle of them is to be found in this passage of Scripture. They have been compared to the auricular confession of the Papists, but ignorantly enough; for the confession is in itself essentially different, and it is not made to a minister, but takes place among private Christians to each other, and

tianity. But it will not be raised by calumnies and slanders; by intolerance and uncharitableness; by furious pamphlets, and railing visitation sermons. The Cornish people are distinguished for their strong sense. This is acknowledged by Mr. Polwhele, notwithstanding the heavy charges of fanaticism which he brings against them; and to the zeal, diligence, and affection of a clergy who "seek not theirs but them" they will not be insensible. Let Mr. Polwhele imitate some excellent examples among his brethren there. Let him cultivate the ornament of a meek and a quiet spirit; preach the doctrines of his own Church, faithfully and affectionately; instruct the young, correct the vicious, comfort the sick and aged; let him rather weep over heresies, and errors, and wanderers, than exasperate them by a proud and censorious spirit; let him become "pure in heart," and then his lips and his pen, both of which need much lustration, will become pure also. In that case, his churches will not want attendants, nor their altars communicants; and if he show as much diligence to spread this spirit through the county among his brethren as he has employed to make them persecutors, he will see the Church rise, not upon the destruction of religious liberty, good neighborhood, and evangelical sentiments, but upon the foundation of the apostles and prophets; a foundation not to be laid by hard speeches, but by truth and love. Mr. Polwhele seems to have taken the celebrated South for his model; and in truth he has imitated him successfully in every thing *but his wit and genius*. It is also but just to South to say, that as Mr. Polwhele never mounts so high, so South never sank so low.

[* The Band Rules were continued as a dead letter in the Methodist Discipline in the United States till the year 1854, when they were eliminated by the General Conference of the M. E. Church, South. The General Conference of the Northern M. E. Church cancelled them in 1856.—T. O. S.]

is, in fact, nothing more than a general declaration of the religious experience of the week. Nor is the abuse of the passage in St. James to the purpose of superstition, a reason sufficient for neglecting that friendly confession of faults by Christians to each other which may engage their prayers in each other's behalf. The founders of the national Church did not come to this sweeping conclusion, notwithstanding all their zeal against the confession of the Romish Church. In the Homily on Repentance it is said, "We ought to confess our weakness and infirmities one to another, to the end that, knowing each other's frailness, we may the more earnestly pray together unto Almighty God, our Heavenly Father, that he will vouchsafe to pardon us our infirmities, for his Son Jesus Christ's sake." For the principle of this institution, Mr. Wesley therefore might plead the authority of the Church of which he was a clergyman; and if the manner of carrying the principle into effect were free from sound objection, a formal institution for this purpose can no more be condemned than the principle itself. Mr. Southey, however, objects to our mode, because Mr. Wesley's rules enjoin an explicitness of mutual communication on the subject of religious experience which he thinks dangerous. Yet even Bishop Taylor not only enjoins the practice, but directs that it should be much more explicit than the rules drawn up for the bands by Mr. Wesley himself require :

"To the same purpose, it is of great use that he who would preserve his humility should choose some spiritual person to whom he shall oblige himself to discover his very thoughts and fancies, every act of his, and all his intercourse with others in which there may be danger; that by such an openness of spirit he may expose every blast of vainglory, every idle thought, to be chastened and lessened by the rod of spiritual discipline; and he that shall find himself tied to confess every proud thought, every vanity of his spirit, will also perceive that they must not dwell with him, nor find any kindness from him," etc.*

Mr. Wesley is not, therefore, without an authority, of great weight with Mr. Southey, for that institution to the very principle of which he so much objects. But then, he

* "Holy Living," chap. ii., sect. 4.

remarks, "Mr. Wesley did not perceive the danger of leading his people into temptation by making them recur to every latent thought of evil, and compelling them to utter, with their lips, imaginations which might otherwise have been suppressed within their hearts for ever!" Mr. Southey, however, like some others, judging from the strong expressions of the Band Rules, has mistaken their object. It was never intended that the temptations and evil thoughts of the mind should be mentioned in their particularity and detail. This was never enjoined, never practiced. The tale he has given of a silly woman, who fell into this error, is an individual case. This practice would not be sanctioned, for the very reason he has stated, and which is, indeed, a sound one. It would injure, and not improve, the heart; and to the full length of Bishop Taylor's advice, we are therefore not disposed to go. It is a sufficient answer to all the fears which the author has expressed as to the effect of these meetings, that among the most eminently holy of our people are those, generally, who observe the bands. It is allowed that their benefits altogether depend upon the prudent use made of them; but they are not capable of the abuses which Mr. Southey anticipates, for they are not at all to the taste of trifling and undecided persons.*

The injurious effects which Mr. Southey considers as neces-

* Mr. Southey affirms that Mr. Charles Wesley "abominated the bands." Miss Wesley, in some remarks on Mr. Southey's work, with which she has favored me, observes on this passage, "I can bear my testimony, (corroborated by my mother,) that my dear father always considered classes and bands essential to preserve order, strengthen Christian unity, and enable the leaders to inspect the conduct of their members. That he abominated *Bell's* presumption, and excluded those who joined *his* bands, (so indeed did my uncle,) is true; but as the passage stands, it implies the bands in general."

From the same remarks, I have the liberty to insert the following passages, which correct other misrepresentations and mistakes:

"In another place, Mr. Southey writes, 'It may be suspected that Charles thought he had gone too far, when he saw the mischief, villainy and folly occasioned by Methodism, and that it had a tendency to separation from the Church.' My father no more thought that 'mischief, villainy, and folly' were occasioned by Methodism than by Christianity, which infidels affirm. He certainly regretted any tendency to separation from the Church; but he loved the Methodists to the last, did justice to their lives and principles, and always con-

sarily following from watch-nights are equally destitute of foundation. Very seldom more than once in the year, on the

sidored them as raised up to be auxiliaries to the Church, and a *peculiar people, zealous of good works.*

“ My dear father is not treated with much more liberality than my uncle. Mr. Southey attributes the mutinies in Georgia to him: his words are, ‘ In truth, he had been the occasion by his injudicious zeal.’ Now, how is it possible to peruse the whole account, (which Mr. Southey must have read,) the treatment he received from General Oglethorpe at the instigation of wicked people, and the refutation of this calumny by the open detection made before the General, and affirm such a thing? Mr. Southey omits to add that the General acknowledged his error, and, wishing to retain him, he proposed to build him a house, and offered him a deputy, if he would return to the colony.

“ Mr. Southey says that Mrs. Wright (the wax-moulder) was niece to my aunt Wright. No such thing: she was born an American, and no way related; but it gives an opportunity of adding, ‘ She sent treasonable intelligence to America during the war.’

“ I wish to correct an error which Dr. Whitehead and Hampson have fallen into, from whom Southey, I presume, has taken it, respecting my beloved aunt Hall, as it is not generally known to be so. Mr. Hall first courted her when she lived with her uncle, Matthew Wesley, in London: this was unknown at that time to the family; he then paid his addresses to Kezia, and when the match was fixed, he returned to Martha, whose affections he had won, and married her, against the expostulations of her brothers; but had not this been the fact, my good grandmother would have strenuously opposed it. I had this account from herself, and mentioned it to my father, who said, ‘ he knew she always justified herself from the circumstance of first love, but she ought not to have taken him.’ Kezia lived four years after; and it certainly was not for any thing she suffered on this account that she died.

“ Mr. Southey says that my uncle, as a husband, was not as submissive as Socrates, or as patient as the man of Uz. Mr. Southey did not know him, or any of his family. My father (the most indulgent husband) used to say, that his brother’s patience toward his wife exceeded all bounds. The daughter of Mrs. Wesley was an indubitable witness of his forbearance, and bore her testimony of it; so did many who knew of the treatment which he bore without reproach or repining.”

A similar statement as to Mrs. Hall, I have received from Mr. Charles Wesley.

[The foregoing strictures are commended to the notice of Isaac Taylor and some much smaller persons, on both sides of the Atlantic, who first caricature the “bands,” then confound them with the “classes,” and conclude by condemning the Methodists for making a popish corruption a test of membership in the Church of Christ.—T. O. S.]

eve of the new year, are they kept till midnight; on other occasions, which are quarterly, they conclude at nine or ten o'clock. One cannot but smile at the anxiety expressed by Mr. Southey, and other writers, about our morality, when commenting on these meetings. Is it probable, if a midnight meeting once in a year had, after more than half a century of experience, been found productive of the terrible immoralities which some have charged upon us, whose assertions, like those of Mr. Polwhele, are made with great ease, that several hundred Christian ministers would have united to support them? Mr. Southey may lay aside his kind apprehensions. We know ourselves, and our people; and in a country where it is customary for persons, on the last night of the year, to sit up till the new year commences, and when that time is too often spent in a manner little suited to the condition of creatures to whom the lapse of so large a portion of their lives as a year is an event of great importance, we think it not only blameless, but edifying, to mark it by those religious exercises which may most forcibly impress it upon our own minds that "we are strangers and pilgrims upon earth;" and lead the careless, as in a thousand instances they have done, to consider the great ends of life, and the solemnities of an approaching eternity.

The renewal of our covenant with God early in the new year, is another of our customs on which Mr. Southey has made some unbecoming reflections. I shall only refer him for a justification of the principle of this solemn act, to the communion service of the Church, where, every time the sacred ordinance is administered, the same act is performed: "And here we offer and present unto thee, O Lord, ourselves, our souls and bodies, 'to be a reasonable, holy, and lively sacrifice unto thee,'" etc. We do this in a more extended form of solemn dedication once a year, and that is all the difference. As to "signing" a covenant, this is not our practice; and for signing it with blood, as stated by Mr. Southey, it may have been done by some individual enthusiast, though I never heard of an instance, and do not believe it.

Perhaps in this miscellaneous section it may be as properly noticed as elsewhere, that Mr. Southey has fallen into an error as to the settlement of our chapels. "Whenever a

chapel was built, care was taken that it should be settled on the Methodist plan ; that is, that the property should be vested, not in trustees, but in Mr. Wesley, and the Conference." Now, the property of chapels, when such a settlement takes place, is always vested in the trustees ; the occupation of the pulpit only being secured to the preachers legally appointed by the Conference from year to year. The Conference has no more property in the best-secured chapels, than in the Poet Laureate's butt of sack.

Mr. Southey is mistaken in representing it as one of the leading causes which led to the separation of Messrs. Wesley and Whitefield, that the former wished to obtain Whitefield's acquiescence in his favorite doctrine of Christian perfection ; a doctrine which, as so many better judges have mistaken Mr. Wesley's views, Mr. Southey may be excused for not understanding. When he shall have passed his novitiate in Christianity, he will come better prepared to pronounce judgment upon it. This, however, was not the leading cause of their difference. It lay much deeper. Whitefield became a champion for Calvinism ; and Mr. Wesley thought it his duty to oppose what appeared to him a dangerous error. This is made sufficiently clear by a letter of Mr. Whitefield's, from which Mr. Southey has given extracts in vol. i., p. 381. They were not, however, separated in heart : they went forth in different directions, but with the same object, to pour out the stream of living truth into every part of the land. Neither the one nor the other was successful in the conversion of men by the peculiarities in which they differed, but by preaching those great principles of the gospel of Christ in which they cordially agreed.

Our author charges Methodism with leading to "illiberal manners, and confined knowledge ;" and the pregnant proof which he deigns to give is, that Mr. Wesley "actually gave offence by printing Prior's 'Henry and Emma' in his Magazine." Mr. Wesley was an extravagant admirer of the poetry of Prior ; but he certainly never assumed to give the law to taste among his people ; and if they differed from him as to the excellence and tendency of this poem, they might surely be allowed to do so without incurring Mr. Southey's censures, when Dr. Johnson himself, whose knowledge was not therefore suspected to be "confined," has called the poem

“a dull and tedious dialogue, which excites neither esteem for the man, nor tenderness for the woman.” After all, the objection was not to the reading of this nor any other of Prior’s poems, nor of elegant and imaginative poetry in general; (we read some of Mr. Southey’s: will he urge that as a proof of our confined knowledge and want of taste?) but to its insertion in a periodical work professedly religious; and I suppose that no religious magazine of the present day would think a similar poem sufficiently accordant with its plan for insertion, whatever poetic excellence it might boast. The illiberal passage which follows in the next page (vol. ii., p. 519) merits also a slight notice: “In proportion as Methodism obtained ground among the educated classes, its direct effects were evil.” Where is the proof? “It narrowed their views and feelings.” In what way is not stated, and no answer can therefore be given. “It burdened them with forms.” This is also mere assumption; for the religious forms of Methodism were never very numerous, certainly not more so than those of the Church. “It restricted them from recreations which keep the mind in health.” It is difficult to ascertain what recreations are here meant, which Mr. Southey thinks so necessary to mental health. Perhaps the theatre; perhaps the excitement of gambling. If so, Methodism certainly prohibited them to its followers; but it was not peculiar in this. The most serious members of other bodies think, with us, that they are fatal, and not conducive to the mind’s health. But it did not prohibit cheerful converse, polite literature, and the pleasures of taste. “It discouraged, if it did not absolutely prohibit, accomplishments that give a grace to life.” Here, too, is a want of explicitness. If Mr. Southey means the cultivation of amiability and courtesy of manners, general literature, the fine arts, music, and similar accomplishments, he was never more mistaken. If he means dancing, as I suspect, he is right; and I do not think we need an apology. “It separated them from general society.” True, from the intimacies of indiscriminate society; but with general society they have ever mixed when any purpose of public usefulness was to be attained. This charge results from Mr. Southey’s defective views of real religion. There is, in our Lord’s words, “a world;” persons whose habits, if not immoral, are wholly earthly and trifling; and from an intimacy with that

“world,” every true Christian, by whatever name he is known, is called to separate himself, except when he mixes with it to enlighten its errors and correct its morals. “It substituted a sectarian in the place of a catholic spirit.” This is also a charge without foundation. It is granted that, through the infirmity of human nature, every religious body, and the Church of England above all the rest, has shown itself prone to a sectarian spirit. But if Mr. Southey means that the Methodists have been disposed by their system to undervalue the wise and good of other communities, there is nothing in his book which we shall so promptly and emphatically deny. We have not, at least, discovered this disposition as to pious and eminent members and ministers of the Church of England. “It alienated them from the national Church.” This has been abundantly replied to in the preceding pages. “It weakened the strongest cement of social order.” If by this cement Mr. Southey means honesty, industry, loyalty to the sovereign, and obedience to the laws, the insinuation is false, and his own book bears testimony to the contrary. If he intends any thing else, we shall be obliged by an explanation of the charge, and also of the sentence which immediately follows: “It loosened the ties whereby men are bound to their native land.” Here I cannot even guess his meaning. He wished, I suppose, to round off the sentence. “It carried disunion and discord into private life, breaking up families and friendship.” The author forgets to state how often it carried into families peace, and love, and order. Of this the instances were innumerable; and where it otherwise happened, what was the cause? Some branches of a family became seriously impressed; renounced the follies of life; frequented the house of prayer; and connected themselves with the people among whom they had been brought to a real acquaintance with religion. The consequence was, that, in some cases, “a man’s enemies were those of his own household.” Methodism thus, like primitive Christianity, became incidentally, and by the bigotry, the worldliness, sometimes the wickedness, of other parts of the family, the source of disunion; and Mr. Southey urges against us the precise objection which was made of old to Christianity itself. The cases are of the same class; the dispute was not with Methodism, so much as with the new and religious temper with which the

gospel, heartily received, had imbued the opposed and persecuted parties. Did the blame, in such cases, lie with Methodism, or with that intolerance, and enmity to truth and piety, with which the members of some families opposed the others, on no other account than because they had become "righteous overmuch," and from whom in return they received nothing but kindness? True and serious Christianity, under any other form, would have produced precisely the same effect. The real reason of the opposition and ill-will in such cases may be found in the words of the apostle, "They think it strange that ye run not with them to the same excess of riot." But Mr. Southey attempts to confirm this representation by quoting a passage from one of Mr. Wesley's sermons, which, though he does not at all understand it, he thinks sufficient to warrant him in exclaiming, "What infinite domestic unhappiness must this abominable spirit have occasioned!" The passage is, As for "brothers and sisters, if they are of the world, you are under no obligation to be intimate with them; you may be civil and friendly at a distance." But what does Mr. Wesley mean by not being "intimate with them?" Simply, not in that degree as to partake of their spirit, and join in their sins. Mr. Southey, had he been disposed to give a just interpretation to this passage, might have perceived this, from comparing the different parts of the same sermon from which he has quoted it; for Mr. Wesley's advice there, as to the conduct of true Christians to men in general, can scarcely be supposed to be more liberal than that he would give in the case of our own relations. "We are to 'honor all men,' as redeemed by His blood who 'tasted death for every man.' We are to bear them tender compassion; we are never willingly to grieve their spirits, or give them pain; but, on the contrary, to give them all the pleasure we innocently can; seeing we are to 'please all men for their good.' We are never to aggravate their faults; but willingly allow all the good that is in them.—We ought to speak to them on all occasions in the most kind and obliging manner we can. We are to behave to them with all courtesy, showing them all the good we can, without countenancing them in sin." "Let love be the constant temper of your soul. See that your heart be filled at all times, and on all occasions, with real, undissembled benevolence, not only to those who love you, but

to every soul of man. Whenever you open your lips, let it be with love, and let there be on your tongue the law of kindness." Now, such passages ought certainly to have been quoted, before Mr. Southey had declaimed against the "abominable spirit" of Methodism; and he ought to have shown how the above advices tended to "infinite family dissensions." He has also said, in another place, that Methodism opposes but a feeble barrier against the breach of the fifth commandment, and has given another passage from Mr. Wesley in a perverted sense in support of the charge. Let him read Mr. Wesley's sermon "On Obedience to Parents," and he may see reason to be more just in some future edition of his work, should it be called for.

In vol. ii., p. 531, we are told that if the Methodists "had instituted societies to discharge such painful offices of humanity as the *Sœurs de la Charité* in France, the good which they might have effected would have been duly appreciated and rewarded by public opinion." It so happens that such societies have been instituted. In every principal town we have a society for the visiting and relieving the poor, and friendless, and sick; and great are the sums thus spent, as well as the number of visitors, male and female, who seek out the victims of poverty and disease, of every profession of religion, regarding only their necessities, in cellars, garrets, and other abodes of disease, contagion, and wretchedness, to minister to their wants. The good thus effected by their efforts has also, though Mr. Southey knows it not, been "duly appreciated by public opinion," as the large public collections for "The Stranger's Friend Society," and other charities, made in our chapels, sufficiently testify, as well as the liberal subscriptions and donations constantly received, and especially in London, from persons of all ranks, entirely unconnected with us, but who know the persevering zeal of the visitors, and that systematic management of these societies, which, whilst it effectually guards against imposition, reaches, by patient investigation, the cases of retiring and modest distress. This is another instance in proof of how little our author knows of a people as to whom he utters opinions and censures so confident. The kind of societies which he thinks would entitle us to public support actually exist.

SECTION IX.

MISREPRESENTATIONS OF MR. WESLEY'S CHARACTER
CORRECTED.

SOME remarks on a few passages, in which Mr. Wesley's character is concerned, may properly close these strictures.

To Mr. Wesley's learning, and various and great talents, Mr. Southey is just; but an attack is made upon what he calls his "voracious credulity." "He accredited and repeated stories of apparitions, and witchcraft, and possession, so silly, as well as monstrous, that they might have nauseated the coarsest appetite for wonder; this, too, when the belief on his part was purely gratuitous, and no motive can be assigned, except the pleasure of believing."

On the general question of supernatural appearances, it may be remarked, that Mr. Wesley might plead authorities for his faith as high, as numerous, and as learned, as any of our modern skeptics for their doubts. It is in modern times only that this species of infidelity has appeared, with the exception of the sophists of the atheistical sects in Greece and Rome, and the Sadducees among the Jews. The unbelief so common in the present day among freethinkers, and half-thinkers, on such subjects, places itself therefore, with only these exceptions, in opposition to the belief of the learned and unlearned of every age and of every nation, polished, semi-civilized, and savage, in every quarter of the globe. It does more; it places itself in opposition to the Scriptures, from which all the criticism, both subtle, profane, or absurd, which has been resorted to, can never expunge either apparitions,

possessions, or witchcrafts.* It opposes itself to testimony which, if feeble and unsatisfactory in many instances, is such in others, that no man in any other case would refuse to assent to it; or, so refusing, he would make himself the object of a just ridicule. That there have been many impostures, is allowed; that many have been deceived, is certain; and that all such accounts should be subjected to rigorous scrutiny before they can have any title to command our belief, ought to be insisted upon; but even imposture and error presuppose a previous opinion in favor of what is pretended or mistaken; and if but one account in twenty, or a hundred, stands upon credible evidence, and is corroborated by circumstances in which, from their nature, there could be no mistake, that is sufficient to disturb the quiet, and confound the systems, of the whole body of infidels.† Every age has its

* Dr. Anthony Horneck has well observed, "If some few melancholy monks, or old women, had seen such ghosts and apparitions, we might then suspect that what they pretended to have seen might be nothing but the effect of a disordered imagination; but when the whole world, as it were, and men of all religions, men of all ages too, have been forced by strong evidences to acknowledge the truth of such occurrences, I know not what strength there can be in the argument drawn from the consent of nations, in things of a sublimer nature, if here it be of no efficacy. Men that have attempted to evade the places of Scripture which speak of ghosts and witches, we see how they are forced to turn and wind the texts, and make in a manner noses of wax of them, and rather squeeze than gather the sense, as if the holy writers had spoke like sophisters, and not like men who made it their business to condescend to the capacity of the common people. Let a man put no force at all on those passages of holy writ, and then try what sense they are like to yield. It is strange to see how some men have endeavored to elude the story of the witch of Endor, and, as far as I can judge, play more hocus-pocus tricks in the explication of that passage, than the witch herself did in raising the deceased Samuel. To those straits is falsehood driven, while truth loves plain and undisguised expressions; and error will seek out holes and labyrinths to hide itself, while truth plays above-board, and scorns the subterfuges of the skeptic interpreter."

† "And forasmuch as such coarse-grained philosophers as those *Hobilians* and *Spinozians*, and the rest of the rabble, slight religion and the Scriptures, because there is such express mention of spirits and angels in them, things that their dull souls are so inclinable to conceit to be impossible, I look upon it as a special piece of Providence, that there are ever and anon such fresh examples of appar-

dangers. In former times, the danger lay in believing too much; in our own, the propensity is to believe too little.*

tions as may rub up and awaken their benumbed and lethargic minds into a suspicion, at least, if not assurance, that there are other intelligent beings beside those that are clad in heavy earth or clay; in this, I say, methinks the Divine Providence does plainly outwit the powers of the dark kingdom."—*Dr. Henry More.*

* "There are times in which men believe every thing: in this wherein we now are, they believe nothing. I think there is a mean to be chosen: we may not believe every thing, but surely something ought to be believed. For this spirit of incredulity, and this character of a brave spirit, is good for nothing, and I have not as yet discovered the use thereof. It is true, credulity hath destroyed religion, and introduced a thousand superstitions. For which reason I am content that men stand upon their guard when any thing is debated and reported concerning wonderful and pious histories. The generality of those which are called honest men are come so far from thence, that they have cast themselves on the other extreme, and believe nothing. Nevertheless, whither goes this, and what will be the issue of it? It is to deny Providence, it is to make ourselves believe God does not intermeddle in the affairs below, and to ruin all the principles of human faith, and, by consequence, to cast ourselves on a perfect skepticism, which is peradventure a disposition of mind the most dangerous to religion of any in the world. By doubting all matters of fact which have any appearance of extraordinary, they tell us they have no intention to extend it any farther than the history of the world. But we do not perceive that we insensibly entertain a habit of doubting, which extends itself to every thing. There is a God: we all consent thereto. There is a Providence: we all profess and avow it. Nothing comes to pass without him. Is it possible that God should so hide himself behind his creatures, and under the veil of second causes, that he should never at any time, though never so little, draw aside the curtain? If we have taken the resolution to deny the truth of all extraordinary matters of fact, what shall we do with history, both sacred and profane? He must have a hardness and an impudence that I understand not, that can put all historians in one rank, and range them all together as forgers of lies. I admire the argument of those writers which lived two or three thousand years from one another, who, nevertheless, have all conspired to deceive us, according to our moderns, and there are neither sorcerers, nor magicians, nor possessions, nor apparitions of demons, nor any thing like it. It is much that these gentlemen have not pushed on their confidence even to deny the truth of matters of fact contained in the Scriptures, which would be very convenient for them. In the times that the sacred writers writ their books, there were all these things; and where do we find that they ought to cease, and that a time was to come in which devils shoul'l no more

The only ground which a Christian can safely take on these questions is, that the *a priori* arguments of philosophic unbelievers, as to the “absurdity” and “impossibility” of these things, go for nothing, since the Scriptures have settled the fact that they have occurred, and have afforded not the least intimation that they should at any time cease to occur. Such supernatural visitations are, therefore, possible; and, when they are reported, ought to be carefully examined, and neither too hastily admitted, nor too promptly rejected. An acute and excellent philosopher of modern times has come to the same conclusion: “Although *δεισιδαιμονία*, or a fear of spirits, hath been abused by vain or weak people, and carried to extremes, perhaps by crafty and designing men, the most rigorous philosophy will not justify its being entirely rejected. That subordinate beings are never permitted or commissioned to be the ministers of the will of God, is a hard point to be proved.”*

Mr. Wesley’s belief in these visitations is, therefore, generally considered, no proof of a peculiar credulousness of mind. On this he thought with all, except the ancient atheists and Sadducees, modern infidels, and a few others, who, whilst in this point they agree with infidels, most inconsistently profess faith in the revelations of the Scriptures. Mr. Southey himself cannot attack Mr. Wesley on the general principle, since he gives credit to the account of the disturbances at Epworth, as preternaturally produced, and thinks that some dreams are the results of more than natural agency.

How, then, does the author prove the “voracity and extravagance” of Mr. Wesley’s credulity? Mr. Southey believes in one ghost story: Mr. Wesley might believe in twenty, or a hundred. Mr. Southey believes in a few preternatural dreams, say some four or five: Mr. Wesley may have believed

deceive men, and in which the heavens should speak no more in prodigies? Because historians have not been infallible, must we believe they have been all liars, and in all things? Let us conclude, therefore, that the credulity of our ancestors hath caused many mischievous tales to be received as faithful histories; but also that it hath been the cause that very faithful histories do at this day pass for false tales.”—*Jurieu’s Pastoral Letters*.

* Mr. Andrew Baxter’s *Essay on the Philosophy of Dreaming*, in the “*Inquiry into the Nature of the Human Soul*.”

in twice the number. This, however, proves nothing; for credulity is not to be measured by the number of statements which a person believes, but by the evidence on which he believes them. To have made out his case, Mr. Southey should have shown that the stories which he presumes Mr. Wesley to have credited, stood on insufficient testimony. He has not touched this point; but he deems them "silly and monstrous;" that is, he judges of them *a priori*, and thus reaches his conclusion. He did not, however, reflect that his own faith in ghosts and dreams, as far as it goes, will be deemed as silly and monstrous by his brother philosophers, as the faith which goes beyond it. Their reasoning concludes as fully against what he credits, as against what Mr. Wesley credited; and, on the same ground, a mere opinion of what is reasonable and fitting, they have the right to turn his censures against himself, and to conclude his credulity "voracious," and his mind disposed to superstition. As to the accounts of apparitions inserted by Mr. Wesley in his Magazine, Mr. Southey thinks that he had no motive to believe and insert them, except the mere pleasure of believing. I can furnish him with several other motives which, I doubt not, influenced their publication. The first was, to collect remarkable accounts of such facts, and to offer them to the judgment of the world. It is assumed by Mr. Southey that Mr. Wesley believed every account he published. This is not true. He frequently remarks that he gives no opinion, or that he "knows not what to make of the account," or that "he leaves every one to form his own judgment concerning it." He met with those relations in reading, or received them from persons deemed by him credible; and he put them on record as facts reported to have happened. Now, as to an unbeliever, I know not what sound objection he can make to that being recorded which has commanded the faith of others. As a part of the history of human opinions, such accounts are curious, and have their use. But if Mr. Wesley's readers were believers in such prodigies, it was surely not uninteresting to them to know what had been related. It neither followed that the editor of the work believed every account, nor that his readers should consider it true because it was printed: it was for them to judge of the evidence on which the relation stood. I should make a very large deduction

from the stories of this kind which might be brought together; but I should feel much obliged to any one to form such a collection, that I might be able to judge of them for myself. Many of these accounts, however, Mr. Wesley did credit, because he thought that they stood on credible testimony; and he published them for that very purpose, for which he believed they were permitted to occur, to confirm the faith of men in an invisible state, and the immortality of the soul. These, then, were Mr. Wesley's motives for inserting such accounts in his Magazine; and to the censure which Mr. Southey has passed upon him on this account, I shall oppose at least the equally weighty authority of the learned Dr. Henry More, in his letter to Glanville, the author of "*Sadducismus Triumphatus*:" "Wherefore let the small philosophic Sir Toplings of this present age deride as much as they will, those that lay out their pains in committing to writing certain well-attested stories of apparitions do real service to true religion and sound philosophy; and they most effectually contribute to the confounding of infidelity and atheism, even in the judgment of the atheists themselves, who are as much afraid of the truth of these stories as an ape is of a whip, and therefore force themselves with might and main to disbelieve them, by reason of the dreadful consequence of them as to themselves." It is sensibly observed by Jortin, in his remarks on the diabolical possessions in the age of our Lord, that "one reason for which Divine Providence should suffer evil spirits to exert their malignant powers at that time, might be to give a check to Sadduceeism among the Jews, and atheism among the Gentiles, and to remove in some measure these two great impediments to the reception of the gospel." For moral uses, supernatural visitations have doubtless been allowed in subsequent ages; and he who believes in them, only spreads their moral the farther by giving them publicity. Before such a person can be fairly censured, the ground of his faith ought to be disproved; for he only acts consistently. This task would, however, prove one of the most difficult which Mr. Southey has yet undertaken.*

* As a decided proof of Mr. Wesley's "voracious *credulity*," Mr. Southey quotes a case from his Journal, in the following terms: "But

But the greatest "credulity," even if proved, only implicates the judgment on some favorite subject: I pass on, therefore, to some of those heavier charges which Mr. Southey, with a contempt not very becoming, has hurled at the religious character of the founder of Methodism. I shall not show myself so ignorant of human nature, even in its "best estate," as to contend that Mr. Wesley was without

he was sometimes *imposed* upon, by relations which were worthy to have figured in the *Acta Sanctorum*. One of his preachers *pretended* to go through the whole service of the meeting in his sleep, exhorting, singing, preaching, etc., and *affecting*, in the morning, to know nothing of what he had done during the night. And Wesley could believe this, and ask on what principles of philosophy it was to be explained!" And why does not Mr. Southey believe this? It is too absurd to be believed. A short method of dispatching a case; but is it a philosophic one? If Mr. Southey had never heard of persons *walking* in sleep, and performing the regular business of life, thereby discovering a continuous and correct perception of place and circumstances—a fact confirmed by numerous examples—this phenomenon, too, equally puzzling to philosophy, would have been referred to the "*Acta Sanctorum*." But is there any thing in *sleep-talking*, in itself, more *incredible* than in *sleep-walking*? in a regular discourse pronounced in sleep, which supposes a connected train of thought, than in performing a regular course of actions, which also implies, *besides* such a connection of the thoughts, a mysterious, and often an exact, perception of an outward scene, though in sleep? Yet in this superficial and dogmatic way of determining a subject does Mr. Southey pronounce the "preacher" an impostor. That preacher was Mr. Catlow; which is every thing necessary to be said to those who knew him, to rebut Mr. Southey's calumny, and to defend Mr. Wesley, in this instance at least, from the charge of a "voracious credulity." He separated from Mr. Wesley from a difference of opinion; but his plain, straightforward integrity was such that he was usually designated by Mr. Wesley, after his separation, "honest Jonathan Catlow." The Rev. Jonathan Edmondson, of Birmingham, a most respectable man, a nephew of Mr. Catlow, has recently informed me that this peculiarity of his relative was well known in the family; and if Mr. Southey wishes more information on the case, I refer him also to Mr. Catlow, his son, formerly master of an academy at Mansfield, in Nottinghamshire, and who may be known either by Mr. Southey, or by his *quondam* friends, as a *Unitarian* minister. If Mr. Southey has no better proofs of Mr. Wesley's credulity to offer, he must go a second time over the Magazine and Journals in quest of other instances. Let him, however, be careful to ascertain the character of every person who may be mentioned, before he holds them up as pretenders and impostors.

imperfections. This, however, is certain, that Mr. Southey has not found them out, whether they were many or few. Perhaps he thought that, as every man must have some failing, it became him to make out a few cases against Mr. Wesley; and, as direct evidence was wanting, to supply the lack of it by opinion and inference. I confess, however, that I see as little philosophy as piety in detraction, either as to the dead or living, however it may be hidden under the authority of "wise saws and modern instances." Mankind are much more interested in knowing how much excellence of character is attainable by the grace of God, than how much it may be deteriorated and flawed. One prompts them to emulation, the other covers and palliates their vices; and it is therefore very strongly to be suspected, that where a disposition to detract from the merit of exalted characters exists, and laborious attempts are made, when no vices fairly present themselves, to convert virtues themselves into vices, there is always in the calumniating party a consciousness of his own defects, and a disposition to indulge rather than to correct them. That this charge of detraction lies against Mr. Southey, has manifest proof. He admits, it is ~~true~~, that Mr. Wesley's character had great excellence—so much so, indeed, as to make the subsequent detraction the more wilful and disingenuous. Mr. Wesley exerted his powers in the service of that God "whom, undoubtedly, he loved with all his heart, and all his soul, and all his strength;" "his object was to give a new impulse to the Church of England, to awaken its dormant zeal, to become the author of a national reformation;" he saw the good effects of his ministry, "they were public and undeniable; and, looking forward in exultant faith, he hoped that the leaven would not cease to work till it had leavened the whole mass." "He was too wise a man to be obstinate, and too sincere in all his actions to feel any reluctance at acknowledging that he had been mistaken. He was a man of great views, great energy, and great virtues." This, and much more of the same kind, is said by the biographer in favor of Mr. Wesley; yet this same man, who "loved the Lord his God with all his heart,"—who devoted himself to these sacred objects, and from motives as sacred—the man of "great virtues"—so "sincere," too, in all his actions—was the slave of popularity, ambition, and selfishness! His

spirit was neither humble, nor his heart quiet, nor his judgment correct. "By becoming an itinerant, he acquired general notoriety, which gratified his ambition." "The love of power was the ruling passion of his mind." "No conqueror or poet was ever more ambitious than John Wesley." "He could not hold a secondary place." Now, either Mr. Southey's skill in character-painting has been greatly overrated, or he has wilfully erred on one side or the other. Nothing is so impossible in nature as the union of those qualities which he has infused into the character of Mr. Wesley; and the combination, in a literary or a moral view, is so monstrous as to become ridiculous. If Mr. Southey's poetical characters had been as extravagant, his poems would have been below criticism. He knows well the caution of his master—

*Humano capiti cervicem pictor equinam
Jungere si velit, et varias inducere plumas,
Undique collatis membris, ut turpiter atrum
Desinat in pisces mulier formosa superne;
Spectatum admissi risum teneatis, amici?*

Mr. Southey has, however, both taste and judgment in poetry; and if, in drawing a character of real life, he has fallen into these monstrosities, it is to be traced to causes which rendered him as incapable of laying down the geography of the moon, as of giving the moral portraiture of Wesley.

These causes are, the influence of prejudice, and a total inability to estimate religious character, because he himself is practically unacquainted with religion. Both causes have operated; but in what proportions, it is difficult, and not necessary, to determine. What I shall rather undertake is, to show, in few words, that he has not adduced a single fact to support the censures he has pronounced. What he has said in praise of the founder of Methodism may be safely left for confirmation to a hallowed, a useful, and a long-tried life, (for few men were so long in the public eye,) and to the effect produced by labors, never exceeded since the days of the apostles.

I will take the chief instances of censure in which Mr. Southey has indulged, and examine the evidence on which they rest.

In vol. i., page 244, Mr. Wesley is charged with spiritual pride; and the proof is, that he thought the words of the prophet, "The Spirit of the Lord is upon me, because he hath sent me to preach the gospel to the poor," etc, fulfilled in every true minister of Christ! Did Mr. Southey forget that his Church very rightly considers all true ministers to be "moved by the Holy Ghost?" "By becoming an itinerant, and preaching in the fields, Wesley acquired general notoriety, which gratified his ambition." Page 398. Immediately after, he gives Mr. Wesley's own reasons for this procedure, in answer to an objection, "What need is there of thus preaching in fields and streets? Are there not churches enough to preach in?" His answer is, Multitudes "never come to church. Will you say, then, It is their own fault? I grant it is their own fault; and so it was my fault and yours when we went astray like sheep that were lost, yet the Saviour of souls sought after us. And oughtest not thou to have compassion on thy fellow-servants, as he had pity on thee?" Now, here are two opposite motives for an action; one good, and quite in the spirit of true Christianity, and of the general, and even the allowed, character of the man; the other, a supposable one in the mind of Mr. Southey, and bad enough; yet the latter is most candidly preferred, without even pretending to adduce the least evidence to support it!

"The love of power was the ruling passion in his mind." Vol. ii., page 197. The reason given for this sentiment is, that Mr. Wesley never devolved his power over his societies upon others. Why should he? He could not have shared his power among many, without drawing up a formal constitution of Church-government for his societies, which would have amounted to a formal separation from the Church; it would have been an insane action had he devolved it upon *one*, and placed himself, and the work he had effected, under the management of any individual to whom his societies could not stand in the same filial relation as to himself. Here, then, is no proof of excessive love of power. Power he had, but it was not acquired by artifice; it arose from his being the father and founder of the societies united to him. Power was never used by any man with more mildness and integrity. This itself is an answer to the charge that the love of power was his ruling passion; for the same unhallowed disposition

which leads a man to love power for its own sake, always impels him to make a display of it for the gratification of his vanity. Mr. Southey is not a very eminent moralist, or he would have considered that a "ruling passion" for power is one of the greatest vices of the human heart, and that it bears down every virtue which stands in the way of its gratification. The simple love of power is not in itself a vice; but when it becomes a "ruling passion," it is proposed as an end, to which every thing else is made a means. If power be sought, or if, obtained without artifice, it be held fast, as the means of usefulness, and of benefiting mankind, this neither argues infirmity nor vice. As a means of doing good, Mr. Wesley held the power which had naturally, and without any effort on his part, devolved upon him; that it was not "his ruling passion," is demonstrated by this—that he sacrificed no principles of his own, no interest of others, for its gratification. His biographer cannot produce an instance; he does not attempt it, and yet again indulges in gratuitous slander.

"He exacted obedience from his followers," (meaning his preachers,) "as rigidly as the founder of a monastic order." Page 204. The inference from this would be, that he maintained a rigid authority. This is far from the truth. No man was ever more obediently served; but it was because no man was ever more loved; and because the confidence in his uprightness was unbounded. Reason, and gentleness, and truth, were the instruments by which he so successfully conducted his plans.*

* In the Monthly Magazine for October, an attack is made both upon Mr. Southey and Mr. Wesley, by Mr. Evans, the author, I believe, of a book on the various denominations into which the Christian world is divided. He complains that Mr. Southey has traduced the memory of his ancestor, Dr. Caleb Evans, of Bristol, "without mercy." In what this unmerciful treatment of Dr. Caleb Evans consists, it is, however, difficult to point out, except that Mr. Southey thinks that Mr. Wesley and Mr. Fletcher had the better of him in the controversy as to the American war; and that Dr. Evans's principles are represented, in the extracts from Mr. Fletcher, to be mischievous and revolutionary. Mr. Evans, like most of the same *liberal* school, has little patience when his favorite principles are controverted. Liberty, it should seem, is all for themselves; and as, of course, none can *honestly* differ from them, all opposition must be the

"No conqueror or poet was ever more ambitious." Page 304. The context shows that the term ambition is used in its worst sense; but how is this proved? In another place,

result of some sinister principle. Mr. Southey must not "give the palm" to Messrs. Wesley and Fletcher, against Dr. Caleb Evans, for that is to "tradiuce his memory;" and yet Mr. Evans ventures to traduce the memory both of Dr. Johnson and Mr. Wesley.

The former had a pension; *therefore* the part he took in the political questions of the day was insincere and dishonest. Such is Mr. Evans's logic: I hope he teaches a method of reasoning somewhat more correct in his academy. The present generation have, I believe, generally made up their opinion as to the integrity of Dr. Johnson. It puts little honor upon the head or the heart of any man in this day, however it might be excused in living controversy, to deal out such insinuations as Mr. Evans has comprised within the space of a few lines, against the great moralist of our country. It would scarcely be admitted in any periodical work but the Monthly Magazine, in which few persons would suffer their names to appear as correspondents who are very tender of their character, either as believers in revelation, or as good subjects.

Dr. Johnson had a pension; but Mr. Wesley had none, and sought none. Well, how then is it to be proved that he could not be honest in his writings on the American question? Some bad motive must have existed; this follows from the axiom of the liberal school in politics, that all anti-revolutionists are imbecile in judgment, or corrupt in heart, or both. As the proof of bad intention is not, in the case of Mr. Wesley, direct, for he had neither place nor pension, it must be made out by another process. He changed his opinions, and he was guilty of falsehood. This is the slur, and the reader is left to complete the inference himself. But in what sense did Mr. Wesley change his opinions? Mr. Southey had stated that he was once favorably disposed to the American cause; but before it had developed itself as standing on principles which he conceived to be dangerous and revolutionary. That he was at first inclined to the Americans, is in proof that one who had no interest to serve was sincere in altering his opinion when the question was more fully opened, and *especially when he saw that cause defended at home on principles decidedly hostile to monarchy*. Mr. Wesley never changed his leading political principles. Had he done that, there would have been room for the charge of inconsistency. Many persons of the best principles hailed the dawn of the revolution in France, who speedily became its opponents. Others have gone on advocating it through all its stages. Now, I conceive that neither class is to be charged with inconsistency. One applauded the French Revolution on good principles; and those good principles being soon offended, their admiration ceased: their principles remained the same. The other applauded it on bad principles; and as its development accorded with those principles, their

Mr. Southey says, his ambition was the ambition to do good. How are we to reconcile these opinions? When Mr. Southey differs from Mr. Wesley in assigning the motives by which

zeal for it remained unabated. The principles of the men in this case also remained the same. This was precisely the difference between Mr. Wesley and Dr. Caleb Evans.

But Mr. Wesley, we are told, recommended a pamphlet written in favor of the Americans, to Mr. Pine, of Bristol, that he might insert passages from it in his paper, and which he first denied, when charged upon him, and afterward acknowledged. Mr. Evans is surprised that none of his biographers have noticed this; and hints that it was "wise" in them to pass it over. The reason, I believe, was, either that they never heard of the fact, or thought it one of the misrepresentations or exaggerations of the not over-temperate controversialists of the day. That it was an exaggeration, there is no more doubt than that Mr. Wesley was incapable of a wilful falsehood. There were two ways of accounting for it: the first, that a man of Mr. Wesley's engagements might easily forget that he had read and spoken well of a particular pamphlet; the other, that he denied the circumstance against his better knowledge, when, in point of fact, there was scarcely any end to be answered by doing so. The heated opponents of Mr. Wesley in that day adopted the less candid conclusion; and Mr. Evans remains sufficiently heated to retain it, and to tell the world that Mr. Wesley was capable of a wilful falsehood! Did he ask, "How many beside myself will believe this?"

But Mr. Fletcher called upon his ancestor, after the controversy; and this, he would have his readers believe, was in the "*way of apology!*" Mr. Fletcher called on many of his Calvinian opponents, and in a very "*conciliatory*" way too, for he could not but be conciliatory to *persons*, however he opposed their opinions; yet I believe none of them ever concluded that his visit was an apology for contending with what he thought their errors. I will venture to say that Dr. Evans never came to this conclusion: this is the dream of his descendant. Mr. Fletcher could respect personal worth in those from whose principles he totally differed: Mr. Evans has yet to learn that lesson.

Perhaps this mean attack upon the moral character of Mr. Wesley, dictated chiefly by political hostility, might have been left to stifle itself in its own grossness, had not Mr. Evans himself argued from the *silence* of Mr. Wesley's biographers, that they admitted the slander. Thus it was said that we tacitly admitted the *falsehoods* in that infamous publication, Nightingale's "*Portraiture of Methodism*," because we thought it more disgraceful to meet such an author than to bear his slanders. Does Mr. Evans intend to lay it down as a law, that individuals, or collective bodies, are to be supposed to plead guilty to the charges made by their enemies, because there are *libels below contempt*, and *authors above shame*?

the latter was influenced, we know which authority to prefer; but when Mr. Southey differs from Mr. Southey, we are at a loss, as far as authority goes. Will he have the goodness in another edition to inform the world which side of the many contradictions his present work contains he would have embraced? He has yet done nothing to induce us to adopt the least favorable side. It is indeed mere trifling to speak of ambition, in the case of Mr. Wesley, in any but the best sense. Wealth, it is acknowledged, was not his object; the only honor he met with was to be reproached and persecuted; and the power of which we have heard so much, was the power to manage the religious affairs of a despised and a poor people. What was there in this to tempt that low and corrupt ambition which Mr. Southey ascribes to him? I fear that ambitious clergymen may now be found in the Church. Let then the question of Mr. Wesley's ambition be put to the proof. Will any of them come among us to seek its gratification? We will give them as many of the advantages for obtaining "notoriety" which Mr. Wesley possessed, as possible. They shall have enough of duty, long walks, and longer rides, and fields and streets to preach in, and the darkest parts of the country, and the rudest of its people, and the hardest fare. In proportion, too, as they imitate the zeal of the Wesleys, we will show them all honor and respect on our part; and they will not lack that reproach of which the world is not much more parsimonious in the present day, than when the names of the Wesleys were cast out as evil. It will not fail to calumniate them whilst living, if they give it too much disturbance; and perhaps some future Poet Laureate may lay by his birthday and coronation odes, to asperse them when dead. Will all this tempt their ambition? I suppose not. Neither in their day nor night dreams does Methodism ever occur to them as the road to honor; and yet if it opened to Mr. Wesley so fine a field for the gratification of his ambition, why should not theirs press into the same course, in the hope of seizing the same prize? Have they learning? So had he. Have they prospects in the Church? So had he. Have they ambition? So, Mr. Southey tells us, had he. How then is it that he alone, of all the ambitious clergymen we every heard or read of, was impelled by it into the course he adopted, and that none besides himself ever thought that

field-preaching and itinerancy opened the way to a distinction sufficient to allay the ambitious appetite of any "conqueror," or any "poet?" I leave the difficulty to be explained by him who has created it.

It is not enough that Mr. Wesley should be charged with "ambition;" he must also be represented as stooping to mean and unworthy arts to gratify it. "Charles could forgive an injury; but never trusted one whom he had found treacherous. John could take men a second time to his confidence, after the grossest wrongs and the basest usage." How does his biographer account for this? Most charitably! "Perhaps he regarded them as his instruments, and thought all other considerations must give way to the interests of the spiritual dominion he had acquired." This is making him a fool for using treacherous men as his agents, when he might have had honest ones. But the fact is, that Methodism was not promoted by men of this description; it offered no field for their labor; and they were not, therefore, used as "his instruments." The solution of the case, in consequence, goes for nothing, except as it is a pregnant proof of the candor and fairness of a biographer who grounds a charge which involves either a gross folly, or what is much worse, on a mere "perhaps." I might, with certainly as much reason, say, "Mr. Southey's book abounds in contradictory representations of Methodism and Mr. Wesley, perhaps because he wished to make it sell, and therefore endeavored to gratify the taste of the friends and enemies of both." But I will not resort to this solution of his palpable inconsistencies. I leave this method of determining the motives and estimating the characters of men to Mr. Southey. He indeed ought to have been little disposed to this kind of illiberality, who has spurned at it so indignantly in his own case; and the character of Mr. Wesley is at least as important to the world as that of the Poet Laureate. But he has given a very exceptionable example both to his own living critics, and to his future biographers; and as he has informed the world that he expects to live in the literary history of his country, to those biographers he may be supposed to look with some anxiety. I have too much respect for his general character, for his present sentiments, which I believe are very favorable to good order and loyalty, without being inimical to constitutional liberty,

and for his literary merits, to wish that with “the same measure he has meted” to Mr. Wesley, “it should be meted to him again;” but assuredly a Life of Southey, on the principles of the “Life of Wesley,” would not be favorable to his posthumous fame. Just enough of candor would be thrown into it to take off the appearance of illiberality and hostility, whilst the general impressions would remain detrac-tive; a little praise would be used as the means of rendering censure more pointed, and what was wanting in fact would be supplied by innuendo. In one respect, however, Mr. Southey would be placed in circumstances very different from Mr. Wesley. Both in politics and religion, he has gone round the compass. Mr. Wesley’s leading principles remained the same through life. He had never to make the *amende honorable* for opinions unfavorable either to good government or to piety; he never “denied the Lord that bought him;” he never worked in the vocation of revolution and republicanism; he never had to write down what he had endeavored to write up. He published nothing on which he had to look back with regret, much less with shame; or for which he had to plead, in future life, the apology of immature years and inexperience. No “Wat Tyler” of his lurked in the recesses of a bookseller’s shop, to fill him with uneasy apprehensions lest it should be dragged out of its retreat to beard him in his loftier pretensions, and call up his indignation at the malice which could give it publicity. I do not say that Mr. Southey’s indignation at the treatment he received in this case from his political adversaries was ill founded. He experienced no small measure of the indignity with which a party spirit imbues and debases little minds; but still he was made, in riper years, to “inherit the sins of his youth.” Such circumstances ought to have made him more modest and humble in tracing the spotless character of John Wesley; a man who had no such errors or mischiefs to repent of; whose life was a continuous effort to do good; and from whose pen nothing ever fell but what tended to promote the peace and to exalt the character of society. Mr. Southey ought also to have remembered the immense distance between such a character and himself, whether learning, or piety, or usefulness be resort ed to for the test; between one whose greatest praise hereafter must be that he contributed something to the

amusement, and a little to the instruction, of society, and the man who effected a moral revolution in the habits of thousands of his countrymen, and roused a slumbering Church and nation to the concerns of religion; a difference as great as between the wax-lights of a drawing-room, and the sun which arises upon “the evil and the good.” To the whole of Mr. Southey’s attempt to delineate the character of the founder of Methodism, we may apply a quotation, aptly levelled at his incompetency for the task, by one of Mr. Wesley’s biographers: “Sir, thou hast nothing to draw with, and the well is deep.”

22*

THE END.

A P P E N D I X.

(169)

H

A P P E N D I X.

THE following are the extracts referred to in the note under page 100, from "Historical Collections, relating to remarkable periods of the success of the gospel, and eminent instruments employed in promoting it," compiled by Dr. Gillies, one of the ministers of Glasgow, published in 1754. None of the accounts mentioned in the following extracts are given at full length. They occupy a large part of the work; to which the reader must, therefore, be referred. They are adduced to show, as stated in the note, that great and sudden revivals of religion have taken place at different periods, among Christians of different denominations, and that these extraordinary occurrences are not, as Mr. Southey has stated them, peculiar to Methodism. Their antiquated style will be no objection to the serious reader.

Of the great spread of true as well as of nominal Protestantism, soon after the commencement of the Reformation, Dr. Gillies observes, vol. i., page 126:

"In that swift progress and conquest which the truth then had in that great day of the gospel's triumph, and what interest it got in fixing those famous plantations of the Church through much of Germany, France, Switzerland, Holland, Denmark, the Low-Countries, with Britain and Ireland, in less than forty years, these things are observable: 1. How the truth of the gospel then 'came, not in word only, but in power, and in the Holy Ghost, and in much assurance;' (1 Thess. i. 5;) assurance of faith and understanding of the truth, and with that seal of the greatest enlargement of comfort; so as receiving of the word in much affliction was with joy in the Holy Ghost, verse 6. 2. That in this blessed way of the Reformed religion, now owned and professed, the Church did receive the Spirit, and an innumerable company in these last ages were sealed thereby, which is that undoubted seal and attestation from the Lord, of his own truth and doctrine, so expressly promised to his Church under the New Testament. 3. That this high spring-tide of the power and efficacy of the word, was after so sad and visible a restraint for many ages, while antichristian darkness had overspread the visible Church; and what of the Spirit was then known was like a private seal to the hearts of the godly, in those times of sackcloth and latent condition in the wilderness; not in any such way, as a public confirmatory witness to the truth, and with evidence and demonstration of the Holy

Ghost, before the world, as hath been now since the Reformation. After this blessed day once began to dawn, and the Lord did so visibly rend the heavens, and caused the mountains to flow at his presence, with so solemn a down-pouring of the Spirit following the gospel, as there could be no standing before it, but cities and nations were subjected to so marvellous a power, to the embracing of the truth. 4. That this great work of God was not for a short time, but for many years. Wherever the truth came, it did most discernibly accompany the same, not only to affect and convince, by some transient flash upon the spirits of men, but to that solid and effectual change, as visibly transformed them into that blessed image of Christ, by the Spirit of holiness, so as it was given both to believe and suffer for his name."

"One great benefit the plague brought to the city [London] was, that it occasioned the silenced ministers more openly and laboriously to preach the gospel, to the exceeding comfort and profit of the people; inasmuch that to this day the freedom of preaching which this occasioned cannot, by the daily guards of soldiers, nor by the imprisonments of multitudes, be restrained. The fear of death did so awaken both the preachers and the hearers, that preachers exceeded themselves in lively, fervent preaching, and the people crowded constantly to hear them; and all was done with so great seriousness, as that, through the blessing of God, abundance were converted from their carelessness, impenitency, and youthful lusts and vanities; and religion took that hold on the people's hearts, as could never afterwards be loosed. Great were the impressions which the word made on many hearts, beyond the power of man to effect, and beyond what the people before ever felt, as some of them have declared. A strange moving there was on the hearts of multitudes in the city; and I am persuaded that many were brought over effectually unto a closure with Jesus Christ; whereof some died of the plague, with willingness and peace; others remain steadfast in God's ways unto this day; but convictions, I believe, many hundreds had, if not thousands, which I wish that none had stifled."—*Baxter's Life*.

"I must here instance a solemn and extraordinary outpouring of the Spirit, about the year 1625, and afterward, in the west of Scotland. This, by the profane rabble of that time, was called the Stewar-ton sickness; for in that parish first, but after through much of that country, particularly at Irvine, under the ministry of Mr. Dick-son, it was remarkable; where it can be said (which divers ministers and Christians yet alive can witness) that, for a considerable time, few Sabbaths did pass away without some evidently converted, or some convincing proofs of the power of God accompanying his word; yea, that many were so taken by the heart, that, through terror, the Spirit in such a measure convincing them of sin, in hearing of the word, they have been made to fall over, and were carried out of the church; who after proved the most solid and lively Christians. And,

as it was known, some of the most gross, who used to mock at religion, being engaged, upon the fame that went abroad of such things, to go to some of these parts where the gospel was then most lively, have been effectually searched before their return, with a visible change following the same. And truly this great spring-tide (as I may call it) of the gospel was not of a short time, but for some years' continuance ; yea, thus like a spreading moorburn, the power of godliness did advance from one place to another, which put a marvellous lustre on these parts of the country, the savor whereof brought many from other parts of the land to see the truth of the same. At Irvine, Mr. Dickson's ministry was singularly countenanced of God. Multitudes were convinced and converted ; and few that lived in his day were more honored to be instruments of conversions than he. People under exercise and soul-concern came from every place about Irvine, and attended upon his sermons ; and the most eminent and serious Christians from all corners of the Church came and joined with him at his communions, which were, indeed, times of refreshing from the presence of the Lord. The famous Stewarton sickness was begun about the year 1630, and spread from house to house for many miles in the strath where Stewarton water runs, on both sides of it. Satan, indeed, endeavored to bring a reproach upon the serious persons, who were at this time under the convincing work of the Spirit, by running some, seemingly under serious concern, to excesses, both in time of sermon and in families. But the Lord enabled Mr. Dickson, and other ministers, who dealt with them, to act so prudent a part, as Satan's design was much disappointed ; and solid, serious, practical religion flourished mightily in the west of Scotland about this time. I must also mention that solemn communion at the Kirk of Shotts, June 20, 1630, at which time there was so convincing an appearance of God, and down-pouring of the Spirit, even in an extraordinary way, that did follow the ordinances, especially that sermon on the Monday, June 21, with a strange, unusual motion on the hearers, who in a great multitude were then convinced, of divers ranks, that it was known, which I can speak on sure ground, near five hundred had at that time a discernible change wrought on them, of whom most proved lively Christians afterward. It was the sowing of a seed through Clydesdale, so as many of the most eminent Christians in that country could date either their conversion, or some remarkable confirmation in their case, from that day."

"That was also a remarkable time, wherein the Lord did let forth much of his Spirit on his people, in the year 1638, when this nation did solemnly enter in covenant, which many yet alive at this day do know ; how the spirits of men were raised and wrought on by the word, the ordinances lively and longed after. Must we not also say, since the land was engaged by covenant to the Lord in these late times, what a solemn out-letting of the Spirit hath been seen, a large harvest with much fruit of the gospel discernible, which, we may say with a warrant, hath been proved by the bringing of souls to Christ, a part whereof are now in glory, and many yet alive who are a visible

seal to this truth, of whom I am sure some will not lose the remembrance of these sweet refreshing times, which the land did enjoy for several years of the gospel, and of many solemn communions, where a large blessing, with much of the Spirit and power of God, was felt accompanying the ordinances! If it were expedient to set down circumstances, I could here point at many such remarkable times and places which should clearly demonstrate this."—*See the authorities in Gillies, vol. i., pp. 306–315.*

The following is taken by Gillies from the Life of the Rev. Robert Blair. It relates to a revival of religion in the north of Ireland, in 1628.

"Mr. John Ridge, minister in Antrim, a judicious and gracious minister, perceiving many people, on both sides of the Six-mile-water, awakened out of their security, and willing to take pains for their salvation, made an overture, that a monthly lecture might be set up at Antrim, and invited to bear burthen therein, Mr. Cunningham, Mr Hamilton, and myself. We were glad of the motion, and hearkened to it at the very first, and came prepared to preach; in the summer-day four did preach, and when the day grew shorter, three. This monthly meeting, thus beginning first by this motion of Mr. Ridge, continued many years, and was a great help to spread religion through the whole of that country. Sir Hugh Clotterday was very hospitable to the ministers that came there to preach. So mighty grew the word of God, and his gracious word prospered in the hands of his faithful servants, the power of man being restrained from opposing the work of God; and the Lord was pleased to protect our ministry by raising up friends to us, and giving us favor in the eyes of all the people about us. There were many converts in all our congregations. That blessed work of conversion was now spread beyond the bounds of Down and Antrim, to the skirts of neighboring counties, whence many came to the monthly meetings, and the sacrament of the Lord's Supper. The Lord was pleased to bless his word; the people had a vehement appetite for it, that could not be satisfied; they hung upon the ministers, still desirous to have more; no day was long enough, no room large enough. That solemn and great work of God may with propriety be said to have been one of the largest manifestations of the Spirit, and of the most solemn times of the down-pouring thereof, that almost since the days of the apostles hath been seen; where the power of God did sensibly accompany the word with an unusual motion upon the hearers, and a very great success as to the conversion of souls to Christ. The goings of the Lord were then full of majesty, and the shout of a King was heard in the meetings of his people, that, as a judicious old Christian, who was there present, did express it, he thought it was like a dazzling beam and ray of God, with such an unusual brightness as even forced bystanders to an astonishment. A very effectual door opened, with more than ordinary enlargement, which the ministers of Christ there found in the preaching of the word, whilst the people might be seen hearing the same in

a melting frame, with much tenderness of spirit. Surely this was the very power of God, a convincing seal to the truth and ministry of his servants, who were then persecuted; yea, a thing which, as it was known, had an awful impression, and was a terror to their adversaries. Mr. Blair, in his Life, speaking of a conversation he had with Archbishop Usher, says, ‘He was very affable and communicative; he desired to know of me what my mind was of the nature of justifying and saving faith. I told him my mind, that I held the accepting and receiving of Jesus Christ as he is offered in the gospel. With this he was well satisfied. Afterward he said, It would break my heart, if that successful ministry in the north were interrupted and marred. They think to cause me to stretch out my hand against you; but all the world shall never move me to do so.’”

Pietism in Germany was another of those remarkable revivals of pure and undefiled religion with which modern times have been favored; and it bears, generally speaking, the same characters as the rest. It began about one hundred years after Luther’s Reformation, in and about the University of Halle, and spread into many of the provinces and circles of Germany. It is called in the preface to *Pietas Hallensis*, “a seed of purer Christianity, gradually quickening and springing up in the midst of the Lutheran Reformation. It was slandered and persecuted, but was carried on successively by many able and pious divines. Some excesses occasionally appeared, which were charged, as usual, upon the whole system. Pietism had, however, able defenders; and the benefits effected by it were, in 1688, drawn out under sixty-two heads, as answers to its adversaries.” Some of these heads were as follows:

“Private exercises of piety have been set up in several places, for mutual edification, and met with some encouragement and approbation from the magistrates. The education of youth has been more seriously laid to heart than formerly. A great many writings, treating upon the several heads of practical divinity, have been published for the increase of Christian life and knowledge. The article of justification, and its coherence with true sanctification, or holiness of life, hath been set in a clearer light than it formerly was. The common way of philosophizing has begun to lose its credit, and a philosophy more savoring of a Christian temper, and raised on more solid principles, set up again. The logical, metaphysical, and homiletical schools have been less frequented, and more time spent on the Bible and exegetical conferences of theology, to a visible improvement of divinity scholars. The wonted way of preaching, too much tied up to a fallacious and luxurious oratory, and fit for catching the applause of men, has been discountenanced, and a plainer method, derived from power and inward experience, begun to be encouraged. The distinction between the essential and accessory points of religion has been revived, and the former more preached up than the latter. Likewise has the great distinction betwixt mere morality and true spiritual Christianity been set up again. The idol of a heathenish morality

has been turned out of some Churches; and the pure Spirit, as the only restoring principle of fallen nature, suffered to come in again, for rightly framing a Christian's life and conversation. The greatest points of Christian religion, viz., of a living faith in Christ; likewise of regeneration, mortification, contrition, resignation, self-denial, imitation of Christ, and others of that nature, too slightly handled hitherto, have begun to appear again, and to be known among Christians. The spirit of prayer has been revived in a more eminent degree. Many young people have united in prayers and thanksgiving. The dying-hours of some have been very edifying to the survivors. People have been generally more inspired with a generous and enlarged care for the poor and indigent."

Accounts of various revivals of religion in different parts of the United States of America, before the visits of Mr. Whitefield to that country, may be found in vol. ii. of the Historical Collections. The following are among the number:

The Rev. S. Dornforth, in a letter dated *Taunton, New England, February 20th, 1704-5*, says, "We are much encouraged by an unusual and amazing impression made by God's Spirit on all sorts among us, especially on the young men and women. It is almost incredible how many visit me with discoveries of the extreme distress of mind they are in about their spiritual condition. And the young men, instead of their merry meetings, are now forming themselves into regular meetings for prayer, and repetition of sermons, signing the same orders which I obtained some years ago a copy of from the young men's meeting in the north of Boston."

There was also a remarkable instance of the revival of religion in the year 1721, at Windham, in Connecticut colony. Windham is a town of about some thirty years' standing. "Persons of all ages," says the Rev. Mr. Adams, "and some of whom there was but little expectation, have come together to seek the Lord their God; so that within the compass of about half a year, there have been fourscore persons joined to their communion, and more are still dropping in. In the meantime, it is surprising to see what a happy alteration is made when God is pleased to bless the dispensation of the gospel, and the institutions of his house, and confirm his words in the mouths of his servants. Now, the eyes of the blind are opened, the ears of the deaf unstopped, the dumb are taught to speak, and they that were spiritually dead are raised into life. To behold obstinate sinners, who went on frowardly in the ways of their own heart, yielding themselves unto God; such as were careless and unconcerned about their own souls, now brought to the last distress and concern about what they shall do to escape from the wrath that is to come; and such as were fond of their several vicious courses now quitting them with shame and indignation, that they may endeavor for the future to lead their lives, not 'according to the lusts of men, but the will of God.' Shall it not from this time be said, 'What hath God wrought?' Now, they that have happily 'escaped out of the

snares of the fowler,' admire the wonders of free grace which remembered and 'visited them in their low estate.' They are brought forth into the light of life; and, having their doubts and fears gradually dissipated, they go on rejoicing for the consolation. Their fellow-Christians, who were in Christ before them, receive them with open arms, and many thanksgivings are offered up unto the Lord. Now things put on the same face of gladness and delight as once they did at Samaria, when Christ was preached with success; 'and there was great joy in that city.' (Acts vii. 8.)

There was a considerable revival in the end of 1730, and the three following years, at Freehold, in the province of New Jersey, under the ministry of Mr. John Tennant, and his brother William, who succeeded him. Of which take the following account, from a letter to the Rev. Mr. Price, of Boston:

"Oct. 9th, 1744.—I desire to notice thankfully the late rich display of our glorious Emmanuel's grace, in subduing, by his word and Spirit, multitudes of sinners to himself.—The sorrows of the convinced were not all alike, either in degree or in continuance. Some have not thought it possible for them to be saved if God would vindicate the honor of his justice. But these thoughts continued not long at a time, blessed be God. Others thought it was possible, but not very probable, because of their vileness.—The conviction of some has been instantaneous, by the Holy Spirit applying the law to the conscience, and discovering to the eye of the understanding, as it were, all their heart deceits very speedily; by which they have been stabbed as with a sword. But the conviction of others has been in a more progressive way. They have had discovered to them one abomination after another in life; and from thence were led to behold the fountain of all corruption in the heart; and thus they were constrained to despair of life by the law, and consequently to flee to Jesus as the only door of hope, and so rest entirely on his merit for salvation.—After the aforesaid sorrowful exercises, such as were reconciled to God have been blessed with the Spirit of adoption, enabling them to cry, Abba, Father. But some have had greater degrees of consolation than others, in proportion to the clearness of the evidences of their sonship.—The Lord has brought some out of the horrible pit of darkness and distress, and brought them into the light of his countenance. He has filled their hearts with joy, and their mouths with praises; yea, given them the full assurance of faith. Others have been brought to peace in believing; but have not had so great a plerophony of joy; yet they go on in a religious course, trusting in the Lord.—In a word, the sapless formalist is become spiritual in his conversation; the proud and haughty are made humble and affable; the wanton and vile, sober and temperate; the swearer honors that venerable name he was so wont to profane, and blesses instead of cursing; the Sabbath-breaker is brought to be a strict observer of holy time; the worldling now seeks treasures in the heavens; the extortioner now deals justly; and the formerly-malicious forgive injuries; the prayerless are earnest and incessant in acts of devotion; and the self-seeker

endeavors the advancement of God's glory, and the salvation of immortal souls."

The next revival that followed that in Freehold was that remarkable one in Northampton, 1734, etc., which we shall relate from the following passages of President Edwards's "Narrative," in Prince's "Christian History:"

"Just after my grandfather's death, it seemed to be a time of extraordinary dulness in religion. Licentiousness for some years greatly prevailed among the youth of the town.—At the latter end of the year 1733, there appeared a very unusual flexibility, and yielding to advice, in our young people. It had been too long their manner to make the evening after the Sabbath, and after our public lecture, to be especially the times of their mirth and company-keeping. But now a sermon was preached before the lecture, to show the evil tendency of the practice, and to persuade to reform it; and it was urged on heads of families, that it should be a thing agreed upon among them to govern their families, to keep their children at home, at these times; and withal it was more privately moved that they should meet together the next day in their several neighborhoods, to know each other's minds, which was accordingly done, and the motion complied with throughout the town. But parents found little or no occasion for the exercise of government in the case: the young people declared themselves convinced by what they had heard from the pulpit, and were willing of themselves to comply with the counsel that had been given; and it was immediately, and, I suppose, universally, complied with; and there was a thorough reformation of these disorders thenceforward, which has continued ever since.

"Presently upon this, a great and earnest concern about the great things of religion, and the eternal world, became universal in all parts of the town, and among persons of all ages: the noise among the dry bones waxed louder and louder: all other talk but about spiritual things was soon thrown by: all the conversation in all companies, and upon all occasions, was upon these things only, unless so much as was necessary for people carrying on their ordinary secular business. There was scarcely a single person in the town, either old or young, that was left unconcerned about the great things of the eternal world. Those who were wont to be the vainest, and loosest, and those that had been most disposed to think and speak slightly of vital and experimental religion, were now generally subject to great awakenings. And the work of conversion was carried on in the most astonishing manner, and increased more and more: souls did, as it were, come by flocks to Jesus Christ. From day to day, for many months together, might be seen evident instances of sinners brought out of darkness into marvellous light, and delivered out of an horrible pit, and from the miry clay, and set upon a rock, with a new song of praise to God in their mouths. This work of God, as it was carried on, and the number of true saints multiplied, soon made a glorious alteration in the town; so that in the spring and summer following, in the year 1735, the town seemed to be full of the presence of God:

it never was so full of love, nor so full of joy, and yet so full of distress, as it was then. There were remarkable tokens of God's presence in almost every house. It was a time of joy in families, on the account of salvation being brought unto them: parents rejoicing over their children as new-born, and husbands over their wives, and wives over their husbands. The goings of God were then seen in his sanctuary: God's day was a delight, and his tabernacles were amiable. Our public assemblies were then beautiful: the congregation was alive in God's service, every one earnestly intent on the public worship, every hearer eager to drink in the words of the minister as they came from his mouth: the assembly in general were, from time to time, in tears, while the word was preached; some weeping with sorrow and distress, others with joy and love, others with pity and concern for the souls of their neighbors.—When this work first appeared, and was so extraordinarily carried on amongst us in the winter, others round about us seemed not to know what to make of it; and there were many that scoffed at and ridiculed it; and some compared what we called conversion to certain distempers. But it was very observable by many that occasionally came amongst us from abroad with disregardful hearts, that what they saw here cured them of such a temper of mind. Strangers were generally surprised to find things so much beyond what they had heard, and were wont to tell others that the state of the town could not be conceived of by those that had not seen it. In the month of March, the people in South Hadley began to be seized with a deep concern about the things of religion, which very soon became universal; and the work of God has been very wonderful there; not much, if any thing, short of what has been here, in proportion to the bigness of the place. About the same time it began to break out in the west part of Suffield, (where it has also been very great,) and it soon spread into all parts of the town. It next appeared at Sunderland, and soon overspread the town; and I believe was, for a season, not less remarkable than it was here. About the same time, it began to appear in a part of Deerfield, called Green River; and afterward filled the town; and there has been a glorious work there. It began also to be manifest in the south part of Hatfield, in a place called the Hill; and after that the whole town, in the second week in April, seemed to be seized, as it were at once, with concern about the things of religion; and the work of God has been great there. There has been also a very general awakening at West Springfield and Honey Meadow. Something of this work likewise appeared in the first precinct of Springfield, principally in the north and south extremes of the parish. And in Hadley Old Town there gradually appeared so much of a work of God on souls, as at another time would have been thought worthy of much notice. For a short time there was also a very great and general concern, of the like nature, at Northfield.—This remarkable pouring out of the Spirit of God, which thus extended from one end of this country to the other, was not confined to it, but many places in Connecticut have partook of the same mercy. There has been a degree of the

same work at a part of Guilford; and very considerable at Mansfield, under the ministry of Mr. Eleazar Williams; and an unusual religious concern at Tolland; and something of it at Hebron and Bolton. There was also no small effusion of the Spirit of God in the north parish in Preston, in the eastern part of Connecticut, which I was informed of, and saw something of it, when I was the last autumn at the house, and in the congregation, of Mr. Lora, the minister there, who, with Mr. Owen, of Groton, came up hither in May the last year, on purpose to see the work of God here; and, having heard various and contradictory accounts of it, were careful, when they were here, to inform and satisfy themselves; and to that end particularly conversed with many of our people; which they declared to be entirely to their satisfaction, and that the one half had not been told them, nor could be told them. Mr. Lora told me that, when he got home, he informed his congregation of what he had seen, and that they were greatly affected with it, and that it proved the beginning of the same work among them, which prevailed till there was a general awakening, and many instances of persons who seemed to be remarkably converted.

"This seems to have been a very extraordinary dispensation of Providence: God has, in many respects, gone out of, and much beyond, his usual and ordinary way. The work in this town, and some others about us, has been extraordinary on account of the universality of it, affecting all sorts, sober and vicious, high and low, rich and poor, wise and unwise; it reached the most considerable families and persons, to all appearance, as much as others. In former stirrings of this nature, the bulk of the young people have been greatly affected; but old men and little children have been so now. Many of the first have, of their own accord, formed themselves into religious societies, in different parts of the town. A loose, careless person could scarcely find a companion in the whole neighborhood; and if there was any one that seemed to remain careless and unconcerned, it would be spoken of as a strange thing.

"God also has seemed to go out of his usual way, in the quickness of his work, and the swift progress his Spirit has made in his operations on the hearts of many. It is wonderful that persons should be so suddenly, and yet so greatly, changed: many have been taken from a loose and careless way of living, and seized with strong convictions of their guilt and misery, and in a very little time old things have passed away, and all things have become new with them. God's work has also appeared very extraordinary in the degrees of the influences of his Spirit, both in the degree of saving light, and love, and joy, that many have experienced. It has also been very extraordinary in the extent of it, and its being so swiftly propagated from town to town. In former times of the pouring out of the Spirit of God upon this town, though in some of them it was very remarkable, yet it reached no farther than this town; the neighboring towns all around continued unmoved.

"The work of God's Spirit seemed to be at its greatest height on

this town in the former part of the spring, in March and April; at which time God's work, in the conversion of souls, was carried on amongst us in so wonderful a manner, that, so far as I, by looking back, can judge from the particular acquaintance I have had with souls in this work, it appears to me probable to have been at the rate, at least, of four persons in a day, or near thirty in a week, take one with another, for five or six weeks together. When God in so remarkable a manner took the work into his own hands, there was as much done in a day or two, as at ordinary times, with all endeavors that men use, and with such a blessing as we commonly have, is done in a year."

In vol. ii., page 455, Dr. Gillies has inserted letters from a minister in Holland, of the date of October 2, 1750, and January 15, 1751; of which the following are extracts:

"I bless the Lord I can give you the certain account of the plentiful outpouring of the Holy Spirit in several congregations in the Velvurke, one of the quarters of the duchy of Guelderland, by whose blessed and powerful influences that amiable kingdom, which consists in righteousness, and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost, is notably advanced. Of this great event I had some confused notice before I went to —, but thought it my duty to be silent about a matter of such vast importance till I obtained full and certain information, knowing well of what pernicious consequence groundless precipitant reports are, in most cases, and especially of that nature. This information I have got since my return; and now desire to acknowledge and rejoice in this great work of God.—This blessed work began in a town called Nieuwkerk, about ten English miles above Amersfoort, and about as many from Harderwick, near the end of the Zeuderzee; and from that town the blessed influence has spread over five different congregations in the villages of Putten, Barneveld, Lunteren, Nunspeet, and Zoest; in all of which, but especially in Putten, the awakening has been very great, and multitudes, according to the best judgment, brought under the blessed bond of the everlasting covenant.—There are two reformed ministers in the church of Nieuwkerk: the oldest is J. J. Roldanus; the other, who was called there in 1748, is called Gerardus Kuypers: the Lord has honored them both to be instrumental in carrying on that blessed work. Mr. Kuypers gives the account of the work. It had been in this place a dead, barren, backsliding time for many years past; conviction and conversion work very rare: the place was full of infidelity, carnality, profanity, and fearlessness of God, to that degree, that it was by other places reproached, as being an habitation of people who, by long prosperity, were waxed fat, and kicked against the Lord. The small remnant of the godly who were in the place were daily persecuted with bitter scoffings and mockings.—The first means which the Lord was pleased to bless for awakening that dead secure people to something of seriousness and concern about eternal things, was a public weekly catechizing, set up with this good design, that by this plain,

simple, familiar way of instruction, that ignorant people might be brought to some distinct knowledge of the Lord.—This exercise was attended with notable success: many were stirred up to search the Scriptures.—Another thing which the Lord remarkably countenanced was the setting apart of some time for a kind of fellowship-meetings, or Christian conferences, alternately, in the houses of the few among them who seemed to have any fear of God, and particularly on the evenings of the Lord's day.—These meetings were immediately much talked of; hundreds frequented them after their daily labor was over; (for that was the time pitched upon as the most convenient;) several were brought under the same concern about their souls, and began to see their miserable lost state, and were made to continue earnest in prayer.—All this was carried on with little noise, silently, as usual in the ordinary work of the conversion of particular persons.—In this way was ushered in that uncommon dispensation of the Spirit, which they looked not for; for, at last, the preaching of the gospel began to be attended with such awful power, that several were made to cry out aloud with many tears, under a bitter painful sense of their distress and misery.—The troubled and broken in heart were brought to Mr. Kuyper's house, who, upon conversing with them, soon discovered that the Holy Spirit, by the word, had begun a work of conviction in them. Mr. Kuyper finding things thus with them, began to conceive some hope; yet he stood astonished, conflicting with doubts and fears, to see so many persons so strangely affected.—His doubts and fears had this good effect: they made him very careful and circumspect in examining all these appearances, and comparing them with the Lord's word. The next day there was an almost universal dejection and astonishment among the inhabitants of the town: Mr. Kuyper went early in the morning to the houses of such of the awakened and distressed as were best known to him; and the work being great, he got some private Christians to go to others; they were busy the whole day, going to innumerable houses. From that day the work increased beyond description: there is no painting of it to the life: it was a perfect commentary upon the second chapter of the Acts. Mockers ridiculed; but multitudes were pricked at heart, and cried, 'What shall we do?' Next Lord's day, the Lord graciously accompanied the sermons of both ministers with such demonstration of the Spirit and power, that many more were awakened.—The exercises of most were carried on with a considerable degree of quietness and sedateness, who, as far as we are allowed to judge, are savingly converted. But a great number were exercised with great bodily distress. As the awakened and distressed were many in number, several hundreds, so they were of all characters and ages.—Old persons of seventy and eighty years, who had spent all their days in ignorance of God, deep forgetfulness of him, and rebellion against him, have been at the eleventh hour snatched as brands out of the burning, and are melted into tears at the thought of the admirable patience of the Redeemer, to bear so many horrid provocations from them, and wait so long knocking at their hearts

for entrance.—Many of the more knowing and learned in the speculative knowledge of Scripture truths have been deeply and thoroughly convinced of their great blindness and ignorance.—The awakening went on so powerfully all the months of June and July, that several hundred strangers who came from other places were made to feel its influence, and pricked to the heart.—I can assure you that this blessed work still goes forward in that, and has spread through several other congregations, and eminently in the village of Putten, and that all the subjects of this blessed work continue steadfast in the faith and ways of the Lord.

“By the account I have given, and the further account I hope to give, if the state of my health permit, you will clearly perceive that this work of grace wrought on the hearts of so many hundreds by the word and Spirit of the Lord, is, in substance, the very same work which was some years ago carried on so remarkably in your happy corner of the Lord’s vineyard, and agrees exactly, in all the great leading strokes and lineaments of it, with the work of grace which has more or less been carried on in all ages of the Church of Christ from the beginning, though perhaps with some variety of circumstances, which must reasonably be expected whenever the Lord builds up Zion in a more observable and glorious manner, which he would have to be taken notice of by the world.

“The great work of grace, of which I have given some general account, not only goes forward at Nieuwkerk and the villages mentioned in my last, but, blessed be the God of all grace, it spreads itself through many other places unworthy that the God of glory should cast a look of mercy upon them, much more pour out his Spirit upon them, and make them savingly to know his word. The word of the Lord has free course, and is glorified in the conviction and conversion of great numbers at a village called Aalten in Guelderland; at a village called Rheid, in the duchy of Juliers, and several other places through the country; and in the city of Groningen (one of the seats of learning and philosophy) there has been a considerable awakening several months past, hundreds being under sharp convictions, which it is hoped will have a gracious saving issue. As to the work in general, the number of witnesses is so great, their character for understanding, probity, and serious godliness, so unexceptionable, and the facts they attest such in their nature as they could not mistake through ignorance, being what God’s word plainly requires to pass upon every soul that would be saved, and what the awakened or comforted declare uniformly they have experienced; that no man can reasonably refuse his assent.”

The following account, though to be found more at large in Gillies, I prefer taking from a late publication, “The Life of John Erskine¹ D. D., by Sir Henry Moncrief Welwood, Bart. :”

“In the following winter, (1741-2,) very remarkable impressions of religion were observed in the congregation of Cambuslang, under the ministry of Mr. M’Cullock, the pastor of that parish, a man of great

seems to have been made. And this fact, according with ordinary experience, and honestly related in the narratives on the subject, confirms, instead of lessening, their credibility.

“On the other hand, let the thousands who go away without having received any visible impression be out of the question, (though many good effects might have been produced, which were neither observed, nor related at the time,) four hundred individuals, who, to the conviction of those who knew them, became better men—men more useful and conscientious in their stations, and more faithful in their practical duties, than they ever were before, and who preserve this character while they live—exhibit a view of the religion of Cambuslang and Kilsyth, which a wise man will not bring himself easily to reprobate; and which no good man, if he candidly examines the facts, and believes them, will allow himself to despise.

“The examples published to establish this fact, it is impossible to mention here with any minuteness. It was observed, in particular, at Kilsyth, that, before this period, the people of that parish had been remarkable for such a litigious spirit, as had in a great measure destroyed the comfort and confidence of private life; and that, immediately after this period, the spirit of litigation seemed to be so much extinguished by the spirit of religion, that the magistrate of the district declared that for many months no action had been brought before his court, where it had before been usual to have a great many during the course of every week.

“Similar facts, equally decisive, were related from other districts; and, in general, it was certainly believed by those who had the best access to be thoroughly informed, and who lived many years in the habits of intimate communication with the individuals, that they who were called the converts of 1742, with few exceptions indeed, supported through life the character they then assumed, and were equally distinguished by purity of manners and Christian sincerity.”

THE END.

